

The Calcium Silicate Powder Application On Broiler Litter To Improve Poultry Health

Arman Deskiharto
Center for Tropical Animal Studies -
IPB University
Bogor, Indonesia
rayschwarz@gmail.com

Asep Rakhmat
Center for Tropical Animal Studies -
IPB University
Bogor, Indonesia
asepr40@gmail.com

Deddy Cahyadi Sutarman
Center for Tropical Animal Studies -
IPB University
Bogor, Indonesia

Eko Sugeng Pribadi
Department of Infectious Diseases and
Veterinary Public Health
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine - IPB
University
Bogor, Indonesia

Abstract—Broiler health could be impacted because litter is medium for microorganism growth, including gastrointestinal pathogens. This paper described the Calcium Silicate (CaSi) powder application on broiler litter and their impact to the composting process. The Data showed that CaSi powder absorbed water from broiler litter and maintained litter pH around 7. Furthermore, composts made from manure that were used CaSi powder have more dry and low C/N ratio than manure that were not applied with CaSi powder. According to these data, the application of CaSi in broiler litter was useful for reducing moisture in broiler litter so that it disturbed microorganism to grow in litter and haven a positive impact on waste cage management.

Keywords—Calcium Silicate, broiler, litter, compost

I. INTRODUCTION

Poultry industry is the largest livestock industry in Indonesia. The average number of national poultry population increased by 2.97% per year for broiler (Dirjennakkeswan Kementan, 2017). Largest population of broiler can be found in West Java, East Java and Central Java. Meanwhile, the large increasing production of native chicken can be found in Central Java, East Java and West Java (Muliany, 2016).

Litter has impact on animal's health. Litter can be good habitat for microbes growth, included pathogens that can be infected livestock. Various chicken gastrointestinal pathogens can be survived on litter. Litter can absorb several compounds, or gasses even though outside of the chickenhouse or stall. Various volatile gasses, such as ammonia, acrolein, methylamine, acetic acid, acetaldehyde and formaldehyde, will be released to environment, and can be impact to animal health when they will be inhaled. (Skóra et al., 2016). Ammonia that trapped in litter and if inhaled by chicken will be caused irritation of respiratory tract mucosa (David et al., 2015; Maliselo and Nkonde, 2015). Irritated mucosa will stimulate stress and cause respiratory tract infection.

Chicken manure can be composted become fertilizer that can improve soil fertility and provide so many organic matter components. Chicken manure contain higher plant nutrients than the other livestock animal (Nuraini et al.

2016). More complete nutrients and other growth factors in manure can be provided higher than chemical fertilizers (inorganic). Chicken manure utilization as fertilizer will be improved environmental quality because they can improve aeration, water retention, increase activity of various microbes in the soil, increase in available phosphorus (P) content and decrease P soil retention. Manure utilization will be available to low-cost agriculture practicing in plant nutrients requirements (Nuraini et al., 2016).

Calcium Silicate ($5\text{CaO} \cdot 6\text{SiO}_2 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$) has many functions for material industry such as good sealing correlated to expansion, and the ability to set in the presence of fluids, bioactivity to release of ions acting as epigenetic signals and good biological properties (Gandolfi et al., 2014). Calcium Silicate (CaSi) predicted can absorb moisture and control pH in litter. Beside that, CaSi can improve quality of compost.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. Experiment preparation

The broiler experiment was started from December 2018–February 2019. Experiment for broiler are conducting at Field Laboratory of Vocational School, IPB University. The materials used were two hundreds seventy broilers Cobb CP 707 strain Day-Old Chicken (DOC), commercial feed for broiler, CaSi hard powdery granule, drinking water, supporting material; sugar, chicken vitamins, vaccine.

B. Experimental lay-out

The DOC was divided into three treatment groups, each of which treated 90 DOC with three replicating groups, each containing 30 DOC. Treatment Group A was the Control Group with the ground floor and rice husk layer. Group B was the group whose floor was original floor, rice husk and 0.5 cm thickness of CaSi powder (CaSi will be poured on the floor evenly). Group C was the group whose floor was original floor and rice husk mixed to mixed with 5 kg CaSi powder per 10 kg of rice husk (mixed evenly). Broiler cage litter were replaced and changed weekly.

C. Composting process

At the end of the trial, all manure from each treatment was collected to produce compost. About 1 liter of EM4 reagent were added and mixed to each manure group. After that, pile of manure were stored in dry place and every week pile of manure were stired. During process, pile of manure were kept in 1.5 meter and temperature in 40°-60°C. Compost harvest was 14 days.

D. Sample collection and laboratory analysis

Every week litter sample were collected 200 g each treatment group. Litter sample were process to analysis of pH value and moisture at Livestock Nutrition Laboratory, Faculty of Animal Husbandry, IPB University. Fresh manure were collected 200 g before composting process and composting manure were collected 200 g. Manure sample were process to analysis of C/N ratio at Soil Laboratory, Faculty of Agriculture, IPB University.

E. Statistical analysis

Data collected from this study were analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT).

III. RESULTS

A. Litter pH value and moisture

Tabel I present litter pH value from 14-days until 28-days. At 21-days and 28-days, pH value were significantly different ($P<0.05$). Group B were significantly different between the other two groups at 21-days and Group C were significantly different between the other two groups at 28-days. Based on this, pH value of Group B and C were higher than Group A.

TABLE I. LITTER PH VALUE COLLECTED FROM BRIOLER CAGES

Treatment Group	pH Value		
	14-days	21-days	28-days
A	6.4±0.21	6.5±0.26 ^a	6.7±0.06 ^a
B	6.3±0.10	7.3±0.10 ^b	6.8±0.25 ^a
C	6.2±0.12	6.3±0.06 ^a	7.3±0.06 ^b

Remark: *In the same column with a different superscript means differ significantly ($P<0.05$); ** In the same column not superscript means there not differ significantly

Tabel II present litter moisture value were significantly different ($P<0.05$). Group B had highest litter moisture and Group C had litter lowest moisture than the other two groups. At 21-days, Group B had highest litter moisture during trial (23.09±0.47 %). At 14-days, Group A had lowest litter moisture during trial (13.44±0.14 %)

TABLE II. LITTER MOISTURE COLLECTED FROM BRIOLER CAGES

Treatment Group	Moisture (%)		
	14-days	21-days	28-days
A	13.44±0.14 ^a	13.49±0.03 ^a	16.68±0.09 ^a
B	22.86±0.43 ^b	23.09±0.47 ^b	21.84±0.45 ^b
C	14.55±0.16 ^c	15.99±1.39 ^c	19.35±1.50 ^c

Remark: *In the same column with a different superscript means differ significantly ($P<0.05$)

B. Manure composting

Tabel III present ratio C/N from fresh manure and composting manure were significantly different ($P<0.05$). Based on this, there were ratio C/N decreasing from fresh manure to composting manure during 14 days. Group B and C were significantly different and showed more ratio C/N decreasing from fresh manure to composting manure than Group A. Group B were showed highest ratio C/N decreasing than the other group.

TABLE III. RATIO C/N FROM FRESH MANURE AND COMPOSTING MANURE

Treatment Group	Ratio C/N	
	Fresh manure	Composting manure
A	28.66±0.73 ^a	21.46±0.49 ^a
B	38.69±2.81 ^b	23.42±1.13 ^b
C	36.62±1.66 ^b	24.49±0.88 ^b

Remark: *In the same column with a different superscript means differ significantly ($P<0.05$)

IV. DISCUSSION

Based Tabel I CaSi in litter affected the litter pH value. Based on Tabel I known CaSi powder and rice husk made pH value litter around 6.3-7.3. Garcês et al (2013) litter material like sand (CaSi powder) had pH value is 7.3 and risk husk had pH value is 6.5. Increasing of pH value to Group A because CaSi is alkaline (pH value 10-11) (Gandolfi et al., 2014).

Based Tabel II CaSi in litter affected litter moisture. CaSi absorbed the water from cage environment inside the litter because CaSi particles had porosity 60-70%. Because of that, litter moisture of Group B and C were higher than Group A. Increasing litter moisture would affect to reducing moisture in cage environment.

Based Table III all composting manure weren't fit C/N ratio of Indonesia Standard (10-20) (BSN, 2004). C/N ratio decreasing from fresh manure to composting manure in broiler is significantly difference. C/N ratio decreasing in Treatment Group B dan C broiler is more than Treatment Group A because C/N ratio around 35 would occurred maximum decomposition for broiler litter (Keener et al., 2014).

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This research was supported by Taiheiyo Cement Corporation, Daiba Garden City Building, 2-3-5, Daiba, Minato-ku, Tokyo. The author also would like to acknowledge Center for Tropical Animal Studies (CENTRAS), Vocational School, Livestock Nutrition Laboratory, Faculty of Animal Husbandry, and Soil Laboratory, Faculty of Agriculture, IPB University.

REFERENCES

- [1] [BSN] Badan Standardisasi Nasional. SNI 19-7030-2004 tentang Spesifikasi kompos dari sampah organik domestik, 2004.
- [2] B. David, C. Mejdell, V. Michel, V. Lund, and R.O. Moe, 2015. Air Quality in Alternative Housing System May Have an Impact on Laying Hen Welfare. Part II—Ammonia, Animals, vol. 5(3), pp. 886–896, September 2015.
- [3] [Dirjennakkeswan] Direktorat Jendral Peternakan dan Kesehatan Hewan, Statistik Peternakan dan Kesehatan hewan, Jakarta:

- Direktorat Jendral Peternakan dan Kesehatan Hewan Kementerian Republik Indonesia, 2017, pp. 82.
- [4] M.G. Gandolfi, F. Siboni, T. Botero, M. Bossu, F. Riccitiello, C. Prati, Calcium silicate and calcium hydroxide materials for pulp capping: biointeractivity, porosity, solubility and bioactivity of current formulations. *J. Appl. Biomater. Funct. Mater.*, vol. 13(1) pp. 43-60, March 2015.
- [5] A. Garcês, S.M.S. Afonso, A. Chilundo, and C.T.S. Jairoce, Evaluation of different litter materials for broiler production in a hot and humid environment: 1. Litter characteristics and quality, *J. Appl. Poult. Res.*, vol. 22, pp. 168-176.
- [6] H. Keener, M. Wicks, F. Michel, and K. Ekinci, Composting broiler litter, *World's Poult. Sci. J.*, vol. 70, pp. 709-719, December 2014.
- [7] P.S. Maliselo and G.K. Nkonde, Ammonia Production In Poultry Houses And Its Effect On The Growth Of *Gallus Gallus Domesticus* (Broiler Chickens): A Case Study Of A Small Scale Poultry House In Riverside, Kitwe, Zambia. *Int. J. Sci. Tech. Res.*, vol. 4(04), pp. 141-145, April 2015.
- [8] H.P. Muliany, Outlook Komoditas Pertanian Sub Sektor Peternakan. Pusat Data dan Sistem Informasi Pertanian, Jakarta: Sekretariat Jenderal Kementerian Pertanian, 2016.
- [9] A. Nuraini, D. Sobardini, E. Suminar, and H. Apriyanto, Quantity and yield quality of french bean seed (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) marked solid organic fertilizer and chitosan liquid organic fertilizer, *J. Kultivasi*, vol 15(2) pp. 81-85, August 2016.
- [10] J. Skóra, K. Matusiak, P. Wojewódzki, A. Nowak, M. Sulyok, A. Ligocka, M. Okrasa, J. Hermann, and B. Gutarowska, Evaluation of Microbiological and Chemical Contaminants in Poultry Farms, *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health*, vol. 13(2), pp. 192, February 2016.