1.2: NORMAL AND REFERENCE VALUES OF BARORECEPTOR SENSITIVITY: THE PPS3 STUDY


To link to this article: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.artres.2012.09.008

Published online: 21 December 2019
Oral Presentation Abstracts

Oral session 1
Young Investigator Oral Presentations
In association with the ESC Working Group on Peripheral Circulation

1.1 REFERENCE INTERVALS FOR CAROTID STIFFNESS
L. Engelen 1,2, I. Ferreira 2,3, C. D. A. Stehouwer 2, S. Laurent 1,
P. Boutouyrie 1
1Department of Pharmacology and INSERM U970, Hôpital Européen Georges Pompidou, Paris, France
2Department of Internal Medicine, CARIM School for Cardiovascular Diseases, Maastricht University Medical Centre, Maastricht, Netherlands
3Department of Clinical Epidemiology and Medical Technology Assessment, Maastricht University Medical Centre, Maastricht, Netherlands

Non-invasive measures of common carotid artery properties, such as diameter, distension and local pulse pressure, have been widely used to determine carotid stiffness (CS), which is associated with cardiovascular disease (CVD). The interpretation of CS values measured across different age, sex and risk groups has been hampered by the absence of reference values, however. We therefore aimed to establish reference intervals of CS (obtained by echotracking) to help interpretation of these measures both in research and clinical settings.

We combined CS data on 10,749 individuals (53% men; age range 15-99 years) from 13 research centres worldwide. Individuals without CVD, cardiovascular risk factors and who were not on blood pressure- and/or lipid-lowering medication constituted the ‘normal’ healthy sub-population (n=2,281), which will be used to establish equations for percentiles of CS across age. With these equations we further plan to generate CS Z-scores in different sub-populations thereby allowing for a standardized comparison between observed and predicted (normal) values from individuals of the same age. The independent associations of known cardiovascular risk factors will then be assessed with multiple linear regression analyses, using these Z-scores as outcome variables.

The exact results are expected by the time of Artery 12. We hope to estimate age-specific percentiles of CS in a healthy population and to assess the associations of cardiovascular risk factors with CS Z-scores, which will enable comparison of CS values for (patient) groups with different cardiovascular risk profiles, helping interpretation of such measures when obtained both in research and clinical settings.
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Aims: Dysfunction of autonomic control of heart rate is associated with increased mortality. Routine measurement of baroreceptor sensitivity (BRS) for clinical patient evaluation needs a standardized technique and established reference values. The recent use of carotid distension rate instead of blood pressure (BP) has permitted to study the neural path of the baroreflex after fully controlling for the vascular component. We took advantage of a representative sample of the European general population and of the gold standard method for measuring arterial parameters to establish reference and normal values for BRS.

Methods: From the PPS3 study, a large epidemiological survey of working people of age 50-75, were selected the first 2992 individuals free from overt cardiovascular disease, non-diabetic, non-smokers and untreated by either anti-hypertensive or lipid-lowering drugs that constituted the reference value population, of which the subset with optimal/normal BP (n=200) is the normal value population. Subjects were categorized by age quintile and further subdivided according to BP categories.

Results: BRS decreased with age and BP category. The distribution of BRS with age and BP category is described and reference values for BRS are established. Normal values are proposed based on the BRS values observed in the non-hypertensive subpopulation without additional CV risk factors.

Conclusion: A standardization of the technique and established reference and normal values for BRS were proposed.
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Background & Objective: 87,481 data between 54 centres were combined, to establish reference values for central systolic blood pressure (cSBP). Although cardiovascular risk factors (CVRFs) are associated with cSBP, the individual strengths of their relationships are weak and the combination of some CVRFs into metabolic syndrome (MetS) could have a stronger association.

Methods: We selected 8,057 subjects untreated for hypertension, dyslipidemia or diabetes, and devoid of known CV disease, whereby all required variables were available. CVRFs, CV disease and MetS were defined according to the 2007 ESH-ESC Hypertension Guidelines. We used multivariable regression analysis of cSBP according to the number of CVRFs and the presence of MetS.

Results: The median number of CVRFs was 2 (IQR: 2-3, range: 0-5). Number of CVRFs was strongly linked to cSBP, increasing by 7 mmHg per CVRF, though there was less of an increase when the analysis was stratified by those having brachial BP > 130/85mmHg (‘high normal’ and above) and those not (beta coefficients = 4.0 and 1.5, respectively). The presence of MetS was related to cSBP at a lower extent (beta coefficient = 2.5) with insufficient evidence for an association when the analysis was adjusted according to BP status (beta coefficients = −1.8 and 0.4 within low and high BP groups, respectively).