



## Conference Abstract YI 1.1 Aortic Impedance and Total Arterial Compliance from Regional Pulse Wave Velocities

Vasiliki Bikia<sup>\*</sup>, Georgios Rovas, Stamatia Pagoulatou, Nikolaos Stergiopulos

École polytechnique fédérale de Lausanne

## ABSTRACT

**Background:** *In-vivo* assessment of aortic characteristic impedance  $(Z_{ao})$  and total arterial compliance  $(C_{\tau})$  has been hampered by the need for invasive methods to access simultaneous recordings of aortic pressure and flow, wall thickness, and cross-sectional area. In contrast, regional pulse wave velocity (PWV) measurements are noninvasive and clinically available. Given that PWV is strongly related to aortic stiffness (1), we assume that carotid-to-femoral PWV (cfPWV) and carotid-to-radial PWV (crPWV) may contain sufficient information to evaluate the elasticity of the ascending aorta. Concretely, here, we present a noninvasive regression method for estimating  $Z_{ao}$  and  $C_{\tau}$  using cuff pressure, cfPWV, and crPWV.

**Methods:** Gradient Boosting is employed for predicting  $Z_{ao}$ , and  $C_{r}$ . The regressors are trained/tested using a pool of virtual subjects (n = 4833) generated from a previously validated in-silico model (2). The cross validation is performed using a 10-fold cross-validation (3). The population used has been previously generated (4) and reflects a wide range of hemodynamical properties and states.

**Results:** Predictions had a high accuracy (Figure) achieving a normalized-RMSE equal to  $6.24 \pm 1.19\%$  (r = 0.85, p < 0.001) for  $Z_{ao}$ , and  $4.38 \pm 0.36\%$  (r = 0.97, p < 0.001) for  $C_{r}$ , respectively. High errors were reported for high values of  $Z_{ao}$  due to the limited amount of similar data.

**Conclusion:** The proposed approach constitutes a step forward to noninvasive screening of elastic vascular properties in human by exploiting easily obtained measurements. This study could introduce a valuable tool for assessing aortic stiffness reducing the cost and the complexity of the required measuring techniques. Clinical evaluation is required to validate the method *in-vivo*.



**Figure** | Comparison of the estimated values with the reference values. Scatterplot and Bland-Altman plot for the predicted  $Z_{ao}$  (left panel). Scatterplot and Bland-Altman plot for the predicted  $C_{T}$  (right panel). Solid line represents equality. Limits of agreement (LoA), within which 95% of errors are expected to lie, are defined by the two horizontal dashed lines.

© 2020 Association for Research into Arterial Structure and Physiology. Publishing services by Atlantis Press International B.V. This is an open access article distributed under the CC BY-NC 4.0 license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/).