Ahmad Dahlan International Conference Series on Pharmacy and Health Science (ADICS-PHS 2019)

Brief Counselling with Self-evaluation Drug Use Sheet To Improve the effectivity of Drug Therapy in Diabetes Mellitus with Hypertension Complication Outpatients

Akrom

Pharmacology and Clinical Pharmacy

Universitas Ahmad Dahlan

Yogyakarta, Indonesia

akrom@pharm.uad.ac.id

Sari Ramadhani
Magister Pharmacy Program
Universitas Ahmad Dahlan
Yogyakarta, Indonesia
Sarirahmadhani456@gmail.com

Zuhruf Ginanjar Saputri

Pharmacology and Clinical Pharmacy

Universitas Ahmad Dahlan

Yogyakarta, Indonesia

zukhruf.alparslan@gmail.com

Abstract-Brief counseling by Pharmacist (BCP) and selfevaluation drug use sheet (SEDUS) may improve patients' behavioral changes, thereby improve blood pressure levels. The study aims to determine the effect of BCP and self-SEDUS on blood pressure and blood glucose level of outpatients with diabetes mellitus and hypertension complication (DMHC) with standard therapy at Polyclinic of Internal Medicine in Public Hospital, Bantul, Yogyakarta. We conducted a quasiexperimental study with prospective data collection during March-May 2017. A total of 99 DMHC who met the eligibility criteria were divided into three groups, 33 patients each group, respectively. The control group received standard therapy with routine drug information from hospital Pharmacist. The treatment group 1, received standard therapy and BC from the pharmacist (BCP) counselor. The treatment group 2, received conventional therapy and BCP combination with SEDUS. We presented demographic and clinical characteristic, descriptively. We analyzed the mean difference of blood pressure and blood glucose level between the group with one way-ANOVA and multiple comparisons. Result: The Posttreatment systolic blood pressure (PTSBP) in the treatment groups I and II were lower than PTSBP in the control group (p<0.05). The post-treatment blood sugar levels (PTBGL) in the treatment groups were lower than PTBGL in the control group (p<0.05). BCP combination with SEDUS intervention for one month reduced SBP and blood sugar levels (p< 0.05). Conclusion: brief Counselling and SEDUS in patients DMHC at Public Hospital, Bantul, Yogyakarta improved effectivity of anti-hypertension and anti-diabetes Mellitus drug.

Keywords—diabetes mellitus and hypertension complications, brief counseling, self-evaluation drug use, medication behavior change

I. INTRODUCTION

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a metabolic disorder, characterized by chronic hyperglycemia caused by insulin secretion abnormalities, insulin work, or both [1]-[3]. DM is one of the public health problems in Indonesia [4]. DM patients routinely and for a long time, need hypoglycemic drugs to control blood sugar levels. The incidence of noncompliance with DM patients undergoing therapy with hypoglycemic drugs is still high [5]-[6]. DM patient

compliance treatment related to quality of life and prevention of complications. The determinants factors of determining the compliance of DM patients in undergoing treatment are the amount of drug and the level of knowledge [7]. One of the benefits of pharmacists in pharmaceutical care is the provision of education and counseling to patients to improve therapeutic success and motivate patients to follow established therapeutic regimens [8]-[10]. In addition to the verbal, counseling can also be done with written materials such as leaflets and self-evaluation drug use sheet (SEDUS) that serves as a reminder to increase knowledge and strengthen what is conveyed by pharmacists during counseling [11]-[12].

Health promotion in the form of health education by pharmacists is an essential factor in increasing patient knowledge [13]-[15]. One of the factors that affect understanding is information.. This information can be obtained through brief counseling given by pharmacists. PBC methods have been developed by pharmacists to apply to pharmaceutical care in patients with chronic diseases such as hypertension, DM or asthma [9], [10], [16], [17]. Based on research conducted by Saputri, it is known that brief counseling increases the success of antihypertensive drug therapy [17]. A study by Saputri (2016) showed that 5A brief counseling and a motivational SMS could improve compliance and control of blood pressure with treatment group. The Saputri study is in line with previous research, which found that pharmaceutical interventions enhance compliance and quality of life [17]-[20]. Brief counseling by pharmacists significantly alters physical activity habits (p<0.05) in the treatment group of hypertensive outpatients in the Internal Medicine Polyclinic [21], [22].

Patient-centered DM management becomes one of the effective models in increasing the success of DM therapy [23]. Transforming medical behavior to the DM Patient requires media and methods that are easy and practical. Health promotion oriented behavior change is not enough to provide information. Self-evaluation drug use sheet is patients' ability to assess therapy success through behavioral changes. Self-evaluation drug use sheet is done by the patient independently



with an assisted pharmacist as a counselor, to achieve understanding and compliance in drug therapy [12], [24].

Based on this, the authors are interested in conducting further research on BCP and SEDUS. We expected that PBC combination with SEDUS could improve the effectivity of hypoglycemic and anti-hypertension drug therapy so that patients' blood sugar and blood pressure can be controlled.

II. METHOD

A. Design, Subject, and Instrument

The study was conducted using a quasi-experimental design with a before and after with control design. Patients' data were taken prospectively at Polyclinic of Internal Medicine, Public Hospital, Bantul from March-May 2017.

The sample size calculation in this study was calculated based on the OpenEpi, statistical formula obtained at least each group of \pm 30 patients with 10% non-compliance and 90% power. The inclusion criteria were cooperative male and female patients and available for interview, aged 18-99 with bodyweight category of obesity, based on BMI calculation, and receiving at least one kind of antihyperglycemic and antihypertensives drug. The exclusion criteria were deafness, illiteracy, pregnancy, heart and kidney problems, under hormonal medications and routine other medicines such as TB drugs, HIV and AIDS and history of stroke and hemodialysis.

We used questionnaires and SEDUS for collecting data. Before the instrument used in the research, validation test of the questionnaires and SEDUS form has been done for the diabetes mellitus with hypertension complication patients at PKU Muhammdiyah Hospital and Gading Clinic. The preliminary test has also been done to avoid bias and ambiguity [25], [26].

The research protocol was reviewed and obtained ethical clearance by the research ethics committee of Ahmad Dahlan University.

B. Intervention and Data Analysis

The eligible subjects were divided into three groups. There were 33 patients as the control group. The control group received advice routine care from the pharmacy installation. In the treatment group I, the 33 patients received PBC from the pharmacist counselor and in the treatment group 2, the 33 patients received PBC combination with SEDUS.

The PBC was given on the first visit (pre) by the professional trained-Pharmacist, and SEDUS was completed by the subjects every day until the second visit (post). The data were collected with behavioral changes questionnaires, while blood pressure and blood sugar were taken from subjects' medical records and verified directly with patients. Blood pressure control refers to JNC 8, that is for <60 years the blood pressure level of <140/90 mmHg is categorized as controlled, but >140 or >90 mmHg is uncontrolled

Statistical analysis to determine the correlation between behavioral change and blood pressure was done using the chisquare test, one way ANOVA and post hock.

III. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

A. Demographic and Clinical Characteristic

A total of 99 eligible subjects were divided into control group (n=33), treatment group 1 (n=33) and treatment group 2 (n=33). Table I shown the demographic characteristics of subjects in each group.

TABLE I. DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS OF DMH SUBJECTS IN PUBLIC HOSPITAL, BANTUL

Patient Characteri stics	Control (n=33)	The treatment I (n=33)	The treatment 2(n=33)+	Total (n =99)	P	
sucs	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)		
Sex						
Male	16(15,9)	9(8,9)	8(7,9)	33 (32,7)	0,0	
Female	17 (16,8)	24(23,8)	25(24,8)	66(65,4)	75	
Status						
Married	30(29,7)	33(32.7)	33(32,7)	96 (95,1)	0,0	
Single	3 (3,0)	0	0(0)	3(3,0)	45*	
BMI (kg/m2)				I		
<18,5	3(3,0)	1(1.0)	0(0)	4(4,0)		
18,5-24,9	18 (17.8)	17(16.8)	20(19,8)	55(54,5)	0,1	
25,0-29,9	11(10.9)	9(8.9)	12(11,9)	32(31,7)	07	
30,0-34,9	1(1)	6(5.9)	1(1,0)	8(7,9)		
Age (years)		-				
18-65	24(23.8)	23(22.8)	25(24,8)	72(71,4)		
66-79	9 (8.9)	7(6.9)	7(6,9)	23(22,7)	0,4 15	
80-99	0 (0)	3(3.0)	1(1,0)	4(4,0)	13	
Education						
0-9 years	13(12.9)	17(16.8)	14(13,9)	44(43,6)		
10-12 years	10(9.9)	7	10(9,9)	27(26,7)	0,8 56	
>12 years	10(9.9)	9(8.9)	9(8,9)	28(27,7)	30	
Occupation				•	•	
Civil Servant	3(3)	6(5.9)	2(2,0)	11(10,9)		
Entreprene ur	6(5.9)	0(0)	2(2,0)	8(7,9)		
Farmer	0 (0)	0(0)	1(1,0)	1(1,0)		
Unemploye d	21(20.8)	25(24.8)	25(24,8)	71(70,4)	0,1 82	
Private sector	1(1)	1(1.0)	0(0)	2(2,0)		
Labor	2 (2)	1(1.0)	3(3,0)	6(6,0)		
Fisherman	0 (0)	0 (0)	0(0)	0(0)	1	

Notes: Notes:Treatment 1=brief counselling; treatment =combination brief counselling+ Self-evaluation drug use

P is the significance value. There is a significant difference (p <0.05) between treatment and control using the Chi-Square test for the category variables

Based on Table 1, it is known that the majority of subjects were women (67.3%), married (95.1%), young, i.e. less than 65 years (71.4%) and educated more than junior high schools (54%). Demographic characteristics of subjects (sex (P = 0.075), age (P = 0.415), education (P = 0.856), and occupation (P = 0.182)) are similar between treatment and control group.



B. Clinical characteristics

Clinical characteristics and lifestyle of the subjects are presented in Table II.

TABLE II. LIFESTYLE AND CLINICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF DMH SUBJECTS IN PUBLIC HOSPITAL. BANTUL

Patient Characte ristics	Control group (n=33)		The treatment group I (n=33)		the treatment group II (n=33)		Total (n=99)		P
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Smoking									
Status			<u> </u>						0,3
Yes	2	2,0	1	1,0	0	0,0	3	3,0	57
No	31	30,7	32	31,7	33	32,7	96	95, 1	
Physical exercise							ı		
1x/ day	15	14,8	15	14,9	11	10,9	41	40,	
112 day	10	1.,0	10	1.,,		10,5		6	
1x/ week	9	8,9	12	11,8	17	16,8	38	37, 5	0,4 74
1x/	4	4,0	4	4,0	2	2,0	10	10,	
month	5	5.0	2	2.0	3	2.0	10	0	
No	3	5,0	2	2,0	3	3,0	10	10, 0	
Diet									
Salt	0	0	2	2,0	0	0	2	2,0	
Sugar	1	1,0	0	0	0	0	1	1,0	
Cholester ol	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Salt +	12	11,9	13	12,9	15	14,9	40	39,	0,6
Sugar	12	11,5	13	12,7	13	14,2	70	7	04
Salt+	19	18,8	19	18,8	18	17,8	56	55,	
Sugar + Cholester		,-		,-		.,,		4	
ol <i>Health</i>									
ol Health Cost	1	10					1	10	
ol Health Cost Personal	1 32	1,0	0 33	0	0 33	0	1 00	1,0	
ol Health Cost	1 32	1,0	0 33	0 32,7	0 33	0 32,7	1 98	1,0 97, 1	0,3 64
ol Health Cost Personal BPJS Other								97,	
ol Health Cost Personal BPJS Other Insurance	32	31,7	33	32,7	33	32,7	98	97, 1	
ol Health Cost Personal BPJS Other Insurance DM	32	31,7	33	32,7	33	32,7	98	97, 1	
ol Health Cost Personal BPJS Other Insurance DM duration	32	31,7	33	32,7	33	32,7	98	97, 1 0	
ol Health Cost Personal BPJS Other Insurance DM duration < 10	32	31,7	33	32,7	33	32,7	98	97, 1 0	64
ol Health Cost Personal BPJS Other Insurance DM duration < 10 years	32 0 20	31,7	33 0	32,7	33 0	32,7	98 0	97, 1 0 46, 6	0,2
ol Health Cost Personal BPJS Other Insurance DM duration < 10 years 10-20	32	31,7	33	32,7	33	32,7	98	97, 1 0 46, 6 44,	0,2
ol Health Cost Personal BPJS Other Insurance DM duration < 10 years 10-20 years	32 0 20	31,7 0 19,8 10,9	33 0 13 16	32,7 0 12,9 15,8	33 0 14 18	32,7 0 13,9 17,8	98 0 47 45	97, 1 0 46, 6 44, 5	0,2
ol Health Cost Personal BPJS Other Insurance DM duration < 10 years 10-20 years >20 years	32 0 20	31,7	33 0	32,7	33 0	32,7	98 0	97, 1 0 46, 6 44,	0,2
ol Health Cost Personal BPJS Other Insurance DM duration < 10 years 10-20 years >20 years DM+HT	32 0 20	31,7 0 19,8 10,9	33 0 13 16	32,7 0 12,9 15,8	33 0 14 18	32,7 0 13,9 17,8	98 0 47 45	97, 1 0 46, 6 44, 5	0,2
ol Health Cost Personal BPJS Other Insurance DM duration < 10 years 10-20 years >20 years	32 0 20	31,7 0 19,8 10,9	33 0 13 16	32,7 0 12,9 15,8	33 0 14 18	32,7 0 13,9 17,8	98 0 47 45	97, 1 0 46, 6 44, 5 7,0	0,2 34
ol Health Cost Personal BPJS Other Insurance DM duration < 10 years 10-20 years >20 years DM+HT History	32 0 20 11 2	19,8 10,9 2,0	33 0 13 16 4	32,7 0 12,9 15,8 4,0	33 0 14 18	32,7 0 13,9 17,8 1,0	98 0 47 45 7	97, 1 0 46, 6 44, 5 7,0	
ol Health Cost Personal BPJS Other Insurance DM duration < 10 years 10-20 years >20 years DM+HT History Yes No Drug	32 0 20 11 2	19,8 10,9 2,0	33 0 13 16 4	12,9 15,8 4,0	33 0 14 18 1	32,7 0 13,9 17,8 1,0	98 0 47 45 7	97, 1 0 46, 6 44, 5 7,0	0,2 34
ol Health Cost Personal BPJS Other Insurance DM duration < 10 years 10-20 years >20 years DM+HT History Yes No Drug amount	32 0 20 11 2 14	19,8 10,9 2,0 13,9 18,8	13 16 4 15	12,9 15,8 4,0 14,9 16,8	33 0 14 18 1 10 24	32,7 0 13,9 17,8 1,0 9,9 23,8	98 0 47 45 7 39 60	97, 1 0 46, 6 44, 5 7,0 38, 7 59, 4	0,2 34
ol Health Cost Personal BPJS Other Insurance DM duration < 10 years 10-20 years >20 years DM+HT History Yes No Drug	32 0 20 11 2	19,8 10,9 2,0	33 0 13 16 4	12,9 15,8 4,0	33 0 14 18 1	32,7 0 13,9 17,8 1,0	98 0 47 45 7	97, 1 0 46, 6 44, 5 7,0	0,2 34

Notes: Notes: Treatment 1=brief counselling; treatment =combination brief counselling+ Self-evaluation drug use

P is the significance value. There is a significant difference (p <0.05) between treatment and control using the Chi-Square test for the category variables

Based on Table II, most of the Subjects were non-smokers, regular exercise every day, carbohydrate and salt diet, health financing by BPJS and taking the drug more than two drugs.

Statistically, the duration of illness, diagnosis of the disease, the number of drugs, and the payment types of the three groups were the same (p> 0.05).

C. Filling Self-Evaluation Drug Use sheet (SEDUS)

Therapy success can be achieved by ensuring that patients understand the information given by pharmacists during the brief counseling. If they do, they will be able to change their treatment behavior by complying with medication and maintaining a healthy lifestyle. The SEDUS form can improve the success of treatment for patients with diabetes mellitus and hypertensive complications because the patients must complete the form every day. It is expected that SEDUS can improve patients' compliance in taking medication. The patients' response to the SEDUS is shown in Table III.

TABLE III. DATA ON SEDUS OF DMH PATIENTS IN PUBLIC HOSPITAL, BANTUL

.А		1	3	С		Total
Σ	%	Σ	%	Σ	%	Total
18	54,54	10	30,30	5	15,15	33

From Table III, it is known that of the 33 DMH patients in the treatment group II who completed the self-evaluation sheet for drug use there were 18 (54.54%) patients (A group). As many as 10 (30.30%) DMH patients fill out SEDUS but are incomplete (B group) and the remaining 5 (15.15%) do not or very minimal fill out the SEDUS (C group).

D. Effect of brief counseling and SEDUS on Blood Pressure and Blood glucose level

Table IV shown the impact of brief counseling and brief counseling combined with SEDUS on clinical outcomes. There was a significant difference in systolic and diastolic blood pressure at pre and post-treatment in the treatment group 2 (p <0.05). In the treatment group 1, post-treatment systolic blood pressure (PTSBP) was lower than pretreatment. Post- treatment systolic blood pressure (PTSBP) in the treatment group I or II were lower than the PTSB in control group (p <0.05). There was no significant difference in diastolic blood pressure between the treatment and control groups (p>0.05).

From Table IV it was found that the provision of short counseling or SEDUS increased the efficacy of antihypertensive drug therapy, indicated by systolic blood pressure after treatment lower than the systolic blood pressure before treatment (p <0.05). From Table IV, it was found that the provision of short counseling or SEDUS may increase the effectiveness of antihyperglycemic drug therapy, although it is not statistically significant. (p>0.05). This study is different from the results of previous research; the time factor of treatment seems to play a role in this [27].

Pharmaceutical care practice is intended to meet a need in the health care system that has arisen due to the increase in complexity of drug therapy and the significant level of drugrelated morbidity and mortality associated with drug use. Pharmaceutical care using BCP combined with SEDUS has a better effect than using one of them. Pharmaceutical care is an important aspect in realizing therapeutic goals for patients with chronic illness [12], [27], [28].



TABLE IV. THE MEAN VALUES OF BLOOD PRESSURE AND BLOOD GLUCOSE LEVEL IN CONTROL AND TREATMENT GROUPS, PRE AND POSTTREATMENT, OUTPATIENTS DMH OF INTERNAL DISEASE POLICLINIC, PUBLIC HOSPITAL, BANTUL, YOGYAKARTA

	Tr				
Variable	Control	The I Treatm ent	The II Treatment	p	
Blood Pressure					
Sistolic:pre	139,24±15,5 3	135,30±13, 46	139,55±16 ,22	>0.05	
post	132,88±12, 81	126,94±8,8 6*	129,55±9, 55*	< 0.05	
р	>0.05	< 0.05	<0.0 5		
Diastolic:pr e	84,70±8,27	83,64±8,22	87,12±9,2 7	>0.05	
post	83,64±10,4 0	81,21±8,29	83,68±9,1 6*	>0.05	
p	>0.05	>0.05	<0.0 5		
Blood Sugar					
Pre	203.48±68. 55	200,24±1 7.98	189.76±13 .08	>0.05	
Post	187.36±60. 45	175,55±1 1.29	153.73±9. 35	< 0.05	
p	>0.05	< 0.05	<0.0 5		

Notes: Treatment 1=brief counselling; treatment =combination brief counselling+ Self-evaluation drug use; * = There was a significant difference (p <0.05) between the pre and post-treatment

The current findings suggest the need for hospital-pharmacists to improve their pharmaceutical care skill and knowledge base in pharmacotherapeutics with appropriate training to facilitate clinical problem-solving. Their participation in educational programs on communication will allow them to develop stronger communication skills to interact effectively with patients.

This study has limitations. The research method is limited to the study period so that treatment is only given once, namely at the first visit. Similar research by giving treatment more than 2 times can still be developed again. The number of samples was limited in the study period, March - May 2017, so that only 96 patients were included in the inclusion criteria. Research needs to be done with more subjects with longer interventions so that the results are better

IV. CONCLUSION

Brief counseling and self-evaluation drug use sheet as controlling measures of medication compliance can positively improve clinical outcome of diabetes mellitus with hypertension outpatient clinic of Public Hospital, Bantul, Yogyakarta.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Acknowledgments are given to all volunteers and staff and Management at Senopati Hospital, Bantul, Yogyakarta, who have assisted in conducting this research.

Declare: no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- [1] USA: ADA, Volume 38, Supplement 1, January 2015. Page 58
- [2] Hidayati, T., Fatimah, SN., Iskandar, S. 2018. Normal fasting blood sugar levels and medication adherence improve the quality of life of type 2 diabetes mellitus patients in primary health facilities, Asian Journal of Pharmaceutical and Clinical Research, Vol. 11, no. 11, Nov. 2018, pp. 472-7,
- [3] WHO, 2006, International Society Of Hypertension Writing Group, World Health Organization- International Society Of Hypertension Statement Of Management Of Hypertension, pp: 108-117
- [4] MOH, 2018, Basic Health Research Research Report, Agency for Health Research and Development Ministry of Health, Jakarta
- [5] Akrom & Darmawan, E. 2017. Factors relate to the hypercreatininemia event of patients at the risk of metabolic syndrome in Jetis I public health center, Pharmaciana, Vol.7, No.2, Nov 2017
- [6] Akrom Akrom, Anggitasari, W., 2019 Adherence and quality of life among diabetic patients with hypertension, IJPHS Vol 8, No 1, March, 2019
- [7] Akrom Akrom, Sari, OM, Urbayatun, S., Saputri, GZ., 2019. Determinant Analysis of Factors Related to Compliance with Taking Medication for Type 2 Diabetes Patients in Primary Health Care, J Sains Farm Klin, Vol 6 No 1, April 2019
- [8] MOH, 2007, Pharmaceutical Care For Hypertension, Directorate of Community and Clinical Pharmacy, MOHRI, Jakarta
- [9] Astuti, S., Akrom, Darmawan, E. 2013:Improving Compliance and Reduce Systolic Blood Pressure Hypertensive Patients by Oral Counselling and Time for Taking Drugs Checklist by Pharmacist of the Penembahan Senopati Hospital in Bantul Yogyakarta, International Journal of Pharmacy Teaching & Practices 2013, Vol.4, Issue 2, Supplement I.
- [10] Akrom & Wijayanti, N., 2015. Brief Counseling and Mobile Phone Short Message Service (SMS) Increase Patient Compliance, International Journal of Pharma Medicine and Biological Sciences Vol. 4, No. 3, July 2015
- [11] MOH, 2006, Guidance on Counseling for Pharmaceutical Services at Health Facility, Directorate General of Pharmaceutical and Medical Devices, MOH, Jakarta
- [12] Vasconcelos, SC., Frazão, IS., Sougey, EB., de Souza, SL., da Silva, TPS., da Costa Lima, M.D., 2017. Assessment tools for the measurement of the self-efficacy of drug users: protocol for a systematic review.
- [13] Kumar, S. and Preetha, GS., 2012. Health Promotion: An Effective Tool for Global Health, Indian J Community Med. 2012 Jan-Mar; 37(1): 5–12
- [14] WHO, 2012. Health education: theoretical concepts, effective strategies and core competencies: a foundation document to guide capacity development of health educators, World Health Organization. Regional Office for the Eastern Mediterranean, WHO Regional Office for the Eastern Mediterranean, Cairo.
- [15] ASHP, 1997, ASHP Guidelines on Pharmacist-Conducted Patient Education And Counseling, Am J Health Syst Pharm; 54: 431-4
- [16] Akrom, Budiyanto, Supadmi, W., 2015. Pharmaceutical care Training increases the ability Pharmcists to reduce the incidence of medication error, Journal of Public Health Sciences (IJPHS), vol 4, no.2, pp. 119 – 123, ISSN:2252-8806
- [17] Saputri, Z. G., Akrom., 2016, Antihypertensive Compliance Level and Blood Pressure Control of Outpatient Patients RS Pku Muhammadiyah Bantul, Yogyakarta Who Obtained Brief Counseling-5a And Motivational Sms, Pharmacy Science And Community, p. 67-72, Vol. 13 No. 2
- [18] Saputri, Z.G., Akrom, Darmawan, E., 2017. Improving Outpatient's Quality of Life via Patient Adherence of Antihypertensive Therapy Using "Mobile Phone (SMS) and Brief Counseling-5A" in Polyclinic of Internal Medicine at PKU Muhammadiyah Bantul Hospital, Yogyakarta
- [19] Rantucci, M. J., 2007, Pharmacist-Patient Communication: Patient Counseling Guide, translated by Sani, A. N., 2nd Edition, EGC Medical Book Publishers, Jakarta
- [20] Anonymous, 2005, Pharmaceutical Care for Diabetes Mellitus Disease, Directorate of Community Pharmacy and Clinic, Ministry of Health



- [21] Alfian, R., Akrom, Darmawan, E., 2014. Pharmacist Counseling Change Behavior Patients In Hypertension Outpatient Clinic of Internal Medicine PKU Muhammadiyah Bantul Hospital, Indonesia, Media Farmasi, Vol. 11 No. 1 Maret 2014
- [22] Ayu, W.D., Akrom, Darmawan, E. 2013, Giving Of Counselling and Booklet by Pharmacists Improve Compliance and Reduce Systolic Blood Pressure Hypertensive Patients of the Penembahan Senopati Hospital in Bantul Yogyakarta, International Journal of Pharmacy Teaching & Practices 2013, Vol.4, Issue 2, Supplement I
- [23] Lawrence, R.S., et al., 2001, Evidance-Based Review Of Patient-Centered Behavioral Interventions For Hypertension, Am J Prev Med: 21 (3)
- [24] Miller, GA., 2003. The cognitive revolution: a historical perspective, TRENDS in Cognitive Sciences Vol.7 No.3 March 2003
- [25] Budiman, Riyanto, A., 2013, Kapita Selekta Questionnaire Knowledge and Attitudes In Health Research, Salemba Medika Press, Jakarta

- [26] Saputri, G.Z., Akrom, Dini, 2017. Validation of behaviour measurement instrument of patients with diabetes mellitus and hypertension, IOP Conf. Series: Materials Science and Engineering, 259, (2017) 012014 doi:10.1088/1757-899X/259/1/012014
- [27] Saftia A , Akrom., (2015). The Effect of Brief Counseling on Drug Compliance In Hypertensive Outpatient In The Polyclinic of Internal Medicine H. Moch Hospital. Ansari Saleh Banjarmasin In April-June 2015. Yogyakarta: Pharmacy and Clinical Science Postgraduate Program, Universitas Ahmad Dahlan, , 3 (1), 84-90
- [28] Saputri, GZ., Akrom Akrom, Muhlis, M., Muthoharoh, A., 2019. Effects of Counseling Using Brief Counseling 5A Modifications Accompanied by Motivational Messages of Pharmacists in Improving Behavior and Outcome Clinics of Diabetes Mellitus Patients with Outpatient Hypertension in Panembahan Senopati Hospital, Bantul, Indonesian Journal of Clinical Pharmacy, Vol 8, No 1 (2019).