



## Journal of Nonlinear Mathematical Physics

ISSN (Online): 1776-0852

ISSN (Print): 1402-9251

Journal Home Page: <https://www.atlantis-press.com/journals/jnmp>

---

### On the well-posedness of the Holm-Staley $b$ -family of equations

Hasan Inci

**To cite this article:** Hasan Inci (2016) On the well-posedness of the Holm-Staley  $b$ -family of equations, Journal of Nonlinear Mathematical Physics 23:2, 213–233, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/14029251.2016.1161261>

**To link to this article:** <https://doi.org/10.1080/14029251.2016.1161261>

Published online: 04 January 2021

## On the well-posedness of the Holm-Staley $b$ -family of equations

Hasan Inci  
 EPFL SB MATHAA PDE  
 MA CI 627 (Bâtiment MA)  
 Station 8  
 CH-1015 Lausanne  
 Switzerland  
 hasan.inci@epfl.ch

Received 4 January 2016

Accepted 26 January 2016

In this paper we consider the Holm-Staley  $b$ -family of equations in the Sobolev spaces  $H^s(\mathbb{R})$  for  $s > 3/2$ . Using a geometric approach we show that, for any value of the parameter  $b$ , the corresponding solution map,  $u(0) \mapsto u(T)$ , is nowhere locally uniformly continuous.

*Keywords:* diffeomorphism group, solution map

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35Q35

### 1. Introduction

Holm and Staley introduced in [22] the following family of equations

$$u_t - u_{xxt} + (b + 1)uu_x = bu_xu_{xx} + uu_{xxx} \quad (1.1)$$

or rewritten

$$u_t + uu_x = (1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1}(-buu_x + (b - 3)u_xu_{xx}) \quad (1.2)$$

related to shallow water, where

$$u(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^2$$

denotes the velocity field and  $b \in \mathbb{R}$  is a parameter. For  $b = 2$  we get the Camassa-Holm equation (see e.g. [5]) and for  $b = 3$  the Degasperis-Procesi equation (see e.g. [14]). Both equations arise as integrable model equations for the propagation of shallow water waves of moderate amplitude. For the physical aspects see [9] and for the integrability aspects see [10, 11]. In his seminal paper [3], Arnold showed that the Euler equation can be interpreted as an equation for a flow on groups of diffeomorphisms of the underlying space. It turns out that quite a few nonlinear evolution equations such as the KdV (see [30]), the Camassa-Holm equation (see [12, 28]) or various other equations of mathematical physics (see [16, 18, 19]) can be treated by such a geometric approach. Recently, in [17], it has been shown that this is also the case for the Holm-Staley  $b$ -family. In [7], Constantin used such a geometric approach to get local well-posedness and blow-up results for the Camassa-Holm equation on  $\mathbb{R}$ . In this paper we will use these methods to prove our results.

The Cauchy problem for (1.1) in  $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $s > 3/2$ , with initial value  $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$ , is to find  $u \in C^0([0, T], H^s(\mathbb{R}))$  for some  $T > 0$ , such that we have the following identity in  $H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R})$

$$u(t) = u_0 + \int_0^t (1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1} (-buu_x + (b-3)uu_{xx}) - uu_x ds \tag{1.3}$$

for all  $t \in [0, T]$ . Here we regard  $u_x u_{xx}$  as an element of  $H^{s-2}(\mathbb{R})$  even if  $3/2 < s < 2$  – see Appendix A. With this in mind and the fact that  $H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R})$  is a Banachalgebra we see that the integrand in (1.3) is an element of  $C^0([0, T], H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}))$ . Hence (1.3) makes sense and we have actually  $u \in C^1([0, T], H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}))$ .

Concerning the well-posedness of (1.1) we have the following result – see also [29]

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $s > 3/2$ . For any given  $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$  there is a  $T > 0$  and a unique solution  $u \in C^0([0, T], H^s(\mathbb{R}))$  to the Cauchy problem (1.1) with initial value  $u(0) = u_0$ . The  $T$  can be chosen to be the same in a neighborhood  $U \subseteq H^s(\mathbb{R})$  of  $u_0$ . Moreover the map*

$$U \rightarrow C^0([0, T], H^s(\mathbb{R})), \quad u_0 \mapsto u$$

*is continuous.*

Now one asks whether the map mentioned in the above theorem is more than continuous, e.g.  $C^1$  or at least locally lipschitz. We have the following negative answer.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Denote by  $U_T \subseteq H^s(\mathbb{R})$  the set of initial values for which we have existence up to at least  $T$ . Then the map  $U_T \rightarrow H^s(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $u_0 \mapsto u(T)$ , mapping the initial value to the time  $T$  value of the solution, is nowhere locally uniformly continuous.*

Results saying that the solution map  $u_0 \mapsto u(T)$  has not the property to be uniformly continuous on bounded sets is known. On the circle this was proved in the case  $b = 2$  (Camassa-Holm equation) in [20] and in the case  $b = 3$  (Degasperis-Procesi equation) in [13] for  $s \geq 2$ . For the  $b$ -family on the line this was proved in [32].

## 2. The geometric framework

In [23] we considered for  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $s > 3/2$ , the space  $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R})$  (cf M. Cantor [6]) given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}) &:= \{ \varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ } C^1 \text{-diffeomorphism} \mid \varphi_x > 0 \text{ and } \varphi(x) - x \in H^s(\mathbb{R}) \} \\ &= \{ \varphi(x) = x + f(x) \mid f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}) \text{ and } \varphi_x > 0 \} \end{aligned}$$

where  $H^s(\mathbb{R})$  denotes the space of Sobolev functions on  $\mathbb{R}$  of class  $s$ . In terms of the Fourier transform this reads as (see e.g. [2])

$$H^s(\mathbb{R}) = \{ f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \mid (1 + \xi^2)^{s/2} \hat{f}(\xi) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C}) \}$$

where  $\hat{f}$  is the Fourier transform of  $f$ . Equipped with the scalar product (taking the real part)

$$\langle f, g \rangle_s = \Re \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + \xi^2)^s \hat{f}(\xi) \overline{\hat{g}(\xi)} d\xi$$

it becomes a Hilbert space. Then

$$\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}) - id = \{ \varphi(x) - x \mid \varphi \in \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}) \} \subseteq H^s(\mathbb{R})$$

is open and thus has naturally the structure of a analytic Hilbert manifold (cf e.g. [23]). Moreover  $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R})$  is a topological group under composition. More precisely, for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ,

$$H^{s+k}(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^s(\mathbb{R}), (f, \varphi) \mapsto f \circ \varphi$$

is a  $C^k$ -map. In the literature the partial maps of the composition map are referred to as the  $\alpha$ - resp. the  $\omega$ -lemma – see [15].

In the following we need the notion of sprays. These are special vectorfields on the tangent bundle – see e.g. [27]. In our case we have the following identification for the tangent bundle of  $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R})$

$$T\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}) = \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}) \times H^s(\mathbb{R}).$$

Thus a spray can be defined by a map  $S$  with the following structure

$$\begin{aligned} S : \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}) \times H^s(\mathbb{R}) &\rightarrow H^s(\mathbb{R}) \times H^s(\mathbb{R}) \\ (\varphi, v) &\mapsto (v, \Gamma_\varphi(v, v)) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Gamma$ , called the Christoffel map of the spray  $S$ , is a map

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma : \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}) &\rightarrow L(H^s(\mathbb{R}), H^s(\mathbb{R}); H^s(\mathbb{R})) \\ \varphi &\mapsto \Gamma_\varphi(\cdot, \cdot) \end{aligned}$$

with values in the continuous  $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ -valued bilinear forms on  $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ . Since we are just interested in the quadratic form  $\Gamma_\varphi(v, v)$  we assume  $\Gamma_\varphi$  to be symmetric. The integral curves of  $S$  projected on  $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R})$  are called the geodesics of  $S$ . Like in the case of a Riemannian manifold we have also here the notion of an exponential map – see e.g. [27]. More precisely, the equation of the geodesics reads as

$$\varphi_{tt} = \Gamma_\varphi(\varphi_t, \varphi_t) \tag{2.1}$$

where the subscript  $t$  denotes differentiation with respect to  $t$ . For analytic  $S$  the Picard iteration gives local solutions of (2.1) with initial data  $\varphi(0) = id \in \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\varphi_t(0) = v \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$ . Because of the scaling properties of (2.1) there exists a neighborhood  $V$  of  $0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$  such that the initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_{tt} = \Gamma_\varphi(\varphi_t, \varphi_t) \\ \varphi(0) = id, \varphi_t(0) = v \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

admits a solution on the time interval  $[0, 1]$  for all  $v \in V$ . This allows us to define the exponential map  $\exp$  as

$$\begin{aligned} \exp : V &\rightarrow \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}) \\ v &\mapsto \varphi_v(1) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\varphi_v$  is the solution of (2.2). Because of the analytic dependence of solutions of (2.2) on the initial values, see [27], we know that  $\exp$  is a smooth map. Moreover the derivative of  $\exp$  at  $0 \in$

$H^s(\mathbb{R})$  is the identity, i.e.

$$d_0 \exp : H^s(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^s(\mathbb{R}), \quad v \mapsto v$$

where we have identified  $T_{id} \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R})$  with  $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ . By the inverse function theorem for Banach spaces, see [27]),  $\exp$  is an analytic diffeomorphism between a neighborhood  $U$  of  $0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$  and a neighborhood  $V$  of  $id \in \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R})$ , i.e.

$$\exp : U \rightarrow V$$

is an analytic diffeomorphism.

For our purpose we define  $\Gamma$  at  $id \in \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R})$  for  $v \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$  with  $s > 3/2$  by

$$\Gamma_{id}(v, v) = (1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1}(-bv v_x + (b - 3)v_x v_{xx}) \quad (2.3)$$

which is a continuous  $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ -valued quadratic form on  $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ . For  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R})$  arbitrary,  $\Gamma_\varphi$  is defined by

$$\Gamma_\varphi(v, v) = (\Gamma_{id}(v \circ \varphi^{-1}, v \circ \varphi^{-1})) \circ \varphi. \quad (2.4)$$

In view of the poor regularity properties of the composition map, a priori it is not clear if  $\Gamma$  defines a smooth spray. In the next section we verify that this is indeed the case. In the following we will make some formal computations to show how the geodesics of  $S$  and solutions to (1.1) are related. Assume that  $\varphi : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R})$  solves the initial value problem (2.2). Then we have for  $u := \varphi_t \circ \varphi^{-1}$

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{tt} &= (u \circ \varphi)_t = u_t \circ \varphi + u_x \circ \varphi \cdot \varphi_t \\ &= u_t \circ \varphi + u_x \circ \varphi \cdot u \circ \varphi. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this expression into equation (2.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} u_t \circ \varphi + u_x \circ \varphi \cdot u \circ \varphi &= \Gamma_\varphi(\varphi_t, \varphi_t) \\ &= \Gamma_{id}(\varphi_t \circ \varphi^{-1}, \varphi_t \circ \varphi^{-1}) \circ \varphi \end{aligned}$$

or by (2.3) equivalently

$$u_t + uu_x = (1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1}(-buu_x + (b - 3)u_x u_{xx})$$

which is equation (1.2). In the next section we show that by this approach one gets local well-posedness results for equation (1.1).

### 3. Local wellposedness of the $b$ -family of equations

In this section we establish local existence and uniqueness for the Cauchy problem

$$u_t + uu_x = (1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1}(-buu_x + (b - 3)uu_{xx}), \quad u(0) = u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}) \quad (3.1)$$

in  $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $s > 3/2$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *The spray  $S$  given by*

$$S : \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}) \times H^s(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^s(\mathbb{R}) \times H^s(\mathbb{R}), \quad (\varphi, u) \mapsto (v, \Gamma_\varphi(u, u))$$

where

$$\Gamma_\varphi(u, u) = R_\varphi(1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1}(-b(R_{\varphi^{-1}}u) \cdot (R_{\varphi^{-1}}u)_x + (b-3)(R_{\varphi^{-1}}u)_x \cdot (R_{\varphi^{-1}}u)_{xx})$$

is analytic.

Recall that we use the notation  $R_\varphi$  for right translation  $H^s(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^s(\mathbb{R}), f \mapsto f \circ \varphi$ . Before proving Theorem 3.1 we show the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $s > 3/2$ . For  $k = 1, 2$  the map*

$$\delta^{(k)} : \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}) \times H^s(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^{s-k}(\mathbb{R}), \quad (\varphi, f) \mapsto R_\varphi \partial_x^k R_{\varphi^{-1}} f$$

is analytic.

**Proof.** Consider first the case  $k = 1$ . Then we have

$$\delta^{(1)}(\varphi, f) = R_\varphi \partial_x R_{\varphi^{-1}} f = \frac{f_x}{\varphi_x}$$

and this is an analytic expression in  $\varphi$  and  $f$ . Similarly for  $k = 2$  we have we have

$$\delta^{(2)}(\varphi, f) = R_\varphi \partial_x^2 R_{\varphi^{-1}} f = \frac{f_{xx}}{\varphi_x} - \frac{f_x \cdot \varphi_{xx}}{(\varphi_x)^3}$$

which also holds in the case  $s < 2$  – see Appendix A for the conventions in this case. We see from the expressions for  $\delta^{(2)}(\varphi, f)$  that it is indeed analytic.  $\square$

Now we can give the proof Theorem 3.1.

**Proof of Theorem 3.1.** Consider the continuous symmetric bilinear map

$$B : H^s(\mathbb{R}) \times H^s(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^{s-2}(\mathbb{R})$$

$$(u, v) \mapsto \frac{1}{2}(-buv_x - bv u_x) + \frac{1}{2}((b-3)uv_{xx} + (b-3)vu_{xx}).$$

That the range of this expression is in  $H^{s-2}(\mathbb{R})$  and its continuity follow from the Banach algebra properties of  $H^s(\mathbb{R})$  – see e.g. [2] (for  $s < 2$  see Appendix A). From Lemma 3.1 we know that the

map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}) &\rightarrow L(H^s(\mathbb{R}), H^s(\mathbb{R}); H^{s-2}(\mathbb{R})) \\ \varphi &\mapsto [(u, v) \mapsto R_\varphi B(R_{\varphi^{-1}}u, R_{\varphi^{-1}}v)] \end{aligned}$$

is analytic. Again using Lemma 3.1 we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}) &\rightarrow L(H^s(\mathbb{R}); H^{s-2}(\mathbb{R})) \\ \varphi &\mapsto [u \mapsto R_\varphi(1 - \partial_x^2)R_{\varphi^{-1}}u] \end{aligned}$$

is analytic. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}) &\rightarrow L(H^s(\mathbb{R}), H^{s-2}(\mathbb{R})) \\ \varphi &\mapsto [u \mapsto R_\varphi(1 - \partial_x^2)R_{\varphi^{-1}}u] \end{aligned}$$

is analytic. Note that  $u \mapsto R_\varphi(1 - \partial_x^2)R_{\varphi^{-1}}u$  is invertible with inverse given by  $v \mapsto R_\varphi(1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1}R_{\varphi^{-1}}v$ . Now as inversion of linear operators is an analytic process we see that the map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}) &\rightarrow L(H^{s-2}(\mathbb{R}), H^s(\mathbb{R})) \\ \varphi &\mapsto [v \mapsto R_\varphi(1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1}R_{\varphi^{-1}}v] \end{aligned}$$

is analytic. Piecing the maps together we get from the identity

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_\varphi(u, v) &= R_\varphi(1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1}B(R_{\varphi^{-1}}u, R_{\varphi^{-1}}v) \\ &= R_\varphi(1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1}R_{\varphi^{-1}}R_\varphi B(R_{\varphi^{-1}}u, R_{\varphi^{-1}}v) \end{aligned}$$

that  $\Gamma : \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L(H^s(\mathbb{R}), H^s(\mathbb{R}); H^s(\mathbb{R}))$  is analytic. This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

Now consider the initial value problem (IVP)

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_t = \Gamma_\varphi(\varphi_t, \varphi_t) \\ \varphi(0) = id \in \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}), \quad \varphi_t(0) = u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}). \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

The Picard theorem gives us local solutions to the IVP (3.2). With this and the discussion at the end of section 2 we get the following local existence result.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $s > 3/2$ . Given  $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$ , there exists  $u \in C^0([0, T], H^s(\mathbb{R}))$ , for some  $T > 0$ , such that*

$$u(t) = u_0 + \int_0^t (1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1}(-buu_x + (b-3)u_xu_{xx}) - uu_x \quad (3.3)$$

holds for all  $t \in [0, T]$ .

**Proof.** Consider the IVP (3.2). Since  $\Gamma$  is smooth, there exists  $T > 0$ , such that we have a

$$\varphi \in C^\infty([0, T], \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}))$$

solving (3.2). We claim that  $u := \varphi_t \circ \varphi^{-1}$  is a solution to (3.3). From [23] we know that  $u \in C^0([0, T], H^s(\mathbb{R}))$ . We also have  $u(0) = \varphi_t(0) = u_0$ . From the Sobolev imbedding theorem we see

that  $u$  resp.  $\varphi$  are in  $C^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{R})$ . Taking the  $t$ -derivative of  $u \circ \varphi$  we get

$$u_t \circ \varphi + u_x \circ \varphi \cdot \varphi_t = u_t \circ \varphi + u_x \circ \varphi \cdot u \circ \varphi.$$

On the other hand we have

$$(u \circ \varphi)_t = \varphi_{tt} = \Gamma_\varphi(\varphi_t, \varphi_t)$$

as functions on  $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}$ . Thus we get

$$u_t \circ \varphi + u_x \circ \varphi \cdot u \circ \varphi = \Gamma_\varphi(\varphi_t, \varphi_t)$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} u_t &= \Gamma_\varphi(\varphi_t, \varphi_t) \circ \varphi^{-1} - uu_x \\ &= (1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1}(-buu_x + (b-3)u_xu_{xx}) - uu_x \end{aligned}$$

as functions on  $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}$ . As both sides are continuous functions, we get by the fundamental lemma of calculus for  $t \in [0, T]$

$$u(t) = u_0 + \int_0^t (1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1}(-buu_x + (b-3)u_xu_{xx}) - uu_x. \quad (3.4)$$

But as the integrand is in  $C^0([0, T], H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}))$ , the identity (3.4) holds also in  $H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R})$ .  $\square$

To get uniqueness we use the fact that for  $u \in C([0, T]; H^s(\mathbb{R}))$  there is a unique flow  $\varphi \in C^1([0, T]; \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}))$ , i.e.  $\varphi$  solving

$$\varphi_t = u \circ \varphi, \quad \varphi(0) = id$$

– see [24]. With this we will prove

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $s > 3/2$ . Assume that we have two solutions  $u, w \in C^0([0, T], H^s(\mathbb{R}))$  to the Cauchy problem (1.3) with  $u(0) = w(0) = u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$ . Then we have actually  $u = w$  on  $[0, T]$ .*

**Proof.** Consider the flows  $\varphi$  resp.  $\psi$  in  $C^1([0, T], \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}))$  corresponding to  $u$  resp.  $w$  as discussed above. We will show that  $\varphi$  resp.  $\psi$  are geodesics. By the uniqueness of geodesics with the same initial condition we will get  $\varphi = \psi$  resp.  $\varphi_t \circ \varphi^{-1} = \psi_t \circ \psi^{-1}$  or equivalently  $u = w$ . Now consider  $u \circ \varphi$  which is  $C^1$  in  $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}$ . Taking the  $t$ -derivative we get pointwise

$$\frac{d}{dt}(u \circ \varphi) = u_t \circ \varphi + u_x \circ \varphi \cdot \varphi_t.$$

Since  $u$  is a solution of the Cauchy problem we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}(u \circ \varphi) &= R_\varphi((1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1}(-buu_x + (b-3)u_xu_{xx})) \\ &= \Gamma_\varphi(\varphi_t \circ \varphi^{-1}, \varphi_t \circ \varphi^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Gamma$  is as in (2.4). From the fundamental lemma of calculus we get

$$\varphi_t(t) = u_0 + \int_0^t \Gamma_\varphi(\varphi_t \circ \varphi^{-1}, \varphi_t \circ \varphi^{-1}).$$

But as the integrand is in  $C^0([0, T], H^s(\mathbb{R}))$  we see that  $\varphi \in C^2([0, T], \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}))$  and that it is a geodesic. Hence the claim.  $\square$



Using Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3 we get

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $s > 3/2$ . The Cauchy problem (1.3) is locally well-posed in  $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ , i.e. given  $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$  there exists a solution  $u \in C^0([0, T], H^s(\mathbb{R}))$  for some  $T > 0$ . Further  $u$  is unique on  $[0, T]$  and the correspondence  $u_0 \rightarrow u$  is continuous.*

**Remark 3.1.** The correspondence  $u_0 \rightarrow u$  is meant as a map

$$U_T \rightarrow C^0([0, T], H^s(\mathbb{R})), \quad u_0 \mapsto u$$

This result is not new. This was e.g. done in [29]. They looked at a regularized version of (3.1) and took the limit. Also in [31] there is a similar result. They use Kato’s abstract semigroup method. The same method is also used in [13] for the periodic case. We used the geometric setting as was e.g. done in [7] to achieve local well-posedness via the Picard theorem.

#### 4. Non-uniform dependence of the solution map

In this section we will prove the non-uniform dependence of the solution map, i.e. we will prove Theorem 1.2. Recall that we denoted for  $T > 0$  the set  $U_T \subseteq H^s(\mathbb{R})$  to be those  $u_0$  for which we have existence beyond  $T$ . Note also that we have the following scaling property for equation (1.1): If  $u$  is a solution then for  $\lambda > 0$

$$v(x, t) := \lambda u(x, \lambda t)$$

is also a solution and  $U_{\lambda T} = \frac{1}{\lambda} U_T$ . Therefore it suffices to consider the case  $T = 1$  to prove Theorem 1.2. Hence the theorem will follow from

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $s > 3/2$  and  $U := U_T|_{T=1}$ . Denote by  $\Phi$  the time-one map  $\Phi : U \rightarrow H^s(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $u_0 \mapsto u(1)$  for the Cauchy problem (1.1). Then  $\Phi$  is nowhere locally uniformly continuous, i.e. for any non-empty  $V \subseteq U$  the restriction  $\Phi|_V$  is not uniformly continuous.*

To prove Proposition 4.1 we will use a conserved quantity (cf [17], Proposition 9). Consider equation (1.2) and let  $u$  be a solution,  $\varphi$  its corresponding flow. Then we have, omitting the arguments  $(t, x)$ ,

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $y := (1 - \partial_x^2)u$ . Then we have for all  $t$  the following identity in  $H^{s-2}(\mathbb{R})$*

$$y \circ \varphi \cdot (\varphi_x)^b = y(t = 0) \tag{4.1}$$

**Proof.** Taking the  $t$ -derivate of  $y \circ \varphi$  we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} y \circ \varphi = \varphi_{tt} - \frac{\varphi_{ttxx}}{\varphi_x^2} + 2 \frac{\varphi_{txx} \cdot \varphi_{tx}}{\varphi_x^3} + \frac{\varphi_{tx} \cdot \varphi_{xx}}{\varphi_x^3} + \frac{\varphi_{tx} \cdot \varphi_{txx}}{\varphi_x^3} - 3 \frac{\varphi_{tx} \cdot \varphi_{xx} \cdot \varphi_{tx}}{\varphi_x^4} \tag{4.2}$$

On the other hand equation (3.2) gives

$$R_\varphi(1 - \partial_x^2)(\varphi_{tt} \circ \varphi^{-1}) = R_\varphi(-b(\varphi_t \circ \varphi^{-1}) \cdot (\varphi_t \circ \varphi^{-1})_x + (b - 3)(\varphi_t \circ \varphi^{-1})_x \cdot (\varphi_t \circ \varphi^{-1})_{xx})$$

Expanding this equation we get

$$\varphi_{tt} - \frac{\varphi_{ttxx}}{\varphi_x^2} + \frac{\varphi_{txx} \cdot \varphi_{xx}}{\varphi_x^3} = -b \frac{\varphi_t \cdot \varphi_{tx}}{\varphi_x} + (b - 3) \frac{\varphi_{tx}}{\varphi_x} \left( \frac{\varphi_{txx}}{\varphi_x^2} - \frac{\varphi_{tx} \cdot \varphi_{xx}}{\varphi_x^3} \right) \tag{4.3}$$

Hence from (4.2)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \left[ (R_\varphi y) \cdot \varphi_x^b \right] &= \left[ \varphi_{tt} - \frac{\varphi_{txx}}{\varphi_x^2} + 3 \frac{\varphi_{txx} \cdot \varphi_{tx}}{\varphi_x^3} + \frac{\varphi_{tx} \cdot \varphi_{xx}}{\varphi_x^3} - 3 \frac{\varphi_{tx}^2 \cdot \varphi_{xx}}{\varphi_x^4} \right] \cdot \varphi_x^b \\ &+ \left[ \varphi_t - \frac{\varphi_{txx}}{\varphi_x^2} + \frac{\varphi_{tx} \cdot \varphi_{xx}}{\varphi_x^3} \right] \cdot b \varphi_x^{b-1} \varphi_{tx} \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Combining (4.4) and (4.3) we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[ (R_\varphi y) \cdot \varphi_x^b \right] = 0$$

hence  $[0, T] \rightarrow H^{s-2}(\mathbb{R}), t \mapsto y \circ \varphi \cdot \varphi_x^b$  is constant, i.e. (4.1) holds.  $\square$

As  $1 - \partial_x^2 : H^s(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^{s-2}(\mathbb{R})$  is an isomorphism, it will be enough to establish that  $y_0 \mapsto y(1)$  is nowhere locally uniformly continuous in order to show Proposition 4.1. We will use (4.1) in the form

$$y(1) = \left( \frac{y_0}{\varphi_x(1)^b} \right) \circ \varphi(1)^{-1} \quad (4.5)$$

The approach is as in [26]. The idea is to produce a slight change  $\tilde{\varphi}(1)$  so that  $\tilde{y}_0$  doesn't change much, but  $\tilde{y}(1)$  does. Since composition behaves bad this works. To make such perturbations we will employ the properties of the exponential map. But first we have to establish some preliminary lemmas.

**Lemma 4.3.** *Given  $\varphi_\bullet \in \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R})$  there exists a neighborhood  $W$  of  $\varphi_\bullet$  in  $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R})$ , such that for some constant  $C > 0$  we have*

$$\frac{1}{C} \|y\|_{s-2} \leq \|R_\varphi^{-1}(y/\varphi_x^b)\|_{s-2} \leq C \|y\|_{s-2}$$

for all  $y \in H^{s-2}(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\varphi \in W$ .

**Proof.** First we establish the second inequality. For  $\varphi_n \rightarrow \varphi_\bullet$  in  $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R})$  we have (see Remark A.2)  $R_{\varphi_n}^{-1}(y/\varphi_x^b)$  converges weakly to  $y$  in  $H^{s-2}(\mathbb{R})$ . By the uniform boundedness principle we get a neighborhood  $W_1$  of  $\varphi_\bullet$  in  $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R})$  and  $C_1 > 0$  such that

$$\|R_\varphi^{-1}(y/\varphi_x^b)\|_{s-2} \leq C_1 \|y\|_{s-2}$$

for all  $\varphi \in W_1$  and  $y \in H^{s-2}(\mathbb{R})$ . Now consider the first inequality. As in the first part we get a  $W_2$  and  $C_2 > 0$  with

$$\|\varphi_x^b R_\varphi y\|_{s-2} \leq C_2 \|y\|_{s-2}$$

for all  $\varphi \in W_2$  and  $y \in H^{s-2}(\mathbb{R})$ . Taking for  $y$  the expression  $R_\varphi^{-1}(y/\varphi_x^b)$  gives the first inequality.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let  $s > 3/2$ . The set of  $u_0 \in U \cap H^{s+1}$  with  $d_{u_0} \exp \neq 0$  is dense in  $U$ .*

**Proof.** As  $d_0 \exp$  is the identity map and  $u_0 \mapsto d_{u_0} \exp$  is analytic the claim follows immediately.  $\square$

Now we can give a proof for Proposition 4.1

**Proof of Proposition 4.1.** Take  $u_0 \in U$ . We will show that  $\Phi$  is not uniformly continuous on any neighborhood of  $u_0$ . As easily seen we can restrict ourselves to a dense subset of  $U$ . So we can assume  $u_0 \in H^{s+1}$  and  $d_{u_0} \exp \neq 0$  by Lemma 4.4. In particular we can fix  $v \in H^s(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\}$  and  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  with

$$(d_{u_0} \exp(v))(x_0) > m \|v\|_s, \quad m > 0$$

First we choose  $R_1 > 0$  such that Lemma 4.3 holds for  $\varphi_\bullet = \exp(u_0)$  in the ball  $B_{R_1}(u_0)$ , i.e.

$$\frac{1}{C_1} \|y\|_{s-2} \leq \|R_\varphi^{-1}(y/\varphi_x^b)\|_{s-2} \leq C_1 \|y\|_{s-2} \tag{4.6}$$

for all  $y \in H^{s-2}(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\varphi \in B_{R_1}(u_0)$ . Taking  $R_2 \leq R_1$  we can ensure additionally

$$\|R_\varphi^{-1}y\|_{s-2} \leq C_2 \|y\|_{s-2}$$

for all  $y \in H^{s-2}(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\varphi \in B_{R_2}(u_0)$ . By choosing  $R_3 \leq R_2$  we can establish the conditions of Lemma A.5 and A.6 for all  $\varphi \in B_{R_3}$  where in the following we denote the constant appearing in both lemmas by  $C_3$ . Further we denote by  $C > 0$  the constant from the Sobolev imbedding

$$\|f\|_\infty \leq C \|f\|_s$$

Take arbitrary  $w, h \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$  with  $w, w+h \in B_{R_3}(u_0)$  and consider the Taylor expansion

$$\exp(w+h) = \exp(w) + d_w \exp(w) + \int_0^1 (1-t) d_{w+th}^2 \exp(h, h) dt$$

Choosing  $0 < R_4 \leq R_3$  we can guarantee

$$\|d_w^2 \exp(h, h)\|_s \leq K \|h\|_s^2$$

$$\|d_{w_1}^2 \exp(h, h) - d_{w_2}^2 \exp(h, h)\|_s \leq K \|w_1 - w_2\|_s \|h\|_s^2$$

for all  $w, w_1, w_2 \in B_{R_4}(u_0)$  and some constant  $K > 0$ . By further decreasing  $R_5 \leq R_4$  we can ensure  $\max\{C \cdot K \cdot R_5, C \cdot K \cdot R_5^2\} < m/2$ . Finally by choosing  $R_* \leq R_5$  we can ensure

$$|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)| \leq L|x - y|$$

for all  $\varphi \in \exp(B_{R_*}(u_0))$ . The goal is now to prove that  $\Phi$  is not uniformly continuous on  $B_R(u_0)$  for  $0 < R \leq R_*$ . So we fix  $R \leq R_*$ . In order to apply Lemma B.2 resp. Lemma B.3 we define the sequence of numbers

$$r_n = \frac{m}{8n} \|v\|_s, \quad n \geq 1$$

and choose  $w_n \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  with support in  $(x_0 - \frac{1}{L}r_n, x_0 + \frac{1}{L}r_n)$  and  $\|w_n\|_s = R/4$ . Further we define  $v_n := v/n$  and let  $N \geq 1$  such that  $\|v_n\|_s \leq R/4$  for  $n \geq N$ . With this preliminary work we define for

$n \geq N$  two sequences of initial data:

$$x_n = u_0 + w_n \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{x}_n = x_n + v_n = u_0 + w_n + v_n$$

We clearly have  $x_n, y_n \in B_R(u_0)$  for  $n \geq N$  and  $\|x_n - \tilde{x}_n\|_s \rightarrow 0$  for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Correspondingly we define

$$\varphi_n = \exp(x_n) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\varphi}_n = \exp(\tilde{x}_n)$$

We claim that  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\Phi(x_n) - \Phi(\tilde{x}_n)\|_s > 0$ . With  $y_n = (1 - \partial_x^2)x_n$  and  $\tilde{y}_n = (1 - \partial_x^2)\tilde{x}_n$  and using the conservation law (4.5) it is enough to prove

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|R_{\varphi_n}^{-1} \left( y_n / (\varphi_n)_x^b \right) - R_{\tilde{\varphi}_n}^{-1} \left( \tilde{y}_n / (\tilde{\varphi}_n)_x^b \right)\|_{s-2} > 0$$

We consider the parts of  $y_n, \tilde{y}_n$  separately

$$y_n = (1 - \partial_x^2)(u_0 + w_n) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{y}_n = (1 - \partial_x^2)(u_0 + w_n + v_n)$$

For the  $u_0$ -part we have, denoting  $y_0 = (1 - \partial_x^2)u_0 \in H^{s-1}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|R_{\varphi_n}^{-1}(y_0/(\varphi_n)_x^b) - R_{\tilde{\varphi}_n}^{-1}(y_0/(\tilde{\varphi}_n)_x^b)\|_{s-2} &\leq \|R_{\varphi_n}^{-1}(y_0/(\varphi_n)_x^b) - R_{\tilde{\varphi}_n}^{-1}(y_0/(\tilde{\varphi}_n)_x^b)\|_{s-2} \\ &\quad + \|R_{\tilde{\varphi}_n}^{-1}(y_0/(\tilde{\varphi}_n)_x^b) - R_{\varphi_n}^{-1}(y_0/(\tilde{\varphi}_n)_x^b)\|_{s-2} \end{aligned}$$

The first term on the right can be estimated by

$$\|R_{\varphi_n}^{-1}(y_0/(\varphi_n)_x^b) - R_{\tilde{\varphi}_n}^{-1}(y_0/(\tilde{\varphi}_n)_x^b)\|_{s-2} \leq C_2 \|y_0/(\varphi_n)_x^b - y_0/(\tilde{\varphi}_n)_x^b\|_{s-2}$$

The latter goes to 0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  as dividing by  $\varphi_x^b$  is an analytic process. For the second term we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|R_{\tilde{\varphi}_n}^{-1}(y_0/(\tilde{\varphi}_n)_x^b) - R_{\varphi_n}^{-1}(y_0/(\tilde{\varphi}_n)_x^b)\|_{s-2} &\leq C_3 \|y_0/(\tilde{\varphi}_n)_x^b\|_{s-1} \|\varphi_n^{-1} - \tilde{\varphi}_n^{-1}\|_{s-1} \\ &\leq C_3^2 \|y_0/(\tilde{\varphi}_n)_x^b\|_{s-1} \|\varphi_n - \tilde{\varphi}_n\|_s \end{aligned}$$

which goes to 0 as  $y_0/(\tilde{\varphi}_n)_x^b$  is bounded in  $H^{s-1}$ . For the  $v_n$ -term we have

$$\|R_{\tilde{\varphi}_n}^{-1}((1 - \partial_x^2)v_n/(\tilde{\varphi}_n)_x^b)\|_{s-2} \leq C_2 \|(1 - \partial_x^2)v_n/(\tilde{\varphi}_n)_x^b\|_{s-2}$$

which by the definition of  $v_n$  goes to zero. Hence we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|R_{\varphi_n}^{-1} \left( y_n / (\varphi_n)_x^b \right) - R_{\tilde{\varphi}_n}^{-1} \left( \tilde{y}_n / (\tilde{\varphi}_n)_x^b \right)\|_{s-2} \\ = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|R_{\varphi_n}^{-1} \left( (1 - \partial_x^2)w_n / (\varphi_n)_x^b \right) - R_{\tilde{\varphi}_n}^{-1} \left( (1 - \partial_x^2)w_n / (\tilde{\varphi}_n)_x^b \right)\|_{s-2} \end{aligned}$$

The claim is now that the latter two terms have disjoint support. To establish this we estimate  $|\varphi_n(x_0) - \tilde{\varphi}_n(x_0)|$ . By the Taylor expansion we have

$$\varphi_n = \exp(u_0) + d_{u_0} \exp(w_n) + \int_0^1 (1-t) d_{u_0+t w_n}^2(w_n, w_n) dt$$

and similarly

$$\tilde{\varphi}_n = \exp(u_0) + d_{u_0} \exp(w_n + v_n) + \int_0^1 (1-t) d_{u_0+t(w_n+v_n)}^2(w_n + v_n, w_n + v_n) dt$$

For the latter quadratic term we have

$$d_{u_0+t(w_n+v_n)}^2(w_n+v_n, w_n+v_n) = d_{u_0+t(w_n+v_n)}^2(w_n, w_n) + 2d_{u_0+t(w_n+v_n)}^2(w_n, v_n) + d_{u_0+t(w_n+v_n)}^2(v_n, v_n)$$

Thus we can write

$$\varphi_n - \tilde{\varphi}_n = d_{u_0} \exp(v_n) + \mathcal{R}_1 + \mathcal{R}_2 + \mathcal{R}_3$$

where

$$\mathcal{R}_1 = \int_0^1 (1-t) \left( d_{u_0+t(w_n+v_n)}^2(w_n, w_n) - d_{u_0+t(w_n+v_n)}^2(w_n, w_n) \right) dt$$

and

$$\mathcal{R}_2 = 2 \int_0^1 (1-t) d_{u_0+t(w_n+v_n)}^2(w_n, v_n) dt$$

and

$$\mathcal{R}_3 = \int_0^1 (1-t) d_{u_0+t(w_n+v_n)}^2(v_n, v_n) dt$$

For these we have

$$\|\mathcal{R}_1\|_\infty \leq C \|\mathcal{R}_1\|_s \leq CK \|v_n\|_s \|w_n\|_s^2 \leq \frac{1}{n} CK \|v\|_s (R/4)^2 \leq \frac{1}{4n} CKR^2 \|v\|_s$$

and

$$\|\mathcal{R}_2\|_\infty \leq C \|\mathcal{R}_2\|_s \leq 2CK \|v_n\|_s \|w_n\|_s \leq \frac{1}{n} CK \|v\|_s (R/4) \leq \frac{2}{4n} CKR \|v\|_s$$

and

$$\|\mathcal{R}_3\|_\infty \leq C \|\mathcal{R}_3\|_s \leq CK \|v_n\|_s^2 \leq \frac{1}{n} CK \|v\|_s (R/4) \leq \frac{1}{4n} CKR \|v\|_s$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi(x_0) - \tilde{\varphi}(x_0)| &\geq |d_{u_0} \exp(v_n)| - \|\mathcal{R}_1\|_\infty - \|\mathcal{R}_2\|_\infty - \|\mathcal{R}_3\|_\infty \\ &\geq \frac{1}{n} m \|v\|_s - \frac{1}{n} \frac{m}{2} \|v\|_s = \frac{m}{2n} \|v\|_s \end{aligned}$$

The support of  $R_{\varphi_n}^{-1} \left( (1 - \partial_x^2) w_n / (\varphi_n)_x^b \right)$  is contained in  $(\varphi_n(x_0) - r_n, \varphi_n(x_0) + r_n)$  taking into account the lipschitz property of  $\varphi_n$  with lipschitz constant  $L$  and the definition of  $w_n$ . Analogously the support of  $R_{\tilde{\varphi}_n}^{-1} \left( (1 - \partial_x^2) w_n / (\tilde{\varphi}_n)_x^b \right)$  is contained in  $(\tilde{\varphi}_n(x_0) - r_n, \tilde{\varphi}_n(x_0) + r_n)$ . Note that the conditions

of Lemma B.2 resp. B.3 are fulfilled (with  $s - 2$  playing the role of  $s$  in the Lemma) as

$$r_n \leq |\varphi_n(x_0) - \tilde{\varphi}_n(x_0)|/4$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|R_{\varphi_n}^{-1} \left( (1 - \partial_x^2) w_n / (\varphi_n)_x^b \right) - R_{\tilde{\varphi}_n}^{-1} \left( (1 - \partial_x^2) w_n / (\tilde{\varphi}_n)_x^b \right)\|_{s-2}^2 \\ & \geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{C} (\|R_{\varphi_n}^{-1} \left( (1 - \partial_x^2) w_n / (\varphi_n)_x^b \right)\|_{s-2}^2 + \|R_{\tilde{\varphi}_n}^{-1} \left( (1 - \partial_x^2) w_n / (\tilde{\varphi}_n)_x^b \right)\|_{s-2}^2) \\ & \geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{C} \frac{2}{C^2} \|(1 - \partial_x^2) w_n\|_{s-2}^2 \geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{K} \|w_n\|_s^2 = \tilde{K} R^2 / 4 \end{aligned}$$

So for any  $R \leq R_*$  we have constructed  $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}, (\tilde{x}_n)_{n \geq 1} \subseteq B_R(u_0)$  with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - \tilde{x}_n\|_s = 0$  and  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\Phi(x_n) - \Phi(\tilde{x}_n)\|_s \geq C \cdot R$  for some constant  $C > 0$  independent of  $R$  showing the claim.  $\square$

### Appendix A. Sobolev spaces with negative indices

In this section we derive the formulas for the expressions which involve Sobolev spaces with negative indices.

**Lemma A.1.** *Let  $1/2 < s_1 < 1$  and  $-1/2 < s_2 < 0$ . Then multiplication*

$$H^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}) \times H^{s_2}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}), \quad (f, g) \mapsto f \cdot g$$

*extends to a continuous map*

$$H^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}) \times H^{s_2}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^{s_2}(\mathbb{R})$$

where  $H^{s_2}(\mathbb{R})$  denotes the dual of  $H^{-s_2}(\mathbb{R})$ .

**Proof.** For  $f \in H^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}), g \in H^{s_2}(\mathbb{R})$  we define  $f \cdot g \in H^{s_2}(\mathbb{R})$  by its action on a testfunction  $\psi$  as

$$\langle f \cdot g, \psi \rangle := \langle g, f \cdot \psi \rangle$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the duality pairing of  $H^{s_2}(\mathbb{R})$  and  $H^{-s_2}(\mathbb{R})$ . As  $f \cdot \psi \in H^{-s_2}(\mathbb{R})$  this definition makes sense. Further we have

$$|\langle g, f \cdot \psi \rangle| \leq \|g\|_{s_2} \|f \cdot \psi\|_{-s_2} \leq C \|g\|_{s_2} \|f\|_{s_1} \|\psi\|_{-s_2}$$

where we have used that multiplication

$$H^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}) \times H^{-s_2}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^{-s_2}(\mathbb{R})$$

is continuous – see e.g. [23]. This shows that  $f \cdot g \in H^{s_2}(\mathbb{R})$ . But it also shows that

$$\|f \cdot g\|_{s_2} \leq C \|f\|_{s_1} \|g\|_{s_2}.$$

Hence the claim.  $\square$

For the product we have the following Leibniz rule.

**Lemma A.2.** *Let  $1/2 < \tilde{s} < 1$  and  $f, g \in H^{\tilde{s}}(\mathbb{R})$ . Then  $f \cdot g \in H^{\tilde{s}}(\mathbb{R})$  with*

$$\partial_x(f \cdot g) = f_x \cdot g + f \cdot g_x$$

where the subscript refers to differentiation.

**Proof.** We just have to prove the formula for the derivative. For test functions  $\psi, \phi$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \partial_x(f \cdot \phi), \psi \rangle &= -\langle f \cdot \phi, \psi_x \rangle = -\langle f, \phi \cdot \psi_x \rangle = -\langle f, (\phi \cdot \psi)_x - \phi_x \cdot \psi \rangle \\ &= \langle \phi \cdot f_x, \psi \rangle + \langle f \cdot \phi_x, \psi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have the following identity in  $H^{\tilde{s}-1}(\mathbb{R})$

$$\partial_x(f \cdot \phi) = f_x \cdot \phi + f \cdot \phi_x.$$

Now letting  $\phi$  tend to  $g$  in  $H^{1-\tilde{s}}(\mathbb{R})$  we get by Lemma A.1

$$\partial_x(f \cdot g) = f_x \cdot g + f \cdot g_x$$

as elements in  $H^{\tilde{s}-1}(\mathbb{R})$ . □

Now we extend right translation  $R_\phi$  to negative Sobolev spaces

**Lemma A.3.** *Let  $s > 3/2$  and  $-1/2 < \tilde{s} < 0$ . For  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R})$  the map*

$$R_\phi : H^s(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^s(\mathbb{R}), \quad f \mapsto f \circ \phi$$

extends to a continuous map  $H^{\tilde{s}}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^{\tilde{s}}(\mathbb{R})$ .

**Proof.** Let  $f \in H^{\tilde{s}}(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\psi$  a testfunction. We define

$$\langle R_\phi f, \psi \rangle := \left\langle f, \frac{\psi \circ \phi^{-1}}{\phi_x \circ \phi^{-1}} \right\rangle. \tag{A.1}$$

We know – see e.g. [23] – that

$$\left\| \frac{\psi \circ \phi^{-1}}{\phi_x \circ \phi^{-1}} \right\|_{-\tilde{s}} \leq C \|\psi\|_{-\tilde{s}}$$

holds. Therefore  $R_\phi f \in H^{\tilde{s}}(\mathbb{R})$  and further

$$|\langle R_\phi f, \psi \rangle| = \left| \left\langle f, \frac{\psi \circ \phi^{-1}}{\phi_x \circ \phi^{-1}} \right\rangle \right| \leq C \|f\|_{\tilde{s}} \|\psi\|_{-\tilde{s}}$$

which shows that  $R_\phi : H^{\tilde{s}}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^{\tilde{s}}(\mathbb{R})$  is a continuous linear map. □

**Remark A.1.** We will sometimes write  $f \circ \phi$  instead of  $R_\phi f$  even if  $f$  is in a negative Sobolev space.

**Remark A.2.** The composition map

$$H^{\tilde{s}}(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^{\tilde{s}}(\mathbb{R}), \quad (f, \varphi) \mapsto f \circ \varphi$$

is not continuous. But as can be seen from (A.1) it is weakly continuous, i.e

$$H^{\tilde{s}}(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad (f, \varphi) \mapsto \langle f \circ \varphi, \psi \rangle$$

is continuous for any testfunction  $\psi$ .

There is also the following chain rule

**Lemma A.4.** Let  $s > 3/2$  and  $1/2 < \tilde{s} < 1$ . For  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R})$  and  $f \in H^{\tilde{s}}(\mathbb{R})$  we have

$$\partial_x(f \circ \varphi) = f_x \circ \varphi \cdot \varphi_x.$$

as an identity in  $H^{\tilde{s}-1}(\mathbb{R})$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\psi$  be a testfunction. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \partial_x(f \circ \varphi), \psi \rangle &= -\langle f \circ \varphi, \psi_x \rangle = -\langle f, \frac{\psi_x \circ \varphi^{-1}}{\varphi_x \circ \varphi^{-1}} \rangle = -\langle f, \partial_x(\psi \circ \varphi^{-1}) \rangle \\ &= \langle f_x, \psi \circ \varphi^{-1} \rangle = \langle f_x \circ \varphi, \psi \cdot \varphi_x \rangle = \langle f_x \circ \varphi \cdot \varphi_x, \psi \rangle \end{aligned}$$

which shows the claim. □

We will also need the following Lipschitz type estimate.

**Lemma A.5.** Let  $s > 3/2$  and  $\varphi_\bullet \in \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R})$ . There is a neighborhood  $W \subseteq \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R})$  of  $\varphi_\bullet$  and a constant  $C > 0$  with

$$\|f \circ \varphi_1 - f \circ \varphi_2\|_{s-2} \leq C \|f\|_{s-1} \|\varphi_1 - \varphi_2\|_{s-1}$$

for all  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in W$  and for all  $f \in H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R})$ .

**Proof.** Let  $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ . We have from the fundamental lemma of calculus

$$f(\varphi_2(x)) = f(\varphi_1(x)) + \int_0^1 f'(\varphi_1(x) + t(\varphi_2(x) - \varphi_1(x))) (\varphi_2(x) - \varphi_1(x)) dt$$

Taking  $W$  small enough we can ensure that  $\varphi_1 + t(\varphi_2 - \varphi_1) \in \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R})$  for  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ . We thus see that

$$t \rightarrow (f' \circ (\varphi_1 + t(\varphi_2 - \varphi_1))) \cdot (\varphi_2 - \varphi_1)$$

is continuous from  $[0, 1]$  to  $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ . As evaluation at  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous linear map on  $H^s(\mathbb{R})$  we have the identity in  $H^s(\mathbb{R})$

$$f \circ \varphi_2 - f \circ \varphi_1 = \int_0^1 (f' \circ (\varphi_1 + t(\varphi_2 - \varphi_1))) \cdot (\varphi_2 - \varphi_1) dt$$

where the integral is understood as a Riemann integral. We thus have

$$\|f \circ \varphi_2 - f \circ \varphi_1\|_{s-2} \leq \int_0^1 \|f' \circ (\varphi_1 + t(\varphi_2 - \varphi_1))\|_{s-2} \|\varphi_2 - \varphi_1\|_{s-1} dt$$



For  $W$  small enough we can ensure that

$$\|f' \circ (\varphi_1 + t(\varphi_2 - \varphi_1))\|_{s-2} \leq C\|f'\|_{s-2}$$

Thus we get

$$\|f \circ \varphi_2 - f \circ \varphi_1\|_{s-2} \leq C\|f\|_{s-1}\|\varphi_2 - \varphi_1\|_{s-1}$$

For general  $f \in H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R})$  we get the inequality by taking approximations  $f_n$  because  $f \mapsto f \circ \varphi$  is continuous in  $H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R})$ .  $\square$

For the inversion map  $\varphi \mapsto \varphi^{-1}$  we have

**Lemma A.6.** *Let  $s > 3/2$  and  $\varphi_\bullet \in \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R})$ . There is a neighborhood  $W \subseteq \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R})$  of  $\varphi_\bullet$  and a constant  $C > 0$  with*

$$\|\varphi_2^{-1} - \varphi_1^{-1}\|_{s-1} \leq C\|\varphi_2 - \varphi_1\|_s$$

for all  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in W$ .

**Proof.** If  $s > 5/2$  then the lemma follows from [23] where it was shown that  $\mathcal{D}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}) \mapsto \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R})$  is  $C^1$ . So it remains to check the case  $s \leq 5/2$ . Consider first the case  $3/2 < s < 2$ . We have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi^{-1} - \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi^{-1} - \varphi^{-1} \circ \varphi \circ \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}|^2 dx$$

As by the Sobolev imbedding the  $C^1$ -norm is bounded by the  $H^s$ -norm we have

$$|\varphi^{-1}(x) - \varphi^{-1}(y)| \leq C|x - y|$$

with a uniform  $C$  in a neighborhood of  $\varphi_\bullet$ . Hence

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi^{-1} - \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}|^2 dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} |x - \varphi(\tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(x))|^2 dx$$

By a change of variables we can bound the latter by

$$C \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\tilde{\varphi}(x) - \varphi(x)|^2 \tilde{\varphi}_x(x) dx$$

As  $\varphi_x$  is uniformly bounded in a neighborhood of  $\varphi_\bullet$  we get

$$\|\varphi^{-1} - \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}\|_{L^2} \leq C\|\varphi - \tilde{\varphi}\|_{s-1}$$

Let us estimate the fractional part. Using the Sobolev-Slobodecki  $[\varphi^{-1} - \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}]_\lambda$  norm with  $\lambda = s - 1$  we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} \frac{|[\varphi^{-1}(x) - \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(x)] - [\varphi^{-1}(y) - \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(y)]|^2}{|x - y|^{1+2\lambda}} dx dy = \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} \frac{|\Phi(\tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(x)) - \Phi(\tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(y))|^2}{|x - y|^{1+2\lambda}} dx dy$$

where

$$\Phi(x) = \varphi^{-1}(\tilde{\varphi}(x)) - \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(x))$$

Change of variables gives

$$\int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} \frac{|\Phi(x) - \Phi(y)|^2}{|\tilde{\varphi}(x) - \tilde{\varphi}(y)|^{1+2\lambda}} \tilde{\varphi}_x(x) \tilde{\varphi}_x(y) dx dy \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} \frac{|\Phi(x) - \Phi(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{1+2\lambda}} \frac{|x - y|^{1+2\lambda}}{|\tilde{\varphi}(x) - \tilde{\varphi}(y)|^{1+2\lambda}} dx dy$$

which by the fact that  $K|\tilde{\varphi}(x) - \tilde{\varphi}(y)| \geq |x - y|$  holds is bounded by

$$C \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} \frac{|\Phi(x) - \Phi(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{1+2\lambda}} dx dy$$

By the fundamental lemma of calculus

$$\Phi(x) = \left( \int_0^1 \frac{dt}{\varphi_x \circ \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(x) + t(\tilde{\varphi}(x) - \varphi(x)))} \right) \cdot (\tilde{\varphi}(x) - \varphi(x)) = \Psi(x) \cdot (\tilde{\varphi}(x) - \varphi(x))$$

Writing

$$\Phi(x) - \Phi(y) = \Psi(x) ([\tilde{\varphi}(x) - \varphi(x)] - [\tilde{\varphi}(y) - \varphi(y)]) + (\Psi(x) - \Psi(y))(\tilde{\varphi}(y) - \varphi(y))$$

Thus we can estimate

$$[\Phi]_\lambda \leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |\Psi(x)| [\tilde{\varphi} - \varphi]_\lambda + \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |\tilde{\varphi}(x) - \varphi(x)| [\Psi]_\lambda$$

Note that  $[\Psi]_\lambda < \infty$  as

$$t \mapsto \frac{1}{\varphi_x \circ \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(x) + t(\tilde{\varphi}(x) - \varphi(x)))} - 1$$

is a continuous path in  $H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R})$ . Therefore we get

$$[\varphi^{-1} - \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}]_\lambda \leq C \|\varphi - \tilde{\varphi}\|_{s-1}$$

Now consider the case  $2 \leq s \leq 5/2$ . Taking the derivative we get

$$(\varphi^{-1} - \tilde{\varphi}^{-1})' = \varphi_x \circ \varphi^{-1} - \tilde{\varphi}_x \circ \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}$$

which rewritten is

$$\varphi_x \circ \varphi^{-1} - \varphi_x \circ \tilde{\varphi}^{-1} + \varphi_x \circ \tilde{\varphi}^{-1} - \tilde{\varphi}_x \circ \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}$$

For the last two terms we have

$$\|\varphi_x \circ \tilde{\varphi}^{-1} - \tilde{\varphi}_x \circ \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}\|_{s-2} \leq C \|\varphi_x - \tilde{\varphi}_x\|_{s-2} \leq C \|\varphi - \tilde{\varphi}\|_{s-1}$$

For the first two terms we can argue as in the proof of Lemma A.5 and write

$$\|\varphi_x \circ \varphi^{-1} - \varphi_x \circ \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}\|_{s-2} \leq C \|\varphi_x - 1\|_{s-1} \|\varphi^{-1} - \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}\|_{s-2}$$

Hence using the estimate from above for  $\|\varphi^{-1} - \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}\|_{s-2}$  as  $0 \leq s - 2 < 1$  we get the claim.  $\square$

**Appendix B. Inequalities for fractional Sobolev functions**

In this section we will establish inequalities of the form

$$\|f + g\| \geq C(\|f\|_s + \|g\|_s)$$

for functions  $f, g$  with disjoint support. For fractional  $s$  this causes some difficulties as the norm  $\|\cdot\|_s$  is defined in a non-local way. For fixed supports we have

**Lemma B.1.** *Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ . There is a constant  $C > 0$  such that for all  $f, g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  with  $\text{supp } f \subseteq (-3, -1)$  and  $\text{supp } g \subseteq (1, 3)$  we have*

$$\|f + g\|_s^2 \geq C(\|f\|_s^2 + \|g\|_s^2)$$

**Proof.** We take  $\varphi, \psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  with  $\text{supp } \varphi \subseteq (-3.5, -0.5)$  and  $\text{supp } \psi \subseteq (0.5, 3.5)$  such that  $\varphi|_{(-3,-1)} \equiv 1$  and  $\psi|_{(1,3)} \equiv 1$ . We then have

$$\|f\|_s = \|\varphi(f + g)\|_s \leq C_1 \|f + g\|_s$$

and similarly

$$\|g\|_s = \|\psi(f + g)\|_s \leq C_2 \|f + g\|_s$$

giving the desired result. □

In the following we will use the fact that the  $H^s$ -norm is equivalent to the homogeneous  $\dot{H}^s$ -norm if we restrict ourselves to functions with support in a fixed compact  $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  (see e.g. [4] p. 39). Recall

$$\|f\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\xi|^{2s} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi$$

We often also use  $f^\lambda(x) := f(x/\lambda)$  for which we have the following scaling property

$$\|f^\lambda\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 = \lambda^{1-2s} \|f\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2$$

We have

**Lemma B.2.** *Let  $s \geq 0$ . Then there is a constant  $C > 0$  with the following property: For  $x, y$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  with  $0 < r := |x - y|/4 < 1$  we have*

$$\|f + g\|_s^2 \geq C(\|f\|_s^2 + \|g\|_s^2)$$

for all functions  $f, g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  with  $\text{supp } f \subseteq (x - r, x + r)$ ,  $\text{supp } g \subseteq (y - r, y + r)$

**Proof.** We use the homogeneous norm. Now scaling with  $\lambda = (4r)^{-1}$  gives a situation as in Lemma B.1. We have

$$\|f + g\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 = \lambda_n^{2s-1} \|f^\lambda + g^\lambda\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2$$

Now by Lemma B.1 we then get

$$\|f + g\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 \geq C \lambda^{2s-1} \left( \|f^\lambda\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + \|g^\lambda\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 \right)$$

Scaling back gives

$$\|f + g\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 \geq C (\|f\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + \|g\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2)$$

This establishes the lemma. □

We will encounter Lemma B.2 also for some negative values of  $s$ . In these cases we will use

**Lemma B.3.** *Let  $s < 0$  and the same situation as in Lemma B.2. Then we have*

$$\|f + g\|_s^2 \geq C (\|f\|_s^2 + \|g\|_s^2)$$

for all functions  $f, g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  with  $\text{supp } f \subseteq (x - r, x + r)$ ,  $\text{supp } g \subseteq (y - r, y + r)$

**Proof.** We claim that for functions with support in some fixed compact set  $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  the homogeneous norm

$$\|f\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\xi|^{2s} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi$$

is equivalent to the non-homogeneous norm  $\|\cdot\|_s$ . One then can argue as in Lemma B.2 by scaling to a fixed situation as in Lemma B.1. So it remains to show the equivalence of the norms. We clearly have  $\|\cdot\|_s \leq \|\cdot\|_{\dot{H}^s}$  since

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + \xi^2)^s |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \xi^{2s} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi$$

For the other direction we use the dual definition of the Sobolev norm

$$\|f\|_s = \sup_{\|g\|_{-s} \leq 1} |\langle f, g \rangle|$$

and analogously for the homogeneous norm. Taking  $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  with  $\psi = 1$  on  $K$  we have for  $f$  with support in  $K$

$$\|f\|_{\dot{H}^s} = \sup_{\|g\|_{\dot{H}^{-s}} \leq 1} |\langle f, \psi \cdot g \rangle|$$

Now note that we have equivalence of the norms  $\|\cdot\|_{-s}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{\dot{H}^{-s}}$  for functions with support in some fixed compact. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\dot{H}^s} &= \sup_{\|g\|_{\dot{H}^{-s}} \leq 1} |\langle f, \psi \cdot g \rangle| \leq \sup_{g, \|\psi \cdot g\|_{-s} \leq C_1} |\langle f, \psi \cdot g \rangle| \\ &\leq C_1 \sup_{\|g\|_{-s} \leq 1} |\langle f, g \rangle| = \|f\|_{-s} \end{aligned}$$

showing the equivalence. □

## References

- [1] R. Abraham and J. Robbin, *Transversal mappings and flows*, W.A. Benjamin, Inc., New York, 1967.
- [2] R. Adams, *Sobolev spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1975.
- [3] V. Arnold: *Sur la géométrie différentielle des groupes de Lie de dimension infinie et ses applications à l'hydrodynamique des fluids parfaits*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, **16**, 1(1966), 319-361.
- [4] H. Bahouri, J-Y. Chemin, R. Danchin: *Fourier analysis and nonlinear partial differential equations*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], 343. Springer, Heidelberg, 2011
- [5] R. Camassa, D. Holm: *An integrable shallow water equation with peaked solitons*, Phys. Rev. Lett, **71**(1993), 1661-1664.
- [6] M. Cantor: *Groups of diffeomorphisms of  $R^n$  and the flow of a perfect fluid*, Bull. Am. Math. Soc. **81**, 205-208 (1975)
- [7] A. Constantin: *Existence of permanent and breaking waves for a shallow water equation: a geometric approach*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **50**, No.2, 321-362 (2000)
- [8] A. Constantin, J. Escher: *Well-posedness, global existence, and blow-up phenomena for a periodic quasi-linear hyperbolic equation*, Commun. Pure Appl. Math., **51**(1998), 475-504.
- [9] A. Constantin, D. Lannes: *The hydrodynamical relevance of the Camassa-Holm and Degasperis-Procesi equations*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **192** (2009), no. 1, 165186.
- [10] A. Constantin, H. P. McKean: *A shallow water equation on the circle.*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **52** (1999), no. 8, 949982.
- [11] A. Constantin, R. I. Ivanov, J. Lenells: *Inverse scattering transform for the Degasperis-Procesi equation.*, Nonlinearity **23** (2010), no. 10, 25592575.
- [12] A. Constantin, T. Kappeler, B. Kolev, P. Topalov: *On geodesic exponential maps of the Virasoro group*, Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom. **31**(2007), 155 - 180.
- [13] O. Christov, S. Hakkaev: *On the Cauchy problem for the periodic b-family of equations and of the non-uniform continuity of Degasperis-Procesi equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **360**, No. 1, 47-56 (2009).
- [14] A. Degasperis, M. Procesi: *Asymptotic integrability*, in Symmetry and Perturbation Theory, Rome, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1999
- [15] D. Ebin, J. Marsden: *Groups of diffeomorphisms and the motion of an incompressible fluid*, Ann. Math., **92**(1970), 102-163.
- [16] J. Escher, D. Henry, B. Kolev, T. Lyons: *Two-component equations modelling water waves with constant vorticity*, Annali Mat. Pura Appl. (<http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10231-014-0461-z>)
- [17] J. Escher, B. Kolev: *The Degasperis-Procesi equation as a non-metric Euler equation*, Math. Z. **269** (2011), no. 3-4, 11371153.
- [18] J. Escher, B. Kolev: *Geometrical methods for equations of hydrodynamical type.*, J. Nonlinear Math. Phys. **19** (2012), suppl. 1
- [19] J. Escher, T. Lyons: *Two-component higher order Camassa-Holm systems with fractional inertia operator: a geometric approach.*, J. Geom. Mech. **7** (2015), no. 3, 281293.
- [20] A. Himonas, C. Kenig, G. Misiolek: *Non-uniform dependence for the periodic CH equation*, Commun. Partial Differ. Equations **35**, No. 6, 1145-1162 (2010)
- [21] A. Himonas, G. Misiolek: *The Cauchy problem for an integrable shallow-water equation.*, Differ. Integral Equ. **14**, No.7, 821-831 (2001)
- [22] D. Holm, M. Staley: *Nonlinear balance and exchange of stability in dynamics of solitons, peakons, ramps/cliffs and leftons in a 1+1 nonlinear evolutionary PDE*, Phys. Lett., A **308**, No.5-6, 437-444 (2003).
- [23] H. Inci, T. Kappeler, P. Topalov: *On the regularity of the composition of diffeomorphisms*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **226** (2013), no. 1062
- [24] H. Inci: *On the well-posedness of the incompressible Euler Equation*, thesis, arXiv:1301.5997 (2013)
- [25] H. Inci: *On a Lagrangian formulation of the incompressible Euler equation*, arXiv:1301.5994 (2013)
- [26] H. Inci: *On the regularity of the solution map of the incompressible Euler equation*, Dyn. Partial Differ. Equ. **12** (2015), no. 2, 97113
- [27] S. Lang: *Fundamentals of Differential Geometry*, Springer, 1999.

- [28] G.Misiolek: *A shallow water equation as a geodesic flow on the Bott-Virasoro group*, J. Geom. Phys. 24, No.3, 203-208 (1998).
- [29] Y. Li, P. Olver: *Well-posedness and blow-up solutions for an integrable nonlinearly dispersive model wave equation*, J. Differential Equations 162 (2000), 27-63.
- [30] V.Yu. Ovsienko, B.A. Khesin: *The Korteweg-de Vries superequation as Euler equation*, Funkts. Anal. Prilozh. 21, No.4, 81-82 (1987).
- [31] G. Rodriguez-Blanco: *On the Cauchy problem for the Camassa-Holm equation*, Nonlinear Anal. 14 (2001), 309-327.
- [32] L. Yan: *Nonuniform dependence for the Cauchy problem of the general b-equation*, J. Math. Phys. 52, 033101 (2011)