

Exploring the Immersion and Telepresence in Gamified Virtual Tourism Experience toward Tourist's Behavior

Aniesa Samira Bafadhal* Tourism Program, Faculty of Administrative Science Brawijaya University Malang, Indonesia *aniesa.bafadhal@ub.ac.id

Abstract—Research and application of virtual tourism has been rapidly developing in the industrial era 4.0. However, research about perspective of tourist behavior in virtual tourism is still lacking, especially in Indonesia. This research method used is explanatory research with a survey that implemented on tourist to gamification-based virtual tourism presented of Virtual Arena in Jawa Timur Park 3. The results of the analysis shows that the ability to create virtual experiences in the form of immersion is not necessarily followed by the ability to provide telepresence experiences for a virtual destination, therefore it produces different effects on tourist behavior such as attitude and revisit intention.

Keywords—virtual tourism; gamificatio; immersion; telepresence; attitude; revisit intention

I. INTRODUCTION

A new paradigm called virtual tourism has become a buzzword in the academic and industrial world of 4.0. The belief that the massive advances of smart objects, artificial intelligence and x-reality (virtual reality, augmented reality and mixed reality) in the past few years will continue in the future has underpinned the emergence of virtual tourism. Virtual tourism is ground zero for the new phase of global tourism transformation that supported by technological innovation, generating economic opportunities and forming a new tourism sector.

Virtual tourism can be interpreted as a digital presentation of the actual tourist attraction, where geometric properties of three-dimensional space are communicated to virtual tourists (also called tele-tourists) in such a way that they can provide immersion and telepresence from tourist objects that seem to be real [1]. Virtual tourism environment is expressed as a space that is not real, but theoretically exists [2].

Virtual tourists have the advantage of using virtual tourism including being able to freely choose and adjust their needs, related with his/her tourism trips [3]. Virtual tourism can also be an alternative for people with disabilities [4], as a tourist alternative that is tourism traveling and for the treatment of traumascape [5,6].

Muhammad Rosyihan Hendrawan Library and Information Program, Faculty of Administrative Science Brawijaya University Malang, Indonesia mrhendrawan@ub.ac.id

Virtual Reality (VR) offers many possibilities that are useful for tourism to create or expand virtual experiences that can be accepted in a tourist destination and/or used by tourists as a real alternative visit [6]. However, there is an opinion which states that virtual tourism cannot fully meet tourism needs, it can only complete the real travel [4]. This is because there are many aspects of real tourism experience that can never be fully imitated in virtual tourism.

There are several basic types of the main objects of virtual tourism [1], one of it is the use of internet applications based on software similar to computer games, where with an avatar, moves in the virtual world. Jawa Timur Park Group has created virtual tourism as a complementary part of conventional tourism-themed educational parks that they developed at Jawa Timur Park 3 in Batu City, East Java, Indonesia in the form of Virtual Arena. Basically, Virtual Arena is a visual technology-based gamification playground where it is claimed that in Indonesia this zone only exists in Jawa Timur Park 3 (figure 1).



Fig. 1. Gamified virtual tour in virtual arena Jawa Timur park 3.

The most important thing about forming a virtual experience is the capacity to provide a sense of physical presence (immersion) and psychological presence (telepresence) from visitors to the virtual environment [7]. Therefore, this study aims to evaluate the behavior of tourists that influence by immersion and telepresence which are presented in virtual environments in gamification towards



tourist attitudes [8-15]. Furthermore, the researcher also indicated that the attitude of tourists could influence the interest of tourist to revisit after they visited the virtual environment [12,16,17].

The originality offered in this research is that no other research has been found about the experience of immersion and telepresence in gamification-based virtual tourist destinations in the perspective of tourist behavior therefore, this research is the pioneer. In Indonesia there is only found research from an informatics engineering perspective by developing applications and games that are suitable for virtual tourism [18,19]. Although, some researchers have conducted research on gamification and tourism in a tourist perspective but it did not examine immersive and telepresence experiences [20-22].

Immersion is defined as a state of feeling inside and interacting with a virtual environment that provides continuous stimulation, a real illusion from reality to the senses [22]. Whereas telepresence is defined in the literature as a psychological state where a user feels immersed in a mediated virtual environment [23]. In summary, the term immersion can be said to refer to the level of objectivity regarding the accuracy of the sensors provided by virtual reality systems [24]. Whereas presence refers to the user's subjective psychological response to virtual reality systems.

Attitude is an evaluative assessment of an object that related with likes or dislikes degree [5]. While, the interest in revisiting is the possibility for tourists to visit a destination again, and this behavior is considered an expression of loyalty or concrete action that refers to the willingness of a tourist to revisit a destination of the same destination [25,26].

The framework and hypothesis of this research are formed from the underlying theoretical and empirical basis (figure 2).



Fig. 2. Research framework and hypothesis.

II. METHODS

This research method used is the explanatory research with a survey quantitative approach [27]. The variables in this study consisted of exogenous variables, such as Immersion and Telepresence and endogenous variables, such as Attitude and Revisit Intention.

A. Sample and Data Collection

The number of sample determine in this study was carried out using the Roscoe formula therefore the number of samples in this study are 4 variables multiplied by 10, into 40 respondents [28]. The sampling method in this study was carried out with a purposive sampling approach with the sample criteria; 1) visitors of Jawa Timur Park 3 virtual arena; 2) aged \geq 17 years and 3) have tried one virtual reality vehicle at least once.

B. Analysis Procedures (a)

In this study the data analysis method used to prove the hypothesis proposed in this study with Generalized Structured Component Analysis (GSCA) with online web-based GSCA. GSCA can be applied to small samples because of the resampling method (bootstrapping sample) [29].

C. Analysis Procedures (b)

To measure Immersion (IM) the researcher uses dimensions and indicators and consisting of Challenge Based Immersion (CI), System Immersion (SI) and Imagintive Immersion (IMA) [30,31]. Furthermore, this study measures Telepresence (TL), using MEC Spatial Presence Questionnaire (MEC-SPQ) which consists of Self Location (SL) and Possible Action (PA) [32]. In addition, for Attitude (AT) and Revisit Intention (RI), it was measured using changes after experiencing VR from the previous study [33].

III. RESULTS

A. Evaluation Result of Outer Model

The results of the suitability evaluation of variable measurement models can be seen in Table 1. Based on the test results it is known that all the criteria used have good values.

Parameter	Result of Outer Model		Rule of Thumb	Note	
Discriminant Validity Second Order Analysist					
	Dimension				
AVE	CI	0.533		Good	
	SI	0.404			
	IMA	0.590	0.1		
	SL	0.643	0-1		
	PA	0.628			
	AT	0.597			
	RI	0.565			
		Composite Reliabi	lity		
		Dimension			
	CI	0.529			
Cronbach's Alpha	SI	0.865		Good	
	IMA	0.857	> 0.500		
	SL	0.803	≥ 0.500		
	PA	0.798			
	AT	0.770			
	RI	0.740]		

TABLE I. EVALUATION RESULT OF OUTER MODEL

B. Evaluation Result of Inner Model (a)

Table 2 indicates that the results of the evaluation of the structural model of the overall variables in this study show good value.

TABLE II. EVALUATION RESULT OF INNER MODEL

Parameter	Result of Inner Model	Rule of Thumb	Note
FIT	0.530	0-1	Good
AFIT	0.501	≥ 0.500	Good

C. Evaluation Result of Inner Model (b)

Table 3 indicates the overall evaluation in this research that show good value.

TABLE III. EVALUATION RESULT OF OVERALL MODEL

Parameter	Result of Overall Model	Rule of Thumb	Note
SRMR	0.221	Nearly 0	Good
GFI	0.977	≥ 0.500	Good

D. Evaluation Result of Inner Model (c)

Table 4 indicates the overall evaluation in this research that show good value.

TABLE 4. HYPOTHESIS TESTING RESULT

Η	Effect	Path Coefecient	CR	Note	Result
1	IM->AT	0.776	10.77*	Sig (+)	Accepted
2	TL->AT	0.324	2.33	Not Sig (+)	Denied
3	AT->RI	0.746	10.55*	Sig (+)	Accepted

IV. DISCUSSION

Hypothesis 1 is acceptable and in line with the results of this research [8-10]. It shows that the virtual environment in the playground of virtual arena in Jawa Timur Park 3 has been able to provide challenge based immersion both motor and mental challenges and encouragement to achieve goals; provide good immersion systems such as ability to reach object, the ability to see around freely, lighting, music, vibration, sound, pixel color, graphic display, diversity of visual forms and diversity of graphic content; and build imaginative immersion from themes, characters, narratives that seem to feel in a real environment. Therefore, immersiveness is able to create changes in visitor attitudes such as feeling to like and impress towards a virtual tourist destination. The positive direction of the influence of immersion and attitudes shows that the better the immersion presented on a virtual vehicle will further increase the positive attitude of the visitor.

Moreover, hypothesis 2 is not acceptable and the results of this study are contradict with the results of research [9-15]. These results indicate that the virtual environment in the playground of Jawa Timur Park 3 virtual arena has not been able to create a feeling as if the self-location from the real location to the virtual location and closed access to the real environment and has not been able to provide the ability to possible actions such as able to move actively and freely between objects and do anything in the virtual environment. Therefore, the telepresence has not been able to create changes in visitor attitudes such as feeling to like and impress towards a virtual tourist destination. This can be happened since the gamification presented in the virtual playground still has a short storyline or duration which make the new visitors feels limited to enjoy the immersiveness that presented and have not yet reached the expected telepresence.

Furthermore, hypothesis 3 is acceptable and strengthen the theory of Planned Behavior and is in line with the results of the study [12,16,17]. It can be said that the virtual environment in the playground of virtual arena in Jawa Timur Park 3 has been able to give a positive attitude such as feeling to like, interest, enjoy and memorable. Therefore, the attitude of visitors is able to create a desire again in the near future and the willingness to plan a repeat visit to the virtual destination. The positive direction of the relationship between the influence of attitudes and interest in revisiting shows that the better the attitude after visitor to return.

V. CONCLUSION

Gamification-based virtual tourism that created by Jawa Timur Park 3 on a virtual arena playground is considered only capable to give a sense of immersion but is less able to provide a feeling of psychological presence (telepresence) so that the virtual experience that visitors experience inside the virtual environment cannot necessarily encourage positive attitudes and interest to revisit. In the future, virtual destination providers need to pay attention to narration, flow, the right duration and flexibility to move and interact with the virtual environment.

There are still lack of x-reality technology used in Indonesia destination therefore, further research can be carried out experimental research through on lab or web based VR [8,25]. In addition, research on the influence of virtual tourism can be carried out for traumascape, disability and elderly [6,34,35].

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors grateful to the Faculty of Administrative Science for supporting this research under grant number 7794/UN.10.F03.06/PN/2018.

REFERENCES

- K. Stepaniuk, U. Bałakier, A. Januszewska, "Virtual tours in the opinion of the users of social networking sites in Poland and Belarus", Economics and Management, Issue 1, pp. 333-345, 2014.
- [2] W. Bogdan, "Space in Tourism, Tourism in Space: On the Need for Definition, Delimitation and Classification", Turyzm/ Tourism, Sciendo, vol. 24(1), pp. 1-10, 2014.
- [3] P. Williams, J.S.P. Hobson, "Virtual reality and tourism: fact or fantasy?" Tour-ism Management, vol. 16(6), pp. 423-427, 1995.
- [4] Z. Hu, Z. Cao, J. Shi, Research of Interactive Product Design for Virtual Tour-ism, in: D. Jin, S. Lin (eds.), Advances in Electronic Engineering, Communication and Management, Proceedings of the EECM 2011 International Conference on Electronic Engineering, Communication and Management, held December 24-25, 2011, Beijing, China, pp. 411-416, 2012.



- [5] R. Doran, D. Hanss, S. Larsen, "Attitudes, efficacy beliefs, and willingness to pay for environmental protection when travelling", Tourism and Hospitality Research, vol. 15(4), pp. 281–29, 2015.
- [6] L. Kaelber, "A memorial as virtual traumascape: Darkest tourism in 3d and cyber-space to the gas chambers of Auschwitz", e-Review of Tourism Research, vol. 5, 2 (June), pp. 24–33, 2007.
- [7] M. Gutie'rrez, F. Vexo, D. Thalmann, Stepping into virtual reality. London: Springer, 2008.
- [8] D. Vekony, S. Korneliussen, Immersive Virtual Reality in Destination Marketing. Evidence From Lab and Field Experiments. Norwegian School of Economics: Bergen, 2016.
- [9] N. Spielmann, A. Mantonakis, B.J. Babin, A. Manthiou, "The Telepresence Effect: Changing Attitudes Via Virtual Tours in Marketing Communications", in NA - Advances in Consumer Research Volume 44, eds. Page Moreau and Stefano Puntoni, Duluth, MN : Association for Consumer Research, 2016, Pages: 759-759.
- [10] D. Fonseca, M. Kraus, A comparison of head-mounted and hand-held displays for 360° videos with focus on attitude and behavior change, Academic Mindtrek '16 Proceedings of the 20th International Academic Mindtrek Conference, Tampere, Finland — October 17 - 18, 2016, pp. 287-299.
- [11] M.Y. Hyun, R.M. O'Keefe, "Virtual destination image: Testing a telepresence model". Journal of Business Research, vol. 65, pp. 29-35, 2012.
- [12] I.P. Tussyadiah, D. Wang, C.H. Jia, Virtual reality and attitudes toward tourism destinations. In Schegg, R., & Stangl, B. (Eds.), Information and Communication Technologies in Tourism 2017. Springer International Publishing.
- [13] K.S. Suh, Y.E. Lee, "The effects of virtual reality on consumer learning: An empirical investigation". MIS Quarterly, vol. 29(4), pp. 673-697, 2005.
- [14] L.R. Klein, "Creating virtual product experiences: The role of telepresence". Journal of Interactive Marketing, vol. 17(1), pp. 41-55, 2003.
- [15] Y. Cho, Y. Wang, D.R. Fesenmaier, "Searching for experiences: The web-based virtual tour in tourism marketing". Journal of Travel & Tourism Marketing, vol. 12 (4), pp. 1-17, 2002.
- [16] I. Ajzen, "The theory of planned behavior". Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, vol. 50 (2), pp. 179-211, 1991.
- [17] H.C. Wu, T. Li, "A Study of Experiential Quality, Perceived Value, Heritage Image, Experiential Satisfaction, and Behavioral Intentions for Heritage Tourists". Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Research, vol. 41(8), pp. 904–944, 2017.
- [18] I.T. Handoko, S.M. Marselina, A.M. Huda, R. Wijaya, Bandung Advanced Tour Game Petualangan Wisata Bandung Berbasis Mobile Menggunakan Teknologi Virtual Reality. eProceedings of Applied Science. 2015 Aug 1, vol. 1(2).
- [19] G.N.M. Nata, "Aplikasi Virtual Tour Guide Sebagai Promosi Pariwisata Bali", Jurnal Sistem dan Informatika, vol. 11, No. 2, Mei 2017, pp. 73-79, 2017.

- [20] E. Bartoli, B. Elmi, D. Pascuzzi, A. Smorti, "Gamification in Tourism, Mini Review", Psychology Behavaviour Science International Journal, vol. 8, Issue 3 - February 2018, pp.1-2, 2018.
- [21] H.M. Hamed, "Investigating The Effectiveness Of Applying Gamification On Tourism Websites From The Customers' Perspective: An Exploratory Study", European Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Research, vol.5, No. 4, December 2017, pp. 1-27, 2017.
- [22] B.G. Witmer, M.J. Singer, "Measuring presence in virtual environments: A presence questionnaire". Presence: Teleoperators and virtual environments, vol. 7(3), pp. 225-240, 1998.
- [23] T.W. Schubert, "A New Conception of Spatial Presence: Once Again, with Feeling". Communication Theory, vol. 19 (2), pp. 161-187, 2009.
- [24] M. Slater, S. Wilbur, A framework for Immersive Virtual Environments (FIVE): Speculations on the Role of Presence in Virtual environments. Presence: Teleoperators and Virtual Environments, 1997, pp. 603-616.
- [25] D.A. Baker, C. Crompton, "Quality, Satisfaction and Behavioral Intentions". Annals of Tourism Research, vol. 27, pp. 785-804, 2000.
- [26] M. Kozak, "Repeaters' behaviour at two distinct destinations". Annals of Tourism Research, 28 (3), pp. 784-807, 2001.
- [27] D.R. Cooper, P.S. Schindler, Business Research Methods. (8th ed.). Boston: McGraw-Hill Irwin, 2003.
- [28] U. Sekaran, Reseach Methods for Business Metode Penelitian Untuk Bisnis. Book 1 . (4th ed). Jakarta: Salemba Empat, 2006.
- [29] S. Solimun. Penguatan Confirmatory Reseach Pemodelan Persamaan Struktural Generalized Structured Componeny Analysis GSCA. Program Studi Statistika FMIPA Universitas Brawijaya. Malang, 2012.
- [30] L. Ermi, F. Mayra, "Fundamental Components of the Gameplay Experience: Analysing Immersion," Proceedings of the DiGRA Conference on Changing Views: Worlds in Play, Vancouver, 16-20 June 2005.
- [31] M. Slater, S. Wilbur, Through the looking glassworld of presence: A framework for immersive virtual environments. In M. Slater (Ed.), FIVE '95 Framework for Immersive Virtual Environments. 1995. QMW University of London
- [32] P. Vorderer, W. Wirth, T. Saari, F.R. Gouveia, F. Biocca, L. Jäncke, Development of the MEC spatial presence questionnaire (MEC-SPQ). 2004. Unpublished project report. Presence: MEC (IST-2001-37661).
- [33] C.N. Lai, T.K. Yu, J.K. Kuo, "How to say sorry: Increasing revisit intention through effective service recovery in theme parks". Social Behavior and Personality: An International Journal, vol. 38(4), pp. 509-514, 2010.
- [34] A. Rizzo, P. Requejo, C.J. Winstein, B. Lange, G. Ragusa, A. Merians, J. Patton, P. Banerjee, M. Aisen, Virtual Reality Applications for Addressing the Needs of those Aging with Disability, Medicine Meets Virtual Reality, J.D. Westwood et al. (Eds.), IOS Press, 2011, pp. 501-516.
- [35] C.S. Lin, M.Y. Jeng, T.M. Yeh, "The Elderly Perceived Meanings and Values of Virtual Reality Leisure Activities: A Means-End Chain Approach". International Journal Environment Res Public Health, Apr 3, vol. 15(4), 2018.