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#### Abstract

Deformation equation of a non-associative deformation in operad is proposed. Its integrability condition (the Bianchi identity) is considered. Algebraic meaning of the latter is explained.
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## 1 Introduction and outline of the paper

Non-associativity is sometimes said to be an algebraic equivalent of the differential geometric concept of curvature [3]. To see the equivalence, one must represent an associator in curvature terms. In particular, this can be observed for the geodesic loops of a manifold with an affine connection $[1,2]$.

In this paper, the equivalence is clarified from an operad theoretical point of view. By using the Gerstenhaber brackets and a coboundary operator in an operad algebra, the (formal) associator can be represented as a curvature form in differential geometry. This equation is called a deformation equation. Its integrability condition is the Bianchi identity.

## 2 Operad

Let $K$ be a unital associative commutative ring, char $K \neq 2,3$, and let $C^{n}(n \in \mathbb{N})$ be unital $K$-modules. For homogeneous $f \in C^{n}$, we refer to $n$ as the degree of $f$ and write (when it does not cause confusion) $f$ instead of $\operatorname{deg} f$. For example, $(-1)^{f} \doteq(-1)^{n}$, $C^{f} \doteq C^{n}$ and $\circ_{f} \doteq \circ_{n}$. Also, it is convenient to use the reduced degree $|f| \doteq n-1$. Throughout the paper we assume that $\otimes \doteq \otimes_{K}$.

Definition 1. A linear operad with coefficients in $K$ is a sequence $C \doteq\left\{C^{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of unital $K$-modules (an $\mathbb{N}$-graded $K$-module), such that the following conditions hold.
(1) For $0 \leq i \leq m-1$ there exist partial compositions

$$
\circ_{i} \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(C^{m} \otimes C^{n}, C^{m+n-1}\right), \quad\left|\circ_{i}\right|=0
$$

(2) For all $h \otimes f \otimes g \in C^{h} \otimes C^{f} \otimes C^{g}$, the composition relations hold,

$$
\left(h \circ_{i} f\right) \circ_{j} g= \begin{cases}(-1)^{|f||g|}\left(h \circ_{j} g\right) \circ_{i+|g|} f & \text { if } 0 \leq j \leq i-1 \\ h \circ_{i}\left(f \circ_{j-i} g\right) & \text { if } i \leq j \leq i+|f| \\ (-1)^{|f||g|}\left(h \circ_{j-|f|} g\right) \circ_{i} f & \text { if } i+f \leq j \leq|h|+|f|\end{cases}
$$

(3) There exists a unit $\mathrm{I} \in C^{1}$ such that

$$
\mathrm{I} \circ_{0} f=f=f \circ_{i} \mathrm{I}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq|f|
$$

In the 2 nd item, the first and third parts of the defining relations turn out to be equivalent.

Example 2 (endomorphism operad [4]). Let $L$ be a unital $K$-module and $\mathcal{E}_{L}^{n} \doteq$ $\mathcal{E} n d_{L}^{n} \doteq \operatorname{Hom}\left(L^{\otimes n}, L\right)$. Define the partial compositions for $f \otimes g \in \mathcal{E}_{L}^{f} \otimes \mathcal{E}_{L}^{g}$ as

$$
f \circ_{i} g \doteq(-1)^{i|g|} f \circ\left(\operatorname{id}_{L}^{\otimes i} \otimes g \otimes \operatorname{id}_{L}^{\otimes(|f|-i)}\right), \quad 0 \leq i \leq|f|
$$

Then $\mathcal{E}_{L} \doteq\left\{\mathcal{E}_{L}^{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an operad (with the unit $\operatorname{id}_{L} \in \mathcal{E}_{L}^{1}$ ) called the endomorphism operad of $L$.

Thus algebraic operations turn out to be elements of an endomorphism operad. It is convenient to call homogeneous elements of an abstract operad the operations as well.

## 3 Gerstenhaber brackets and associator

Definition 3 (total composition). The total composition $\bullet C^{f} \otimes C^{g} \rightarrow C^{f+|g|}$ is defined by

$$
f \bullet g \doteq \sum_{i=0}^{|f|} f \circ_{i} g \quad \in C^{f+|g|}, \quad|\bullet|=0
$$

The pair $\operatorname{Com} C \doteq\{C, \bullet\}$ is called a composition algebra of $C$.
Lemma 4 (Gerstenhaber identity). The composition algebra multiplication • is nonassociative and satisfies the Gerstenhaber identity

$$
\begin{aligned}
(h, f, g) & \doteq(h \bullet f) \bullet g-h \bullet(f \bullet g) \\
& =(-1)^{|f||g|}(h, g, f)
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 5 (Gerstenhaber brackets). The Gerstenhaber brackets $[\cdot, \cdot]$ are defined in Com $C$ by

$$
[f, g] \doteq f \bullet g-(-1)^{|f||g|} g \bullet f=-(-1)^{|f||g|}[g, f], \quad|[\cdot, \cdot]|=0
$$

The commutator algebra of $\operatorname{Com} C$ is denoted as $\operatorname{Com}^{-} C \doteq\{C,[\cdot, \cdot]\}$.

Theorem 6. $\mathrm{Com}^{-} C$ is a graded Lie algebra.
Proof. The anti-symmetry of the Gerstenhaber brackets is evident. To prove the (graded) Jacobi identity

$$
\left.(-1)^{|f||h|}[[f, g], h]+(-1)^{|g||f|} \mid[g, h], f\right]+(-1)^{|h||g|}[[h, f], g]=0
$$

use the G erstenhaber identity.
Let $\{L, \mu\}$ be a non-associative algebra with a multiplication $\mu: L \otimes L \rightarrow L$. The multiplication $\mu$ can be seen as an element of the component $\mathcal{E}_{L}^{2}$ of an endomorphism operad $\mathcal{E}_{L}$. One can easily check that the associator of $\mu$ reads

$$
A \doteq \mu \circ\left(\mu \otimes \operatorname{id}_{L}-\operatorname{id}_{L} \otimes \mu\right)=\mu \bullet \mu=\frac{1}{2}[\mu, \mu] \doteq \mu^{2}, \quad \mu \in \mathcal{E}_{L}^{2}
$$

So the total composition and Gerstenhaber brackets can be used for representing the associator in operadic terms. This was first noticed by Gerstenhaber [4].
Proposition 7. If $K$ is a field of characteristic 0 , then every binary operation $\mu \in C^{2}$ generates a power-associative subalgebra in $\operatorname{Com} C$.
Proof. Use the Albert criterion [5] that a power-associative algebra over a field $K$ of characteristic 0 can be given by the identities

$$
\mu^{2} \bullet \mu=\mu \bullet \mu^{2}, \quad\left(\mu^{2} \bullet \mu\right) \bullet \mu=\mu^{2} \bullet \mu^{2}
$$

Both identities easily follow from the corresponding Gerstenhaber identities

$$
(\mu, \mu, \mu)=0, \quad\left(\mu^{2}, \mu, \mu\right)=0
$$

## 4 Coboundary operator

Let $h \in C$ be an operation from an operad $C$. By using the Gerstenhaber brackets, define an adjoint representation $h \mapsto \partial_{h}$ of $\mathrm{Com}^{-} C$ by

$$
\partial_{h} f \doteq \operatorname{ad}_{h}^{\text {right }} f \doteq[f, h], \quad\left|\partial_{h}\right|=|h|
$$

It follows from the Jacobi identity in $\mathrm{Com}^{-} C$ that $\partial_{h}$ is a (right) derivation of $\mathrm{Com}^{-} C$,

$$
\partial_{h}[f, g]=\left[f, \partial_{h} g\right]+(-1)^{|g||h|}\left[\partial_{h} f, g\right]
$$

and the following commutation relation holds:

$$
\left[\partial_{f}, \partial_{g}\right] \doteq \partial_{f} \partial_{g}-(-1)^{|f||g|} \partial_{g} \partial_{f}=\partial_{[g, f]}
$$

Let $h \doteq \mu \in C^{2}$ be a binary operation. Then, since $|\mu|=1$ is odd, one has

$$
\partial_{\mu}^{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\partial_{\mu}, \partial_{\mu}\right]=\frac{1}{2} \partial_{[\mu, \mu]}=\partial_{\frac{1}{2}[\mu, \mu]}=\partial_{\mu \bullet \mu}=\partial_{\mu^{2}}=\partial_{A}
$$

So associativity $\mu^{2}=0$ implies $\partial_{\mu}^{2}=0$. In this case, $\partial_{\mu}$ is called a coboundary operator. In particular, for $C=\mathcal{E}_{L}$ one obtains the Hochschild coboundary operator [6]

$$
-\partial_{\mu} f=\mu \circ\left(\operatorname{id}_{L} \otimes f\right)-\sum_{i=0}^{|f|}(-1)^{i} f \circ\left(\operatorname{id}_{L}^{\otimes i} \otimes \mu \otimes \operatorname{id}_{L}^{\otimes| | f \mid-i)}\right)+(-1)^{|f|} \mu \circ\left(f \otimes \operatorname{id}_{L}\right)
$$

## 5 Deformation equation

Definition 8 (deformation). For an operad $C$, let $\mu, \mu_{0} \in C^{2}$ be two binary operations. The difference $\omega \doteq \mu-\mu_{0}$ is called a deformation.

Let $\partial \doteq \partial_{\mu_{0}}$ and denote the (formal) associators of $\mu$ and $\mu_{0}$ as follows:

$$
A \doteq \mu \bullet \mu=\frac{1}{2}[\mu, \mu], \quad A_{0} \doteq \mu_{0} \bullet \mu_{0}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\mu_{0}, \mu_{0}\right]
$$

Definition 9 (associative deformation). The deformation is called associative if $A=$ $0=A_{0}$.

Theorem 10 (deformation equation). One has

$$
\underbrace{A-A_{0}}_{\text {deformation }}=\underbrace{\partial \omega+\frac{1}{2}[\omega, \omega]}_{\text {operadic curvature }}
$$

Proof. Calculate

$$
\begin{aligned}
A & =\frac{1}{2}[\mu, \mu] \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left[\mu_{0}+\omega, \mu_{0}+\omega\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left[\mu_{0}, \mu_{0}\right]+\frac{1}{2}\left[\mu_{0}, \omega\right]+\frac{1}{2}\left[\omega, \mu_{0}\right]+\frac{1}{2}[\omega, \omega] \\
& =A_{0}-\frac{1}{2}(-1)^{\left|\mu_{0}\right||\omega|}\left[\omega, \mu_{0}\right]+\frac{1}{2}\left[\omega, \mu_{0}\right]+\frac{1}{2}[\omega, \omega] \\
& =A_{0}+\left[\omega, \mu_{0}\right]+\frac{1}{2}[\omega, \omega] \\
& =A_{0}+\partial \omega+\frac{1}{2}[\omega, \omega]
\end{aligned}
$$

## 6 Sabinin's principle

The deformation equation can be seen as a differential equation for $\omega$ with given associators $A_{0}, A$. Note that if the associator is fixed, i. e. $A=A_{0}$, we obtain the Maurer-Cartan equation, well-known from the theory of associative deformations:

$$
A=A_{0} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \partial \omega+\frac{1}{2}[\omega, \omega]=0
$$

Thus the deformation equation may be called the generalized Maurer-Cartan equation as well. The Maurer-Cartan expression

$$
\partial \omega+\frac{1}{2}[\omega, \omega]
$$

is a well-known defining form for curvature in modern differential geometry. One can see that the associator (deformation) is a formal (operadic) curvature while the deformation is working as a connection. By reformulating the Sabinin principle, one can say that associator is an operadic equivalent of the curvature.

## 7 Bianchi identity

By following a differential geometric analogy, one can state the
Theorem 11 (Bianchi identity). The associator of the deformed algebra satisfies the Bianchi identity

$$
\partial A+[A, \omega]=0
$$

Proof. First differentiate the deformation equation,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial\left(A-A_{0}\right) & =\partial^{2} \omega+\frac{1}{2} \partial[\omega, \omega] \\
& =\partial^{2} \omega+\frac{1}{2}(-1)^{|\partial||\omega|}[\partial \omega, \omega]+\frac{1}{2}[\omega, \partial \omega] \\
& =\partial^{2} \omega-\frac{1}{2}[\partial \omega, \omega]+\frac{1}{2}[\omega, \partial \omega] \\
& =\partial^{2} \omega-\frac{1}{2}[\partial \omega, \omega]-\frac{1}{2}(-1)^{|\partial \omega||\omega|}[\partial \omega, \omega] \\
& =\partial^{2} \omega-[\partial \omega, \omega]
\end{aligned}
$$

Again using the deformation equation, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial\left(A-A_{0}\right) & =\partial^{2} \omega-[\partial \omega, \omega] \\
& =\partial^{2} \omega-\left[A-A_{0}-\frac{1}{2}[\omega, \omega], \omega\right] \\
& =\partial^{2} \omega-\left[A-A_{0}, \omega\right]+\frac{1}{2}[[\omega, \omega], \omega]
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from the Jacobi identity that

$$
\partial A_{0}=\left[A_{0}, \mu_{0}\right]=\frac{1}{2}\left[\left[\mu_{0}, \mu_{0}\right], \mu_{0}\right]=0, \quad[[\omega, \omega], \omega]=0
$$

By using these relations we obtain

$$
\partial A=\partial^{2} \omega-\left[A-A_{0}, \omega\right]
$$

Recall that $\partial^{2}=\partial_{A_{o}}$ and calculate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial A+[A, \omega] & =\partial_{A_{0}} \omega+\left[A_{0}, \omega\right]=\left[\omega, A_{0}\right]+\left[A_{0}, \omega\right] \\
& =-(-1)^{|\omega|\left|A_{0}\right|}\left[A_{0}, \omega\right]+\left[A_{0}, \omega\right] \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 12. To clarify algebraic meaning of the Bianchi identity, let us give another proof of the Bianchi identity:

$$
\partial A+[A, \omega]=\left[A, \mu_{0}\right]+\left[A, \mu-\mu_{0}\right]=[A, \mu]=\frac{1}{2}[[\mu, \mu], \mu]=0
$$

where the latter equality is evident from the Jacobi identity. But $A \doteq \mu \bullet \mu$ and so the Bianchi identity strikingly reads

$$
(\mu \bullet \mu) \bullet \mu=\mu \bullet(\mu \bullet \mu)
$$

The latter identity can be easily seen from the Gerstenhaber identity.
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