Study on The Symmetry and Conserved Quantities for Hamilton Systems

Shu-long Gu

School of Physics and Electronic Engineering, Nanjing Xiaozhuang University

Nanjing , China e-mail: gsl2142@sohu.com

Abstract—The form invariance and the Lie symmetry are defined for Hamilton systems. A relation between the form invariance and the Lie symmetry is derived. The Hojman conserved quantity is constructed by using the generators of Lie symmetry. An approach to find Hojman conserved quantities in terms of the form invariance is presented. An example is given to illustrate the application of the results.

Keywords- mechanical systems; form invariance; Lie symmetry; Hojman conserved quantity; Hamilton system

I. INTRODUCTION

The symmetry of mechanical systems is one of the most important subjects in physics, which has been investigated for a long time. The symmetry of a mechanical system will be useful for integrating the equations of motion, since it is closed to invariants of the system. The modern approaches of finding invariants are mainly in terms of the Noether symmetry, the Lie symmetry and the Mei form invariance^[1-3]. In 1992, Hojman presented a new conservation law without using either Lagrangian or Hamilton solely based on the existence of symmetries^[4]. This direct method has attracted much attention^[5-10]. In this paper, we study Hojman conserved quantities by using the Mei form invariance for the Hamilton systems.

II. DEFINITION AND CRITERION OF FORM INVARIANCE FOR HAMILTON SYSTEMS

If the differential equations of motion of a mechanical system can be written in the form

$$\frac{d}{dt}\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_s} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q_s} = 0 \tag{1}$$

Then such system is called a lagrangian system. Introducing the generalized momentums and the Hamilton

$$P_{s} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial q_{s}} \tag{2a}$$

$$H = \sum p_s \dot{q}_s - L \tag{2b}$$

Then (1) may be written in the canonical form

Kai-xia Wei

School of Physics and Electronic Engineering, Nanjing Xiaozhuang University

Nanjing, China e-mail: wkxwjm@163.com

$$\dot{q}_s = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_s} \tag{3a}$$

$$\dot{p}_s = \frac{\partial H}{\partial q_s} \tag{3b}$$

Where is the Hamilton.introduce the infinitesimal transformations with respect to time, generalized coordinates and generalized momentums

$$t^* = t + \Delta t \tag{4a}$$

$$q_{s}^{*}(t^{*}) = q_{s}(t) + \Delta q_{s} \tag{4b}$$

$$p_s^*(t^*) = p_s(t) + \Delta p_s \tag{4c}$$

Or their expansion formula

$$t^* = t + \varepsilon \tau (t, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$$
 (5a)

$$q_s^*(t^*) = q_s(t) + \mathcal{E}_s(t, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$$
 (5b)

$$p_s^*(t^*) = p_s(t) + \varepsilon \eta_s(t, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$$
 (5c)

Where \mathcal{E} is an infinitesimal parameter, τ , ξ_s and η_s are called infinitesimal generators. Under the infinitesimal transformation (5), Hamilton $H(t,\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p})$ becomes $H(t^*,\mathbf{q}^*,\mathbf{p}^*)$.

Definition 1: Under the infinitesimal transformation (5), if the canonical (3) keep their form invariant,

$$\dot{q}_{s} = \frac{\partial H^{*}}{\partial p_{s}} \tag{6a}$$

$$\dot{p}_s = \frac{\partial H^*}{\partial q_s} \tag{6b}$$

Where

$$H^* = H(t^*, \mathbf{q}^*, \mathbf{p}^*) \tag{7}$$

Then such invariance is called a form invariance of the Hamilton systems. Introduce the differential operator of the infinitesimal generators

$$X^{(0)} = \tau \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \xi_k \frac{\partial}{\partial q_k} + \eta_k \frac{\partial}{\partial p_k}$$
 (8)

$$X^{(1)} = X^{(0)} + \left(\dot{\xi}_{k} - \dot{q}_{k}\dot{\tau}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial\dot{q}_{k}} + \left(\dot{\eta}_{k} + \dot{p}_{k}\dot{\tau}_{k}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial\dot{p}_{k}} \tag{9} \qquad I = \frac{1}{\mu}\frac{\partial(\mu\tau)}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{\mu}\frac{\partial(\mu\xi_{s})}{\partial q_{s}} + \frac{1}{\mu}\frac{\partial(\mu\eta_{s})}{\partial p_{s}} - \frac{\overline{d}}{dt}\tau$$

Expanding H^* , one has

$$H^* = H(t, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) + \varepsilon [X^{(0)}(H)] + O(\varepsilon^2) \quad (10)$$

From (6)—(10), the following criterion can be obtained. Criterion 1: the infinitesimal transformation (5) is a Mei symmetric transformation of the system(3), if and only if the infinitesimal generators au , au_s and au_s satisfy the following conditions

$$\frac{\partial X^{(0)}(H)}{\partial p} = 0 \tag{11a}$$

$$\frac{\partial X^{(0)}(H)}{\partial q_{s}} = 0 \tag{11b}$$

Proof: substituting (10) into (6), using (3), and neglecting the higher infinitesimal terms, (11) will be obtained.

THE HOJMAN CONSERVED QUANTITY FOR HAMILTON SYSTEMS

The basic idea of the Lie symmetry is to keep the equations of motion(3) invariant under the infinitesimal transformations (5). For convenience, equation(3) are rewritten in following form

$$\dot{q}_s = g_s(t, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) \tag{12a}$$

$$\dot{p}_{s} = h_{s}(t, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) \tag{12b}$$

Where $g_s = \partial H / \partial p_s$, and $h_s = -\partial H / \partial \dot{q}_s$.

Definition 2: the infinitesimal transformations (5) is a Lie,s symmetric transformation of the system(3), if and only if there exist function au , au_s and au_s that satisfy the following determining equations

$$\frac{\overline{d}\,\xi_{s}}{dt} - g_{s}\,\frac{\overline{d}\,\tau}{dt} = \tau\,\frac{\partial g_{s}}{\partial t} + \xi_{k}\,\frac{\partial g_{s}}{\partial q_{k}} + \eta_{k}\,\frac{\partial g_{s}}{\partial p_{k}}$$

$$(s, k = 1, 2 \cdots, n) \tag{13a}$$

$$\frac{\overline{d}\eta_{s}}{dt} - h_{s} \frac{\overline{d}\tau}{dt} = \tau \frac{\partial h_{s}}{\partial t} + \xi_{k} \frac{\partial g_{hs}}{\partial q_{k}} + \eta_{k} \frac{\partial h_{s}}{\partial p_{k}}$$

$$(s, k = 1, 2 \cdots, n) \tag{13b}$$

Where

$$\frac{\overline{d}}{dt} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + g_s \frac{\partial}{\partial q_s} + h_s \frac{\partial}{\partial p_s}$$

$$(s = 1, 2 \cdots, n)$$
(14)

In terms of the generators of the Lie symmetry for e(3), the following theorem concerning conserved quantities can be proved.

Theorem 1: the system(3) possesses the following conserved quantity

$$I = \frac{1}{\mu} \frac{\partial(\mu \tau)}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{\mu} \frac{\partial(\mu \xi_s)}{\partial q_s} + \frac{1}{\mu} \frac{\partial(\mu \eta_s)}{\partial p_s} - \frac{\overline{d}}{dt} \tau$$

$$(s = 1, 2 \cdots, n) \tag{15}$$

If the infinitesimal the generators τ , ξ_s and η_s satisfy the following determining (13), and the $\mu(t, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$ admits the following equation

$$\frac{\overline{d}}{dt} \ln \mu = -\tau \frac{\partial g_s}{\partial q_s} - \frac{\partial h_s}{\partial p_s}$$
 (16)

Proof: from (15), one has

$$\frac{\overline{d}I}{dt} = \frac{\overline{d}}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{\mu} \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial t} \right) + \frac{\overline{d}}{dt} \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial t} + \frac{\overline{d}}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{\mu} \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial q_s} \xi_s \right)
+ \frac{\overline{d}}{dt} \frac{\partial \xi_s}{\partial q_s} + \frac{\overline{d}}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{\mu} \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \eta_s} \right) + \frac{\overline{d}}{dt} \frac{\partial \eta_s}{\partial p_s} - \frac{\overline{d}}{dt} \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial t}
(s = 1, 2 \dots, n)$$
(17)

It is straightforward to show that for any $A(t, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$

$$\frac{\overline{d}}{dt}\frac{\partial \tau}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\frac{\overline{d}\tau}{dt} - \frac{\partial g_s}{\partial t}\frac{\partial \tau}{\partial q_s} - \frac{\partial h_s}{\partial t}\frac{\partial \tau}{\partial p_s}
(s = 1,2\cdots,n) (18\alpha)$$

$$\frac{\overline{d}}{dt}\frac{\partial \xi_s}{\partial q_s} = \frac{\partial}{\partial q_s}\frac{\overline{d}\xi_s}{dt} - \frac{\partial g_s}{\partial q_k}\frac{\partial \xi_k}{\partial q_s} - \frac{\partial h_s}{\partial q_k}\frac{\partial \xi_k}{\partial p_s}
(s = 1,2\cdots,n) (18\beta)$$

$$\frac{\overline{d}}{dt}\frac{\partial \eta_s}{\partial q_s} = \frac{\partial}{\partial p_s}\frac{\overline{d}\eta_s}{dt} - \frac{\partial g_s}{\partial p_k}\frac{\partial \eta_k}{\partial q_s} - \frac{\partial h_s}{\partial p_k}\frac{\partial \eta_k}{\partial p_s}
(s = 1,2\cdots,n) (18\chi)$$

And substituting (18) into (17) and using (13), we

$$\frac{\overline{d}I}{dt} = \frac{\overline{d}}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{\mu} \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial t} \tau \right) + \frac{\overline{d}}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{\mu} \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial q_s} \xi_s \right) + \frac{\overline{d}}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{\mu} \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial p_s} \eta_s \right) + \left(\frac{\partial g_s}{\partial q_s} + \frac{\partial h_s}{\partial p_s} \right) \frac{\overline{d} \tau}{dt} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\partial g_s}{\partial q_s} + \frac{\partial h_s}{\partial p_s} \right) \tau + \frac{\partial}{\partial q_k} \left(\frac{\partial g_s}{\partial q_s} + \frac{\partial h_s}{\partial p_s} \right) \xi_k + \frac{\partial}{\partial q_k} \left(\frac{\partial g_s}{\partial q_s} + \frac{\partial h_s}{\partial p_s} \right) \eta_k$$

$$(s = 1, 2 \cdots, n) \tag{19}$$

Finding the partial differential of (16) with respect to t, q_k and p_k respectively, and substituting the results into (19), and using (13), one can get

$$\frac{dI}{dt} = 0 \tag{20}$$

By virtue of above theorem, one can easily deduce following corollaries:

Corollary 1: the system (3) possesses the following

$$I = \frac{1}{\mu} \frac{\partial (\mu \xi_s)}{\partial q_s} + \frac{1}{\mu} \frac{\partial (\mu \eta_s)}{\partial p_s} \quad (s = 1, 2 \dots, n) \quad (21)$$

If the infinitesimal generators τ , ξ_s and η_s satisfy

$$\frac{\overline{d}\,\xi_{s}}{dt} = \frac{\partial g_{s}}{\partial q_{k}}\,\xi_{k} + \frac{\partial g_{s}}{\partial p_{k}}\,\eta_{k} \,\left(s, k = 1, 2 \cdots, n\right) \tag{22a}$$

$$\frac{\overline{d}\,\eta_s}{dt} = \frac{\partial h_s}{\partial q_k}\,\xi_k + \frac{\partial h_s}{\partial p_k}\,\eta_k \,\,(s,k=1,2\cdots,n) \,\,(22b)$$

And $\mu(t, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$ admits the (16).

Corollary 2: the system (4) possesses the following conserved quantity

$$I = \frac{1}{\mu} \frac{\partial(\mu \tau)}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{\mu} \frac{\partial(\mu \eta_s)}{\partial p_s} - \frac{\overline{d}\tau}{dt} \quad (s = 1, 2 \dots, n) \quad (23)$$

If the infinitesimal generators au , au_s and au_s satisfy

$$g_s \frac{\overline{d}\tau}{dt} + \tau \frac{\partial g_s}{\partial t} + \eta_k \frac{\partial g_s}{\partial p_k} = 0 (s, k = 1, 2 \dots, n)$$
 (24a)

$$\frac{\overline{d}\eta_{s}}{dt} - h_{s} \frac{\overline{d}\tau}{dt} - \tau \frac{\partial h_{s}}{\partial t} - \eta_{k} \frac{\partial h_{s}}{\partial p_{k}} = 0$$
 (24b)

And function $\mu(t, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$ admits the (16).

Corollary 3: the system (4) possesses the following conserved quantity

$$I = \frac{1}{\mu} \frac{\partial(\mu \tau)}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{\mu} \frac{\partial(\mu \xi_s)}{\partial q_s} - \frac{\overline{d}\tau}{dt} (s = 1, 2 \dots, n) (25)$$

If the infinitesimal generators au , ξ_{s} and η_{s} satisfy

$$h_{s} \frac{\overline{d}\tau}{dt} + \tau \frac{\partial h_{s}}{\partial t} + \eta_{k} \frac{\partial h_{s}}{\partial p_{k}} = 0 (s, k = 1, 2 \dots, n)$$
(26a)

$$\frac{\overline{d}\xi_{s}}{dt} - g_{s} \frac{\overline{d}\tau}{dt} - \tau \frac{\partial g_{s}}{\partial t} - \xi_{k} \frac{\partial g_{s}}{\partial p_{k}} = 0$$
 (26b)

And function $\mu(t, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$ admits (16).

IV. NECESSARY AND SUFFICIENT CONDITION UNDER WITCH THE FORM INVARIANCE IS A LIE SYMMETRY

From the deductions of (11) and (13), it can be seen that the form invariance is generally different from the Lie symmetry. For seeking their relations, the equation (3) may be rewritten as follows:

$$F(t, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, \dot{\mathbf{q}}) = \dot{q}_s \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_s} = 0$$
 (27a)

$$G(t, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, \dot{\mathbf{q}}) = \dot{p}_s \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_s} = 0$$
 (27b)

Then for the system (3), the determining equations of a Lie,s symmetry have new form

$$X^{(1)}(F) = 0 (28a)$$

$$X^{(1)}(G) = 0 \tag{28b}$$

Some direction calculations yield

$$\frac{\partial X^{(0)}(H)}{\partial p_{s}} = \dot{\xi}_{s} - \dot{q}_{s}\dot{\tau} - X^{(1)}(F)
+ \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial p_{s}} \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \xi_{k}}{\partial p_{s}} \frac{\partial H}{\partial q_{k}} + \frac{\partial \eta_{k}}{\partial p_{s}} \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_{k}}$$
(29a)

$$\frac{\partial X^{(0)}(H)}{\partial q_{s}} = -\dot{\eta}_{s} - \dot{p}_{s}\dot{\tau} + X^{(1)}(G)
+ \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial q_{s}}\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \xi_{k}}{\partial q_{s}}\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_{k}} + \frac{\partial \eta_{k}}{\partial q_{s}}\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_{k}}$$
(29b)

Equation(29) demonstrates the relation between the form invariance and the Lie symmetry. From the relation, the following proposition can be derived.

Proposition 1: for the Hamilton system, the necessary and sufficient condition under which the form invariance is a Lie symmetry is that the following relations hold

$$\dot{\xi}_{s} - \dot{q}_{s}\dot{\tau} - \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial p_{s}} \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \xi_{k}}{\partial p_{s}} \frac{\partial H}{\partial q_{k}} + \frac{\partial \eta_{k}}{\partial p_{s}} \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_{k}} = 0$$
(30a)

$$\dot{\eta}_{s} - \dot{p}_{s}\dot{\tau} - \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial q_{s}} \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial \xi_{k}}{\partial q_{s}} \frac{\partial H}{\partial q_{k}} - \frac{\partial \eta_{k}}{\partial q_{s}} \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_{k}} = 0 \quad (30b)$$

Let the sum of the coefficient of the terms which dependent on or respectively equals zero, and the sum of the remainder of terms equals also zero, one has

$$\dot{\tau} = 0 \tag{31a}$$

$$\dot{\xi}_s + \frac{\partial \tau_0}{\partial p_s} \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \xi_k}{\partial p_s} \frac{\partial H}{\partial q_k} + \frac{\partial \eta_k}{\partial p_s} \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_k} = 0 \tag{31b}$$

$$\dot{\eta}_s - \frac{\partial \tau_0}{\partial q_s} \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial \xi_k}{\partial q_s} \frac{\partial H}{\partial q_k} - \frac{\partial \eta_k}{\partial q_s} \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_k} = 0 \tag{31c}$$
Proof: substitution of (11) and (30) into (29) leads to

Proof: substitution of (11) and (30) into (29) leads to $X^{(1)}(F)=0$ and $X^{(1)}(G)=0$. According to the determining (28), we know that the form invariance is a Lie symmetry.

Particularly, if $\tau = 0$, then the conditions (30) become

$$\dot{\xi}_{s} + \frac{\partial \xi_{k}}{\partial p_{s}} \frac{\partial H}{\partial q_{k}} + \frac{\partial \eta_{k}}{\partial p_{s}} \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_{k}} = 0 \qquad (32a)$$

$$\dot{\eta}_{s} - \frac{\partial \xi_{k}}{\partial q_{s}} \frac{\partial H}{\partial q_{k}} - \frac{\partial \eta_{k}}{\partial q_{s}} \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_{k}} = 0$$
 (32b)

V. HOJMAN CONSERVED QUANTITY DEDUCED FROM FORM INVARIANCE

The Hojman conserved quantity can be located by using the form invariance.

Proposition 2: For the Hamilton system, under the infinitesimal transformation (5), if the infinitesimal generators τ , ξ_s and η_s satisfy (11) and (30), and there exists a function $\mu = \mu(t, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$ admits the equation (16), then form invariance leads to the Hojman conserved quantity(15).

Proof: if the infinitesimal generators τ , ξ_s and η_s satisfy (11) and (30), by using proposition 1, we now that the generators are also Lie symmetry. And we can subsequently obtain the conserved quantity (15) by using the theorem 1.

Proposition 3: For the Hamilton system, under the infinitesimal transformation(5), if the infinitesimal generators τ , ξ_s and η_s satisfy (11) and (32), and there exists a function $\mu = \mu(t, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$ admits the (16), then form invariance leads to the Hojman conserved quantity (21).

Proof: if the infinitesimal generators τ , ξ_s and η_s satisfy (11) and (32), proposition 1 means that the generators are also Lie symmetrical. Corollary 1 yields subsequently the conserved quantity (21).

VI. AN ILLUSTRATIVE EXAMPLE

As an illustration of the theory developed in the preceding sections, consider the case of a simple degree of freedom linear damped oscillator

$$\ddot{q} + \gamma \dot{q} = 0 \tag{33}$$

First, transform Eq.(33) into a Hamilton system, and its Lagrangian is

$$L = \frac{1}{2}e^{\lambda t}\dot{q}^2\tag{34}$$

Therefore

$$p = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} = e^{\gamma \dot{q}^2} \tag{35a}$$

$$H = p\dot{q} - L = \frac{1}{2}e^{-\gamma t}p^2$$
 (35b)

Eq.(11) leads to

$$\frac{\partial \tau}{\partial p} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \gamma p^2 \right) - \gamma p \tau + \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial p} p + \eta = 0$$
 (36a)

$$\frac{\partial \tau}{\partial q} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \gamma p \right) + \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial q} = 0 \tag{36b}$$

It can be easily verified that

$$\tau = 0, \qquad \xi = 1, \qquad \eta = 0; \tag{37}$$

$$\tau = 1, \quad \xi = q, \quad \eta = \frac{1}{2} \gamma p$$
 (38)

Are toe solution sets of (36). Since the generator (37) satisfies the (13), so it is also the Lie symmetry of the system (34). However, the generators (38) do not satisfy the (13), so it is not the Lie symmetry of the system (34). From (16), one has

$$\frac{\overline{d}\,\tau}{dt}\ln\mu = 0\tag{39}$$

Equation (39) exists a solution

$$\mu = e^{-\gamma t} p + \gamma p \tag{40}$$

Inserting (37) and (40) into (25) leads to conserved quantity

$$I = \frac{\gamma}{e^{-\eta} p + \gamma p} \tag{41}$$

VII. CONCLUSIONS

For Hamilton systems, we present an approach to find Hojman conserved quantities in terms in terms of the form invariance.

REFERENCES

- A. E. Noether, "Invariant Variations probleme," Nachr Akad Wiss Gottingen Math. Phys. Vol. KI II, May. 1918, pp.235-257
- [2] F. X. Mei, "Lie symmetry and the Conserved Quantity of a Generalized Hamiltonian System," Acta Phys Sin, Vol. 52, May. 2003,1048-1050
- [3] M. Lutzky , "Dynamical Symmetries and Conserved Quantities," Phys. A: Math Gen, vol. 12, Jun. 1979, pp.973-981
- [4] S. A. Hojman, "A New Conservation Law Constructed without Using either Lagrangians or Hamiltonians," Phys.A: Math.Gen. vol. 25, Oct. 1992, pp. L291-295
- [5] F. X. Mei, "The Non-Nother conserved quantity for the Differential Equation of Motion in Phase Space," Chinese Science Bill, Vol. 47, Oct. 2002, pp.1544-1545
- [6] H B Zhang, L Q Chen and S L Gu, "The General Lie Symmetries and Non-Nother conserved quantity for Birkhoff Systems," Acta. Mech. Sinica, vol. 36, Feb. 2004, pp. 254-256
- [7] H. S. Lu, H. B. Zhang and S. L. Gu, "Noether's theory of Lagrange systems in discrete case," Chinese Physics B, vol. 20, Jan. 2011, pp. 321-324
- [8] S. L. Gu and H. B. Zhang, "Mei Symmetry, Noether Symmetry and Lie Symmetry of an Emden System," Acta. Phys Sin. Vol. 55, Nov. 2006, pp. 5594-5597
- [9] H. B. Zhang, H. S. Lu and S. L. Gu, "The Lie Point Symmetry-Preserving Difference Scheme of Holonomic Constrained Mechanical Systems," Acta. Phys Sin. Vol. 59, Aug. 2010, pp. 5213-5218
- [10] H. S. Lu, H. B. Zhang and S. L. Gu, "Hojman's theorem of the third-orderordinary differential equation," Chinese Physics B, vol. 18, Aug. 2009, pp.3135-3138