

# Perlocutionary of the Commissive Illocutionary in “JusticeForAudrey”

Juanda  
*Departemen Sastra Inggris*  
*Universitas Komputer Indonesia*  
 Bandung, Indonesia  
 juanda@email.unikom.ac.id

**Abstract**—This article studies the perlocutionary of the commissive illocutionary on netizens' posts in IG JusticeForAudrey. The case of Audrey, a junior high school student in Pontianak who was assaulted by 12 female students of high school, gave to various comments from netizens who felt empathy for the case experienced by Audrey. The forms of diversity in empathy towards Audrey's as the victim and demands for cases against perpetrators expressed netizens through very diverse language expressions but implications for a demand for an event. The method analysis used in this study was the analysis of speech acts by making the implications of focusing on the illocutionary effects posed by netizens. Some of the examples below are some of the forms of language expression through a *syela.trifena\_16* Account. Life prison is just the culprit; *andre\_welemully*. The local government should not neglect in handling this case; *angelica\_go*. The findings from the data of this study that this commissives illocutionary gives impact to non-linguistic attitudes such as demands on the court or the government to act fairly on this case. One of the Perlocutionary is that Indonesian known to be friendly; however, the hospitality must not damage the laws in force of Indonesia. The law is the highest tool in solving problems if the community recognizes that the rules of life are governed by the law. Perlocutionary for listeners that the rejection was made precisely so that the law in this country does not become weak.

**Keywords**— Perlocutionary, Commissives

## I. INTRODUCTION

This study discusses speech acts as outlined in the Instagram (IG) of JusticeForAudrey. Instagram (also known as IG or Insta) is a photo and video-sharing social networking service. Speech acts are divided into three parts, namely, locutionary, illocutionary, and perlocutionary. Illocutionary is the actual form of words that are used by speakers and semantic meaning. Illocutionary is a function of utterances. Perlocutionary acts can be defined as utterances that are produced by the listener. There is an influence effect because the speaker tries to influence the listener to do what she or he wants to do [2, 8].

Previous research related to the topic of speech acts has been done by Budiasih [4], Alkitri [1], Simon [10], Widya [11], and Krisna [6]. They discuss speech acts but do not focus on commissive perlocutionary, as will be discussed in this article. The purpose of this study is to see the forms of speech acts in #JusticeForAudrey on media Instagram. The author wants to examine the form of netizens' expressions of

the events experienced by Audrey (14), a student of SMPN 17 Pontianak, who was bullied by high school students. And, this research tried to examine the forms of speech acts and the effects of any perlocutionary demanded by speakers in this case.

## II. METHODS

The main objective of this research is to see the forms of speech acts and perlocutionary that can occur based on the events presented in #JusticeForAudrey. The method used was a descriptive analysis method that describes speech acts that appear in #JusticeForAudrey that are associated with perlocutionary, which can be present in the words of the netizens. The steps taken to analyze the data are to read the entire data, classify the type of focus, analyze it, and draw conclusions from the data that has been analyzed.

## III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Based on the findings of the results of this study found three groups of perlocutionary, including threats, warnings, and rejection. Based on the theory, there are four parts [9, 7]. The following forms of speech act are included in the threat: Blacklist 12 of their names, good university, and state schools do not accept them. The blacklist of perpetrators from colleges and decent workplaces must be upheld. Reportedly, it ends in peace; it is not fair. Maybe this case will sink soon, but you do not have to be worried because the perpetrators' digital track record will never disappear. The official's son or not is not important; if their name is described, it would be a shame for them because their future already looks bad.

The Perlocutionary speech act is a threat that the perpetrators feel the impact of their actions. Therefore it is necessary for the act of hearing or the readers of the speech act to carry out a threat to take action against the perpetrators to study in higher education and to drop out these actors. Speakers submit to prosecute perpetrators according to cases committed by perpetrators. Speakers show empathy that this case can be lost, but the track record on the internet will not be erased. Speakers also conveyed for officials to behave appropriately in educating their children. The sympathy of the speaker is also shown that he threatens the actions carried out by the perpetrators to be paid for with life. This can be seen from the statement that the twelve perpetrators must end up in the grave.

How about this, please help all actors thoroughly investigated and do not have peace until it is completely fair and for all actors to be blacklisted from all universities. When you are bullying someone and then go viral, you have to go to a juvenile prison or house prison and got a heavy law. Just want to give advice, if you can, you do not need to go to jail. The reply was to punch and die. So how do you feel the pain, if there is a prison, there is no pain. Catch the culprits, give them a heavy sentence. Yes, at least imprisonment for life, unfair if you leave it first. There must be no other Audrey who must be victims of kidnapping. He only looks good, pretty, but his behavior is like that. I want to beat you one by one. Please, no school accepts these kinds of destructive children; even the equivalency does not need to be permitted, from generation already damaged in providing education it is useless, it just becomes more.

Speakers hope that the listener or reader performs a perlocutionary from the country's leaders to take action on the Audrey case. Speakers ask for action from law enforcement to imprison perpetrators for life. The government must give the maximum sentence, not just a special prison for children. The government must provide a punishment for the offender for refusing the offender to attend education even though it is in the equalization education category.

Not much hope for the same law in this world, but can only condemn the actions of the very barbaric perpetrators, hope that the all-fair God reciprocates the actions of the perpetrators and for the victims to be upgraded #justiceforaudrey. I agree that all perpetrators are blacklisted in any case #justiceforaudrey. Just blacklist the 12 names of the perpetrators, so they cannot get into public or private universities. It happened in Kalimantan; my advice involved the Dayaks. The Dayak tribe is famous for defending the good ones if you can also do all the crimes of the perpetrators, do you need a shipment from Banten too, really, and the case is happening again. Do not apologize for the 12 barbarian wild animals: immediate process and no mercy. The basic lack of knowledge was born from where the evil one is, please proceed immediately. What does it say, official son? Precisely because the official's father's son was shown to be embarrassed at all to have a criminal child.

The speaker feels pessimistic about law enforcement in Indonesia. The speaker wants the perlocutionary of the state official, who is the perpetrator's parent, to educate his children. The threat of other speakers if officials whose children are affected by the case then the case is left, then the speaker wants to enforce the jungle law.

They say the rule of law. With the excuse of being the young and thinking of the future of the offender. However, what they are doing is also damaging the victim's future. If I suggest, I should bite one of the tycoon snakes. So they do not bother the country as a threat to the offender is that the offender is bitten by a 'tycoon' snake. Speakers hope that there is perlocutionary from officials to educate their children as the young generation to behave politely.

Perpetrators must be punished as fairly as possible. Do not be free because the official's children are all outdone by his power. Enter the prison; only 12 children gave a deterrent effect on him; there are also child prisons who want children

of officials to want children who are tall people must be there. How do you want to continue the generation of the nation's children who also passed the 'wild' time? They feel that there is a law protecting the students or young children who are brave like that and do not feel guilty. But God does not sleep if you can be free from criminal law but cannot escape from social law and the law of karma. Just the most severe law, looks like it is time we use street law. The suitability of the Indonesian citizens who ripped 12 of them, then they have blacklisted anything because, like that, Audrey's revenge was avenged, and there was news about peace. Right, how about it? The perpetrator must be severely punished is because I also have a daughter #justiceforaudrey #hotmanparis.

A threat posed by the speaker if the law is not enforced, there will be social and karmic laws. Therefore, this perlocutionary was asked to Hotman Paris to help resolve this case in accordance with applicable law. Speakers want to provide a deterrent effect for the perpetrators. Therefore it is hoped that law enforcement officials must be able to apply the law as fairly as possible.

If the perpetrator cannot be punished by criminal law, do not blame anyone if the netizen and the law of the jungle intervention #justiceforaudrey. It is only legal, bullying like this raises very heavy psychological depression for victims, giving legal exemptions for the young, the young who commit violent crimes are obligatory in law, not just guidance. They have to be thrown straight to jail. It was fatal and planned. No need to have a trial, "I immediately handcuffed. No more apologies. No tolerance. Complete it and blacklisted the names of all the perpetrators so that they could not enter the public and private university that could deter them and let another Audrey be the next in Indonesia. Moreover, there are your children wearing a veil; it is a shame for Muslim women.

Perlocutionary, which is expected based on the speech above that Muslim women, especially those who wear a veil, must reflect their behavior in accordance with the clothes they wear. In general, this threatening threat calls for an action from an official or the government to take the fairest possible action. If it is not done, there will be a threat in which jungle law will occur.

The second part of the perlocutionary is promised. However, the 'promise' perlocutionary was not found on the #JusticeForAudrey Instagram. Therefore, the author tries to present the third part of this perlocutionary is a warning. Some utterances found, including We all must guard this case not to let our guard down, the law must be upheld! Do not let this case end with an apology. Do not clarify yourself later then apologize. Law must be enforced. There must be no words of peace; they want their fathers to be officials, they must still be brought to justice, if necessary give social penalties to their Blacklist of all universities and workplaces and revoke their citizenship rights do not provide state facilities. If sorry always removes the law, then there will never be a sense of deterrence, and the incident will continue to repeat itself, sorry that can be given, but the law must still be enforced. What is this, Mr. President, for this injustice? We hope the law is worth the same as what was done to the victim. Poor future victims could be threatened. And the

servants do not seem to feel the slightest bit guilty. I just do not want to be fair. This case must be investigated. Sir, please, handle it, to whom, we ask for justice, please proceed to the legal process for the Audrey case, the actions of these savages have gone too far.

Perlocutionary which is expected in this speech act, is a warning to the government to apply the rule of law that is not biased so that it harms certain parties. Speakers highlight that small communities are sometimes always disadvantaged. Speakers remind the government to uphold justice. Speakers expect law enforcement not to side with officials even though the official made a mistake.

Justice must be upheld, if like this and do nothing to the perpetrators, then the law in Indonesia must be questioned. Completely investigated, the law is as heavy as it is. The stamp duty is no longer 6000; the law must be upright. Do not care about these children, it is very out of touch with the reasons of ham, or else the law must go #justiceforaudrey @jokowi @khmarufamin\_ @sandiuno @prabowo what do you do, sir, do not worry "Please immediately handle this case. Investigate the case thoroughly and immediately acted on the culprit. Poor and concerned about the victims for the rest of his life could be traumatized and remembered because he was once bullied, and his case became national news as long as the law of our country ends with apologizing for bullying students, teachers, etc., believing that no one will change it.

Perlocutionary, which is expected as a warning to the government to be vigilant against bullying cases speakers, reminded that cases of human rights and human rights violations are different. Another expectation from the speakers for the listeners is that the kidnapping is not resolved through an apology, but there must be legal action. It is expected that the kidnapping case does not occur continuously. Perlocutionary is also intended for certain parties always to be aware of the system of oppression from the strong to the weak.

The last Perlocutionary is rejection. The form of the speech act is as follows. What is this, Mr. President, for this injustice? We hope the law is worth the same as what was done to the victim. Poor future victims could be threatened. And the servants do not seem to feel the slightest bit guilty. Do not, there are peaceful words! There is no peace word, who is the official, how come the child cannot be educated, even the child educator is incompetent as to how to be your people's representative. Do not have peace in this matter, the trauma of a lifetime. The official's father? I am sorry? Peace using money? Reject them because Indonesia must be firm. At this rate, they will do the same thing again! Blacklist their names from universities, companies, or whatever. So they regret it for life! If possible, make their history so that their children and grandchildren shy. Do not say peace and clarification apologize; the law must be enforced @komnasanak @komnas.ham

The expected perlocutionary is conveyed to the national children's commission and the national human rights commission that the speech is for various parties to reject the perpetrator's apology except through a court hearing. Listeners are expected to be firm in taking a stand on the

bullying case. The expected Perlocutionary is to refuse forgiveness without a court hearing.

Do not accept the clarification of apologizing for immoral, depraved behavior, please law in Indonesia is enforced accordingly, we as citizens will be very proud of Indonesian law if the perpetrators get the right punishment, what is the power now of the child whose future has been destroyed, please establish it. Please help him, sir @hotmanparisofficial. Do not let this case only apologize because of the rights of child protection. This is already criminal violence; there are no more child protection laws like this, sadistic. Do not let the word sorry and stamp duty finish business/the word sorry is an excuse to kill easily, uphold justice do not forgive the perpetrators! #justiceforaudrey. We cannot use the law of teens, apologies, or is settled with family. Please, this is too much. They were booming when the Audrey at the hospital was trying to forget the trauma/ Please do not give peace, love the deterrent effect of the perpetrators. It cannot be peacefully but to use it the legal formalities.

This perlocutionary rejection is an affirmation for law enforcement. Listeners are expected to reject peace by being replaced by money or other objects. This rejection was made because one of them was that this bullying incident would make a person traumatic for the rest of his life.

The phone number of the father's Audrey? Please do not call peace, the child's self-esteem is humiliated like a sex slave by those who bully it without any lengthy thoughts of intimate play with other people's children and how can the victim feel when on at that time it was at a public places video the roadside? Do not make the child protection bill be a shield for them/Both in Indonesia or abroad, is it just the same, whose high position must be protected? Why? This country is mostly Muslim, try to follow the rules of religion; it is better, so it does not bother me to think about it. If only the apology is finished, where is it fair for the victim "Poor ... traumatized for the rest of her life ..." Do not say peace. Do not because there is a clarification of apologies from the perpetrators, then the case is finished. Mental victims were physically injured. Psychologically the victim is very down. The case was thoroughly investigated until something else happened. Do not end up peaceful, it must still be punished, even though underage but the brain is a criminal, moreover the persecution has been planned, hell, if until this case ends in PEACE, surely someday a similar case will repeat, again and again @jokowi, The Indonesian state law is not a sorry state. Indeed, people are famous for being friendly, which does not mean the law is weak. An apology is not enough law to be enforced; why is there a law if it ends only apologizing. This shows that law enforcement continues to be carried out even though the attitude of the community always forgives others.

#### IV. CONCLUSION

Based on the commissive theory [2,5] that the commissive is divided into four parts. The sharing options are threats, promises, warnings, and rejections. The very barbaric perpetrators in this research, the majority of the commissions were found to be a threat. This happened because the speech act case he appointed was included in the

criminal category. There are so many threats made by the speaker to the listener or reader [3], which of course, is aimed at a certain group to perform perlocutionary on what the speaker conveys through the threat commissive. The most widely expected perlocutionary is law enforcement correctly. This is intended so that law in Indonesia is not weak. Speakers expect the listener or reader so that the law is not exchanged with money or power. The most powerful threat that violation of the law will have an impact on a forest law perlocutionary. The commissive cautions that the government must do the need for a fair application of the law. The law does not belong to the rich, the law does not belong to the president, the law does not belong to the authorities, but it belongs to all Indonesian citizens. Therefore, speakers speak to the government to take legal action that applies to all its citizens. All citizens must obey the law. The government must be able to provide comfort to all parties, such as students and teachers in the educational environment. The last form of commissive is rejection. Perlocutionary that is expected for listeners is that community hospitality does not have to make the law weak. Speakers expect perlocutionary for the government to reject apologies for very sadistic deeds. The government should not be easy to forgive which will have an impact on the repetition of repeated sadistic deeds. The rejection of peace must be done so that the law in Indonesia is not utilized by irresponsible people.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The present writer gratefully acknowledges the support and generosity Rector of UNIKOM, Dean of Literary Faculty, and Head of English Department, without whom the present study could not have been completed.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Altikriti, Sahar Farouq. "Speech Act Analysis to Short Stories." *Journal of Language Teaching & Research* 2.6 (2011).
- [2] Birner, Betty J. *Introduction to Pragmatics*. Singapore: Wiley-Blackwell. 2013.
- [3] Brown, Penelope, Stephen C. Levinson, and Stephen C. Levinson. *Politeness: Some universals in language usage*. Vol. 4. Cambridge university press, 1987.
- [4] Budiasih, "Illocution on Speech acts of Foreign Student in Indonesian Learning", *Journal IJAL*, 6(2), pp.41—48, 2016.
- [5] Edwards, John. *Language and identity: An introduction*. Cambridge University Press, 2009.
- [6] Krisnawati, Ekaning. "Pragmatic competence in the spoken English classroom." *Indonesian Journal of Applied Linguistics* 1.1 (2011): 100-110.
- [7] Levinson. *Pragmatics*. Cambridge:CU Press. 1991.
- [8] Leech, G. *Exploration in Semantics and Pragmatics*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins. 1980.
- [9] Searle, J.R. 1979 *Expression and Meaning Recent Linguistics*. Cambridge: Cambridge UP.
- [10] Simon, "Speech Acts in Written Advertisements" *Journal SBS*, 2(1), pp 234—239, 2015.
- [11] Widya, Siska Okta, "A Prgamatic Analysis of Speech Acts", *Journal arbirer*, Vol.4, No.1, pp 10—16, 2017.