



Journal of Epidemiology and Global Health

ISSN (Online): 2210-6014

ISSN (Print): 2210-6006

Journal Home Page: <https://www.atlantis-press.com/journals/jegh>

Point prevalence survey of antimicrobial utilization in a Canadian tertiary-care teaching hospital

Colin Lee, Sandra A.N. Walker, Nick Daneman, Marion Elligsen, Lesley Palmay, Bryan Coburn, Andrew Simor

To cite this article: Colin Lee, Sandra A.N. Walker, Nick Daneman, Marion Elligsen, Lesley Palmay, Bryan Coburn, Andrew Simor (2015) Point prevalence survey of antimicrobial utilization in a Canadian tertiary-care teaching hospital, Journal of Epidemiology and Global Health 5:2, 143–150, DOI: 10.1016/j.jegh.2014.06.003

To link to this article: 10.1016/j.jegh.2014.06.003

Published online: 10 April 2019



Point prevalence survey of antimicrobial utilization in a Canadian tertiary-care teaching hospital

Colin Lee ^{a,b}, Sandra A.N. Walker ^{a,b,c}, Nick Daneman ^{c,d,e,f},
Marion Elligsen ^a, Lesley Palmay ^a, Bryan Coburn ^e, Andrew Simor ^{c,d,e,*}

^a Department of Pharmacy, Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

^b Leslie L. Dan Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

^c Department of Microbiology and Division of Infectious Diseases, Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

^d Sunnybrook Research Institute, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

^e Faculty of Medicine, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

^f Institute for Clinical Evaluative Sciences, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

Received 6 March 2014; received in revised form 27 June 2014; accepted 30 June 2014

Available online 8 August 2014

KEYWORDS

Surveillance;
Prospective;
Acute care;
Long-term care

Abstract Objectives: Inappropriate antimicrobial use can promote antimicrobial resistance, which is associated with increased patient morbidity and mortality. Identifying the pattern of antimicrobial use can provide data from which targeted antimicrobial stewardship interventions can be made. The primary objective was to identify the prevalence of antimicrobial use at a tertiary care teaching hospital with both acute and long-term care patients.

Methods: A point prevalence study was conducted on July 19th, 2012. Data on antimicrobial utilization, indication for prescribing, duration of therapy, and frequency of infectious disease or antimicrobial stewardship consultations were collected using a customized integrated stewardship database (SPIRIT) and prospective chart review.

Results: One or more antimicrobial agents were ordered in 31% and 4% of acute care and long-term care patients, respectively. Respiratory and urinary tract infections were the most common indication for antimicrobial therapy in both acute and long-term care. About 25% of surgical prophylaxis orders were prescribed for greater than 24 h.

Conclusion: This prospective point prevalence survey provided important baseline information on antimicrobial use within a large tertiary care teaching hospital and identified potential targets for future antimicrobial stewardship initiatives.

* Corresponding author. Address: Head Infectious Diseases and Medical Microbiology, 2075 Bayview Avenue, B103, Toronto, Ontario M4N 3M5, Canada.

A multi-center point prevalence survey should be considered to identify patterns of antimicrobial use in Canada and to establish the first steps toward international antimicrobial surveillance.

© 2014 Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

Antimicrobial resistance is an unavoidable consequence of antimicrobial use and is correlated with the overall use of these agents [1,2]. It has been reported that approximately one third of patients admitted to hospital receive an antimicrobial agent during their hospital stay [3–5]. This is particularly problematic when up to 50% of all courses of antimicrobial therapy are deemed unnecessary [4,6,7]. Antimicrobial resistance is increasing and is a concern because it is associated with increased patient length of hospital stay, mortality, and cost. [8,9] Since both frequent and prolonged use of antimicrobial agents may promote the emergence of resistance [10,11], antimicrobial stewardship is recommended as a means of reducing antimicrobial resistance, along with lowering the risk of adverse drug events, treatment complications, and institutional costs [7,12]. It is important for institutions to understand their patterns of antimicrobial use to identify appropriate stewardship interventions that have the greatest likelihood of impacting institutional antimicrobial utilization and therefore, the aforementioned consequences of antimicrobial use.

We conducted a point prevalence survey to quantify antimicrobial utilization and determine patterns of use at a Canadian tertiary care teaching hospital and affiliated long-term care facility. It is hoped that this information will serve as a baseline for future point-prevalence surveys, and provide a measure of the effect of antimicrobial stewardship interventions. The primary objective of this survey was to determine the prevalence of patients in the hospital and residing in the associated long-term care facility who were receiving at least one antimicrobial agent, and the prevalence of individual antimicrobial orders on the survey date. The secondary objective of this study was to describe the pattern of antimicrobial prescribing (choice of antimicrobial agent, indication, and duration of therapy).

2. Methods

The point prevalence survey was conducted at Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, a 1212-bed adult teaching hospital in Toronto, Ontario,

Canada, with acute care facilities and an adjoining veteran's long-term complex care facility. The hospital's programs include aging, trauma, oncology, neurosciences, women and babies, cardiac and musculoskeletal diseases. There is a multi-disciplinary antimicrobial stewardship program (ASP), which prospectively audits the use of targeted antimicrobials and consults with prescribers on days 3, 7, and 14 of therapy [13,14]. Targeted antimicrobials include fluoroquinolones, third generation cephalosporins, carbapenems, aminoglycosides, vancomycin, and piperacillin-tazobactam. At the time of the survey, ASP services were being provided to most acute-care inpatient units but not to the long-term care units.

The survey was conducted on July 19, 2012, and included inpatients who were receiving a systemic antibacterial, antifungal, or antiviral agent on that day. Neonates, emergency room patients who were not yet admitted, palliative care patients, and obstetric patients were excluded from the survey. Orders for anti-retroviral, anti-tuberculous, and anti-parasitic medications were also excluded from the survey.

Patients were identified through the Stewardship Program Integrated Resource Information Technology (SPIRIT) database, which receives inputs in real time from the hospital's pharmacy, microbiology, hematology and biochemistry laboratories and the admission/discharge and transfer databases [14]. The data elements of interest were abstracted directly from the SPIRIT database and supplemented by prospective review of electronic and paper charts by an infectious diseases physician or pharmacist with the aid of a standardized electronic form.

Prevalence in this study was determined using the number of patients receiving at least one antimicrobial agent or a number of antimicrobial orders as the numerator, and the total number of inpatients (excluding patients previously described) as the denominator standardized to 1000 patients on the study day. Antimicrobials in this survey were presented as classes defined by the Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) classification system from the World Health Organization (WHO) Collaborating Centre for Drug Statistics

Methodology [15]. Antimicrobial use was identified as being definitive, empiric, prophylactic, or unknown. Definitive treatment was defined as treatment that was started when either a site of infection or a pathogenic microorganism causing infection was identified. Empiric treatment was defined as treatment that was started for a presumed or possible infection without a site or infecting organism being identified. Prophylaxis was defined as the use of an antimicrobial agent in order to prevent an infection when an infection was not already present. Treatment indication was categorized as unknown if there was no identifiable reason for antimicrobial use after review of the medical records. The duration of therapy was presented as the number the days from the date of antimicrobial initiation to the date of survey.

3. Results

A total of 1021 eligible patients were identified on the survey date; 508 in acute care and 513 in long-term care. Since our long-term care facility is the largest veteran's care facility in Canada, there was a male predominance in our long-term care resident population (53% in acute care and 86% in long term care) (Table 1).

A total of 174 per 1000 patients were receiving at least one antimicrobial agent on the day of the study (Table 2); a prevalence of 308 per 1000 acute care patients, and 41 per 1000 long-term care residents. The majority of patients (65%) receiving antimicrobial therapy received only one antimicrobial agent (Table 2). There were a total of 244 antimicrobial orders per 1000 patients; a prevalence of 449 per 1000 acute care patients, and 41 per 1000 long-term care residents. The prevalence of patients on at least one antibiotic agent was 298 per 1000 acute care patients, and 39 per 1000 long-term care residents.

Table 1 Demographics of patients who received antimicrobial treatment.

Description	Acute care (n = 508)	Long-term care (n = 513)
Age* (years)	68 58–81	90 89–92
Male (%)	82 (53)	18 (86)
Length of stay* (days)	7 3–18	245 35–986

* Median and interquartile range (first quarter and third quarter) since data not normally distributed.

In the acute setting, the majority of antimicrobial orders were for antibiotics (207/228; 91%), followed by antifungals (16/228; 7%), and antivirals (5/228; 2%). In the long-term care setting, the majority of antimicrobial orders were for antibiotics (20/21; 95%), followed by antivirals (1/21; 5%) (Fig. 1).

Fluoroquinolones, cephalosporins, and penicillins were the most common classes of antibiotics ordered, accounting for more than half of all antibiotic use within the entire institution (143/227; 63%). Fluconazole was the most common antifungal prescribed, making up 50% (8/16) of all antifungal orders while oseltamivir was the most common antiviral prescribed, making up 67% (4/6) of all antiviral orders within the entire institution. Anti-pseudomonal antibiotics (aminoglycosides, carbapenems, ceftazidime, ciprofloxacin, and piperacillin–tazobactam) accounted for 30% (62/207) of antibiotics in acute care, and 10% (2/20) of antibiotics in long-term care. Ciprofloxacin accounted for 44% (27/62) of all anti-pseudomonal antibiotics used in acute care.

In the acute care setting, 38% (86/228) of all antimicrobials were ordered as an oral agent, while in long-term care, 86% (18/21) of antimicrobials were ordered as an oral agent. The use of oral formulation for antibiotics with high oral bioavailability in the acute care setting was 68% (25/37) for fluoroquinolones, 20% (1/5) for clindamycin, 27% (6/22) for metronidazole, and 67% (6/9) for co-trimoxazole. Antimicrobials were ordered most frequently for definitive treatment with highest prevalence of use in the intensive care, general medicine, and oncology units. Empiric antimicrobial therapy was most frequently used in the oncology unit (Table 3). The two most common types of infection requiring antimicrobial therapy were respiratory tract and urinary tract infections; each occurred more often in the acute care setting (Table 4).

At the time of the survey, 10% of antimicrobial orders (26/249; 1/21 in long-term care and 25/228 in acute care) had been prescribed for greater than 7 days and 6% (15/249; 1/21 in long term care and 14/228 in acute care) had been prescribed for greater than 14 days. Among the surgical prophylaxis orders, 25% (3/12) had been prescribed for greater than 24 h and 8% (1/12) had been prescribed for greater than 48 h. Of the prophylaxis orders, eleven were for cefazolin, and one was for ciprofloxacin, which was ordered by the urology service.

The ID service was consulted in the previous 7 days for 26% (6/23) of patients who received

