Entanglement-assisted Quantum Codes of Distance Four Constructed from Caps in PG(5,4) and PG(6,4)

Qiang Fu, Ruihu Li, Gen Xu, Luobin Guo

College of Science, Air Force Engineering University, Xi' an, 710051, China llzsy110@163.com

Abstract - The entanglement-assisted (EA) formalism is a generalization of the standard stabilizer formalism, and it can transform classical linear quaternary codes into entanglement-assisted quantum error correcting codes (EAQECCs) by using of shared entangled qubits between the sender and the receiver. In this work, we give elementary recursive constructions of special quaternary codes of length *n* and dual distance four that constructed from known caps in projective space PG(5,4) and PG(6,4) for all length $6 \le n \le 283$. Consequently, good maximal entanglement EAQECCs of minimum distance four for such length *n* are constructed from the obtained quaternary codes.

Index Terms - EAQECCs, maximal entanglement, quaternary code, cap.

1. Introduction

Quantum error correcting codes (QECCs) are powerful tools for fighting against noise in quantum computation and quantum communication [1-2]. The most widely studied class of quantum codes are stabilizer (or additive) quantum codes, binary or non-binary. Under the stabilizer formalism [3-4], binary stabilizer codes can be constructed from classical codes over finite binary field F_2 or quaternary field F_4 with certain self-orthogonal (or dual containing) properties. The selforthogonal properties form a barrier to import all classical codes into QECCs [5]. In 2006, Brun, Devetak and Hsieh devised the entanglement-assisted (EA) stabilizer formalism to break through this restriction [5], the EA-stabilizer formalism includes the standard stabilizer formalism as a special case. They showed that if shared entanglement between the encoder and decoder is available, classical linear quaternary (and binary) codes that are not self-orthogonal can be transformed into EAQECCs [5-6].

An $[[n,k,d_{ea};c]]$ EAQECC encodes k information qubits into n channel qubits with the help of c pairs of maximallyentangled Bell states. The code can correct up to $\lfloor (d_{ea} - 1)/2 \rfloor$ errors acting on the n channel qubits, where d_{ea} is the minimum distance of the code. If c = 0, then an $[[n,k,d_{ea};c]]$ EAQECC is a standard quantum code and is usually denoted as [[n,k,d]]. In [6-8], Lai *et. al.* discussed the construction of optimal EAQECCs. An $[[n,k,d_{ea};c]]$ EAQECC is optimal in the sense that d_{ea} is the highest achievable minimum distance for given parameters n, k and c. In [7], they showed that the maximal entanglement EAQECCs can achieve the EA-hash bound asymptotically, and constructed many optimal maximal entanglement EAQECCs with length no more than 15. Cap is an important object of projective geometry, each cap in PG(r,q) will determine two q-ary linear codes [8-9]. In [9], caps in PG(r,4) were used to construct good QECCs of distance four. In [10], we use caps in PG(r,4) for $r \le 4$ to construct optimal EAQECCs of length no more than 37. In this work, we will discuss the construction of caps with special character in PG(5,4) and PG(6,4), each of these caps can result in an maximal entanglement EAQECC of distance four.

We structure our work as follows. Section 2 reviews some basic concepts on linear codes, quaternary cap and maximal entanglement EAQECCs. Section 3 and 4 give explicit constructions of caps with special character in PG(5,4) and PG(6,4), and construct maximal entanglement EAQECCs deduced from caps that we have obtained. Section 5 discusses the constructed EAQECCs and draws a final remark.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we introduce some basic knowledge on linear codes, caps and maximal entanglement EAQECCs. Let $F_4 = \{0, 1, \omega, \overline{\omega}\}$ be the finite field with four elements, where $\omega^2 = \overline{\omega}, \ \overline{\omega}^2 = \omega$, and $\omega^3 = 1$. The conjugate is defined as $\overline{x} = x^2$ for all elements $x \in F_4$. Let F_4^n be the *n* - dimensional vector space over F_4 . A *k* - dimensional subspace *C* of F_4^n is called a *k* - dimensional linear code of length *n*, and is denoted by $C = [n, k]_4$. If the minimum distance is *d*, then it is denoted by $C = [n, k, d]_4$.

For $x, y \in F_4$, their Hermitian inner product is

$$(x, y)_{\mathrm{h}} = x_1 \overline{y}_1 + x_2 \overline{y}_2 + \ldots + x_n \overline{y}_n = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i^2.$$

The dual of $C = [n, k]_4$ is $C^{\perp h} = \{x \mid (x, y)_h = 0 , \forall y \in C\}$, and $C^{\perp h} = [n, n - k]_4$.

An $n - \operatorname{cap} K$ in PG(k-1,4) is a set of n points, no three of which are collinear. If we write the n points as columns of a matrix, we obtain a matrix K of size $k \times n$ such that every set of three columns is linearly independent, and we usually call Kan cap, hence the code with check matrix K is an $[n,n-k,\geq 4]_4$. We call the code with generator matrix K cap code, this cap code is an $[n, k]_4$ code. If G is a sub-matrix of a cap matrix K, which is formed by some columns of K, then G is also a cap.

Definition 1 Let $G_m = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_m)$ be an m – cap in

PG(k-1,4), and denote $N_m = \{1,2,...,m\}$. If $J = \{j_1, j_2,..., j_s\} \subset N_m$ then $G_J = (\alpha_{j_1}, \alpha_{j_2}, ..., \alpha_{j_s})$ is also an s – cap, and is called a subcap of G_m with index set J.

An [[n, k, d; c]] is called an maximal entanglement EAQECC if k + c = n. According to [11], for linear code $C = [n, m, d]_4$, $C^{\perp h}$ EA stabilizes an [[n, 2m+c-n, d; c]], where $c = rank(HH^{\dagger})$, H is a check matrix of C and H^{\dagger} is the conjugate transpose of H. From this, one can deduce the following:

Lemma 1 If *K* is a *n* – cap in PG(k-1, 4) such that $k = rank(KK^{\dagger})$, the code of *K* EA stabilizes an $[[n, n-k, d \ge 4; k]]$ maximal-entanglement EAQECC.

In the following two sections, we will try to construct sub-caps satisfying Lemma 1 from known caps, then derive $[[n, n-k, d \ge 4; k]]$ maximal entanglement EAQECCs. To simplify statements, in each cap or matrix, we use 2 and 3 to represent ω and $\overline{\omega}$, respectively. And, let $1_m = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$ and $0_m = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$ be the all one vector and the all zero vector of length *m*, respectively. $C = [n, m, d]_4$ is denoted as C = [n, m, d].

3. EAQECCs constructed from caps in *PG*(5,4)

To construct an [[n, n-6, 4; 6]] maximal entanglement EAQECCs, due to Lemma 1, we should firstly construct an C = [n, n-6, 4] classical code.

A. Construction of 126-cap

Firstly we use one 30-cap in PG(4, 4) [12] as follows.

```
Let
```

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} H_{5\times 30} \\ 0_{30} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We use random algorithm for constructing a 126-cap from the matrix *B*. We choose any two column vectors of *B*, delete the vector in *PG*(5,4), which is collinear with these two column vectors in *B*. Then add a column left to *B*, in this way, we can obtain one matrix $H_{6\times126} = [B|H_2|H_3|H_4]$ that generates a 126cap, where

$H_2 =$	(11111111111111111111111111111111111111
	23121311232113223221313323213123
	112233232323231212121213131313333
	11223300112233001122330011223311
	000000111111122222223333333300
	(11111111111111111111111111111111111111

This cap has the same weight polynomial as that of the 126-cap given in [8].

B. Permutation on 126-cap

Although this matrix generates one 126-cap, but as our demand is to seek for a matrix $H_{6\times126}$ that generates a 126-cap, at the same time that by selecting the first *n* column vectors, the sub-matrix $H_{6\times n}$ of $H_{6\times126}$ also satisfies: $rank(H_{6\times n}H_{6\times n}^{\dagger}) \ge 5$ ($6 \le n \le 63$). So we use permutation on the above matrix $H_{6\times126}$, and we get a desired cap as $G_{126} = [H_1 \mid H_2 \mid H_3 \mid H_4]$, where

C. Construction of sub-cap of the 126-cap G_{126}

If $6 \le n \le 63$ and $n \ne 12, 16, 33, 35, 41, 44, 50, 55, 61$, let $I_n = \{1, ..., n\}$. If n = 12, 16, 35, 41, 44, 45, let $I_n = \{1, ..., n-1, n+2\}$. For n = 50, 61, define $I_n = \{1, 2, ..., n-1, n+3\}$. And, define $I_{33} = \{1, 2, ..., 32, 37\}$. For $64 \le n \le 120$, define $J_n = \{1, 2, ..., 126\} |I_n|$, where n' = 126 - n and with $6 \le n' \le 63$. For $6 \le n \le 63$, denote G_{I_n} be the cap with index set I_n , and for $64 \le n \le 120$, denote G_{J_n} be the sub-cap of G_{126} with index set J_n .

It is easy to check that: for $6 \le n \le 63$, the sub-cap G_{I_n} of G_{126} generates a code [n, 6] satisfying Lemma 1. Since G_{126} generates an [n, 6] self-orthogonal code, one can deduce the sub-cap G_{J_n} of G_{126} with index set J_n also satisfies Lemma 1 for $64 \le n \le 120$. Thus we have

Theorem 1 If $6 \le n \le 120$, there exists [[n, n-6, 4; 6]] maximal entanglement EAQECC.

4. Construction of EAQECCs from caps in PG(6,4)

In this section, we will construct maximal entanglement EAQECCs with parameters [[n, n-7, 4; 7]]. According to Lemma 1, we should firstly construct caps $H = H_{7\times n}$, such that $rank(HH^{\dagger}) = 7$ and an [n, n-7, 4] classical codes with parity check matrix $H_{7\times n}$ can be obtained. Following we will give out the main process in obtaining $H_{7\times n}$.

A. Construction of a 252-cap

Let $G = H_{6 \times 126}$ be the cap given in Section 3. Applying

elementary row operation on $\begin{pmatrix} G \\ \mathbf{l}_{126} \end{pmatrix}$, we can change it into

$$\begin{pmatrix} G' \\ l_{126} \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } \begin{pmatrix} G' \\ l_{126} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} l_{120} & 0_5 & 0 \\ X & Y & 0_5 \\ l_{120} & l_5 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \text{ Construct:}$$
$$H_{7\times 252} = \begin{pmatrix} G & G' \\ 0_{126} & l_{126} \end{pmatrix}$$

Then it is easy to check $H_{7\times 252}$ is a cap and $H_{7\times 252}H_{7\times 252}^{\dagger}=0$.

B. Construction of a 288-cap Let 17-cap in *PG*(3,4) be as follows:

$$H_{17} = \begin{pmatrix} 11111111111111110\\02223113121123331\\00332130120322110\\00012212022011131 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0\\\alpha_1 & \cdots & \alpha_{16} & \beta \end{pmatrix};$$

We can construct one 288-cap using method of recursive construction [10] from this 17-cap. The 288-cap $H_{7\times 288}$ consists of three kinds of column vectors:

(1)
$$(1, \alpha_i, \alpha_j)^T$$
, (2) $(0, \beta^T, \alpha_j)^T$, (3) $(0, \alpha_j, \beta^T)^T$, $i = 1, ..., 16$.

The numbers of these three kinds of vectors are 256, 16, 16 respectively. This cap code and its dual are with weight

polynomials $Wt_{288}(z) = 1 + 1089z^{202} + 270z^{203} + ... + 90z^{267} + 6z^{271}$ and $Wt_{288}^{\perp}(z) = 1 + 1808625y^4 + ...$, respectively.

C. Sub-caps of the 252-cap and related codes

If $7 \le n \le 121$, let $H_{6 \times (n-1)}$ be an (n-1) -cap satisfying Lemma 1, then the matrix

$$\mathbf{H}_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} H_{6 \times (n-1)} & \mathbf{0}_{6}^{T} \\ \mathbf{0}_{n-1} & \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix}$$

forms an n- cap, which is a sub-cap of the 252-cap, this n- cap satisfies Lemma 1 and gives an [n, n-7, 4]. As for $122 \le n \le 126$, we define $I_{122} = \{7, ..., 128\}$, $I_{123} = \{7, ..., 128, 133\}$, $I_{124} = \{7, ..., 130\}$, $I_{125} = \{7, ..., 130, 132\}$, and $I_{126} = \{7, ..., 132\}$ respectively. It is easy to check that the n-cap with index set I_n also satisfies Lemma 1 and gives an [n, n-7, 4] for $122 \le n \le 126$. If $127 \le n \le 245$, let n' = 252 - n and with $7 \le n' \le 126$, define $J_n = \{1, 2, ..., 252\} \setminus I_{n'}$. For $H_{7\times 252}$ generates a self-orthogonal code, we have an n- cap satisfies Lemma 1 and give an [n, n-7, 4] code for $127 \le n \le 245$.

D. Sub-caps of 288-cap and codes

The 288-cap we have obtained in B. doesn't meet the demand $rank(H_iH_i^{\dagger}) \ge 6$. Applying a permutation on this 288-cap, we get a new 288-cap, whose last 42 columns are the sub-cap of the original 288-cap $H_{7\times 288}$ with index set J, where J is the following order set:

 $J = \{141, 169, 69, 134, 283, 159, 154, 67, 142, 182, 195, 115, 107, 287, 9, 251, 261, 230, 25, 80, 98, 197, 37, 207, 27, 189, 146, 222, 206, 256, 253, 97, 201, 59, 5, 211, 148, 138, 257, 177, 179, 247\}.$

Denote the new 288-cap as $G_{288} = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, ..., \gamma_{246}, ..., \gamma_{288})$. Then define index set I_n of sub-cap of G_{288} as follows:

1) If n = 246, or $251 \le n \le 256$, or $258 \le n \le 260$, or $262 \le n \le 267$, or $269 \le n \le 277$, or n = 280, define $I_n = \{1, ..., n\}$;

2) If n = 247, 248, 250, 257, 278, 279, 281, 283, define $I_n = \{1, ..., n-1, n+1\}$;

3) If n = 261, 268, 282, define $I_n = \{1, ..., n-1, n+3\}$;

4) If
$$n = 249, 276$$
, define $I_{249} = \{1, ..., 247, 249, 250\}$ and

 $I_{276} \,{=}\, \{1,...,275,276\} \;.$

It is easy to check that: for $246 \le n \le 283$, each sub-cap G_{L} satisfies Lemma 1 and gives an [n, n-7, 4] code.

Summarizing the sub-caps in Step 3 and 4, we have

Theorem 2 If $7 \le n \le 283$, there exists [[n, n-7, 4; 7]] maximal entanglement EAQECC.

5. Conclusions

In this paper, we have constructed maximal entanglement EAQECCs from a class of dual codes determined by caps in PG(5,4) and PG(6,4). However the codes generated by caps in PG(5,4) and PG(6,4) are not always good enough. Hence in the future, we may try to seek for families of classical codes

with good parameters generated from finite geometries, and construct EAQECCs from such code families.

Acknowledgment

The research work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 11071255.

References

- P. W. Shor, "Scheme for reducing decoherence in quantum computer memory", Phys. Rev. A, vol. 52, pp.2493-2396, 1995.
- [2] M. Steane, "Error correcting codes in quantum theory", Phys. Rev. Lett, vol. 77, 793-797, 1996.
- [3] A. R. Calderbank, E. M. Rains, P. W. Shor, et al, "Quantum error correction via codes over GF(4)", IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, 44, pp.1369-1387, 1998.
- [4] D. Gottesman, "Stabilizer codes and quantum error correction", Ph.D. Thesis, California Institute of Technology, 1997. arXiv: quantph/9707027, (1997).

- [5] T. Brun, I. Devetak, M. H. Hsieh, "Correcting quantum errors with entanglement, Science", vol. 314, pp. 436-439, 2006.
- [6] Y. Lai, T. A. Brun, "Entanglement increases the error-correcting ability of quantum error-correcting codes", Phys. Rev. A, vol. 88, 012320, 2013.
- [7] Y. Lai, T. A. Brun, M. M. Wilde, "Dualities and Identities for Entanglement-Assisted Quantum Codes", Quantum Information Processing, Published on line with DOI 10.1007/s11128-013-0704-8. See also: arXiv: 1010. 5506v2. (2011).
- [8] G. Glynn, "A 126-cap of PG (5, 4) and its corresponding [126, 6, 88]code", Util. Math., vol. 55, pp. 201-210, 1999.
- [9] V. Tonchev, "Quantum codes from caps", Discrete Mathematics, vol. 308, pp. 6368-6372, 2008.
- [10] Ruihu Li, Qiang Fu, Luobin Guo, "Construction of entanglementassisted quantum codes with maximal entanglement from projective caps", unpublished.
- [11] M. M. Wilde, T. A. Brun, "Optimal entanglement formulas for entanglement-assisted quantum coding", Phys. Rev. A, vol. 77, 064302, 2008.
- [12] Xuejun Zhao, "Research on some problems about self-orthogonal codes", P h. D. Thesis, Air Force Engineering University, 2011.