

approach to genre. He found that the approach promotes the learners improvement in appropriate expansion, organization, and variation of repertoire as well as resemiotisation and demonstration of practical and discursive knowledge in writing job application letter.

From those previous studies, it seems that there is still an area waiting for further research related to students' problems in writing application letters and practical teaching implications based on the problems. Hence, this study is intended to fulfill the gap by conducting a comparative analysis of job application letter written by an expert and students. The analysis aims to find the differences between the two texts that may consider the students' problems in writing a job application letter. After acknowledging the problems, a pedagogical implication or recommendation of activities was designed to diminish the problems. Through examining the common errors and linguistics difficulties that often created by EFL students and the proposed way to overcome it, the result of this study is expected to be a fruitful reference in improving the teaching of job application letter, in terms of syllabus designs and instructional activities, specifically towards the context of EFL education such as in Indonesia.

II. METHOD

A. Research Design

This study is a descriptive study that employs Systemic Functional Linguistics (SFL) theory as a method of text analysis. It is because SFL provides a useful framework for the purpose of analyzing a text (Banks, 2002). Moreover, the technique of text analysis utilized in this paper is referred to the book *Reading to Learn: Assessing Writing* (Rose, 2016). The book adopts Halliday's theory of SFL-based pedagogy and offers the basic techniques for analyzing the genre, register, the three simultaneous meta-function, cohesive patterns in text (reference, lexical cohesion, and conjunction) and graphic features (spelling, punctuation and presentation).

B. Site and Participants

As previously mentioned, there are two types of job application letter which are analyzed in this study; expert text and student text. The expert text was written by the researcher's friend who is currently studying in Monash University majoring in Applied Linguistics. He has performed a good quality in writing as well as an academic achievement by finishing his first semester with a GPA of 4.0. This expert text is used by the writer to apply for a job in Australia. Considering his academic background and his ability in English writing, the researcher decided to put his cover letter as the expert text. Meanwhile, the students' texts were written by four vocational high school students in the context where English is a foreign language. To rich the variety of analysis, the students' texts were selected randomly based on the students' major and academic level.

C. Data Collection Process

Both of the experts and students' text were collected by several processes. First, the expert text was gained through personal contact with the author who granted his permission to allow his cover letter to be analyzed and further sent the text

through the researcher's e-mail. Secondly, the students' texts were collected by a Vocational High School teacher who is also the researcher's companion. Some instructional steps were applied before collected the texts. First, the teacher gave students materials of how to write job application letter by explaining and showing some examples of cover letter. After that, she asked the students to write their own application letter in their own version (independent construction stage). They were asked to write a letter based on job advertisements that they have found in any kinds of media. Additionally, in writing the letter, the teacher asked the students to suppose themselves as a vocational high school graduates and intent to write job application letter for starting their job experience.

D. Data Collection Analysis

Before comparing the expert and students' text, both texts were analyzed based on the book *Reading to Learn (Assessing Writing)*. Firstly, the analyses start with identifying the stages and phases of the text. This is intended to show how this text could address the right order of generic structure of application letter and to clarify the realization of genre in each paragraph. The second analysis process conducted in this paper are in the level of register (field, tenor and Mode) based on the three strand of metafunction; interpersonal (mood and residue analysis), ideational (transitivity analysis) and textual (Theme and Rheme analysis). The third analysis is cohesive analysis including lexical cohesion, conjunction and reference. Furthermore, each clause of the text are also analyzed according to its grammatical structure and graphic features including the accuracy of spelling and punctuation.

III. FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

The analysis of the expert and student texts reveals some important findings. All of the findings are presented in the following paragraphs.

A. Genre (Purposes, stages, & phases)

In terms of purposes, both of the experts and the students' texts have been arranged in a well-organized generic structure including the date, addressee, subject of the letter, salutation, opening, content, closing, and signature. From that point, both of the texts have followed the right stages of the job application letter by which the purpose of the cover letter which is to provide the applicant information and interest toward a certain position, has been fulfilled. However, in relation to the phases, the expert text gives more clear logical sequence in bridging each paragraph with providing a statement such as "through this cover letter" or "in relevance toward the working experience" compare to the students texts which are mostly still in problems with elaborating information and connecting statements.

B. Register (Field, Tenor & Mode)

As stated in the previous chapter, register is realized by three dimensions; field, tenor and Mode. First, the establishment of field in the analyzed text which manifested by the ideational meta-function reveal that material process is the most dominated process found on both of the texts followed by relational and mental process. It could be referred that the

expert and the students have tried to give their factual information or thought in the text. As referred to Portman (2014) who argued that material processes helped show what the candidate had done as part of prior experience, in an attempt to demonstrate that the candidate was suitable for the job.

Secondly, in relation to the establishment of tenor in the text which realized by interpersonal meta-function, covers the notions of modality, tenses, mood, polarity, and appraisal. Yet, the appraisal system will be elaborated separately in the following paragraph. The results of the expert and students' text reveal that the student texts have lower modality appearance than the expert text. In terms of tenses, both of the texts perform a variety of tenses including present, past, present continues, present perfect and future conditional tenses. However, the student texts show some errors in the use of tenses such as "I finish my pre-service training on January this year" in which it should be in the past form. Furthermore, all the sentences in both expert and student texts are descriptive sentences, with low negative polarity. It is in line with one of the purposes of the cover letter which is to describe or elaborate information about the applicant which suitable with the job criteria.

Related to the Mood analysis, the word "I" performed as the most appearing subject in both of the texts which indicate their attempts to be the center attention by positioning 'I' as the subject. In the expert text, the thematic progression implies zigzag pattern to achieve the cohesion by building on newly introduced information as well as theme reiteration where the same elements occur regularly as themes and provide the paragraph with a clear focus to help maintain a strong topical focus. Meanwhile, in the student texts, the most common theme is the theme re-iteration because it only focuses on the subject 'I'.

Moreover, In terms of Mode, some spoken words could be found in the student texts which perhaps inappropriate especially to be delivered in an application letter. For instances, the student stated "I am 20th years old, famale, single" without any conjunctions or connector. It means that some students may have difficulties to shift the spoken words into written ones.

C. Lexis

Similar to the expert text, most of the student texts contain selected words/phrases that commonly exist in application letter such as job opportunities, company, position, interview and etc. Those words/phrases are also repeated several times such as company and leads. Thus, the student probably has been known the discourse of application letter even though it may be still insufficient to convince the reader because those words/phrases are not supported by further elaboration of personal judgment or value.

D. Appraisal

The researcher considers that the biggest gap which may be detected between the expert and the student text is the lack of appraisal provided by almost all of the student text. There are only one or two appraisal which could be found on the student text such as "I can work hard, diligent and honest" or "I have good motivation for progress and growing, eager to learn, and can work with a team or by myself". In contrast, the expert has established more value with give self-appraisals which suitable

to his intended position to achieve the intention of the presumed reader. For example "I am interested in building and implementing my customer service skills internationally by working as a Customer Service Officer in your department" or "I believe that I could effectively take the role as a Customer Service Officer and confirm my genuine interest in both the position and your organization". Toward the case, the offerings of appraisals used by students are lacked clarification of the relevance for the position applied for. In other words, although they try to present their self-promotion, the students did not indicate how these were linked and could be useful and valuable to the company (Paramasivam & Rahim, 2016).

E. Conjunction & Reference

Regarding the use of conjunctions, most of the students did not employ many conjunctions to build the logical relation and coherencies of the text. There are only "and" or "or" which could be found on the student texts. On the other hand, related to the use of reference, they seem to be able to use appropriate references in writing a text. In comparison, the expert texts used several conjunctions to show the complex sentences and to connect sentence to sentence such as "however", "besides", and "lastly". Many repetitions have also appeared in the expert text to stronger the explanation, synonym and personal references such as "I" to emotive the personal persuasive promotion.

F. Grammatical accuracy.

In relation to grammatical accuracy, there is no grammatical error found in the expert text. On the other hand, some students which considered in the middle and low level of English proficiency produced many grammatical errors in their texts. Those are generally about subject-verb agreement, tenses, part of speech and many else. For examples, "I confident" which should be "I am confident" or "my background educational" which should be "my educational background". Thus, it could be assumed that the students in middle and low level of English proficiency may have a trouble in composing a fully correct application letter because of the grammatical errors.

G. Graphic Features (Spelling, Punctuation & Presentation)

In terms of spelling and punctuation, it is found that students produced spelling errors on their texts such as "Sincerely", "famale", "Bansung" or "carrer". It seems that the students which from the middle and low level were not paying attention carefully to their writing. They also produce punctuation errors which further make their application letter become less accurate.

Furthermore, the expert presents his cover letter in the form of effective narration. Meanwhile, the student texts were performed by paragraphs and list of points. The paragraphs only contain the information without any attempt to further elaborate the information to convince the readers. Besides, most of the students only give short description about themselves and it seems inadequate to persuade the job receiver. They also does not strengthen her educational background and achievement or their experiences at least by mentioning her pre-service training experiences.

H. Pedagogical Implication

Throughout all the analysis, it appears that the student texts have already followed the genre of job application letter, specifically in its purpose, stages and text structures. In terms of cohesive analysis, the student texts also represent a variety of word and phrase choices which helped them in conveying the message they intend to tell. Yet, some students still produce grammatical, spelling and punctuation errors on their texts which reduce the quality of their application letter.

However, the findings show that the main problem encounter by the students in producing a job application letter is their ability to create self-appraisal. The students were not able to establish their relevance to the job and to self-appraise. They did not translate or transform their knowledge, experience and skills into capabilities that would be useful to the company by which an employer would look for in a potential employee. It rejects the concept of writing job application letter such as proposed by Bhatia (1993) who notes that the primary function of a job application letter is to promote the candidature of the writer by allowing the candidate to demonstrate possession of relevant qualities for a particular job.

Concerning the problem, explicit instruction that emphasized the concept of appraisal system seems to be worth implemented in the lesson plan. Introducing students with appraisal theory is expected to build their awareness of the persuasive language commonly appeared in the text. Thus, the awareness of self-appraised could influence their language choice in writing more convincing and persuading statements in application letter. It is in line with the argument from Bhatia (1993) who claimed that the ability to self-appraise or self-promote is key to the persuasive appeal of the job application letter and it supports the statement of Rose (2006) who argued that students learn English through explicit teaching of language and immersion in a diverse range of purposeful and increasingly demanding language experiences. It is also suitable with the previous studies who confirm the significant impact of explicit instruction of appraisal toward the students' ability in writing (Zhang, 2018; Swami, 2018)

The below activities are designed as the realization of what it is called explicit instruction of appraisal in teaching job application letter. The activities are mostly adopted from Rose (2006) and targeted towards the twelfth grade students of vocational high school because the topic of application letter is available in their syllabus. As presented in Table I.

TABLE I. EXPLICIT INSTRUCTION OF APPRAISAL IN TEACHING APPLICATION LETTER

Stages	Activities
Building Knowledge	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Introducing paragraphs contains of appraisal. - Students are prepared to recognize and understand appraisal within each sentence using cues, so that students know what it means, and where to find it in the sentence. - Listening to job interview while providing the students with the script of the interview. - Asking students to analyze the type of appraisal in self-promote by underlining the script.
Modelling	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Exposing learners to the samples of good quality of application letter.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Underlining/ highlighting/ labeling the appraisal found on the expert text - Comparing the expert and their own text by note making which focus more on self appraisal. - Teacher then elaborates by defining, explaining or discussing the appraisal in more depth.
Joint Construction	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Students are asked to response to job advertisements. They are asked to create sentences/statements containing their self-promotion/glorification to show their suitability to the jobs. - The teacher guides the students to write their own application letter using the sentences and the notes (from modeling step).
Independent Construction	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Following completion of job application letter, students' writing was first read by their classmates, who provided written feedback. - The instructor verified or negated peer feedback and provided further feedback through a written channel. - The students wrote their final application letter.

The strategies for teaching job application letter presented above will mostly focus to building knowledge and modeling steps. The two steps could support students to acknowledge self-appraisal, finding key information of appraisal in an effective job application letter, and using this information in their own writing. Those activities could facilitate students to critically interpret texts they are reading and writing, and to prepare them for independent construction.

Toward the senses, the pedagogical implications drawn from the findings of the study could work as a pedagogical tool which creates some benefits.

First, explicit instruction on appraisal could develop a student's communicative purpose. Explicit instruction of the appraisal system (Martin & White, 2005) would help the teachers able to show learners how to demonstrate solidarity with their presumed readers by showing common values with them. By listening comprehension to the job interview in building a knowledge step, students would acknowledge how to persuasively glorify their strong points. For example, instead of stating "I'm hardworking person", students might highlight their hardworking nature through examples of school-related activities, trainings, or organizational experience (Portman, 2014). Thus, such an ability would help them achieve the communicative purpose of job application letter which is to provide persuasive appeals by showing the possession of relevant qualities (Bhatia, 1993).

Second, explicit instruction could facilitate students to fill the reader's expectations. In the second step of the instruction (modeling), the learners are exposed to the sample of good quality of job application letter. By this activity, learners being made aware that job application letters are embedded by certain self-advertised statements because the writers of application letters must imaginatively construct a persona that matches the expectation of potential employers toward the successful applicant. This awareness would further help them determine the choices and constraints of text production. It is related to Hyland (2003) who assumes that every successful text will display the writer's awareness of its context and the readers who form part of the context. Therefore, activating students with

how to self-appraise could smoothly lead them to show their relevant qualities in their application letter that yield the potential employer expectations.

Additionally, students could transfer their knowledge of appraisal to other text types. For example, in the building knowledge step, students are introduced towards appraisal and asked to find the appraisal in the paragraphs. Hence, it could be assumed that providing the learners with the explicit teaching of the appraisal system could open the possibility to transfer the knowledge of appraisal from job application letter to another text type. It is proved by the previous studies that explicit instruction of appraisal could facilitate students gradually gained an enhanced understanding of interpersonal meaning as readers and authors in another text type such as expository and argumentative writing (Zhang, 2018).

IV. CONCLUSION

The findings of the expert and student text analysis reveal that in terms of genre and cohesive device, both of the texts have followed the appropriate stages and text structures as well as presented a variety of word and phrase choices commonly available in a job application letter. Furthermore, even though some students still produce grammatical, spelling and punctuation errors in their texts, the aspect considered as the most significant gap between the expert and the student text is the students' ability to create self-appraisal. As a response toward the problem, explicit instruction that emphasized the concept of the appraisal system is the recommendation proposed in this study. Introducing students with appraisal theory is expected to build their awareness of the persuasive language commonly appeared in the text. Thus, the awareness of self-appraised could influence their language choice in writing more convincing and persuading statements in the application letter.

REFERENCES

- Banks, D. (2002). Systemic functional linguistics as a model for text analysis. *La Revue du GERAS*, 35-36. doi: 10.4000/asp.1584.
- Bhatia, V. K. (1993). *Analyzing genre: Language use in professional settings*. London: Longman.
- Butt, D., Fahey, R., Feez, S., Spinks, S., & Yallop, C. (2001). *Using functional grammar: An explorer's guide* (2nd edn.). Sydney: National Centre for English Language Teaching and Research.
- Halliday, M. A. K. (2004). *An introduction to functional grammar*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Hyland, K. (2002). Genre: Language, context, and literacy. *Annual Review of Applied Linguistics*, 22, 113-135. doi: 10.1017/S0267190502000065
- Knapp, P., & Watkins, M. (2005). *Genre, text, grammar: Technology for teaching and assessing writing*. Sydney: UNSW Press.
- Kusumayanti, D. (2018). *Rencana pelaksanaan pembelajaran (RPP) SMK NU Gresik*. Retrieved from <http://eprints.umg.ac.id>
- Lai, J. (2010). Interpersonal functions of EFL teachers' evaluative discourse. *International Education Studies*, 3(2), 167-173. doi: 10.5539/ies.v3n2p167
- Martin, J. R., & Rose, D. (2008). *Genre relations: Mapping culture*. London: Equinox.
- Martin, J. R., & White, P. R. R. (2005). *The language of evaluation: Appraisal in English*. New York: Palgrave.
- Paramasivam, S., & Rahim, M. I. (2016). Genre analysis of job application letters in Malaysia. *The Asian ESP Journal*, 12(2), 144-171.
- Portman, D. (2014). *Walking a linguistic tightrope: Learner development in writing job application letters* (Unpublished master's thesis). The Open University.
- Rose, D. (2006). *Scaffolding the English curriculum for indigenous secondary students: NSW 7-10 English syllabus Aboriginal support pilot project office of the board of studies. Final report*. Retrieved from https://ab-ed.nesa.nsw.edu.au/files/Scaffolding_Literacy_Evaluation_Rose.pdf
- Rose, D. (2016). *Reading to learn: Assessing writing*. Retrieved from <http://www.readingtolearn.com>
- Swami, J. A. (2008). Sensitizing ESL learners to genre. *Teaching English as A Second or Foreign Language (TESL-EJ)*, 12(3), 1-13.
- Zhang, X. (2018). Supporting EFL learners' reflective practices through systemic functional linguistic praxis: A longitudinal case study. *Educational Sciences: Theory & Practice*, 18, 495-513. doi: 10.12738/estp.2018.2.0022