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#### Abstract

Introduction: Risk factors for cardiovascular disease (CVD) are multifactorial. Previous research has reported a high prevalence of CVD risk factors in teagarden workers. This study was conducted to assess prevalence and level of modifiable cardiovascular risk factors among tea-garden and general population in Dibrugarh, Assam.

Methods: A community-based cross-sectional study using the World Health Organization's (WHO) Stepwise methodology was conducted in Dibrugarh District of Assam. A multistep random sampling was done to include adults aged 35 years and above, with an intended equal sampling from tea-garden and general population. INTERHEART modifiable non-laboratory based risk score was estimated. Salt consumption was estimated using questionnaire-based methods in both subgroups.

Results: A total of 2826 individuals participated in the study (1231 [43.6\%] tea-garden workers; 1595 [56.4\%] general population). Tobacco consumption was higher in tea-garden workers as compared with general population (85.2\% vs. 41.7\% ( $p<0.0001$ ). Mean daily per-capita salt consumption was also significantly higher among tea-garden workers ( 29.60 vs. $22.89 \mathrm{~g}, p=0.0001$ ). Overall prevalence of hypertension was similar ( $44.4 \%$ vs. $45.2 \%$ ), but among those who had hypertension, prevalence of undiagnosed hypertension was higher in tea-garden workers (82.8\%


[^0]
## 1. Introduction

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is a major contributor to the global burden of chronic diseases accounting for $29.3 \%$ of all deaths and $9.9 \%$ of total disease burden [1]. The burden of CVD is predicted to increase substantially in developing countries by the year 2020, largely due to rising rates of CVD risk factors [2-4]. Further, many communities across the developing world are undergoing an epidemiologic transition, where the burden of CVD in terms of mortality among adults is surpassing than that of infectious diseases. Such epidemiologic transitions have a potential to modify the understanding about high and low CVD risk communities.

Risk factors for CVD are multifactorial. The INTERHEART study showed that nine risk factors (abnormal lipids, smoking, hypertension, diabetes, abdominal obesity, psychosocial factors, consumption of fruits, vegetables, and alcohol, and regular physical activity) account for nine out of ten cardiovascular events [5]. More recently, an INTERHEART modifiable risk score has been proposed, which provides a comprehensive numeric assessment of these risk factors [6]. This has huge implications both at the individual and the population levels, as an overall quantification of risk makes it easier to evaluate if preventive strategies have been successful. A non-laboratory-based version of this risk score does not involve measurement of lipids, and has been shown to be equivalent to a laboratory-based version. Overall risk quantification using the INTERHEART risk score has not been previously reported in Indian populations.

Tea-garden workers are a distinct occupational group who migrated to Assam about a century ago from different states of India to work as tealeaf pickers and have been residing within tea-estates [7]. They are known to have more predictable incomes, higher socio-economic status, and a high CVD risk factor prevalence (tobacco use $83.3 \%$; hypertension varies from $27.7 \%$ to $63.3 \%$; diabetes $2.3 \%$; obesity $0.39 \%$ ) [8-10]. Further, they are also known for their higher daily salt consumption (dietary salt intake 20 g or more), believed to be a key
reason for hypertension in this community [9]. Although many previous studies have suggested tea-garden workers to be a special population "sub-group" at high CVD risk, concurrent comparison with the general population in the same region has been lacking.

While it is likely that tea-garden workers continue to have high risk factor levels, particularly hypertension, secular lifestyle changes in general population might have bridged epidemiologic differences. The research question of the current study is: Do the tea-garden workers (as compared with the general population living in the same areas) have a higher CVD prevalence of modifiable risk-factors?

## 2. Methods

### 2.1. Design

A cross-sectional study was conducted with multistep sampling to select a representative population (rural-urban tea-garden and non-tea-garden) from the district.

### 2.2. Ethics statement

The study protocol was approved by the institutional ethics committee of Assam Medical College, and necessary permissions were obtained in addition from the Joint Director of Health Services and the Assam Branch of the Indian Tea Association. After explaining study procedures, a written informed consent was sought from all eligible participants, and those consenting were included in the study. If a participant could not read or write, verbal information was provided, and consent was recorded as a thumb impression in the presence of two impartial witnesses. The surveys were preceded by meetings with community leaders to ensure community-wide participation.

### 2.3. Setting

This study was carried out in Dibrugarh district, of Assam, north-eastern India. The district is spread
over more than 3000 square km and is populated by about 1.2 million individuals ( 0.9 million rural, 0.3 million urban). About $23 \%$ of the entire population work in 144 tea gardens located in the district, and some of these gardens are also located in urban areas. There are a total of 1362 villages situated in 231 health sub-centers of the district. In urban areas, there are 96 electoral blocs. A total of 169 rural sub-centers ( $73 \%$ ) and 11 urban electoral blocs (11\%) have tea gardens [11].

### 2.4. Participants

All adults, aged 35 years or more, on the electoral lists of their area were eligible for inclusion. The goal was to include a representative sample of such adults from those administrative units (rural subcenters or urban electoral blocs) in the district that had at least one tea garden. From the list of such units, a total of four sub-centers and two electoral blocs were randomly selected. Furthermore, from these administrative units, electoral lists of all adults aged 35 years or more ( $n=4757$ ) were used, and 2400 respondents from four rural sub-centers ( 600 from each) and 600 from the two urban electoral blocs ( 300 from each) were randomly selected. Of the selected and eligible 3000 individuals, 2826 ( $94.2 \%$ ) consented for participation in the study. From the random list of participants enrolled in the first two stages of the study, the first $20 \%$ of consecutive participants were invited to join the third stage of the study where sample collection was carried out at the nearest health facility to their village on a holiday so that all could participate in the laboratorybased survey.

### 2.5. Study procedures

The World Health Organization's (WHO) STEPS questionnaire [12], translated into the local language, was used to collect information in three stages. First, socio-demographic information was collected at households; secondly, the risk factor questionnaire was administered and physical measurement was performed; thirdly, biochemical measurements were obtained from $20 \%$ of the total sample. The risk factor questionnaire also included key variables to estimate INTERHEART non-labora-tory-based modifiable risk score (diet, alcohol use, physical activity, stress and depression) [6]. Salt intake was assessed using a 24 -h dietary recall. Cumulative salt intake was estimated by adding the estimated salt content of prepared food and beverages (especially salty tea offered at work places for tea-leaf pickers). The person in the
household responsible for cooking was asked regarding the use of salt; it was estimated that about a spoonful of salt was used in each meal. Since one heaped standard spoon equals 5 g of salt [13], the product of the number of spoonsful plus standard weight was the total salt consumed by the family. Assuming equal salt use by each member, per-capita salt used was estimated as total salt use divided by total family members. Monthly salt consumed by the family was used for triangulation of data.

All the equipment used to measure height, weight, waist and hip circumference and blood pressure were similar at all the centers to ensure uniformity. Height was measured using a stadiometer (to nearest 0.1 cm ), weight using calibrated spring weighing machines (to nearest 0.5 kg ), waist and hip were measured using non-stretchable tapes (to nearest 0.1 cm ) and blood pressure using the Omron SDX (Omron, Inc., Japan) instrument. Standard protocols were used to obtain these measurements.

In the third step, fasting blood sugar and lipids were estimated from collected blood sent to the Biochemistry Laboratory of Assam Medical College. Blood sugar, cholesterol, high density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol and triglyceride levels were measured using enzyme-based assays. Values of low density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol, non-HDL cholesterol and the ratio of total HDL cholesterol were calculated using standard formulae.

### 2.6. Statistical analysis

The data were entered using Epidata, and analyzed using SPSS. The distribution of risk-factor variables between tea-garden workers and the general population was compared using Student's $t$-test for continuous variables and the Chi-square test for dichotomous variables. Prevalence odds were determined to quantify the risk among tea-garden workers. The sample size of 2556 was a priori estimated to detect at least a 2 mm Hg difference from the general population with $95 \%$ power, assuming a mean SBP of $131.98 \pm 8.08 \mathrm{~mm}$ of Hg among tea-garden workers [9].

Risk factors were classified based on standard criteria. Smokers included subjects who smoked cigarettes, biddies, or other smoked forms of tobacco daily; past smokers were subjects who had smoked for at least 1 year and had stopped more than a year ago. Users of other forms of tobacco (nasal, oral, etc.) were classified as non-smoked tobacco use. Diagnostic criteria were used for tobacco use, as well as other coronary risk factors, as specified by WHO [12]. Individuals involved in
any significant physical activity at work or during leisure time were classified as active and those with $>30 \mathrm{~min}$ of activity were classified as moderately active. Hypertension was diagnosed when systolic BP was $>140 \mathrm{mmHg}$ and/or diastolic BP $>90 \mathrm{mmHg}$ or a person was a known hypertensive. Overweight or obesity was defined as BMI $>25 \mathrm{~kg} /$ $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ [14,15]. Truncal obesity was diagnosed when waist-to-hip ratio (WHR) was $>0.95$ in men and $>0.85$ in women or waist circumference was $>100 \mathrm{~cm}$ in men and $>90 \mathrm{~cm}$ in women according to the United States National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) guidelines [16]. Dyslipidemia was defined by the presence of high total cholesterol ( $>200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ ), high LDL cholesterol ( $>130 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ ), low HDL cholesterol ( $<40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ in men and $<50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ in women) or high triglycerides ( $>150 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ ) according to the International Diabetes Federation. Diabetes was diagnosed on the basis of either a history of known diabetes or fasting glucose $>126 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$. Metabolic syndrome was diagnosed based on IDF guidelines if three of the following five criteria were met: abdominal obesity (waist circumference $>102 \mathrm{~cm}$ in men and $>88 \mathrm{~cm}$ in women), high triglycerides (greater than $150 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ ), low HDL (less than $40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ in men and less than $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ in women), high blood sugars (greater than $110 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ or previously known diabetes mellitus), and high blood pressure (SBP $>130 \mathrm{~mm}$ of Hg and diastolic blood pressure $>85 \mathrm{~mm}$ of Hg ) [17].

## 3. Results

A total of 2826 individuals participated in the study ( 1,231 [43.6\%] tea-garden workers; 1,595 [56.4\%] general population); about half ( $49.5 \%$ ) of all participants were men (mean age $47.5 \pm 10.8$ ). As compared with the general population, tea-garden workers had a lower education, higher employment, but lower income. Tea-garden workers also consumed more salt. Mean daily per-capita salt intake was 29.60 grams vs. 22.89 g in the general population ( $p=0.0001$ ) (Table 1).

Tea-garden workers consumed more tobacco (proportion of current users $85.2 \%$ vs. $41.7 \%$ ( $p<0.0001$ ). The prevalence of previously known hypertension ( $11.6 \%$ vs. $16.6 \%$ ), and diabetes mellitus ( $0.8 \%$ vs. $1.8 \%$ ) was, however, lower in tea-garden workers. Tea-garden workers had higher levels of physical activity and had lower BMI (mean BMI 21.34 vs. $23.59 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}, p<0.0001$ ). Mean WHR was similar ( 0.941 vs. $0.948 ; p=0.02$ ) in the two groups. Tea-garden workers also had a smaller proportion of individuals in the highest tertile of

INTERHEART modifiable non-laboratory-based risk score ( $p=0.008$ ). Their INTERHEART modifiable risk score was also lower (1.44 [2.5] vs. 1.79 [2.8], $p=0.001$ ) (Table 2).

Based on measured blood pressure, and previously known hypertension, a total of $45.2 \%$ of all participants were hypertensive. This distribution was similar in tea-garden workers and the general population. The mean systolic and diastolic blood pressures were also similar in the two groups. Among all hypertensives, the proportion of previously undetected hypertension was $82.8 \%$ and 74.4\% in the tea-garden workers and the general population, respectively ( $p<0.0001$ ). While the proportion of individuals with previously known hypertension was lower in tea-garden workers, overall prevalence of hypertension was equal, largely due to undetected hypertension (Table 3).

A total of 576 individuals participated in the third step of the study. The general population had higher mean triglyceride levels (148.1 $\pm 9.3$ vs. $154.9 \pm 33.6 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ ) and dyslipidemia. Among all individuals who participated in the third step, prevalence of diabetes mellitus and metabolic syndrome was $5.9 \%$ and $21.2 \%$, respectively. The prevalence of these conditions was also higher in the general population (diabetes mellitus $3.9 \%$ vs. $7.7 \%$ and metabolic syndrome $15.1 \%$ vs. $26.9 \%$ ). Individual components in the definition of metabolic syndrome are detailed in Table 4. The higher risk in the general population persisted, even after adjustment for age (Table 5). The association between metabolic syndrome and obesity was further explored in this study population. Prevalence of metabolic syndrome was $16.4 \%$ among individuals with BMI less than $25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$, and $38.4 \%$ among individuals with BMI more than or equal to $25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$. This difference was statistically significant ( $p<0.0001$ ).

## 4. Discussion

In the current study, it was found that tea-garden workers consumed more salt and tobacco. However, the overall prevalence of hypertension was similar in both groups. Tea-garden workers had lower BMI scores, were more physically active, and had a lower prevalence of diabetes mellitus and metabolic syndrome. Their INTERHEART modifiable risk score was also lower. These findings contradict earlier studies that tea-garden workers are at a higher CVD risk than the general population. The prevalence of CVD risk factors was high in both groups. It has been believed in the past that teagarden workers being more affluent had distinct

Table 1 Demographic, socio-economic, and dietary variables among the study population ( $n=2826$ ).

| Variable | Total ( $n$, \%) | Tea garden ( $n$, \%) | General population ( $n, \%$ ) | $p$-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | 2826 | 1231 | 1595 |  |
| Mean age (years (SD)) | 47.5 (10.8) | 46.1(10.3) | 48.5 (11.2) | <0.0001 |
| Male gender | 1400 (49.5) | 625 (50.8) | 775 (48.6) | 0.133 |
| Education level |  |  |  |  |
| None | 1370 (48.5) | 988 (72.1) | 382 (27.9) |  |
| 1-8 Grades | 568 (20.1) | 202 (35.6) | 366 (64.4) | <0.0001 |
| 9-12 Grade | 586 (20.7) | 29 (4.9) | 557 (95.1) |  |
| Trade School | 28 (1.0) | 5 (17.9) | 23 (82.1) |  |
| College/University | 274 (9.7) | 7 (2.6) | 267 (97.4) |  |
| Employed |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 1507 (53.3) | 833 (67.7) | 674 (42.3) |  |
| No | 1319 (46.7) | 398 (32.3) | 921 (57.7) | <0.0001 |
| Average household annual Income (In rupees(SD)) | 80872 (71036) | 34421 (17252) | 97827 (82763) | <0.0001 |
| Asset ownership |  |  |  |  |
| Any | 2811 (99.5) | 1228 (99.8) | 1583 (99.2) | 0.065 |
| Land | 2695 (95.4) | 1174 (95.4) | 1521 (95.4) | 0.533 |
| Livestock | 774 (27.4) | 182 (14.8) | 592 (37.1) | <0.0001 |
| Television | 2318 (82.0) | 977 (79.4) | 1340 (84.0) | 0.004 |
| Vehicle | 123 (4.4) | 7 (0.6) | 116 (7.3) | <0.0001 |
| Average per-capita salt use (grams(SD)) | 25.8 (7.2) | 29.6 (7.6) | 22.8(5.2) | <0.0001 |
| Additional non-cooked salt |  |  |  |  |
| User | 734 (59.6) | 753 (47.2) | 1487 (52.6) |  |
| Non user | 497 (40.4) | 842 (52.8) | 1339 (47.4) | <0.0001 |

Student's $t$-test was used for difference in means, and chi-square test was used for difference in proportions. $p$-Values are derived from these test statistics.
environmental exposures. While the cross-sectional study done at this time finds both populations at similar risk, it is likely that tea-garden workers have been at a higher risk longer (as reported in previous studies), and the general population has caught up more recently. In either case, it is speculated that any differences in CVD risk in both populations are likely to be environmental and related to lifestyle changes rather than genetics.

Cardiovascular risk is multifactorial, and hence it is difficult to come up with a summary measure of the risk. Recently, INTERHEART modifiable risk score has been proposed, which is derived from a global study, and is internally and externally validated. The non-laboratory version of this score had a similar performance as the full score, and has a special relevance for this population, where obtaining lipid levels for risk estimation is logistically difficult. Since all components of this score are potentially modifiable, this study also provides a population level baseline to guide effectiveness of future interventions.

Higher levels of tobacco consumption, salt intake, and unawareness of hypertension in tea-garden workers are likely to be related to lower literacy levels in this group. About 80\% of tea-garden workers were illiterate, as compared with $24 \%$ in the general population. Education levels are considered a reliable surrogate for overall socioeconomic status, which also has an impact on access to health-care and uptake of risk reduction strategies. Tobacco consumption practices include tobacco chewing with lime and betel nut, and use of hand-rolled tobacco (biddies) for smoking. High prevalence of cigarette smoking and other forms of tobacco use are common in South Asia, including reported prevalence of smoking biddies (a small amount of tobacco wrapped in a temburni leaf) of $5.9 \%$ among males, and of smokeless tobacco (chewing tobacco or chewing paan) of $7.3 \%$ ( $5.5 \%$ in women and $7.6 \%$ in men) [18,19]. INTERHEART case-control study of risk factors for acute myocardial infarction (MI) has documented that there is an increased risk of myocardial infarction associated with all forms of smoked and smokeless tobacco

Table 2 Distribution of key risk factors in study population $(n=2826)$.

| Variable | Total ( $n$, \%) | Tea garden ( $n$, \%) | General population ( $n$, \%) | $p$-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | 2826 | 1231 | 1595 |  |
| Tobacco and smoking |  |  |  |  |
| Formerly used | 161 (5.7) | 58 (4.7) | 103 (6.5) |  |
| Currently used | 1714 (60.7) | 1049 (85.2) | 665 (41.7) |  |
| Never used | 951 (33.7) | 124 (10.1) | 827 (51.8) | <0.0001 |
| Physical activity at work |  |  |  |  |
| Mainly sedentary | 528 (18.7) | 104 (8.5) | 424 (26.6) |  |
| Predominately walking | 645 (22.9) | 267 (21.7) | 378 (23.8) |  |
| Mainly walking | 365 (12.9) | 270 (22.0) | 95 (6.0) | <0.0001 |
| Heavy physical worker | 513 (18.2) | 355 (28.9) | 158 (9.9) |  |
| Does not work | 768 (27.2) | 232 (18.9) | 536 (33.7) |  |
| Physical activity during leisure time |  |  |  |  |
| Mainly sedentary | 435 (15.4) | 135 (11.0) | 300 (18.8) |  |
| Mild exercise | 613 (21.7) | 225 (18.3) | 388 (24.3) | <0.0001 |
| Moderate exercise | 1772 (62.7) | 870 (70.7) | 902 (56.6) |  |
| Strenuous exercise | 6 (0.2) | 1 (0.1) | 5 (0.3) |  |
| Alcohol |  |  |  |  |
| Daily | 280 (20.8) | 230 (26.8) | 50 (10.3) |  |
| 5-6 days/week | 22 (1.6) | 6 (0.7) | 16 (3.3) |  |
| 1-4 days/week | 696 (51.8) | 472 (55.0) | 224 (46.1) | <0.0001 |
| <4 days/month | 301 (22.4) | 127 (14.8) | 174 (35.8) |  |
| <Once month | 45 (3.3) | 23 (2.7) | 22 (4.5) |  |
| Or never | 1482 (52.4) | 373 (30.3) | 1109 (69.5) |  |
| Financial stress |  |  |  |  |
| Little or none | 1253 (44.4) | 505 (41.0) | 748 (47.0) |  |
| Moderate or severe | 1569 (55.6) | 726 (59.0) | 843 (53.0) | 0.001 |
| Mental stress |  |  |  |  |
| Never or some period | 2196 (77.8) | 719 (58.5) | 1477 (92.8) |  |
| Several or permanent | 625 (22.2) | 511 (41.5) | 114 (7.2) | <0.0001 |
| BMI ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Underweight (<18) | 346 (12.2) | 212 (17.2) | 134 (8.4) |  |
| Normal (18-25) | 1731 (61.3) | 854 (69.4) | 877 (55.0) | <0.0001 |
| Overweight (>25 to <30) | 657 (23.2) | 135 (11.0) | 522 (32.7) |  |
| Obese ( $\geqslant 30$ ) | 92 (3.3) | 30 (2.4) | 62 (3.9) |  |
| WHR quartiles |  |  |  |  |
| Quartile1 (<0.873) | 322 (11.4) | 114 (9.3) | 208 (13.0) | <0.0001 |
| Quartile 2\&3 (0.873-0.963) | 1455 (51.5) | 796 (64.7) | 659 (41.3) |  |
| Quartile 4 ( $\geqslant 0.964$ ) | 1049 (37.1) | 321 (26.1) | 728 (45.6) |  |
| Known Hypertension | 407 (14.1) | 143 (11.6) | 264 (16.6) | 0.0001 |
| Known diabetes | 39 (1.4) | 10 (0.8) | 29 (1.8) | 0.023 |
| Known MI | 5 (0.2) | 3 (0.2) | 2 (0.1) | 0.659 |
| Known Stroke | 4 (0.1) | 0 | 4 (0.3) | 0.137 |
| INTERHEART modifiable risk score |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest tertile (0-5) | 2550 (90.2) | 1126 (91.5) | 1424 (89.3) |  |
| Middle tertile (6-10) | 240 (8.5) | 95 (7.7) | 145 (9.1) |  |
| Highest tertile (11-15) | 36 (1.3) | 10 (0.8) | 26 (1.6) | 0.062 |
| Mean INTERHEART score | 1.64 (2.7) | 1.44 (2.5) | 1.79 (2.84) | 0.001 |

Student's $t$-test was used for difference in means, and chi-square test was used for difference in proportions. $p$-Values are derived from these test statistics. BMI = body mass index. WHR = waist-to-hip ratio.

Table 3 Prevalence of hypertension and its distribution by demography and socioeconomics ( $n=2826$, 1277 with hypertension).

| Variable | Total ( $n, \%$ ) | Tea garden ( $n$, \%) | General population ( $n$, \%) | $p$-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | 2826 | 1231 | 1595 |  |
| Hypertension | 1277 (45.2) | 551 (44.8) | 726 (45.5) | 0.703 |
| Hypertension grades |  |  |  |  |
| Normal: SBP < 120 and DBP < 80 | 422 (14.9) | 192 (15.6) | 230 (14.4) | 0.837 |
| Pre-HTN: SBP 120-139 or DBP 80-89 | 1127 (39.9) | 488 (39.6) | 639 (40.1) |  |
| Stage 1 HTN: SBP 140-159 or DBP 90-99 | 903 (32.0) | 392 (31.8) | 511 (32.0) |  |
| Stage 2 HTN: SBP $\geqslant 160$ or DBP $\geqslant 100$ | 374 (13.2) | 159 (12.9) | 215 (13.5) |  |
| Mean systolic blood pressure (SD) | 137(19) | 137(20) | 137(19) | 0.782 |
| Mean diastolic blood pressure (SD) | 82(10) | 82(11) | 82(10) | 0.287 |
| Total number of hypertensive | 1277 | 551 | 726 |  |
| Newly detected hypertensive | 996(78.0) | 456(82.8) | 540(74.4) | <0.0001 |
| By area of residence |  |  |  |  |
| Rural | 1032 (45.8) | 394 (45.1) | 638 (46.3) |  |
| Urban | 245 (42.6) | 157 (44.0) | 88 (40.4) | 0.434 |
| Age group |  |  |  |  |
| 35 to <45 | 433 (32.7) | 221 (34.8) | 212 (30.7) | 0.114 |
| 45 to <55 | 394 (50.4) | 174 (50.0) | 220 (50.7) | 0.886 |
| 55 to <65 | 254 (56.8) | 92 (56.8) | 162 (56.8) | 1.000 |
| 65 Above | 196 (72.3) | 64 (74.4) | 132 (71.4) | 0.663 |
| Educational status |  |  |  |  |
| None | 625 (45.6) | 451 (45.6) | 174 (45.5) | 1.000 |
| 1-8 Grade | 235 (41.4) | 83 (41.1) | 152 (41.5) | 0.929 |
| 9-12 Grade | 248 (42.3) | 12 (41.4) | 236 (42.4) | 1.000 |
| Trade school | 16 (57.1) | 3 (60.0) | 13 (56.5) | 1.000 |
| College/University | 153 (55.8) | 2 (28.6) | 151 (56.6) | 0.247 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |
| Unmarried | 31 (41.3) | 9 (45.0) | 22 (40.0) | 0.793 |
| Married | 949 (42.4) | 392 (41.8) | 557 (42.8) | 0.665 |
| Others | 297 (57.9) | 150 (54.7) | 147 (61.5) | 0.072 |
| Occupation status |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 706 (46.8) | 375 (45.0) | 331 (49.1) | 0.199 |
| Unemployed | 409 (37.3) | 103 (36.0) | 306 (37.8) | 0.619 |
| Retired | 162 (72.6) | 73 (65.20 | 89 (80.2) | 0.016 |

Student's $t$-test was used for difference in means, and chi-square test was used for difference in proportions. $p$-Values are derived from these test statistics. SBP = systolic blood pressure. DBP = diastolic blood pressure.
[19]. Therefore, use of tobacco in forms other than cigarette smoking (e.g., biddies) is both common and important as contributors to the CVD burden in South Asia.

Salt intake in the present study population was about 25 g per person per day, much higher than the NHLBI recommended $6 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{d}$ [20] and previous estimates for India and Assam (10 and 10.6 g, respectively) [21,22]. The present study results show five times higher intake of salt in both teagarden workers and the general population. Intake
of salty tea during working hours in large mugs thrice a day may be one of the reasons for such a high consumption pattern among the tea-garden population.

This study showed a high prevalence of hypertension. Mean systolic and diastolic blood pressures are also higher as compared with other studies [23,24]. Prevalence of hypertension was found to be higher in both the population groups and in both urban and rural areas. Much more striking are high levels of hypertension unawareness, which again is

Table 4 Mean levels and differences of anthropometric and laboratory risk factors in individuals who participated in the third step of the study $(n=576)$.

| Risk factor | Tea garden | General population | Mean difference | 95\% CI | $p$-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | 279 | 297 |  |  |  |
| Age (years) | 45.5 | 49.2 | 3.7 | (1.96-5.47) | 0.000 |
| Male gender $n$ (\%) | 143 (54.8\%) | 118 (45.2\%) |  |  |  |
| BMI (kg/m ${ }^{2}$ ) | $21.3 \pm 3.6$ | $23.5 \pm 3.8$ | 2.24 | (1.96-2.52) | <0.0001 |
| Waist (cm) | $74.8 \pm 13.5$ | $81.5 \pm 14.1$ | 6.67 | (5.65-7.70) | <0.0001 |
| Waist:hip ratio | $0.94 \pm 0.07$ | $0.95 \pm 0.08$ | 0.007 | (0.008-0.01) | 0.022 |
| Total cholesterol (mg/dl) | $189.0 \pm 18.6$ | $192.5 \pm 20.6$ | 3.51 | (0.29-6.72) | 0.033 |
| Serum Triglycerides (mg/dl) | $148.1 \pm 9.3$ | $154.9 \pm 33.6$ | 6.82 | (2.73-10.92) | 0.001 |
| Serum HDL (mg/dl) | $49.1 \pm 17.2$ | $65.4 \pm 29.7$ | 16.33 | (12.32-20.33) | <0.0001 |
| TGL:HDL ratio | $3.21 \pm 0.6$ | $2.76 \pm 1.2$ | 0.44 | (0.28-0.59) | <0.0001 |
| Serum LDL (mg/dl) | $112.1 \pm 19.1$ | $97.9 \pm 32.1$ | 14.10 | (9.75-18.45) | <0.0001 |
| Fasting blood sugar (mg/dl) | $94.5 \pm 21.0$ | $97.8 \pm 25.6$ | 3.24 | (0.61-7.09) | 0.099 |
| Prevalence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Diabetes mellitus | 3.9\% | 7.7\% |  |  | <0.0001 |
| Metabolic syndrome | 15.1\% | 26.9\% |  |  | <0.0001 |
| Increased waist circumference ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 10.0\% | 20.5\% |  |  | 0.001 |
| High triglycerides ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 32.3\% | 61.6\% |  |  | <0.0001 |
| Low HDL ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 41.6\% | 22.2\% |  |  | <0.0001 |
| High blood sugar ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 15.8\% | 17.2\% |  |  | 0.651 |
| High blood pressure ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 63.1\% | 62.6\% |  |  | 0.910 |

Student's $t$-test was used for difference in means, and chi-square test was used for difference in proportions. $p$-Values are derived from these test statistics. $\mathrm{BMI}=$ body mass index. $\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval. $\mathrm{HDL}=$ high density lipoprotein. LDL = low density lipoprotein.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Elevated waist circumference defined as greater than or equal to 102 cm in men, and 88 cm in women.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ High triglycerides defined as greater than or equal to $150 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}(1.69 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L})$.
${ }^{c}$ Low HDL defined as less than $40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}(1.03 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L})$ in men and less than $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ in women $(1.30 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L})$.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ High blood sugar defined as greater than $110 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}(6 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L})$ or pre-existing diabetes mellitus.
${ }^{\text {e }}$ High blood pressure defined as greater than 130 mmHg systolic or 85 mmHg diastolic.
skewed more in favor of socio-economically disadvantaged tea-garden workers. Screening, access to health-care services, and promoting adherence to pharmacological and non-pharmacological therapies become important in this scenario. The global burden of hypertension shows it to be highly prevalent (20-40\% among urban and 12-17\% among rural adults) [25] and was affecting an estimated 118 million inhabitants in India in 2000; this number is projected to almost double to 214 million in 2025 [26].

A higher BMI rating, low physical activity levels, higher prevalence of diabetes mellitus and metabolic syndrome are all interrelated and were more prevalent in the non-tea garden general population. This may be due to their relative affluence and comparatively sedentary occupations. Multifactorial risks will need multiple strategies for risk mitigation. Promotion of physical activity and the simultaneous reduction in sedentary occupations remain important strategies in population subgroups. While the overall prevalence of diabetes mellitus was similar to population estimates for India, metabolic syndrome was found to be lower in
this study population compared with other studies from India ( $21.2 \%$ vs. $26.6 \%$ ) [27].

Stratified sampling was performed to select study participants from among a high proportion of participating individuals to be truly representative. Hence, the results are able to be generalized for similar settings in the region. This is an improvement over previous studies from the region where only occupational groups (tea-garden workers, or industrial workers) were sampled [10,28].

The present study has certain limitations. First, the assessment of salt consumption was based on recall, and is crude. Given the reported high salt consumption, biochemical studies are needed to confirm this finding. Second, the estimates for prevalence of diabetes and metabolic syndrome are from a sub-sample; however, this sub-sample has been taken care of to be representative. Last, this study took samples from only one district of the state, but the results are considered to be sufficiently generalizable as behavior risk factors, access to health-care and dietary practices are similar.

Table 5 Age-adjusted odds ratios and $95 \%$ confidence intervals for lifestyle and risk factor associations of general population as compared with tea-garden workers (logistic regression analysis).

| Variable | Adjusted OR | 95\% CI | $p$-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Educational status |  |  |  |
| None | 46.7 | 16.1-45.1 | <0.0001 |
| 1-8 | 33.4 | 3.6-35.7 | 0.002 |
| 9-12 | 4.8 | 0.5-6.3 | 0.17 |
| College/University | Reference |  |  |
| Marital |  |  |  |
| Unmarried | Reference |  |  |
| Married | 2.6 | 0.2-40.2 | 0.48 |
| Widowed | 1.9 | 0.1-31.3 | 0.66 |
| Smoking and/or tobacco use |  |  |  |
| Non smoker | Reference |  |  |
| Smoker | 2.4 | 1.2-4.7 | 0.01 |
| Alcohol |  |  |  |
| Non user | Reference |  |  |
| User | 13.7 | 6.7-28.3 | <0.0001 |
| Financial stress |  |  |  |
| Little/none | Reference |  |  |
| Moderate/severe | 1.3 | 0.6-2.6 | 0.44 |
| Stress |  |  |  |
| Never/some period | Reference |  |  |
| Several/permanent | 4.7 | 2.2-9.6 | <0.0001 |
| Active work |  |  |  |
| Inactive | Reference |  |  |
| Active | 0.7 | 0.3-1.3 | 0.29 |
| Overweight/obesity |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{BMI}<25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ | Reference |  |  |
| BMI $\geqslant 25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ | 0.278 | $0.1-0.6$ | 0.002 |
| Risk factor |  |  |  |
| High cholesterol |  |  |  |
| $<200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ | Reference |  |  |
| $\geqslant 200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ | 1.2 | 0.6-2.5 | 0.53 |
| High triglycerides |  |  |  |
| $<150 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ | Reference |  |  |
| $\geqslant 150 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ | 0.2 | 0.1-0.4 | <0.0001 |
| Hypertension |  |  |  |
| No | Reference |  |  |
| Yes | 0.7 | 0.4-1.5 | 0.46 |
| Metabolic syndrome |  |  |  |
| No | Reference |  |  |
| Yes | 1.3 | 0.5-3.0 | 0.48 |
| Diabetes |  |  |  |
| No | Reference |  |  |
| Yes | 0.7 | 0.386-1.2 | 0.26 |

## 5. Conclusion

The burden of cardiovascular risk factors among both tea-garden workers and the general popula-
tion is high. Interventions directed at CVD risk mitigation are needed. Early implementation of health promotional measures, including avoidance of tobacco consumption, detection and control of
hypertension and dietary modification, will help in reducing the future risk of manifest cardiovascular events.
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