Nursing Technology in Vibration Perception Threshold Testing in Diabetic Peripheral Neuropathy Patients

Abstract—Diabetic Peripheral Neuropathy (DPN) is one factor which can lead the diabetes mellitus patient to foot ulceration. Early detection is indispensable part to prevent diabetes mellitus patient from foot disorders. Vibration perception threshold (VPT) measures can be used to easily and accurately identify presence of DPN. This study was to test the reliability and to evaluate the accuracy of a new vibrometer for detection DPN. A new device (Neo-vibrometer) was compared with 10g monofilament and tested on a group of DPN and a group of healthy as matched control subjects. Vibration Perception Threshold (VPT) was tested on the plantar surface of the feet. The Neo-vibrometer shows an actual measurement in all test. The Pearson correlation coefficient was 0.94 ($P<0.05$). The 10g monofilament could also be measured with excellent reliability but only within a limited range of mild to moderate neuropathy, so it appears to be an appropriate screening tool. The measurement-to-measurement intraclass correlation coefficient was 0.93. The new device is accurate and could measure of VPT. It could document loss of sensation in diabetic neuropathy even in the presence of a normal result when it tested by 10g monofilament test. The Neo-vibrometer can be earlier detected of diabetic neuropathy and should be used as the recommended technique in diabetic foot ulcer prevention.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Diabetic Peripheral Neuropathy (DPN) is one of DM complication which contributes in exacerbating ulceration. The DM patient with neuropathy complication has lost sensation as the main problem which increased incidences of diabetic foot ulcer (DFU) [1]. Approximately 15% DM patients were suffer DFU and leading to death caused by sepsis [1].

Early detection is the gold manner to prevent DM patients from ulceration. The guidelines for detecting risk of DFU have been conducted from various organizations which concern to DFU prevention, include NICE, ADA, IDF, and also from many previous researchers. The guidelines for DFU prevention consist of risk assessment, risk category, and diabetic foot care.

The determination of the vibration perception threshold is one of steps in DFU risk assessment which used to assess of neuropathy. The patients will be categorized as high risk when signs of DPN detected. The increased VPT more than 25 Volt and lack of sensation indicate that DM patient has been undergone of peripheral nerve disorders [2].

Previous studies showed that monofilament and vibrometer are appropriate devices for detecting lack of sensation on DM patients. Both of devices are non-invasive and practically. Monofilament is single fiber nylon threads, used to detect the pressure of sensation in...
neuropathic patients. Reliability of monofilament has been done by the previous studies [3].

Currently, there are available devices for evaluating VPT, include the Neurothesiometer, and the Biothesiometer. Although these devices have similarities in measuring VPT, but it relatively expensive. In this study, the researcher has developed the new vibrometer (neo-vibrometer) which is inexpensive and more portable, easy to use, and practically. Furthermore, the Neo-vibrometer can be used by care givers or families patient’s for optimally in prevention DM patient from DFU in community setting.

The aim of this study was to test the reliability and to evaluate the accuracy of a new vibrometer for detection DPN. This tool is only to determine the presence of neuropathy and not to distinguish the severity of neuropathy.

II. METHODS AND PARTICIPANTS

Forty participants (20 DPN and 20 non-diabetic) have been recruited from primary health services in Indonesia-Malaysia border. The study was approved by STIK Muhammadiyah Pontianak Review Board. All participants signed an inform consent form. Participants who were using medication that have an effect to central nervous system and have other neurological and musculoskeletal problems from other diseases were excluded.

III. INSTRUMENTS AND STUDY PROCEDURES

All of the participants were tested in the quite room. The monofilament test was done with a 10g Semmes-Weinstein filament. The monofilament was single perpendicular string that applied on the plantar surface at heel and hallux. During the monofilament test, the participants were suggested to remove shoes and socks and lay prone with eyes closed. The examiner tested the monofilament on the participant’s hand before it tested on the plantar. The monofilament was touched to skin on plantar with straight until the string bended, approximately 10-12 seconds and asked the participant to give response “yes” when feel the sensation and “no” when did not feel sensation. The test sensation was repeated three times on plantar at heel and hallux. After an hour interval, the second examination was performed by using The Neo-vibrometer. The Neo-vibrometer consisted of a generator vibration with probe. During the Neo-vibrometer tested, participants was suggested to lay prone on the bed and removed shoes and socks. The examiners tried to apply the probe with vibration on the participant’s hand to give the example vibration before applied on plantar surface at the heel and hallux. When the probe was applied on the plantar, the participant was instructed to say “yes” when felt the vibration and “no” when the vibration was absence. The voltage was increased gradually from 1 to 30 volts. The participants were categorized when test was done, consist of mild, moderate, and severe neuropathy. Participants called mild neuropathy when cannot feel the vibration on 16 – 20 volts, moderate was on 21-25 volts, and severe neuropathy was above 25 volts.

IV. STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Statistical analyses were calculated by using SPSS. The demographic data were described by using descriptive statistic. Intra-class Correlation Coefficients (ICC) and Standard Error of the Mean (SEM) were calculated to evaluate within and between subject inter and intra rater reliability of 10g Monofilament and Neo-Vibrometer. Based on Rosner’s classification, ICC≥0.75 represent excellent, 0.4<ICC<0.75 indicate fair to good, and <0.4 demonstrate poor reliability [3].

V. RESULTS

The means and SD of the right hallux and heel Neo-vibrometer and 10g monofilament measurements in every group are presented in table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reliability measure</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PCC</td>
<td>0.947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICC</td>
<td>0.943</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The reliability measures counted separately for Neo-vibrometer and Monofilament, based on the two measurements but for the control subjects only (Seen table 5). Both methods have excellent replication-to-replication reliability in the control group, with a location shift close...
to 0 and a scale shift close to 1. The Pearson’s correlation coefficient between the Neo-vibrometer and Monofilament was PCC = 0.86.

Table 4. Reliability Measures Calculated for the Neo-Vibrometer and Monofilament Separately for the Right Hallux

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reliability measure</th>
<th>Neo-vibrometer</th>
<th>Monofilament</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ICC</td>
<td>0.943</td>
<td>0.921</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VI. Discussion

In this study, the function of monofilament is very clear to detect presence of neuropathy. The Neo-vibrometer results showed that the range of loss of sensation beyond the off-scale category of monofilament. The results demonstrate that there is a range of detectable vibration amplitudes between severely neuropathic patients approximately two times greater than the monofilament. In this study, any patients who were categorized as normal when tested by using monofilament, but the category changes to mild neuropathy when tested using a neo-vibrometer. The previous study also showed that the vibration test can detect the loss sensation beyond monofilament test [5].

Furthermore, Examiner professionalism was important in measuring pressure sensation by Monofilament and affected the level of data reliability [6,7,8]. Robert et al. study demonstrated that a professionally trained examiner positively affected inter-rater reliability. Examiners of the current study were expert to evaluate pressure and vibration sensation by SWM and tuning fork and were trained by a professional neurologist [9].

Variation participant’s affected the reliability [10]. Many studies reported low inter-rater reliability of SWM have examined healthy people [8,11]. The present study evaluated the diabetic patients with and without neuropathy and the ICC revealed a high inter-rater reliability. A number of monofilament, test location and examiner may affect the level of reliability. A prolonged period of the test may lead to fatigue and decreas participant’s concentration. Decreased skin temperature is affected by plantar sensation [12].

The intra-rater reliability demonstrated high ICC in replication-to-replication and day-to-day examination, similar to previous studies [8,13,14]. Only the reliability of right medial forefoot and left lateral forefoot were moderate. Collins et al. assessed the between-days intra-rater reliability in healthy people and reported good reliability for the right foot and poor-to-moderate reliability for the left foot. Individual’s variation and examiner proficient affected the reliability [9,10]. Studies demonstrated that vibration sensation test is appropriate for neuropathy diagnosis in diabetic patients [15]. Reliability of graduate tuning fork was assessed in diabetic and polyneuropathy patients [15].

The previous study related vibration test by using tuning fork demonstrated that within-day intra-and inter-rater reliability of tuning fork were excellent. However, between-days intra- and inter-rater reliability were moderate and poor, respectively [3].

VII. Conclusion

The current study was demonstrated to investigate reliability of replication-to-replication and day-to-day inter- and intra-rater reliability in diabetic patients by monofilament and Neo-vibrometer. We conclude that the Neo-vibrometer can reliably measure VPT over a much wider range than the Monofilament. It is therefore a more appropriate tool for detecting the loss of sensation accurately.
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