

Artery Research Vol. **25(S1)**; 2019, *p.* S105 DOI: https://doi.org/10.2991/artres.k.191224.094; ISSN 1872-9312; eISSN 1876-4401 https://www.atlantis-press.com/journals/artres



P63 Accuracy (Validation) of Central Blood Pressure Measurement Using the Sphygmocor Xcel-cuff Device

Martin Schultz^{1,*}, Dean Picone¹, Matthew Armstrong¹, Andrew Black², Nathan Dwyer², Philip Roberts-Thomson², James Sharman¹

¹Menzies Institute for Medical Research, University of Tasmania, Hobart, Australia

ABSTRACT

Background: Numerous devices purport to measure central aortic BP as distinct from conventional brachial BP. This study aimed to determine the accuracy (validation) of the Sphygmocor Xcel-cuff device (AtCor Medical, Sydney, Australia) for measuring central BP.

Methods: 330 patients (mean age 61.3 ± 10.6 years) undergoing coronary angiography had simultaneous measurement of invasive aortic BP and non-invasive cuff-derived central BP using the Xcel device (total n = 552 individual comparisons). Methods were undertaken according to Artery Society guidelines and several calibration techniques to derive central SBP were examined

Results: Central SBP was significantly underestimated, and with wide variability, when using the default calibration of brachial cuff SBP/DBP ($-7.7 \pm 11.0 \text{ mmHg}$). Similar wide variability was observed using other calibration methods (cuff 33% form-factor MAP/DBP, $-4.4 \pm 11.5 \text{ mmHg}$; cuff 40% form-factor MAP/DBP, $4.7 \pm 11.9 \text{ mmHg}$; cuff oscillometric MAP/DBP, $-18.2 \pm 12.1 \text{ mmHg}$). Only calibration with invasive aortic integrated MAP/DBP resulted in a mean difference \pm SD ($3.3 \pm 7.5 \text{ mmHg}$) within the minimum tolerable error of $\leq 5 \pm \leq 8 \text{ mmHg}$. The difference between brachial cuff SBP and invasive aortic SBP was $3.3 \pm 10.7 \text{ mmHg}$. A subsample (n = 151) analysis to determine the accuracy of central-to-brachial SBP amplification, showed this to be over-estimated by the Xcel device ($4.3 \pm 9.1 \text{ mmHg}$, p = 0.02).

Conclusion: Irrespective of calibration technique, the Sphygmocor Xcel-cuff device does not pass the Artery Society accuracy (validation) criteria for non-invasive measurement of central BP. Further accuracy refinements of this device are required.

© 2019 Association for Research into Arterial Structure and Physiology. Publishing services by Atlantis Press International B.V. This is an open access article distributed under the CC BY-NC 4.0 license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/).

²Royal Hobart Hospital, Hobart, Australia