



Artery Research

ISSN (Online): 1876-4401 ISSN (Print): 1872-9312 Journal Home Page: <u>https://www.atlantis-press.com/journals/artres</u>

P6.07: METHODOLOGICAL ASPECT OF BRACHIAL FLOW MEDIATED DILATION: IMPROVEMENT OF REPRODUCIBILITY

F. Faita, V. Gemignani, E. Bianchini, F. Stea, M. Demi, L. Ghiadoni

To cite this article: F. Faita, V. Gemignani, E. Bianchini, F. Stea, M. Demi, L. Ghiadoni (2009) P6.07: METHODOLOGICAL ASPECT OF BRACHIAL FLOW MEDIATED DILATION: IMPROVEMENT OF REPRODUCIBILITY, Artery Research 3:4, 165–165, DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.artres.2009.10.074

To link to this article: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.artres.2009.10.074

Published online: 14 December 2019

PWV was assessed in all patients first by a fast PC cine sequence of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) between the ascending aorta and the descending thoracic aorta under the diaphragm and second by Pulsepen and Complior II between right carotid and femoral sites.

Results: Aortic MRI PWV value was 8.00 ± 2.66 m.s-1. Complior II PWV values were significantly lower than those obtained with Pulsepen, respectively 7.88 ± 1.38 m.s-1 vs 9.01 ± 1.64 m.s-1, p < 0.0001. There was a good correlation between Pulsepen PWV and aortic MRI PWV (p = 0.005, r = 0.47) and between Complior II PWV and aortic MRI PWV (p = 0.01, r = 0.43).

Conclusion: This is the first study to show the reliability of PWV assessment by transcutaneous devices in comparison to MRI PWV measurements in obese patients.

P6.05

MRI AORTIC THORACIC ELASTIC PROPERTIES ASSESSMENT COMPARED TO ARTERIAL STIFFNESS ASSESSMENT BY TRANSCUTANEOUS DEVICES

L. Joly ¹, A. Kearney-Schwartz ¹, P. Salvi ¹, D. Mandry ², P. Rossignol ³, G. Karcher ⁴, P. Y. Marie ⁴, F. Zannad ³, A. Benetos ¹ ¹Service de Geriatrie, CHU Nancy, Nancy, France ²Service de Radiologie, CHU Nancy, Nancy, France ³CIC, CHU Nancy, Nancy, France ⁴Service de Medecine Nucleaire, Nancy, France

Background: Aortic elasticity properties (aortic distensibility, compliance, elastic modulus, and stiffness index) can be evaluated by cross-sectional thoracic aorta areas measurements at 2 times of the cardiac cycle (peak systolic and end-diastolic phases) with PC-cine MRI series. Pulse wave velocity (PWV) measurement between carotid and femoral sites is considered as the gold standard measurement of aortic stiffness and elastic properties of aorta. Obesity is a difficult feature to assess aortic elasticity properties because of methodological problems due to the use of transcutaneous devices.

The aim of this study was to assess aortic elasticity properties with PC MRI and to compare the results with PWV assessed by two transcutaneous devices (Pulse pen and Complior II) in 32 consecutive patients presenting an isolated abdominal obesity defined by a waist circumference >102 cm in men and >88 cm in women (27 < BMI < 35).

Results: Aortic cross-sectional compliance assessed with MRI was inversely correlated with: Pulse Pen PWV (p = 0.01, r = -0.44), with the same trend for Complior PWV (p = 0.07, r = -0.32). Positive relationship was found between the above 2 PWV measurements and aortic stiffness index computed by MRI. Relationship was stronger for PWV assessed by MRI (p = 0.02, r = 0.41) and Pulse Pen (p = 0.03, r = 0.38). The relationship between aortic stiffness index and Complior PWV did not reach statistical significance (p = 0.12, r = 0.28).

Conclusion: In clinical difficult situation like obesity, aortic elasticity can be measured by PC MRI with a good correlation with peripheral PWV assessments.

P6.06

CAN WE LEARN ANYMORE ABOUT ARTERIAL FUNCTION FROM THE VALSALVA MANOEUVRE? WAVE INTENSITY ANALYSIS CAN INFORM US ABOUT RESERVOIR FUNCTION

A. J. Baksi, J. E. Davies, N. Hadjiloizou, R. Baruah, B. Unsworth, R. A. Foale, K. H. Parker, D. P. Francis, J. Mayet, A. D. Hughes

International Centre for Circualtory Health & Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom

Background: The Valsalva manoeuvre (VM) is a physiological manoeuvre capable of generating profound changes in venous return. Although its physiology has been extensively described, the predominant focus has been on dynamic effects on cardiac preload with subsequent impact on cardiac output. However, analysis of the dramatic changes seen in arterial pressure and flow during this manoeuvre offers valuable insight into arterial function.

Methods: In 11 patients (9male, mean age 64 years), invasive measurement of pressure & Doppler flow velocity were made in the proximal aorta using sensor-tipped intra-arterial wires. Whilst recording, patients performed a controlled VM by blowing into a syringe. Calculation of pressure, aortic reservoir function and wave intensity were performed offline.

Results: Peak systolic blood pressure fell on average by 24% during sustained VM (157 \pm 43mmHg to 122 \pm 48mmHg, p < 0.0001). The peak reservoir pressure fell by an average of 65% (55 \pm 17mmHg to 20 \pm 13mmHg, p < 0.0001). The aortic pressure waveform during sustained VM assumes that morphology typically seen peripherally (Figure).



Conclusion: Prolonged VM is able to dramatically reduce both measured pressure and reservoir pressure in the proximal aorta and appears to produce a loss of this normal 'cushioning' effect. Is this simply a consequence of decreased stroke volume or are there other mechanisms involved?

Pressure waveform recorded invasively in proximal aorta during sustained Valsalva manoeuvre.

P6.07

METHODOLOGICAL ASPECT OF BRACHIAL FLOW MEDIATED DILATION: IMPROVEMENT OF REPRODUCIBILITY

F. Faita ¹, V. Gemignani ¹, E. Bianchini ¹, F. Stea ², M. Demi ¹,

L. Ghiadoni² ¹Institute of Clinical Physiology, Pisa, Italy

²Department of Internal Medicine, University of Pisa, Pisa, Italy

Brachial artery flow-mediated dilation (FMD) is the most widely used technique for assessing endothelial function in humans. FMD is reduced in the presence of cardiovascular risk factors and diseases and it is an independent predictor of events. However, its major limitation is the relatively low reproducibility. One of the main challenge is to maintain a stable scan plane during examinations, especially when the forearm cuff is inflated/deflated. The aim of this study was to evaluate the FMD reproducibility when resting diameter is calculated as the mean value measured from 8th to 9th minute after reactive hyperemia, when the vasodilation is concluded (BAS_POST), and to compare the results with those obtained with a standard assessment of resting diameter over 1 minute before cuff inflation (BAS_PRE). Thirty healthy subjects (age 25-45 years) underwent two FMD examinations 30 minutes apart. FMD to 5 minutes forearm ischemia was assessed by one trained operator using a clamp to hold the ultrasound probe in the same position and calculated as maximum percentage increase in diameter with respect to BAS PRE and BAS POST, by a real-time automatic edge-detection system (FMD Studio, Institute of Clinical Physiology, Pisa, Italy). FMD% variability was assessed as intra-session coefficients of variation (CV). Diameter was 3.56 + 0.66 mm in BAS_PRE and $3.57 \pm 0.66 \mbox{ mm}$ in the BAS_POST. FMD% was $7.4 \pm 3.8\%$ with BAS_PRE and 7.1 + 3.7% with BAS_POST. CV of FMD% was 15.61 + 10.9% in BAS PRE and 9.22 + 5.93% with BAS_POST calculation. These results suggest that FMD% calculated with BAS_POST can ensure a more reproducible measure of conduit artery endothelial function.

P6.08

CHARACTERIZATIONS OF THE ARTERIAL SYSTEM COMPARED

N. Westerhof¹, B. E. Westerhof²

¹VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam, Netherlands ²BMEYE, Amsterdam, Netherlands

Systolic pressure, P_s , and pulse pressure, PP, are determined by heart and arterial system. We here compare systemic arterial system characterizations alone, and mention their use and limitations (aspects).

1. Peripheral resistance, R_p . Required: Mean Pressure and Cardiac Output; Characterization: partial. Aspects: derivation simple; linear.

2. Arterial Input Impedance, Z_{in} . Required: Pressure, P(t), and flow, F(t); Characterization: complete and comprehensive. Interpretation: R_p , Total Arterial compliance, C, Characteristic impedance, Z_c . Aspects: Derivation difficult, data noisy; linearity.

3. Wave Transmission

a. Aortic Pulse Wave velocity, c, (Foot-foot wave speed). Required: two (uncalibrated) P(t), (flows or diameters); Characterization: (Total) Arterial compliance. Aspects: Derivation simple, Aorta a uniform tube. Carotid-Femoral: not entire aorta; i.e., not total arterial compliance.

b. Waveform Analysis. Required: P(t), $F(t) + Z_c$. Characterization: Amount and timing of reflections. Interpretation: diffuse (peripheral) and distinct reflections. Tube (aorta) with reflection site at end, no phase shift. Phase shift and *c*, change with age and effects may cancel. Aspects: Only timing and ratio $P_{backward}/P_{forward}$ give arterial characterization. Small error Z_c real.