



## Journal of Epidemiology and Global Health

ISSN (Online): 2210-6014

ISSN (Print): 2210-6006

Journal Home Page: <https://www.atlantis-press.com/journals/jegh>

---

### **The association between disability and cognitive impairment in an elderly Tanzanian population**

Catherine L. Dotchin, Stella-Maria Paddick, William K. Gray, Aloyce Kisoli, Golda Orega, Anna R. Longdon, Paul Chaote, Felicity Dewhurst, Matthew Dewhurst, Richard W. Walker

**To cite this article:** Catherine L. Dotchin, Stella-Maria Paddick, William K. Gray, Aloyce Kisoli, Golda Orega, Anna R. Longdon, Paul Chaote, Felicity Dewhurst, Matthew Dewhurst, Richard W. Walker (2015) The association between disability and cognitive impairment in an elderly Tanzanian population, Journal of Epidemiology and Global Health 5:1, 57–64, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jegh.2014.09.004>

**To link to this article:** <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jegh.2014.09.004>

Published online: 23 April 2019



ELSEVIER



<http://www.elsevier.com/locate/jegh>



# The association between disability and cognitive impairment in an elderly Tanzanian population

Catherine L. Dotchin<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Stella-Maria Paddick<sup>a,c</sup>, William K. Gray<sup>a</sup>, Aloyce Kisoli<sup>d</sup>, Golda Orega<sup>e</sup>, Anna R. Longdon<sup>f</sup>, Paul Chaote<sup>d</sup>, Felicity Dewhurst<sup>a</sup>, Matthew Dewhurst<sup>a</sup>, Richard W. Walker<sup>a,g</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Northumbria Healthcare NHS Foundation Trust, North Tyneside General Hospital, North Shields, UK

<sup>b</sup> Institute for Ageing, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK

<sup>c</sup> Institute of Neuroscience, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK

<sup>d</sup> District Medical Office, Hai District Hospital, Boman'gombe, Hai, Tanzania

<sup>e</sup> Kilimanjaro Christian Medical Centre, Moshi, Tanzania

<sup>f</sup> South Devon Healthcare NHS Foundation Trust, Toruay, UK

<sup>g</sup> Institute of Health and Society, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK

Received 17 July 2014; received in revised form 12 September 2014; accepted 13 September 2014

Available online 23 October 2014

## KEYWORDS

Disability;  
Dementia;  
WHODAS;  
Sub-Saharan Africa;  
Elderly

**Abstract** Cognitive impairment is thought to be a major cause of disability worldwide, though data from sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) are sparse. This study aimed to investigate the association between cognitive impairment and disability in a cohort of community-dwelling older adults living in Tanzania. The study cohort of 296 people aged 70 years and over was recruited as part of a dementia prevalence study. Subjects were diagnosed as having dementia or mild cognitive impairment according to the DSM-IV criteria. Disability level was assessed according to the WHO Disability Assessment Schedule, version 2.0 (WHODAS). A higher WHODAS score indicates greater disability. The median WHODAS in the background population was 25.0; in those with dementia and in those with mild cognitive impairment, 72 of 78 (92.3%) and 41 of 46 (89.1%), respectively, had a WHODAS score above this level. The presence of dementia, mild cognitive impairment, hearing impairment, being unable to walk without an aid and not having attended school were independent predictors of having a WHODAS score above 25.0, though age and gender were not. In summary, cognitive impairment is a significant predictor of disability in elderly

\* Corresponding author at: Department of Medicine, North Tyneside General Hospital, Rake Lane, North Shields, Tyne and Wear NE29 8NH, UK. Tel./fax: +44 0191 293 2709.

E-mail address: [Catherine.dotchin@nhct.nhs.uk](mailto:Catherine.dotchin@nhct.nhs.uk) (C.L. Dotchin).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jegh.2014.09.004>

2210-6006/© 2014 Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia. Published by Elsevier Ltd.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Tanzanians. Screening for early signs of cognitive decline would allow management strategies to be put in place that may reduce the associated disability burden.

© 2014 Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

## 1. Introduction

The number of people surviving into old age is increasing, with the biggest increase in the next 50 years predicted to be seen in low- and middle-income countries as they continue to undergo a demographic transition [1]. This is likely to be accompanied by an increase in the prevalence of age-related non-communicable diseases, such as dementia [2]. It is estimated that 58% of people with dementia live in low- and middle-income countries, and this figure is estimated to rise to 71% by 2050 [2].

Dementia is a major contributor to the overall worldwide disease burden in low- and middle-income countries [3–5]. However, data from sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) are sparse. The International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF) was developed by the World Health Organization (WHO) with the aim of providing a quasi-objective framework within which subjective notions of disability and health could be more clearly defined [6]. Disability was defined as ‘the negative aspects of the interaction between an individual (with a health condition) and that individual’s contextual factors (personal and environmental factors)’ [6]. The WHO Disability Assessment Schedule, version 2.0 (WHODAS) was developed to measure levels of disability, as defined by the ICF framework [7]. The WHODAS is a global measure of disability and aims to measure the types of functional limitations that may result from any health condition. It has been extensively validated in a number of world regions in people with a variety of health conditions [8–11].

The primary aim of this study is to investigate the level of disability, as defined by the WHODAS, experienced by a cohort of elderly people living in Tanzania.

## 2. Methods

Ethical approval for the study was granted locally by Tumaini University ethics committee and the Tanzanian National Institute of Medical Research. Data for this study were collected as part of a wider dementia prevalence study, details of which have already been published [12]. Brief details of the study methodology are given below.

### 2.1. Setting and study population

The rural Hai district is located in the north of Tanzania, on the southern side of Mount Kilimanjaro. Part of the district was established as a demographic surveillance site (DSS) in the early 1990s and, as such, there are regular population censuses of the area [13]. The most recent census was completed on the first of June 2009 and recorded the population as 161,119, of whom 8869 were 70 years and over. The DSS is broadly representative of the rural population of Tanzania. People live within large family units. The majority are subsistence farmers, and daily activities for older family members might consist of agricultural work, running the household and taking care of grandchildren. All data presented here were collected between 12 April and 30 September 2010 in the study villages. Participants were seen at a place of their convenience (village health centres or in their own home). Signed informed consent was obtained from each participant. For those who could not write, a thumbprint was obtained. If participants were unable to consent due to cognitive impairment, written assent was obtained from a close relative.

It was planned to see approximately one-eighth of the entire population aged 70 years and over in the DSS. Using a random number generator, six villages, with a census population of 1277 people aged 70 years and over, were selected to form the basis of the study cohort. Exclusions, refusals and additions have been described previously [12]. The final study population was 1198 people during phase one screening. Screening was conducted by trained census enumerators using the Community Screening Instrument for Dementia (CSI-D) [14].

During the second phase, a stratified sample of those screened ( $n = 296$ ), with over-sampling for those with moderate or poor cognitive performance by CSI-D, was fully assessed by a doctor (A.L. or S.-M.P.). The second phase sample consisted of 168 people from 184 with ‘poor performance’, 56 people from 104 with ‘moderate performance’ and 72 people from 910 with good performance, according to the CSI-D. The 72 with good performance were randomly selected from the 910 people not thought to have dementia by

CSI-D screening and are likely to be representative of the cognitively normal background population of people aged 70 years and over. All 72 were seen by a doctor during phase II to confirm they were cognitively normal.

The population for the study described here are all those assessed during the second phase, 78 of whom had dementia, and 46 of whom had mild cognitive impairment (MCI).

## 2.2. Disability assessment

Disability can be measured in relation to a person's ability to perform activities of daily living (ADLs). Basic ADLs (feeding, dressing, personal hygiene, mobility, toileting) are those that are fundamental to staying alive. Instrumental ADLs are those ADLs that are required to allow a person to live independently within a community. Instrumental ADLs include shopping for food, dealing with money, transportation, use of technology, taking medication and cooking [15].

The 12-item WHODAS is designed as a global measure of disability and functioning. It measures ability to perform basic and instrumental ADLs as well as having questions directly related to mental and emotional health and cognition, such as ability to maintain friendships and participate in society [7]. Questions are divided into six domains: cognition (learning and concentrating), mobility (standing and walking), self-care (washing and dressing), getting along (personal relationships), life activities (work and domestic duties) and participation in society (emotions and social activities). The questions relate to a person's ability to perform tasks of daily living in the previous 30 days. Two questions are asked per domain and responses are scored on a five-point scale: 0 (none or no difficulty with the task), 1 (mild difficulty), 2 (moderate difficulty), 3 (severe difficulty) and 4 (extreme difficulty/cannot do). The short 12-item WHODAS has been shown to account for 81% of the variability in scores from the full 36-item WHODAS [7]. Although different scoring systems exist, the complex scoring system recommended by WHO was employed to allow for direct comparison with other studies [7]. The items are re-scored and weighted to give a global disability score, running from 0 to 36. This score is then re-scaled so that it runs from 0 to 100, with 100 representing the maximum level of disability.

The Barthel index is a measure of disability relating to a person's ability to perform basic ADLs. Although the ability to perform basic ADLs is likely to be associated with any cognitive, emotional or mental health problems, it is not a direct measure

of such impairments. The Barthel index has ten questions and is scored from 0 (severe disability) to 20 (no disability). Self-reported information relating to mobility (independent, with a walking aid or not independently mobile), hearing and eyesight were also collected.

## 2.3. Cut-offs for disability

For illustration purposes, WHODAS data are presented in four categories depending on score, with 0–24 indicating minimum disability and 75–100 maximum disability. This categorization is consistent with that used by the WHO-SAGE INDEPTH study [16]. A cut-off for the presence of disability has not been established for the WHODAS in SSA. The 60th and 90th centile have both been used as a cut-off for disability in recent studies [3,17]. The WHO-SAGE INDEPTH studies from Tanzania, Kenya and Ghana used the median as a convenient cut-off to dichotomize their data [18–20]. However, since this study sample is not representative of the wider community of elderly people living in Hai, being biased towards people with dementia, the cut-off used was the median of those subjects selected randomly from the background population who had good cognitive performance by CSI-D ( $n = 72$ ). For the Barthel index, the cut-offs defined by Heslin et al. were used [21]. Severe disability was defined as a score of 0–14, moderate disability as a score of 15–18 and mild/no disability as a score of 19–20.

## 2.4. Statistical analysis

All data were non-normally distributed and are described in terms of median, interquartile range (IQR) and range as appropriate. Logistic regression models were used to identify univariate and multivariable predictors of a WHODAS score greater than the median. Demographic factors (age, sex and education) were included in the model as were variables measuring sensory impairment (hearing and eyesight), mobility impairment and cognitive impairment. Barthel index score was not included in the model, since it was felt to be measuring the same broad construct as the outcome variable and so its inclusion would not be informative. All predictor variables were categorized for the purposes of model building, with age split into five-year age bands. Multivariable models were constructed using backward elimination based on the Wald statistic. Robustness was checked by examination of deviance residuals, Cook's distances, loading of variables on individual eigenvalues and collinear-

ity diagnostic tests. Two-tailed tests and a significance level of 5% were used throughout.

## 2.5. Role of the funding source

This work was supported by a research fellowship from the Dunhill Foundation, an Academy of Medical Sciences (UK) clinical lecturer start-up Grant, a British Geriatric Society start-up Grant and the Royal College of Physicians, London. The sponsors of this study had no role in designing the study; in the collection, analysis, and interpretation of data; in the writing of the report; or in the decision to submit the paper for publication.

## 3. Results

### 3.1. Demographic characteristics

Demographic and clinical characteristics of the cohort, split into quartiles based on WHODAS performance, are shown in Table 1. There is a clear trend towards higher prevalence of dementia and a less obvious trend towards less formal schooling and greater age in those with higher WHODAS scores. There was no obvious trend in gender distribution with WHODAS score.

The median WHODAS score for subjects who were selected from the background population with good cognitive performance by CSI-D ( $n = 72$ ) was 25.0 (IQR 9.0–41.7). The mean WHODAS score for this group was 28.0. Of the 72 subjects, 14 (19.4%) scored zero, indicating no disability. People with dementia had a median WHODAS score of 72.2 (IQR 47.2–84.0) and people with MCI had a median score of 54.2 (IQR 38.9–70.8).

Two-hundred and eighteen subjects (73.6%) had a score above the median of the background population. This included 72 of 78 people (92.3%) with dementia, 41 of 46 people (89.1%) with MCI and 105 of 172 (61.0%) with no cognitive impairment. The relatively high rate of disability in those with no cognitive impairment is likely to be partly due to the fact that many people who did not have cognitive impairment scored poorly on the CSI-D (and so were included in phase two assessment) due to other health problems, such as depression or sensory impairment. By logistic regression, the presence of MCI, dementia, no formal education, hearing problems, and being unable to walk without any aids were significant independent predictors of having a WHODAS score above the median (Table 2).

Table 1 Relationship of WHODAS scores to clinical and demographic characteristics.

	WHODAS score 0–24 (lowest level of disability)	WHODAS score 25–49	WHODAS score 50–74	WHODAS score 75–100 (highest level of disability)	Significance
Number	70	84	72	70	
Dementia present ( $n = 78$ )	5 (7.1%)	15 (17.9%)	20 (27.8%)	38 (54.3%)	$\chi^2 (3) = 44.652, p < 0.001$
Mild cognitive impairment present ( $n = 46$ )	4 (5.7%)	16 (19.0%)	15 (20.8%)	11 (15.7%)	$\chi^2 (3) = 7.475, p = 0.058$
Number of Females ( $n = 202$ )	41 (58.6%)	59 (70.2%)	53 (73.6%)	49 (70.0%)	$\chi^2 (3) = 4.233, p = 0.237$
Median age in years (IQR)	76.0 (72.0–80.3)	78.0 (73.3–87.0)	82.0 (77.3–89.0)	81.5 (74.8–92.3)	Spearman's $r = 0.278,$ $p < 0.001$
No formal education ( $n = 167$ from 283)	27 (38.6%)	46/76 (60.5%), 8 missing values	45/69 (65.2%), 3 missing values	49/68 (72.1%), 2 missing values	$\chi^2 (3) = 18.047, p < 0.001$
Moderate or severe disability in basic ADLs ( $n = 89$ )	7 (10.0%)	12 (14.3%)	22 (30.6%)	48 (68.6%)	$\chi^2 (3) = 72.719, p < 0.001$
Self-reported hearing problem which interferes with life activities	10 (14.3%)	16	21	28	$\chi^2 (3) = 15.282, p = 0.002$
Self-reported eyesight problem which interferes with life activities	34	47	43	44	$\chi^2 (3) = 4.339, p = 0.227$
Unable to walk without the use of an aid	2 (2.9%)	3 (3.6%)	9 (12.5%)	30 (42.9%)	$\chi^2 (3) = 60.101, p < 0.001$









