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#### Abstract

In this paper we present the full classification of symmetry-invariant solutions for the Gibbons-Tsarev equation. Then we use these solutions to construct explicit expressions for two-component reductions of Benney's moments equations, to get solutions of Pavlov's equation, and to find integrable reductions of the Ferapontov-Huard-Zhang system, which describes implicit two-phase solutions of the dKP equation.
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## 1. Introduction

The Gibbons-Tsarev equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{y y}=\left(u_{y}+y\right) u_{x x}-u_{x} u_{x y}-2 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

has been widely known since it arose in [15] as a special case of a reduction of Benney's moment equations, [7],

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n, t}+A_{n+1, x}+n A_{n-1} A_{0, x}=0, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Namely, suppose that $A_{2}$ and $A_{3}$ depend functionally on $p:=A_{0}$ and $q:=A_{1}$, that is, $A_{2}=R(p, q)$, $A_{3}=S(p, q)$ for some functions $R$ and $S$. Then for all $n \geq 4$ moments $A_{n}$ also depend functionally on $A_{0}$ and $A_{1}, A_{n}=Q_{n}(p, q)$, where all the functions $Q_{n}$ may be expressed recurrently in terms of $R$ and $S$. Substituting for $A_{2}=R(p, q), A_{3}=S(p, q)$ into (1.2) yields an over-determined system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
S_{q}=R_{p}+R_{q}^{2},  \tag{1.3}\\
S_{p}=R_{q}\left(R_{p}+p\right)-2 q,
\end{array}\right.
$$

which is compatible whenever $R_{p p}=\left(R_{p}+p\right) R_{q q}-R_{q} R_{p q}-2$. This equation coincides with (1.1) after renaming $(q, p, R) \mapsto(x, y, u)$.

This origin of the Gibbons-Tsarev equation connects it directly with a model (also presented in the above-mentioned Benney's work), which is meant to describe behavior of long waves on a
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shallow, inviscid and incompressible fluid. The Gibbons-Tsarev equation also arises in integrable models on algebraic curves, [24]. In [18, 19] the method of differential constraints was applied to find solutions of the Gibbons-Tsarev equation that are expressible in terms of solutions of Painlevé equations.

In this paper we use the methods of group analysis of differential equations, see, e.g., [25], to find solutions of the Gibbons-Tsarev equation that are invariant with respect to its symmetries. The research, as usually when Lie theory is applied, is performed in an algorithmic way, which involves reduction of the primary equation into equation in less independent variables than the primary one. The basic method includes arbitrary choice of symmetries, but one may confine this choice in some sense with the help of the adjoint representation of the symmetry algebra. In order to examine the problem of finding group-invariant solutions in as much systematic way as possible, we applied the method based on searching for an optimal system of one-dimensional subalgebras of the symmetry algebra of Eq. (1.1). Hence, every other group-invariant solution can be derived from one of the solutions we obtained.

As an immediate application of the invariant solutions of Eq. (1.1) we get explicit forms for four two-component reductions of Benney's moments equations. Two further applications are the following. First, as it was shown in [6], Eq. (1.1) arises as a symmetry reduction of equation [10,26]

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{y y}=u_{t x}+u_{y} u_{x x}-u_{x} u_{x y} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, solutions to Eq. (1.1) provide solutions to Eq. (1.4). Second, the change of variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=u+\frac{1}{2} y^{2} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

transforms (1.1) to the first equation of the system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
z_{y y}=z_{y} z_{x x}-z_{x} z_{x y}-1  \tag{1.6}\\
w_{y y}=z_{y} w_{x x}-z_{x} w_{x y}
\end{array}\right.
$$

This system was shown in [11] to produce two-phase solutions for the dispersionless KadomtsevPetviashvili equation (dKP). Namely, if functions $P(r, s), Q(r, s)$ satisfy

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
P_{s s}=P_{s} P_{r r}-P_{r} P_{r s}-1  \tag{1.7}\\
Q_{s s}=P_{s} Q_{r r}-P_{r} Q_{r s}
\end{array}\right.
$$

then the system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
Q_{r}=x+t\left(r+P_{r}\right)  \tag{1.8}\\
Q_{s}=y+t P_{s}
\end{array}\right.
$$

implicitly defines a solution $r(t, x, y), s(t, x, y)$ to the system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
r_{t}=r r_{x}+s_{y} \\
r_{y}=s_{x}
\end{array}\right.
$$

which is equivalent to the dKP equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{y y}=r_{t x}-\left(r r_{x}\right)_{x} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Each solution to (1.1) yields by substituting (1.5) into (1.6) a linear equation for $w$. We analyse symmetries of the obtained linear equations. Their corresponding reductions appear to be ordinary
differential equations equivalent to Airy's equation,

$$
v_{x x}=x v,
$$

Weber's equation

$$
v_{x x}=\left(\frac{1}{4} x^{2}+\lambda\right) v
$$

Whittaker's equation

$$
v_{x x}=\left(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{\kappa}{x}+\frac{4 \mu^{2}-1}{4 x^{2}}\right) v,
$$

and Bessel's equation

$$
v_{x x}=\left(\frac{1}{4}+\frac{4 \mu^{2}-1}{4 x^{2}}\right) v,
$$

see, e.g., [1,31]. While Airy's equation is not integrable in quadratures, [17], for Weber's equation, Whittaker's equation and Bessel's equation there exist an infinite number of values of the parameters $\lambda, \kappa, \mu$ such that those equations are integrable, see [30], [21] and [29]. Hence, we obtain an infinite number of cases when system (1.6) is integrable in quadratures. While the corresponding solutions to (1.7), (1.8) describe two-phase solutions for Eq. (1.9), their final form appears to be too complicated to write it explicitly.

## 2. The symmetry algebra of the Gibbons-Tsarev equation

Roughly speaking, a symmetry group of an equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(x, y, u, u_{x}, u_{y}, u_{x y}, u_{x x}, u_{y y}\right)=0, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a local group $G$ of transformations $g$ acting on some open subset of the space of independent and dependent variables $X \times Y \times U$, which transform solutions of the equation into solutions (for the precise definition see [25]). There is a one-to-one correspondence between symmetry group and its infinitesimal generator, which is a vector field of the form:

$$
V=\xi_{1}(x, y, u) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\xi_{2}(x, y, u) \frac{\partial}{\partial y}+\eta(x, y, u) \frac{\partial}{\partial u} .
$$

Every infinitesimal generator has its characteristic function, defined as $Q=\eta-\xi_{1} u_{x}-\xi_{2} u_{y}$, which is very useful from the computational point of view. In this paper, by symmetry we mean either a characteristic or a corresponding vector field, depending on a context. Finally, a symmetry algebra is a set of infinitesimal generators of symmetries, closed with respect to commutator $[\cdot, \cdot]$. For any two vector fields $V_{1}, V_{2}$, their commutator is defined as $\left[V_{1}, V_{2}\right]:=V_{1} \circ V_{2}-V_{2} \circ V_{1}$.

Let $G$ be a Lie group of symmetries of Eq. (2.1). Then a solution $u=f(x, y)$ of (2.1) is a $G$ invariant solution of this equation if the graph $\Gamma_{f}=\{(x, y, f(x, y)) \in X \times Y \times U \mid(x, y) \in \operatorname{dom}(f)\}$ is a locally $G$-invariant subset of $X \times Y \times U$, see [25, Chapter 3] for the full discussion.
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### 2.1. Symmetry algebra

With the help of Jets software, [5], we found the symmetry algebra of Eq. (1.1), which is presented in the following table.

$$
\begin{array}{c|l|l}
\text { Symmetry } & \text { Characteristic } & \text { Vector field } \\
\hline \phi_{1} & -y u_{x}+2 x & y \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+2 x \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \\
\phi_{2} & -x u_{x}-\frac{2}{3} y u_{y}+\frac{4}{3} u & x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\frac{2}{3} y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}+\frac{4}{3} u \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \\
\phi_{3} & -u_{x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \\
\phi_{4} & -u_{y}-y & \frac{\partial}{\partial y}-y \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \\
\phi_{5} & 1 & \frac{\partial}{\partial u}
\end{array}
$$

The commutator table of this Lie algebra is the following:

|  | $\phi_{1}$ | $\phi_{2}$ | $\phi_{3}$ | $\phi_{4}$ | $\phi_{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\phi_{1}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{3} \phi_{1}$ | $-2 \phi_{2}$ | $-\phi_{3}$ | 0 |
| $\phi_{2}$ | $-\frac{1}{3} \phi_{1}$ | 0 | $-\phi_{3}$ | $-\frac{2}{3} \phi_{4}$ | $-\frac{4}{3} \phi_{5}$ |
| $\phi_{3}$ | $2 \phi_{2}$ | $\phi_{3}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\phi_{4}$ | $\phi_{3}$ | $\frac{2}{3} \phi_{4}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\phi_{5}$ | 0 | $\frac{4}{3} \phi_{5}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Note that $\phi_{2}$ is a scaling symmetry, while $\phi_{3}$ and $\phi_{5}$ denote invariance of the set of solutions with respect to translations of $x$ and $u$.

### 2.2. Adjoint representation

The full symmetry group of Gibbons-Tsarev equation is generated by five one-dimensional subgroups whose generators are presented in table (2.1). Reduction with respect to one of these 1dimensional subgroups gives us an equation in $2-1=1$ variables. Any linear combination of symmetries is again a symmetry and it brings new reduction, which makes the task of finding all group-invariant solutions very tedious. However, it is easy to check, that if $f(x, y)$ is a $G$-invariant solution, then $(h \cdot f)(x, y)$ is $h G h^{-1}$-invariant. This observation indicates the need of finding a set of solutions, which are invariant with respect to non-conjugate subgroups. As usual, we will work with vector fields rather than subgroups of transformations themselves. The adjoint representation is defined as follows. For a given vector $V$ from a Lie algebra denote by $A d_{\mathcal{E} V}$ a linear map on the Lie algebra, which is defined for every vector $W$ from the Lie algebra as follows:

$$
A d_{\varepsilon V} W:=W-\varepsilon[V, W]+\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2!}[V,[V, W]]-\frac{\varepsilon^{3}}{3!}[V,[V,[V, W]]]+\cdots
$$

The adjoint representation has a useful property of transforming vector $W$ generating a subgroup $G_{W}$ to the vector $A d_{\varepsilon V} W$ generating subgroup $h G_{W} h^{-1}$, where $h=\exp (\varepsilon V)$. The adjoint representation for the symmetry algebra of the Gibbons-Tsarev equation is presented in the following table. The
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$(i, j)$-th entry is $A d_{\varepsilon} \phi_{i} \phi_{j}$.

| $A d_{\varepsilon \phi_{i}} \phi_{j}$ | $\phi_{1}$ | $\phi_{2}$ | $\phi_{3}$ | $\phi_{4}$ | $\phi_{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\phi_{1}$ | $\phi_{1}$ | $\phi_{2}+\frac{\varepsilon}{3} \phi_{1}$ | $\phi_{3}-2 \varepsilon \phi_{5} \phi_{4}-\varepsilon \phi_{3}+\varepsilon^{2} \phi_{5}$ | $\phi_{5}$ |  |
| $\phi_{2}$ | $e^{-\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon} \phi_{1}$ | $\phi_{2}$ | $e^{-\varepsilon} \phi_{3}$ | $e^{-\frac{2}{3} \varepsilon} \phi_{4}$ | $e^{-\frac{4}{3} \varepsilon} \phi_{5}$ |
| $\phi_{3}$ | $\phi_{1}+2 \varepsilon \phi_{5}$ | $\phi_{2}+\varepsilon \phi_{3}$ | $\phi_{3}$ | $\phi_{4}$ | $\phi_{5}$ |
| $\phi_{4}$ | $\phi_{1}+\varepsilon \phi_{3}$ | $\phi_{2}+\frac{2}{3} \varepsilon \phi_{4}$ | $\phi_{3}$ | $\phi_{4}$ | $\phi_{5}$ |
| $\phi_{5}$ | $\phi_{1}$ | $\phi_{2}+\frac{4}{3} \varepsilon \phi_{5}$ | $\phi_{3}$ | $\phi_{4}$ | $\phi_{5}$ |

The following lemma presents an optimal system of one-dimensional subalgebras for the symmetry algebra of the Gibbons-Tsarev equation, by which a list of vectors generating conjugacy inequivalent one-parameter subgroups is meant, $[25, \S 3.3]$.

Lemma 2.1. The optimal system of one-dimensional subalgebras consists of the subalgebras spanned by the following vectors: $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \phi_{4}+\alpha \phi_{1}, \phi_{4}+\alpha \phi_{5}$, where $\alpha$ is an arbitrary constant.

Proof. Proof is obtained by a standard computation, see, e.g., [25, § 3.3].

## 3. Reductions and invariant solutions

In this section we find solutions of Eq. (1.1) that are invariant with respect to the optimal system obtained in the above lemma. We use the method described, e.g., in [25, § 3.1].

### 3.1. Reduction with respect to $\phi_{1}$

The $\phi_{1}$-invariant solutions of the Gibbons-Tsarev equation satisfy (1.1) and

$$
\phi_{1}=-y u_{x}+2 x=0
$$

Solving the last equation for $u_{x}$ and integrating gives $u=x^{2} y^{-1}+W(y)$. Substituting this to (1.1) and solving for unknown function $W(y)$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\frac{x^{2}}{y}+\beta y^{3}+\gamma \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta, \gamma$ are arbitrary constants.

### 3.2. Reduction with respect to $\phi_{2}$

The $\phi_{2}$-invariant solutions of the Gibbons-Tsarev equation satisfy (1.1) and

$$
\phi_{2}=-x u_{x}-\frac{2}{3} y u_{y}+\frac{4}{3} u=0 .
$$

Solving this we get $u=x^{4 / 3} v(\zeta)$ with $\zeta=y x^{-2 / 3}$. Inserting the outcome into (1.1) yields the ordinary differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{\zeta \zeta}=\frac{2\left(\zeta v_{\zeta}^{2}+\left(2 v+3 \zeta^{2}\right) v_{\zeta}-2 \zeta v+9\right)}{8 \zeta v+4 \zeta^{3}-9} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The point symmetries of this equation are trivial, so the methods of group analysis can not be applied to its integration. The general solution to (3.2) may be extracted from results of [28]: this solution
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may be written in the parametric form

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
v=-\frac{3^{2 / 3}}{2\left(1+\varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{2}\right)^{1 / 3}} \cdot \frac{P_{2}(t)}{\left(P_{3}(t)\right)^{2 / 3}},  \tag{3.3}\\
\zeta=-\frac{3^{4 / 3}}{2\left(1+\varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{2}\right)^{2 / 3}} \cdot \frac{P_{4}(t)}{\left(P_{3}(t)\right)^{4 / 3}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{2}(t)= & \left(\varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{2} t\right)^{2}+\varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{2} t^{2}, \\
P_{3}(t)= & \left(\varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{2} t\right)^{3}-\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{2} t^{3}, \\
P_{4}(t)= & \left(1+2\left(\varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{2}\right)\right)\left(\varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{2} t\right)^{4}+\varepsilon_{2}\left(2\left(1+\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{2}^{2}\right)+\varepsilon_{2}\right) t^{4}-4 \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2}^{2} t^{3} \\
& -2 \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2}\left(1+\varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{2}\right) t^{2}-4 \varepsilon_{1}^{2} \varepsilon_{2} t+\varepsilon_{1}\left(\varepsilon_{1}+2\left(1+\varepsilon_{2}-\varepsilon_{1}^{2}\right)\right), \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{1}$ and $\varepsilon_{2}$ are arbitrary constants and $t$ is a parameter ${ }^{a}$. Since

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial v}{\partial \varepsilon_{1}} & \frac{\partial v}{\partial \varepsilon_{2}} \\
\frac{\partial v_{\zeta}}{\partial \varepsilon_{1}} & \frac{\partial v_{\zeta}}{\partial \varepsilon_{2}}
\end{array}\right)=\frac{3^{5 / 3}\left(1+\varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{2}\right)^{2 / 3}(t-1)^{2}\left(\varepsilon_{1}+\left(1+\varepsilon_{2}\right) t\right)^{2}\left(1+\varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{2} t\right)^{2}}{8\left(P_{3}(t)\right)^{8 / 3}} \not \equiv 0,
$$

system (3.3), (3.4) indeed defines the general solution to Eq. (3.2). This fact was not proved in [28]. This solution is very complicated, so we will not use it in the constructions of Section 4. Note that eliminating $t$ from (3.3) yields an algebraic dependence between $v$ and $\zeta$, while it seems to be beyond the capacities of the existing systems of symbolic computations to obtain the explicit form of this dependence for arbitrary $\varepsilon_{1}$ and $\varepsilon_{2}$.

### 3.3. Reduction with respect to $\phi_{3}$

For $\phi_{3}$-invariant solutions of the Gibbons-Tsarev equation we have

$$
\phi_{3}=-u_{x}=0,
$$

so they do not depend on $x$ and thus satisfy $u_{y y}=-2$. Hence these solutions are of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=-y^{2}+\beta y+\gamma \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\beta, \gamma=$ const.

### 3.4. Reduction with respect to $\phi_{4}+\alpha \phi_{1}$

Solutions of the Gibbons-Tsarev equation that are invariant w.r.t. $\phi_{4}+\alpha \phi_{1}$ satisfy (1.1) and

$$
\phi_{4}+\alpha \phi_{1}=-\alpha y u_{x}-u_{y}-y+2 \alpha x=0 .
$$

When $\alpha \neq 0$, we solve this equation for $u_{x}$, substitute the output into (1.1) and obtain the reduced equation

$$
u_{y y}=\frac{2\left(x-\alpha y^{2}\right)}{y\left(2 x-\alpha y^{2}\right)} u_{y}-\frac{4 \alpha x^{2}}{y\left(2 x-\alpha y^{2}\right)}
$$

[^0]
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This is a linear ordinary differential equation with $x$ treated as a parameter. Solutions of this equation are of the form

$$
u=2 \alpha x y-\frac{2}{3} \alpha^{2} y^{3}+W_{1}(x) \cdot\left|2 x-\alpha y^{2}\right|^{\frac{3}{2}}+W_{2}(x)
$$

where $W_{1}(x)$ and $W_{2}(x)$ are arbitrary (smooth) functions of $x$. By substituting this solution to (1.1) we obtain that $W_{1}(x)=\beta=$ const and $W_{2}(x)=-\alpha^{-1} x+\gamma, \gamma=$ const. Finally, solution invariant with respect to symmetry $\phi_{4}+\alpha \phi_{1}$ is of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\left(2 \alpha y-\alpha^{-1}\right) x-\frac{2}{3} \alpha^{2} y^{3}+\beta\left|\alpha y^{2}-2 x\right|^{\frac{3}{2}}+\gamma \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $\alpha=0$, we have $u_{y}=-y$, so $u=-\frac{1}{2} y^{2}+W_{1}(x)$. But substituting for this into (1.1) gives a contradiction.

### 3.5. Reduction with respect to $\phi_{4}+\alpha \phi_{5}$

Solutions of the Gibbons-Tsarev equation that are invariant w.r.t. $\phi_{5}+\beta \phi_{4}$ satisfy (1.1) and

$$
\phi_{4}+\alpha \phi_{5}=-u_{y}-y+\alpha=0
$$

This gives $u=-\frac{1}{2} y^{2}+\alpha y+W(x)$. Substituting to (1.1) and solving for $W(x)$ gives the solution of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\frac{1}{2 \alpha} x^{2}-\frac{1}{2} y^{2}+\alpha y+\beta x+\gamma \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\beta, \gamma=\mathrm{const}$.

## 4. Applications

### 4.1. Two-component reductions of Benney's moments equation

Renaming $(q, p, R) \mapsto(x, y, u)$ in system (1.3) and substituting for a solution of Eq. (1.1) into the resulting system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
S_{x}=u_{y}+u_{x}^{2} \\
S_{y}=u_{x}\left(u_{y}+y\right)-2 x,
\end{array}\right.
$$

we obtain a compatible system for $S$. This system has the following solutions that correspond to the invariant solutions (3.1), (3.5), (3.6), (3.7) of the Gibbons-Tsarev equation, respectively:

$$
\begin{gathered}
S=\frac{x^{3}}{y^{2}}+3 \beta x y^{2}+\delta \\
S=(\beta-2 y) x+\delta \\
S=\frac{\beta\left(3 \alpha^{2} y-2\right)}{\alpha}\left|\alpha y^{2}-2 x\right|^{\frac{3}{2}}-\frac{1}{4} \alpha^{2}\left(4 \alpha+9 \beta^{2}\right) y^{4}-4 x y+\frac{1}{\alpha^{2}} x \\
+\frac{4}{3} \alpha y^{3}+\left(\alpha-9 \beta^{2}\right) x^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \alpha}\left(18 \alpha^{2} \beta^{2} x+4 \alpha^{3} x-1\right) y^{2}+\delta
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
S=\frac{x^{3}}{3 \alpha^{2}}+\frac{\beta x^{2}}{\alpha}+\left(\alpha+\beta^{2}-y\right) x+\alpha \beta y+\delta,
$$

where $\delta$ is an arbitrary constant.

### 4.2. Solutions to Eq. (1.4)

Eq. (1.4) has solutions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t, x, y)=v(\tau, y)-2 t x-t^{2} y \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tau=x+t y$ and function $v(\tau, y)$ is a solution of Eq. (1.1) with $x$ replaced by $\tau$. Since we know four explicit solutions (3.1), (3.5), (3.6), (3.7) of the Gibbons-Tsarev equation, after substituting them into (4.1) we obtain four explicit solutions of Eq. (1.4). They are, respectively,

$$
\begin{gathered}
u=\frac{x^{2}}{y}+\beta y^{3}+\gamma, \\
u=-y^{2}+\beta y-2 t x-t^{2} y+\gamma, \\
u=\left(2 \alpha y-2 t-\alpha^{-1}\right)(x+t y)-\frac{2}{3} \alpha^{2} y^{3}+\beta\left|2 x-\alpha y^{2}+t y\right|^{\frac{3}{2}}+t^{2} y+\gamma, \\
u=\frac{1}{2 \alpha}(x+t y)^{2}-\frac{1}{2} y^{2}+\alpha y+\beta(x+t y)-2 t x-t^{2} y+\gamma .
\end{gathered}
$$

### 4.3. Reductions of the Ferapontov-Huard-Zhang system

In this section we study solutions of system (1.6) that correspond to the obtained solutions (3.1), (3.5), (3.6), (3.7) of the Gibbons-Tsarev equation. Each solution of (1.1) yields by substituting (1.5) into (1.6) a linear equation for $w$. Any linear equation admits trivial symmetries, that is, symmetries of the form $w_{0} \frac{\partial}{\partial w}$, where $w_{0}$ is a (fixed) arbitrary solution of the equation. We consider nontrivial symmetries of the obtained linear equations. These symmetries allow one to reduce their equations to ordinary differential equations. For each one of these ODEs we indicate all the cases when the ODE is integrable in quadratures.

### 4.3.1. Solution (3.1)

For solution (3.1) the second equation of system (1.6) takes the form

$$
w_{y y}=\left(3 \beta y^{2}+y-x^{2} y^{-2}\right) w_{x x}-2 x y^{-1} w_{x y} .
$$

After the change of variables $x=\tilde{x} \tilde{y}, y=\tilde{y}, w=\tilde{w}$ and dropping tildes the last equation acquires the form $w_{y y}=(3 \beta y+1) y^{-1} w_{x x}$. This equation has a nontrivial symmetry $w_{x}-\lambda w$, where $\lambda$ is an arbitrary constant. The corresponding reduction $w=e^{\lambda x} v(y)$ gives an ODE $v_{y y}=\lambda^{2}(3 \beta y+$
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1) $y^{-1} v$. After the scaling $\tilde{y}=2 \sqrt{3} \lambda \beta^{1 / 2} y$ and dropping tildes we have Whittaker's

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{y y}=\left(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{\kappa}{y}\right) v \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\kappa=-\frac{1}{6} \sqrt{3} \lambda \beta^{1 / 2}$. From results of [29] it follows that Eq. (4.2) is integrable in quadratures whenever $\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore for each choice of $\beta$ there exists an infinite number of values for $\lambda$ such that Eq. (4.2) is integrable in quadratures.

### 4.3.2. Solution (3.5)

Without loss of generality it is possible to put $\beta=0$ in solution (3.5). Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{y y}=-y w_{x x} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The nontrivial symmetries of this equation are the following: $\psi_{1}=w_{x}-\lambda w$ with $\lambda=$ const, $\psi_{2}=$ $3 x w_{x}+2 y w_{y}$, and $\psi_{3}=12 x y u_{y}+3 x w-\left(4 y^{3}-9 x^{2}\right) u_{x}$.

The $\psi_{1}$-invariant solution of Eq. (4.3) is of the form $w=e^{\lambda x} v(y)$, where $v$ satisfies $v_{y y}=-\lambda^{2} y v$. After rescaling $y=-\lambda^{2 / 3} \tilde{y}$ and dropping tildes the last equation acquires the form of Airy's equation

$$
v_{y y}=y v
$$

which is not integrable in quadratures, [17].
The $\psi_{2}$-invariant solution of Eq. (4.3) is of the form $w=v(\eta)$ with $\eta=x y^{-3 / 2}$, where $v$ is a solution of equation

$$
v_{\eta \eta}=-\frac{10 \eta^{2}}{4 \eta^{3}+9} v_{\eta}
$$

The general solution of this equation is

$$
U=c_{1}+c_{2} \int \frac{d \eta}{\left(4 \eta^{3}+9\right)^{5 / 6}}
$$

The last integral can not be expressed in elementary functions, [30].
For a $\psi_{3}$-invariant solution we have

$$
w=\frac{y}{\left(4 y^{3}+9 x^{2}\right)^{5 / 6}} v(\sigma), \quad \sigma=\frac{4 y^{3}+9 x^{2}}{y^{3 / 2}}
$$

where $v(\sigma)$ is a solution to $v_{\sigma \sigma}=-3^{-1} \sigma^{-1} v_{\sigma}$. This equation is integrable in quadratures, its general solution reads $v=c_{1}+c_{2} \sigma^{2 / 3}$, where $c_{1}, c_{2}=$ const. Therefore we have

$$
w=\frac{c_{1} y}{\left(4 y^{3}+9 x^{2}\right)^{5 / 6}}+\frac{c_{2}}{\left(4 y^{3}+9 x^{2}\right)^{1 / 6}} .
$$

### 4.3.3. Solution (3.6) with $\beta \neq 0$

For solution (3.6) in the case of $\beta \neq 0$ the second equation of system (1.6) acquires the form

$$
\begin{gathered}
w_{y y}=-\left(3 \alpha \beta y\left|2 x-\beta y^{2}\right|^{1 / 2}-2 \beta x+2 \beta^{2} y^{2}-y\right) w_{x x} \\
-\left(3 \alpha\left|2 x-\beta y^{2}\right|^{1 / 2}+2 \beta y-\beta^{-1}\right) w_{x y} .
\end{gathered}
$$

After the change of variables $x=\frac{1}{2}\left(\tilde{x}^{2}+\beta \tilde{y}^{2}\right), y=\tilde{y}, w=\tilde{w}$ and dropping tildes we get

$$
w_{y y}=\beta w_{x x}+\frac{1-3 \alpha \beta x}{\beta x} w_{x y}
$$

This equation has a nontrivial symmetry $w_{y}-\lambda w, \lambda=$ const. The corresponding reduction $w=$ $e^{\lambda y} v(x)$ yields ODE $v_{x x}=\lambda(3 \alpha \beta x-1) \beta^{-2} x^{-1} v_{x}+\lambda^{2} \beta^{-1} v$, which after the change of variables $v=\frac{\lambda}{2 \beta}(3 \alpha x-\ln x) \tilde{v}$ and dropping tildes takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{x x}=\frac{\lambda}{4 \beta^{2}}\left(\lambda\left(9 \alpha^{2}+4 \beta\right)-\frac{6 \alpha \lambda}{\beta x}+\frac{\lambda-2 \beta}{\beta^{2} x^{2}}\right) v \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Analysis of this equation splits into two branches. The first one corresponds to the case of $9 \alpha^{2}+$ $4 \beta \neq 0$. In this case the scaling $\tilde{x}=\lambda \beta^{-1}\left(9 \alpha^{2}+4 \beta\right)^{1 / 2} x$ after dropping tildes gives Whittaker's equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{x x}=\left(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{\kappa}{x}+\frac{\lambda(\lambda-2 \beta)}{4 \beta^{2} x^{2}}\right) v \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\kappa=3 \alpha \lambda \beta^{-2}\left(9 \alpha^{2}+4 \beta\right)^{-1 / 2}$ and $\mu= \pm \frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda \beta^{-2}-1\right)$. As it was shown in [29], this equation is integrable in quadratures whenever $\pm \kappa \pm \mu-\frac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore for each choice of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ there exists an infinite number of values for $\lambda$ such that equation (4.5) is integrable in quadratures.

The second branch corresponds to the case of $9 \alpha^{2}+4 \beta=0$. Then Eq. (4.4) acquires the form

$$
v_{x x}=\left(\frac{A}{x}+\frac{B}{x^{2}}\right) v
$$

with $A=\frac{27}{2} \alpha^{3} \tilde{\lambda}^{2}, B=\tilde{\lambda}(\tilde{\lambda}-1)$, and $\tilde{\lambda}=\frac{8}{81} \lambda \alpha^{-4}$. After the change of variables $v=\frac{1}{2} A^{-1 / 4} \tilde{x}^{1 / 2} \tilde{v}$, $x=\frac{1}{16} A^{-1} \tilde{x}^{2}$ and dropping tildes we have Bessel's equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{x x}=\left(\frac{1}{4}+\frac{4 B+\frac{3}{4}}{x^{2}}\right) v \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is integrable in quadratures whenever $B=-\frac{3}{16}+\frac{1}{2}\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, see [30]. So for each choice of $\alpha$ there exists an infinite number of values for $\lambda$ such that Eq. (4.6) is integrable in quadratures.

### 4.3.4. Solution (3.6) with $\beta=0$

The second equation of system (1.6) that corresponds to solution (3.6) in the case of $\beta=0$ has the form

$$
w_{y y}=\left(2 \alpha x-2 \alpha^{2} y^{2}+y\right) w_{x x}-\left(2 \alpha y-\alpha^{-1}\right) w_{x y} .
$$

After the change of variables $x=\frac{1}{8} \alpha^{-3}\left(2 \tilde{x}+\tilde{y}^{2}+2 \tilde{y}-1\right), y=-\frac{1}{2} \alpha^{-2} \tilde{y}, w=\tilde{w}$ and dropping tildes we get

$$
w_{y y}=2(x+y) w_{x x}+w_{x} .
$$

This equation has a nontrivial symmetry $w_{x}+w_{y}-\lambda w, \lambda=$ const. The corresponding reduction $w=e^{\lambda x} v(\tau)$ with $\tau=x+y$ yields ODE $v_{\tau \tau}=-\left((4 \lambda \tau+1) v_{\tau}+\lambda(2 \lambda \tau+1) v\right)(2 \tau-1)^{-1}$, which after the change of variables $v=\tilde{x}^{-\lambda / 2-1 / 4} \mathrm{e}^{-\tilde{x} / 2} \tilde{v}, \tau=\frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda^{-1} \tilde{x}+1\right)$ and dropping tildes acquires the form of Whittaker's equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{x x}=\left(\frac{1}{4}+\frac{3 \lambda+1}{4 x}+\frac{(2 \lambda+1)^{2}}{8 x^{2}}\right) v . \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

From results of [29] it follows that this equation is integrable in quadratures whenever $\lambda=1 \pm$ $12 n \pm\left(128 n^{2}-32 n+6\right)^{1 / 2}, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore for each choice of $\alpha$ there exists an infinite number of values for $\lambda$ such that Eq. (4.7) is integrable in quadratures.

### 4.3.5. Solution (3.7)

In the case of solution (3.7) we can put $\beta=0$ without loss of generality. Then the second equation of system (1.6) takes the form

$$
w_{y y}=\alpha w_{x x}-\alpha^{-1} x w_{x y} .
$$

The nontrivial symmetry $w_{y}-\lambda w, \lambda=$ const leads to the reduction $w=e^{\lambda y} v(x)$, where $v$ is a solution of ODE

$$
v_{x x}=\lambda \alpha^{2} x v_{x}+\lambda^{2} \alpha v .
$$

After the change of variables $v=e^{\frac{1}{4} \tilde{x}^{2}} \tilde{v}, x=\beta^{-1} \lambda^{-1 / 2} \tilde{x}$ and dropping tildes we have Weber's equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{x x}=\left(\frac{1}{4} x^{2}+\mu-\frac{1}{2}\right) U \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\mu=\beta^{-1} \lambda^{-1 / 2}$, which is integrable in quadratures whenever $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}$, [21]. Therefore for each choice of $\alpha$ there exists an infinite number of values for $\lambda$ such that Eq. (4.8) is integrable in quadratures.

## 5. Conclusion

We found a number of exact solutions to the Gibbons-Tsarev equation (1.1). Whereas solutions of this equation obtained in $[24]$ and $[18,19]$ are expressed in terms of the Weierstrass elliptic function and solutions of the Painlevé equations, respectively, our solutions are rational or algebraic functions, which are easier to use in applications. This allowed us to find exact solutions for Eq. (1.4),
integrable reductions of the Ferapontov-Huard-Zhang system (1.6), and exact solutions of the twocomponent reduction (1.3) of Benney's moments equations. The last application is a part of the large and important problem of finding solutions to the multi-component reductions of Benney's system. There is extensive literature devoted to this problem, see, e.g., [2-4,8,9,12-16,20,22,23,27,32-34]. Searching for exact solutions to the multi-component reductions of Benney's system by means of the methods of Lie group analysis is an interesting and promising direction for the further research.
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