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\begin{abstract}
We have classified symmetric solutions around the origin to the four dimensional degenerate Painlevé type equation \(N Y^{A_{4}}\) with generic values of parameters. We obtained sixteen meromorphic solutions, which are transformed each other by the Bäcklund transformation. We calculated the linear monodromy for one of them, explicitly.
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\section*{1. Introduction}

The Painlevé equations \(P_{J}(J=I, I I, \cdots, V I)\) have the phase space of dimension two, while many higher dimensional Painlevé type equations are obtained recently as the extension of the sixth Painlevé equation.

For the four dimensional case, T. Oshima showed that any irreducible Fuchsian equation with four accessory parameters can be reduced to thirteen types of equations [20], among which H. Sakai found that only four types of equation have the isomonodromic deformation equation and these are the the source equations of all of the four dimensional Painleve type equations. They are the wellknown Garnier system with two variables [8], the Fuji-Suzuki system [7], the Sasano system [22] and the matrix Painlevé system. The last system is the new one which is found by H. Sakai by the monodromy preserving deformation of the Fuchsian differential equation [21].

The four dimensional Painlevé type equations have the degeneration diagram similar to the Painlevé equations [14], which is from the extension of the sixth Painlevé equation, step by step, to the extension of the second Painlevé equation. The extension of the first Painlevé equation is not yet found. The four dimensional degenerate Painlevé type equation \(N Y^{A_{4}}\) was proposed by V.E. Adler [1] and Noumi and Yamada [18] independently. In [14], \(N Y^{A_{4}}\) is obtained by degenerating the Fuji-Suzuki system as the extension of the fourth Painlevé equation \(P_{I V}\), which corresponds to the well-known Noumi-Yamada system [18]. The equation \(N Y^{A_{4}}\) is also derived by the isomonodromic deformation of the third kind, non Fuchsian ordinary differential equation, which has one regular singularity and one irregular singularity of Poincaré rank 2 on the Riemann sphere (See eq.(2.3)).

It has been important to have the relation between each Painlevé function and the monodromy data of the associated linear equation (we call the linear monodromy). By calculating the linear
monodromy, we can obtain the characteristics of each Painlevé function. R. Fuchs calculated first the linear monodromy for the Picard's solution [6], which is a special solution to the sixth Painlevé equation with special values of parameters \(\alpha=\beta=\gamma=\frac{1}{2}-\delta=0\). For generic value of parameter, A. V. Kitaev calculated first the linear monodromy explicitly for the symmetric solutions by taking examples of the first and second Painlevé equations [16]. We call them the symmetric solutions, which are invariant under the symmetric transformations (see Remark 2.1). Based on A.V. Kitaev's idea, we have studied special solutions with generic values of parameters for the fourth, fifth, sixth and third Painlevé equations, for which the linear monodromy can be calculated explicitly [10-13]. For \(N Y^{A_{4}}\), it is not easy to determine the linear monodromy for generic solutions, but we can determine the linear monodromy for the symmetric solutions under the transformation in Remark 2.1. We remark that P. Appell [2] also studied the symmetric solutions to the first and second Painlevé equations, but he did not study the linear monodromy problems.

There are few research for the special solutions to the four dimensional Painlevé type equations compared with the Painlevé equations. We will study the four dimensional degenerate Painlevé type equations by applying the same method to \(N Y^{A_{4}}\) first, which we have used for the Painlevé equations above. Some new discovery is expected by viewing Painlevé equations from the four dimensional Painlevé type equations.

The aim of this paper is to give the symmetric solutions with generic values of parameters to \(N Y^{A_{4}}\), for which the linear monodromy \(\left\{M_{0}=S_{1} S_{2} S_{3} S_{4} e^{2 \pi i T_{0}}, C, M_{\infty}\right\}\) can be calculated explicitly. We obtained the sixteen symmetric solutions with generic values of parameters around the origin, which are transformed each other by the Bäcklund transformations (see subsection 4.2 and Fig.1). Similar calculations were made by N. Tahara [23] and K. Matsuda [17] by using the Noumi-Yamada system [18]. N. Tahara gave the formal solutions with a pole of order one at any \(t\) and K. Matsuda completely classified the rational solutions to \(N Y^{A_{4}}\). Both papers treat neither the symmetric solutions nor the linear monodromy. For \(N Y^{A_{4}}\), the linear monodromy can be calculated only for the symmetric solutions (see Section 5). We calculated the linear monodromy for one of the obtained symmetric solutions, explicitly. For the other solutions, we can obtain the linear monodromy by using the Bäcklund transformations.

In Appendix, we show the Noumi-Yamada system and the transformation formulae to the Hamiltonian system \(\mathscr{H}_{N Y}^{A_{4}}\).

\section*{2. The four dimensional degenerate Painlevé type equation \(N Y^{A_{4}}\)}

In this section, we write down the deformation equation and monodromy preserving deformation and Hamiltonian system \(\mathscr{H}_{N Y}^{A_{4}}\) [14].

\subsection*{2.1. The system of the deformation equation}

The system of the deformation equation for \(\mathscr{H}_{N Y}^{A_{4}}\) is given as follows:
\[
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial Y}{\partial x}=\left(\frac{A_{0}^{(-2)}}{x^{3}}+\frac{A_{0}^{(-1)}}{x^{2}}+\frac{A_{0}^{(0)}}{x}\right) Y,  \tag{2.1}\\
& \frac{\partial Y}{\partial t}=\frac{A_{0}^{(-2)}}{x} Y \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
\]
where
\[
\begin{gathered}
A_{0}^{(j)}=U^{-1} P^{-1} \widehat{A}_{0}^{(j)} P U, j \in\{-2,-1,0\}, \quad U=\operatorname{diag}(1, u, v) \\
\frac{1}{u} \frac{d u}{d t}=-p_{1}-2 p_{2}+q_{2}+t, \quad \frac{1}{v} \frac{d v}{d t}=q_{1}-2 p_{1}-2 p_{2}+t \\
P=\left(\begin{array}{crc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{a}{\theta_{1}^{\infty}-\theta_{2}^{\infty}} & 1 & 0 \\
\frac{1}{\theta_{1}^{\infty}-\theta_{3}^{\infty}}\left(b+\frac{a c}{\theta_{1}^{\infty}-\theta_{2}^{\infty}}\right) \frac{c}{\theta_{2}^{\infty}-\theta_{3}^{\infty}} 1
\end{array}\right), \quad \widehat{A}_{0}^{(-2)}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1
\end{array} 1\right), \\
\widehat{A}_{0}^{(-1)}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
p_{1}+p_{2}-t & q_{2} \\
-q_{1} \\
-p_{2} & -p_{2}-p_{2} \\
-p_{1} & -p_{1}-p_{1}
\end{array}\right), \quad \widehat{A}_{0}^{(0)}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-\theta_{1}^{\infty} & 0 & 0 \\
-a & -\theta_{2}^{\infty} & 0 \\
-b & -c & -\theta_{3}^{\infty}
\end{array}\right), \\
a=p_{2}\left(p_{2}-q_{2}-t\right)+p_{1} p_{2}+\theta_{1}^{0}+\theta_{2}^{\infty}, \quad b=p_{1}\left(p_{1}-q_{1}-t\right)+p_{1} p_{2}+\theta_{3}^{\infty}, \\
c=p_{1}\left(q_{2}-q_{1}\right)+\theta_{3}^{\infty} .
\end{gathered}
\]

The Riemann scheme of (2.1) is
\[
P\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\overbrace{0}^{x=0} & x=\infty  \tag{2.3}\\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \theta_{1}^{0} \\
1 & -t & \theta_{2}^{0} \\
\theta_{2}^{\infty} & \theta_{3}^{\infty}
\end{array}\right), \quad \theta_{1}^{0}+\theta_{2}^{0}+\theta_{1}^{\infty}+\theta_{2}^{\infty}+\theta_{3}^{\infty}=0
\]

The formal solution of (2.1) around \(x=0\) has the form
\[
Y^{(0)}(x)=\exp \left[\frac{1}{x^{2}} \operatorname{diag}(0,0,1)+\frac{1}{x} \operatorname{diag}(0,0, t)\right] x^{T_{0}}\left(I_{3}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \widehat{Y}_{k}^{(0)} x^{k}\right)
\]
where \(T_{0}=\operatorname{diag}\left(0, \theta_{1}^{0}, \theta_{2}^{0}\right)\). The series \(\widehat{Y}^{(0)}=I_{3}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \widehat{Y}_{k}^{(0)} x^{k}\) is divergent since \(x=0\) is an irregular singularity of Poincaré rank two.

The local solution of (2.1) around \(x=\infty\) has the form
\[
Y^{(\infty)}(x)=x^{-T_{\infty}}\left(I_{3}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} Y_{k}^{(\infty)} x^{k}\right)
\]
where \(T_{\infty}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\theta_{1}^{\infty}, \theta_{2}^{\infty}, \theta_{3}^{\infty}\right)\). This series is convergent, since \(x=\infty\) is a regular singular point. Since \(Y^{(\infty)}(x)\) is multi-valued functions, we take a branch of \(Y_{\infty}(x)\) on \(\{x||\arg x|<\pi,|x|>R\}\).

The Stokes regions \(\mathscr{S}_{j}\) around \(x=0\) are given by
\[
\mathscr{S}_{j}=\left\{x\left|-\varepsilon+\frac{(j-1) \pi}{2}<\arg x<\frac{j \pi}{2}+\varepsilon,|x|<r\right\}\right.
\]
where \(\varepsilon\) and \(r\) are sufficiently small. There exist a holomorphic function \(\bar{Y}_{j}(x)\) of (2.1) on \(\mathscr{S}_{j}\) such that
\[
\bar{Y}_{j}(x) \sim \widehat{Y}^{(0)} \quad x \rightarrow 0
\]
and
\[
Y_{j}(x)=\exp \left[\frac{1}{x^{2}} \operatorname{diag}(0,0,1)+\frac{1}{x} \operatorname{diag}(0,0, t)\right] x^{T_{0}} \bar{Y}_{j}(x)
\]
is a solution of (2.1) on \(\mathscr{S}_{j}\). The Stokes matrix \(S_{j}\) is defined by
\[
Y_{j+1}(x)=Y_{j}(x) S_{j}
\]

We notice that \(Y_{5}(x)=Y_{1}\left(x e^{-2 \pi i}\right) e^{-2 \pi i T_{0}}\).
The connection matrix \(C\) between \(x=0\) and \(x=\infty\) is given by \(Y^{(\infty)}(x)=Y^{(0)} C\).
The linear equation (2.1) has \(s\) data of the linear monodromy
\[
\left\{M_{\infty}, C, S_{1}, S_{2}, S_{3}, S_{4}, e^{2 \pi i T_{0}}\right\}
\]
where \(M_{\infty}=e^{2 \pi i T_{\infty}}\) is the local monodromy around \(x=\infty\) and \(e^{2 \pi i T_{0}}\) is the formal monodromy around \(x=0\).

The linear monodromy are represented as follows:
\[
\begin{align*}
M_{\infty}= & \left(\begin{array}{ccc}
e^{2 \pi i \theta_{1}^{\infty}} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & e^{2 \pi i \theta_{2}^{\infty}} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & e^{2 \pi i \theta_{3}^{\infty}}
\end{array}\right), \quad e^{2 \pi i T_{0}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & e^{2 \pi i \theta_{1}^{0}} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & e^{2 \pi i \theta_{2}^{0}}
\end{array}\right),  \tag{2.4}\\
S_{2 n-1}= & \left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
s_{2 n-1}^{(1)} & s_{2 n-1}^{(2)} & 1
\end{array}\right), S_{2 n}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & s_{2 n}^{(1)} \\
0 & 1 & s_{2 n}^{(2)} \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right), C=\left(c_{i j}\right)_{i, j=1,2,3}, \\
& C M_{\infty} C^{-1} S_{1} S_{2} S_{3} S_{4} e^{2 \pi i T_{0}}=I_{3} . \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
\]

\subsection*{2.2. Monodromy preserving deformation and Hamiltonian system}

When the linear monodromy of (2.1) is independent of the parameter \(t, Y=Y(x, t)\) satisfies both of (2.1) and (2.2). The monodromy preserving deformation equation is given by the compatibility condition of (2.1) and (2.2). This equation is expressed by the Hamiltonian system using the two Hamiltonians \(H_{I V}\) of the fourth Painlevé equation with different parameters and the coupled term:
\[
H_{N Y}^{A_{4}}\left(\alpha, \beta, ; t ; \begin{array}{c}
q_{1}, p_{1} \\
q_{2}, p_{2}
\end{array}\right)=H_{I V}\left(\beta, \alpha ; t ; q_{1}, p_{1}\right)+H_{I V}\left(\widetilde{\beta}, \widetilde{\alpha} ; t ; q_{2}, p_{2}\right)+2 q_{1} p_{1} p_{2}
\]
where
\[
\begin{aligned}
& H_{I V}(\beta, \alpha ; t ; q, p)=q p(p-q-t)+\alpha p+\beta q \\
& \alpha=\theta_{1}^{\infty}-\theta_{3}^{\infty}-1, \quad \beta=\theta_{3}^{\infty}, \quad \widetilde{\alpha}=\theta_{1}^{\infty}-\theta_{2}^{\infty}-\theta_{3}^{\infty}-1, \quad \widetilde{\beta}=\theta_{1}^{0}+\theta_{2}^{\infty} .
\end{aligned}
\]

The Hamiltonian system \(\mathscr{H}_{N Y}^{A_{4}}\) is expressed as follows:
\[
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d q_{1}}{d t} & =\frac{\partial H_{N Y}^{A_{4}}}{\partial p_{1}}=q_{1}\left(2 p_{1}-q_{1}-t\right)+\alpha+2 q_{1} p_{2} \\
-\frac{d p_{1}}{d t} & =\frac{\partial H_{N Y}^{A_{4}}}{\partial q_{1}}=p_{1}\left(p_{1}-t-2 q_{1}\right)+\beta+2 p_{1} p_{2}, \\
\frac{d q_{2}}{d t} & =\frac{\partial H_{N Y}^{A_{4}}}{\partial p_{2}}=q_{2}\left(2 p_{2}-q_{2}-t\right)+\widetilde{\alpha}+2 q_{1} p_{1}, \\
-\frac{d p_{2}}{d t} & =\frac{\partial H_{N Y}^{A_{4}}}{\partial q_{2}}=p_{2}\left(p_{2}-t-2 q_{2}\right)+\widetilde{\beta} .
\end{aligned}
\]

Remark 2.1. The Hamiltonian system \(\mathscr{H}_{N Y}^{A_{4}}\) is invariant under the following symmetric transformations:
\[
q_{1} \longrightarrow-q_{1}, p_{1} \longrightarrow-p_{1}, q_{2} \longrightarrow-q_{2}, p_{2} \longrightarrow-p_{2}, t \longrightarrow-t
\]
\(q_{1}, p_{1}, q_{2}, p_{2}\) are all odd functions.
By using this property, we can calculate the symmetric solutions to the Hamiltonian system \(\mathscr{H}_{N Y}^{A_{4}}\).

The system \(\mathscr{H}_{N Y}^{A_{4}}\) is the monodromy preserving deformation for (2.1). Therefore, there exists almost one-to-one correspondence between the monodromy data and the solutions of \(\mathscr{H}_{N Y}^{A_{4}}\). In this sense, we call the corresponding monodromy data the linear monodromy of the solution of \(\mathscr{H}_{N Y}^{A_{4}}\). It is not easy to determine the linear monodromy for generic solutions, but we can determine the linear monodromy for the symmetric solutions under the transformation in Remark 2.1.

\section*{3. Symmetric solutions around the origin}

In this section, we give the obtained symmetric solutions around \(t=0\), which are satisfied with the Hamiltonian system \(\mathscr{H}_{N Y}^{A_{4}}\).

When \(q_{i}\) and \(p_{i}(i=1,2)\) are meromorphic, we can show that they have at most a simple pole around \(t=0\).

Since \(\mathscr{H}_{N Y}^{A_{4}}\) is the fourth order differential equations, the solution space of \(\mathscr{H}_{N Y}^{A_{4}}\) is for dimensional. But we can show that the number of solutions which are invariant under the action in Remark 2.1 is finite.

Theorem 3.1. For generic values of parameters, the Hamiltonian system \(\mathscr{H}_{N Y}^{A_{4}}\) has the following sixteen symmetric solutions \((1),(2), \cdots,(16)\) around the origin:
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
q_{1}=\frac{a_{-1}}{t}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{2 k-1} t^{2 k-1}, & p_{1}=\frac{\widetilde{a}_{-1}}{t}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \widetilde{a}_{2 k-1} t^{2 k-1}, \\
q_{2}=\frac{b_{-1}}{t}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{2 k-1} t^{2 k-1}, & p_{2}=\frac{\widetilde{b}_{-1}}{t}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \widetilde{b}_{2 k-1} t^{2 k-1},
\end{array}
\]
- One holomorphic solution (1):
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (1) : } a_{-1}=0, a_{1}=\alpha, \quad a_{3}=\frac{-\alpha}{3}(\alpha+2 \beta+1+2 \widetilde{\beta}), \cdots, \\
& \widetilde{a}_{-1}=0, \widetilde{a}_{1}=-\beta, \widetilde{a}_{3}=\frac{-\beta}{3}(2 \alpha+\beta+1+2 \widetilde{\beta}), \cdots, \\
& b_{-1}=0, b_{1}=\widetilde{\alpha}, \quad b_{3}=\frac{-1}{3}[\widetilde{\alpha}(\widetilde{\alpha}+2 \widetilde{\beta}+1)+2 \alpha \beta], \cdots, \\
& \widetilde{b}_{-1}=0, \widetilde{b}_{1}=-\widetilde{\beta}, \widetilde{b}_{3}=\frac{-\widetilde{\beta}}{3}(2 \widetilde{\alpha}+\widetilde{\beta}+1), \cdots .
\end{aligned}
\]
- Fifteen meromorphic solutions (2),(3), \(\cdots,(16)\) with a pole of order one:
\begin{tabular}{|c||c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \(a_{-1}\) & \(\widetilde{a}_{-1}\) & \(b_{-1}\) & \(\widetilde{b}_{-1}\) & \(a_{1}\) & \(\widetilde{a}_{1}\) & \(b_{1}\) & \(\widetilde{b}_{1}\) \\
\hline \hline\((2)\) & -3 & 1 & -2 & -3 & \(\cdots\) & \(\cdots\) & \(\cdots\) & \(\cdots\) \\
\hline\((3)\) & 1 & -3 & 1 & 3 & \(\cdots\) & \(\cdots\) & \(\cdots\) & \(\cdots\) \\
\hline\((4)\) & 0 & 3 & -1 & -1 & \(-\alpha / 3\) & \(\cdots\) & \(\cdots\) & \(\cdots\) \\
\hline\((5)\) & -1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & \(\cdots\) & \(-\beta\) & \(\cdots\) & \(\cdots\) \\
\hline\((6)\) & -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & \(\cdots\) & \(\cdots\) & \(\cdots\) & \(-\widetilde{\beta} / 3\) \\
\hline\((7)\) & -1 & -1 & 2 & 0 & \(\cdots\) & \(\cdots\) & \(\cdots\) & \(\widetilde{\beta} / 3\) \\
\hline\((8)\) & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & \(\alpha / 3\) & \(\beta\) & \(\cdots\) & \(\cdots\) \\
\hline\((9)\) & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & \(\alpha\) & \(\cdots\) & \(-(\widetilde{\alpha}-2 \alpha)\) & \(\cdots\) \\
\hline\((10)\) & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & \(-\alpha\) & \(\cdots\) & \(\cdots\) & \(\widetilde{\beta}\) \\
\hline\((11)\) & 3 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \(\cdots\) & \(\beta / 3\) & \(-\widetilde{\alpha}-2 \beta\) & \(\cdots\) \\
\hline\((12)\) & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \(\cdots\) & \(\beta\) & \(\cdots\) & \(\widetilde{\beta}\) \\
\hline\((13)\) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \(-\alpha\) & \(-\beta / 3\) & \(-\widetilde{\alpha}\) & \(\cdots\) \\
\hline\((14)\) & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \(\alpha\) & \(-\beta\) & \(\cdots\) & \(\widetilde{\beta}\) \\
\hline\((15)\) & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \(-\alpha\) & \(\cdots\) & \(\widetilde{\alpha}-2 \alpha\) & \(-\widetilde{\beta}\) \\
\hline\((16)\) & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \(\cdots\) & \(\beta\) & \(\widetilde{\alpha}+2 \beta\) & \(-\widetilde{\beta}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Remark 3.1. (1) Higher order expansion of these solutions are uniquely determined recursively by the Hamiltonian system \(\mathscr{H}_{N Y}^{A_{4}}\) and do not contain any other parameter than the parameters \(\{\alpha, \beta, \widetilde{\alpha}, \widetilde{\beta}\}\).
(2) These solutions are convergent by Briot-Bouquet's theorem [3].
(3) The values of parameters are generic.

\section*{4. Bäcklund transformation}

In this section we show the Bäcklund transformation for the \(N Y^{A_{4}}\) given by M. Noumi and Y.Yamada [18] and the operated results to the sixteen symmetric solutions.

\section*{4.1. the Bäcklund transformation for the \(N Y^{A_{4}}\)}

The Bäcklund transformations are given as follows:
\begin{tabular}{|c||c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline\(x\) & \(s_{0}(x)\) & \(s_{1}(x)\) & \(s_{2}(x)\) & \(s_{3}(x)\) & \(s_{4}(x)\) & \(\pi(x)\) \\
\hline\(q_{1}\) & \(q_{1}-\frac{\alpha_{0}}{q_{2}-p_{1}-p_{2}+t}\) & \(q_{1}\) & \(q_{1}+\frac{\alpha_{2}}{p_{1}}\) & \(q_{1}\) & \(q_{1}\) & \(-p_{1}\) \\
\hline\(p_{1}\) & \(p_{1}\) & \(p_{1}-\frac{\alpha_{1}}{q_{1}}\) & \(p_{1}\) & \(p_{1}-\frac{\alpha_{3}}{q_{1}-q_{2}}\) & \(p_{1}\) & \(q_{1}-q_{2}\) \\
\hline\(q_{2}\) & \(q_{2}-\frac{\alpha_{0}}{q_{2}-p_{1}-\alpha_{2}+t}\) & \(q_{2}\) & \(q_{2}\) & \(q_{2}\) & \(q_{2}+\frac{\alpha_{4}}{p_{2}}\) & \(-p_{1}-p_{2}\) \\
\hline\(p_{2}\) & \(p_{2}-\frac{\alpha_{0}}{q_{2}-p_{1}-p_{2}+t}\) & \(p_{2}\) & \(p_{2}\) & \(p_{2}+\frac{\alpha_{3}}{q_{1}-q_{2}}\) & \(p_{2}\) & \(q_{2}-p_{1}-p_{2}+t\) \\
\hline\(t\) & \(t\) & \(t\) & \(t\) & \(t\) & \(t\) & \(t\) \\
\hline\(\alpha_{0}\) & \(-\alpha_{0}\) & \(\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1}\) & \(\alpha_{0}\) & \(\alpha_{0}\) & \(\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{4}\) & \(\alpha_{1}\) \\
\hline\(\alpha_{1}\) & \(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{0}\) & \(-\alpha_{1}\) & \(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\) & \(\alpha_{1}\) & \(\alpha_{1}\) & \(\alpha_{2}\) \\
\hline\(\alpha_{2}\) & \(\alpha_{2}\) & \(\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{1}\) & \(-\alpha_{2}\) & \(\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}\) & \(\alpha_{2}\) & \(\alpha_{3}\) \\
\hline\(\alpha_{3}\) & \(\alpha_{3}\) & \(\alpha_{3}\) & \(\alpha_{3}+\alpha_{2}\) & \(-\alpha_{3}\) & \(\alpha_{3}+\alpha_{4}\) & \(\alpha_{4}\) \\
\hline\(\alpha_{4}\) & \(\alpha_{4}+\alpha_{0}\) & \(\alpha_{4}\) & \(\alpha_{4}\) & \(\alpha_{4}+\alpha_{3}\) & \(-\alpha_{4}\) & \(\alpha_{0}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\[
s_{i}^{2}=1, \quad \pi^{5}=1, \quad \alpha=-\alpha_{1}, \quad \beta=-\alpha_{2}, \quad \widetilde{\alpha}=-\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{3}, \quad \widetilde{\beta}=-\alpha_{4}
\]

\subsection*{4.2. The operated results to the sixteen symmetric solutions}

The operated results to the sixteen symmetric solutions are shown in Fig 1.
The sixteen symmetric solutions are arranged on the vertices of the concentric three pentagons and the center; the fifteen meromorphic solutions with a pole of order one are on the vertices and the holomorphic solution is on the center.

The fifteen solutions arranged on the vertices are transformed each other by the reflection \(s_{i}(i=\) \(0,1,2,3,4)\) in the radial direction and by the rotation \(\pi\) in the counter clockwise direction along the edges of the pentagons. Besides these, there are five transformations by the reflection \(s_{i}\) from every vertex of the inner first pentagon to one of the vertices of the second pentagon.

These fifteen solutions are closed by the Bäcklund transformation \(\left\{s_{i}(i=0,1,2,3,4), \pi\right\}\) but the holomorphic solution (1) arranged on the center jumps out from these three pentagons by the rotation \(\pi\) and the transformed holomorphic solution is not satisfied the Hamiltonian system \(\mathscr{H}_{N Y}^{A_{4}}\).

We can see that the holomorphic solution (1) arranged on the center is the key solution. We show the calculated results of the linear monodromy for the solution (1) in the next section.


Fig. 1. \(N Y^{A_{4}} 16\) solutions and Bäcklund transformations

Remark 4.1. The fourth Painlevé equation \(P_{I V}\) has one holomorphic solution (1) and three meromorphic solutions with a pole of order one (2), (3) and (4), which are transformed each other by the Bäcklund transformation \(\left\{s_{i}(i=0,1,2), \pi \mid \pi^{3}=1\right\}\) as shown in Fig 2.


Fig. 2. \(P_{I V}: 4\) solutions and Bäcklund transformations

Conjecture 4.1. For the \(2 n\) dimensional case, we will have \(4 n^{2}\) meromorphic solutions around the origin, which will be arranged on the vertices of \(2 n+1\) concentric \(2 n-1\) polygons and the center.

\section*{5. The linear monodromy for the symmetric solution (1)}

In this section, we show the calculation results on the connection problem of the confluent hypergeometric equations \({ }_{2} F_{2}\) and the linear monodromy for the symmetric solution (1).

We can put \(t=0\) after substituting the symmetric solution (1) into the linear equation (2.1), since the monodromy preserving deformation theory tells the linear monodromy is invariant under any value change of the deformation parameter \(t\). Only when putting \(t=0\) after substituting the symmetric solution (1) into the linear equation (2.1), the equation (2.1) can be reduced to the confluent hypergeometric equations \({ }_{2} F_{2}\) and we can calculate the linear monodromy. For \({ }_{2} F_{2}\), A. Duval and C. Mitchi calculated the connection matrix and the Stokes matrices in [4,5].

In subsection 5.1, we review the results by Duval and Mitchi. In subsection 5.2, we determine the linear monodromy for the symmetric solution (1).

\subsection*{5.1. The confluent hypergeometric equation \({ }_{2} F_{2}\)}

The confluent hypergeometric equation \({ }_{2} F_{2}\) is
\[
\eta^{2} \frac{d^{3} \phi}{d \eta^{3}}+\left(1+\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}-\eta\right) \eta \frac{d^{2} \phi}{d \eta^{2}}+\left(\beta_{1} \beta_{2}-\left(1+\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right) \eta\right) \frac{d \phi}{d \eta}-\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \phi=0
\]

The local solutions \(\left(w_{1}^{0}, w_{2}^{0}, w_{3}^{0}\right)\) around \(\eta=0\) are given by the confluent hypergeometric series \({ }_{2} F_{2}\) :
\[
\begin{aligned}
& w_{1}^{0}={ }_{2} F_{2}\binom{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} ; \eta}{\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\alpha_{1}\right)_{k}\left(\alpha_{2}\right)_{k} \eta^{k}}{\left(\beta_{1}\right)_{k}\left(\beta_{2}\right)_{k} k!} \\
& w_{2}^{0}=(-\eta)^{1-\beta_{1}}{ }_{2} F_{2}\binom{\alpha_{1}-\beta_{1}+1, \alpha_{2}-\beta_{1}+1 ; \eta}{2-\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}-\beta_{1}+1},
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
w_{3}^{0}=(-\eta)^{1-\beta_{2}} F_{2}\binom{\alpha_{1}-\beta_{2}+1, \alpha_{2}-\beta_{2}+1 ; \eta}{2-\beta_{2}, \beta_{1}-\beta_{2}+1}
\]

Here \((\alpha)_{k}=\alpha(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2) \cdots(\alpha+k-1)\). Since \(\eta=\infty\) is an irregular singular point of the Poincaré rank one, we have formal solutions \(\left(\widetilde{w}_{1}^{\infty}, \widetilde{w}_{2}^{\infty}, \widetilde{w}_{3}^{\infty}\right)\) around \(\eta=\infty\) :
\(\widetilde{w}_{1}^{\infty}=\left(\frac{1}{-\eta}\right)^{\alpha_{1}}{ }_{3} F_{1}\binom{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{1}-\beta_{1}+1, \alpha_{1}-\beta_{2}+1 ; \frac{-1}{\eta}}{\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}+1}\),
\(\widetilde{w}_{2}^{\infty}=\left(\frac{1}{-\eta}\right)^{\alpha_{2}}{ }_{3} F_{1}\binom{\alpha_{2}, \alpha_{2}-\beta_{1}+1, \alpha_{2}-\beta_{2}+1 ; \frac{-1}{\eta}}{\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{1}+1}\),
\(\widetilde{w}_{3}^{\infty}=e^{\eta}\left(\frac{1}{-\eta}\right)^{\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}-\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}}\left[1+\frac{1}{\eta}\left\{\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}-\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)\left(1-\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)+\beta_{1} \beta_{2}-\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}\right\}+O\left(\frac{1}{\eta^{2}}\right)\right]\).
The third solution around \(\eta=\infty: \widetilde{w}_{3}^{\infty}\) cannot be represented by the confluent hypergeometric series.
We take a branch of the solutions \(\left(w_{1}^{0}, w_{2}^{0}, w_{3}^{0}\right)\) on \(\left\{x||\arg x|<\pi\}\right.\) since the \({ }_{2} F_{2}(x)\) converges on the entire plane. The Stokes regions \(\widetilde{\mathscr{S}}_{j}\) around \(x=\infty\) are given by
\[
\widetilde{\mathscr{S}}_{j}=\{x|-\varepsilon+(j-1) \pi<\arg x<j \pi+\varepsilon,|x|>R\}
\]
where \(\varepsilon\) is sufficiently small and \(R\) is sufficiently large.
There exist holomorphic solutions \(\left(w_{1, j}^{\infty}, w_{2, j}^{\infty}, w_{3, j}^{\infty}\right)\) on \(\widetilde{\mathscr{S}}_{j}\), which is asymptotic to divergent series \(\left(\widetilde{w}_{1}^{\infty}, \widetilde{w}_{2}^{\infty}, \widetilde{w}_{3}^{\infty}\right)\). The Stokes matrices \(\Sigma_{j}\) are given by
\[
\left(w_{1, j+1}^{\infty}, w_{2, j+1}^{\infty}, w_{3, j+1}^{\infty}\right)=\left(w_{1, j}^{\infty}, w_{2, j}^{\infty}, w_{3, j}^{\infty}\right) \Sigma_{j}
\]

And the connection matrix \(D\) is given by
\[
\left(w_{1,1}^{\infty}, w_{2,1}^{\infty}, w_{3,1}^{\infty}\right)=\left(w_{1}^{0}, w_{2}^{0}, w_{3}^{0}\right) D
\]

The Stokes matrices have the following form:
\[
\Sigma_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
\sigma_{1}^{(1)} & \sigma_{1}^{(2)} & 1
\end{array}\right), \Sigma_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & \sigma_{2}^{(1)} \\
0 & 1 & \sigma_{2}^{(2)} \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
\]

We set \(\widetilde{T}_{0}=\operatorname{diag}\left(0,1-\beta_{1}, 1-\beta_{2}\right), \widetilde{T}_{\infty}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{0}\right)\) and \(\alpha_{0}=\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}-\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\). Then \(\widetilde{M}_{0}=\exp \left(2 \pi i \widetilde{T}_{0}\right)\) is a monodromy matrix around \(\eta=0\) and we have a relation
\[
\begin{equation*}
D \widetilde{M}_{0} D^{-1} \Sigma_{1} \Sigma_{2} e^{2 \pi i \widetilde{T}_{\infty}}=I_{3} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
\]

The following Proposition has been obtained in A. Duval and C. Mitchi [4,5], but our form is slightly different from them:

Proposition 5.1. The monodromy data \(\left(D=\left(d_{i j}\right), \Sigma_{1}, \Sigma_{2}\right)\) of \({ }_{2} F_{2}\) is as follows:
\[
d_{11}=\frac{\Gamma\left(1+\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right) \Gamma\left(1-\beta_{1}\right) \Gamma\left(1-\beta_{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1-\alpha_{2}\right) \Gamma\left(1+\alpha_{1}-\beta_{1}\right) \Gamma\left(1+\alpha_{1}-\beta_{2}\right)} e^{\pi i \alpha_{1}}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
d_{21} & =\frac{\Gamma\left(1+\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\beta_{1}-1\right) \Gamma\left(\beta_{1}-\beta_{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\alpha_{1}\right) \Gamma\left(1+\alpha_{1}-\beta_{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\beta_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)} e^{\pi i\left(1+\alpha_{1}-\beta_{1}\right)}, \\
d_{31} & =\frac{\Gamma\left(1+\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\beta_{2}-1\right) \Gamma\left(\beta_{2}-\beta_{1}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\alpha_{1}\right) \Gamma\left(1+\alpha_{1}-\beta_{1}\right) \Gamma\left(\beta_{2}-\alpha_{2}\right)} e^{\pi i\left(1+\alpha_{1}-\beta_{2}\right)}, \\
d_{12} & =\frac{\Gamma\left(1+\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{1}\right) \Gamma\left(1-\beta_{1}\right) \Gamma\left(1-\beta_{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1-\alpha_{1}\right) \Gamma\left(1+\alpha_{2}-\beta_{1}\right) \Gamma\left(1+\alpha_{2}-\beta_{2}\right)} e^{\pi i \alpha_{2}}, \\
d_{22} & =\frac{\Gamma\left(1+\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{1}\right) \Gamma\left(\beta_{1}-1\right) \Gamma\left(\beta_{1}-\beta_{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\alpha_{2} \Gamma\left(1+\alpha_{2}-\beta_{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\beta_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right)\right.} e^{\pi i\left(1+\alpha_{2}-\beta_{1}\right)}, \\
d_{32} & =\frac{\Gamma\left(1+\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{1}\right) \Gamma\left(\beta_{2}-1\right) \Gamma\left(\beta_{2}-\beta_{1}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\alpha_{2}\right) \Gamma\left(1+\alpha_{2}-\beta_{1}\right) \Gamma\left(\beta_{2}-\alpha_{1}\right)} e^{\pi i\left(1+\alpha_{2}-\beta_{2}\right)}, \\
d_{13}=\frac{\Gamma\left(1-\beta_{1}\right) \Gamma\left(1-\beta_{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1-\alpha_{1}\right) \Gamma\left(1-\alpha_{2}\right)}, \quad d_{23} & =\frac{\Gamma\left(\beta_{1}-\beta_{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\beta_{1}-1\right)}{\Gamma\left(\beta_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right) \Gamma\left(\beta_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)}, \quad d_{33}=\frac{\Gamma\left(\beta_{2}-\beta_{1}\right) \Gamma\left(\beta_{2}-1\right)}{\Gamma\left(\beta_{2}-\alpha_{1}\right) \Gamma\left(\beta_{2}-\alpha_{2}\right)}, \\
\sigma_{2}^{(1)} & =\frac{-2 \pi i \Gamma\left(\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{1}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1-\alpha_{1}\right) \Gamma\left(\beta_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right) \Gamma\left(\beta_{2}-\alpha_{1}\right)} e^{\pi i\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{0}\right)}, \\
\sigma_{2}^{(2)} & =\frac{-2 \pi i \Gamma\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1-\alpha_{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\beta_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\beta_{2}-\alpha_{2}\right)} e^{\pi i\left(\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{0}\right)}, \\
\sigma_{1}^{(1)} & =\frac{-2 \pi i \Gamma\left(1-\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{1}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\alpha_{1}\right) \Gamma\left(1-\beta_{1}+\alpha_{1}\right) \Gamma\left(1-\beta_{2}+\alpha_{1}\right)}, \\
\sigma_{1}^{(2)} & =\frac{-2 \pi i \Gamma\left(1-\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\alpha_{2}\right) \Gamma\left(1-\beta_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right) \Gamma\left(1-\beta_{2}+\alpha_{2}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
\]

Remark 5.1. (1) Every \(d_{i j}(i, j=1,2,3)\) coincides with A. Duval and C. Mitschi's calculation results except for \(d_{2 j} \longleftrightarrow d_{3 j}\).
(2) Every \(\sigma_{i}^{(j)}(i, j=1,2)\) is the negative sign of A. Duval and C. Mitschi's calculation results, since they use \(\Sigma^{-1}\) in our sense as the Stokes matrix.

\subsection*{5.2. Linear monodromy of the symmetric solution (1)}

Putting \(t=0\) after substituting the solution (1) into the linear equation (2.1), we have
\[
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d x}\left(\begin{array}{l}
y_{1} \\
y_{2} \\
y_{3}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\frac{\tilde{a}}{x^{3}}-\frac{\theta_{1}^{\infty}}{x} & \frac{\tilde{b}}{x^{3}} u & \frac{1}{x^{3}} v \\
\widetilde{x_{21}} 11 & \frac{\tilde{a}}{x} \frac{1}{x^{3}} & \widetilde{p}_{21} \frac{b}{x^{3}}-\frac{\theta_{2}^{\infty}}{x} \\
\widetilde{p}_{21} \frac{1}{x^{3}} \frac{v}{u} \\
\widetilde{p}_{31} \frac{\tilde{a}}{x^{3}} \frac{1}{v} & \widetilde{p}_{31} \frac{b}{x^{3}} \frac{u}{v} & \widetilde{p}_{31} \frac{1}{x^{3}}-\frac{\theta_{3}^{\infty}}{x}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
y_{1} \\
y_{2} \\
y_{3}
\end{array}\right),  \tag{5.2}\\
& { }^{t}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right)=Y, \quad \widetilde{a}=\frac{\theta_{1}^{\infty}\left(\theta_{1}^{0}+\theta_{1}^{\infty}\right)}{\left(\theta_{1}^{\infty}-\theta_{2}^{\infty}\right)\left(\theta_{1}^{\infty}-\theta_{3}^{\infty}\right)}, \quad \widetilde{b}=\frac{\theta_{2}^{\infty}}{\theta_{2}^{\infty}-\theta_{3}^{\infty}}, \\
& \widetilde{p}_{21}=\frac{-\left(\theta_{1}^{0}+\theta_{2}^{\infty}\right)}{\theta_{1}^{\infty}-\theta_{2}^{\infty}}, \quad \widetilde{p}_{31}=\frac{\theta_{3}^{\infty}\left(\theta_{1}^{0}+\theta_{3}^{\infty}\right)}{\left(\theta_{1}^{\infty}-\theta_{3}^{\infty}\right)\left(\theta_{2}^{\infty}-\theta_{3}^{\infty}\right)} .
\end{align*}
\]

The system of equations (5.2) can be reduced to the following confluent hypergeometric equations \({ }_{2} F_{2}\) with different parameters:
\[
\begin{align*}
& \eta^{2} \frac{d^{3} \phi_{i}}{d \eta^{3}}+\left(1+\beta_{1}^{(i)}+\beta_{2}^{(i)}-\eta\right) \eta \frac{d^{2} \phi_{i}}{d \eta^{2}} \\
&+\left(\beta_{1}^{(i)} \beta_{2}^{(i)}-\left(1+\alpha_{1}^{(i)}+\alpha_{2}^{(i)}\right) \eta\right) \frac{d \phi_{1}}{d \eta}-\alpha_{1}^{(i)} \alpha_{2}^{(i)} \phi_{i}=0  \tag{5.3}\\
& y_{i}=x^{-\theta_{i}^{\infty}} \phi_{i}(i=1,2,3), \quad x=(-2 \eta)^{-\frac{1}{2}}  \tag{5.4}\\
& \alpha_{1}^{(1)}=\frac{\theta_{1}^{\infty}}{2}, \quad \alpha_{2}^{(1)}=\frac{\theta_{1}^{\infty}+\theta_{1}^{0}}{2}, \quad \beta_{1}^{(1)}=\frac{\theta_{1}^{\infty}-\theta_{2}^{\infty}}{2}, \quad \beta_{2}^{(1)}=\frac{\theta_{1}^{\infty}-\theta_{3}^{\infty}}{2} \\
& \alpha_{1}^{(2)}=\frac{\theta_{2}^{\infty}}{2}, \quad \alpha_{2}^{(2)}=\frac{\theta_{2}^{\infty}+\theta_{1}^{0}}{2}, \quad \beta_{1}^{(2)}=\frac{\theta_{2}^{\infty}-\theta_{3}^{\infty}}{2}, \quad \beta_{2}^{(2)}=\frac{\theta_{2}^{\infty}-\theta_{1}^{\infty}}{2} \\
& \alpha_{1}^{(3)}=\frac{\theta_{3}^{\infty}}{2}, \quad \alpha_{2}^{(3)}=\frac{\theta_{3}^{\infty}+\theta_{1}^{0}}{2}, \quad \beta_{1}^{(3)}=\frac{\theta_{3}^{\infty}-\theta_{1}^{\infty}}{2}, \quad \beta_{2}^{(3)}=\frac{\theta_{3}^{\infty}-\theta_{2}^{\infty}}{2}
\end{align*}
\]
whose Riemann scheme is
\[
P\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\eta=0 & \eta=\infty \\
0 & \overbrace{0}^{(i)} \\
1-\beta_{1}^{(i)} & 0 & \alpha_{1}^{(i)} \\
1-\beta_{2}^{(i)} & 1 \beta_{1}^{(i)}+\beta_{2}^{(i)}-\alpha_{1}^{(i)}-\alpha_{2}^{(i)}
\end{array}\right)
\]

A fundamental solution matrix is
\[
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
F^{11} & (-\eta)^{1-\beta_{1}^{(1)}} F^{12} & (-\eta)^{1-\beta_{2}^{(1)}} F^{13} \\
k_{1}(-\eta)^{1-\beta_{2}^{(2)}} F^{23} & k_{2} F^{21} & k_{3}(-\eta)^{1-\beta_{1}^{(2)}} F^{22} \\
k_{4}(-\eta)^{1-\beta_{1}^{(3)}} F^{32} k_{5}(-\eta)^{1-\beta_{2}^{(3)}} F^{33} & k_{6} F^{31}
\end{array}\right)
\]
where
\[
\begin{aligned}
& F^{i 1}={ }_{2} F_{2}\binom{\alpha_{1}^{(i)}, \alpha_{2}^{(i)}}{\beta_{1}^{(i)}, \beta_{2}^{(i)}, \eta}, \quad F^{i 2}={ }_{2} F_{2}\binom{\alpha_{1}^{(i)}-\beta_{1}^{(i)}+1, \alpha_{2}^{(i)}-\beta_{1}^{(i)}+1}{2-\beta_{1}^{(i)}, \quad \beta_{2}^{(i)}-\beta_{1}^{(i)}+1}, \eta \\
& F^{i 3}={ }_{2} F_{2}\binom{\alpha_{1}^{(i)}-\beta_{2}^{(i)}+1, \alpha_{2}^{(i)}-\beta_{2}^{(i)}+1}{2-\beta_{2}^{(i)}, \quad \beta_{1}^{(i)}-\beta_{2}^{(i)}+1}, \quad(i=1,2,3), \\
& k_{1}=\frac{2^{\beta_{1}^{(1)}}}{u} \frac{\alpha_{1}^{(1)} \alpha_{2}^{(1)}}{\left(\beta_{1}^{(1)}\right)^{2} \beta_{2}^{(1)}} \frac{\left(\beta_{1}^{(1)}-\alpha_{2}^{(1)}\right)}{\left(\beta_{1}^{(1)}+1\right)}, \quad k_{2}=\frac{2^{\beta_{1}^{(1)}}}{u} \frac{\left(\beta_{2}^{(1)}-\beta_{1}^{(1)}\right)\left(1-\beta_{1}^{(1)}\right)}{\alpha_{1}^{(1)}-\beta_{1}^{(1)}}, \\
& k_{3}=-\frac{2^{\beta_{1}^{(1)}}}{u} \frac{\alpha_{2}^{(2)}\left(1-\beta_{2}^{(1)}\right)}{\beta_{1}^{(1)}\left(\beta_{1}^{(1)}-\beta_{2}^{(1)}+1\right)}, \quad k_{4}=\frac{2^{\beta_{2}^{(1)}}}{v} \frac{\alpha_{1}^{(1)} \alpha_{2}^{(1)}}{\beta_{1}^{(1)}\left(\beta_{2}^{(1)}\right)^{2}} \frac{\left(\beta_{2}^{(1)}-\alpha_{1}^{(1)}\right)\left(\beta_{2}^{(1)}-\alpha_{2}^{(1)}\right)}{\left(\beta_{2}^{(1)}+1\right)\left(\beta_{2}^{(1)}-\beta_{1}^{(1)}\right)}, \\
& k_{5}=\frac{2^{\beta_{2}^{(1)}}}{v} \frac{\left(\alpha_{1}^{(1)}-\beta_{2}^{(1)}\right)\left(\alpha_{2}^{(1)}-\beta_{2}^{(1)}\right)\left(1-\beta_{1}^{(1)}\right)}{\beta_{1}^{(3)}\left(\beta_{2}^{(1)}-\beta_{1}^{(1)}+1\right)\left(\beta_{1}^{(1)}-\beta_{2}^{(1)}\right)}, \quad k_{6}=\frac{2_{2}^{\beta_{2}^{(1)}}}{v}\left(1-\beta_{2}^{(1)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
\]

We obtain the linear monodromy of (5.2) from Proposition 5.1:
\[
\begin{align*}
& M_{\infty}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
e^{2 \pi i \theta_{1}^{\infty}} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & e^{2 \pi i \theta_{2}^{\infty}} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & e^{2 \pi i \theta_{3}^{\infty}}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
e^{4 \pi i \alpha_{1}} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & e^{4 \pi i\left(\alpha_{1}-\beta_{1}\right)} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & e^{4 \pi i\left(\alpha_{1}-\beta_{2}\right)}
\end{array}\right)=\left(e^{2 \pi i \alpha_{1}} \widetilde{M}_{0}\right)^{2}, \\
& e^{2 \pi i T_{0}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & e^{2 \pi i \theta_{1}^{0}} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & e^{2 \pi i \theta_{2}^{0}}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & e^{4 \pi i\left(\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{1}\right)} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & e^{4 \pi i\left(\alpha_{0}-\alpha_{1}\right)}
\end{array}\right)=\left(e^{-2 \pi i \alpha_{1}} e^{2 \pi i \widetilde{T}_{\infty}}\right)^{2} \\
& C M_{\infty} C^{-1} S_{1} S_{2} S_{3} S_{4} e^{2 \pi i T_{0}}=I_{3} .  \tag{5.5}\\
& C\left(e^{2 \pi i \alpha_{1}} \widetilde{M}_{0}\right)^{2} C^{-1} S_{1} S_{2} S_{3} S_{4}\left(e^{-2 \pi i \alpha_{1}} e^{2 \pi i \widetilde{T}_{\infty}}\right)^{2}=I_{3} . \tag{5.6}
\end{align*}
\]

Comparing (5.1) and (5.6), we have
\[
\begin{aligned}
& s_{3}^{(1)}=s_{1}^{(1)} e^{2 \pi i\left(\alpha_{0}-\alpha_{1}\right)}, s_{3}^{(2)}=s_{1}^{(2)} e^{2 \pi i\left(\alpha_{0}-\alpha_{2}\right)} \\
& s_{4}^{(1)}=s_{2}^{(1)} e^{2 \pi i\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{0}\right)}, s_{4}^{(2)}=s_{2}^{(2)} e^{2 \pi i\left(\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{0}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
\]

Summarizing the above calculation, we have the linear monodromy.
Theorem 5.1. The linear equation (2.1) has the linear monodromy \(\left\{M_{\infty}, C, S_{1}, S_{2}\right.\), \(\left.S_{3}, S_{4}, e^{2 \pi i T_{0}}\right\}\) for the symmetric solution (1) as follows:
\[
\begin{aligned}
& M_{\infty}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
e^{2 \pi i \theta_{1}^{\infty}} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & e^{2 \pi i \theta_{2}^{\infty}} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & e^{2 \pi i \theta_{3}^{\infty}}
\end{array}\right), \quad e^{2 \pi i T_{0}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & e^{2 \pi i \theta_{1}^{0}} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & e^{2 \pi i \theta_{2}^{0}}
\end{array}\right), \\
& S_{2 n-1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
s_{2 n-1}^{(1)} & s_{2 n-1}^{(2)} & 1
\end{array}\right), S_{2 n}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & s_{2 n}^{(1)} \\
0 & 1 & s_{2 n}^{(2)} \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right), C=\left(c_{i j}\right)_{i, j=1,2,3}, \\
& s_{1}^{(1)}=\frac{-2 \pi i \Gamma\left(1-\frac{\theta_{1}^{0}}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\theta_{1}^{\infty}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(1+\frac{\theta_{2}^{\infty}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(1+\frac{\theta_{3}^{\infty}}{2}\right)}, s_{1}^{(2)}=\frac{-2 \pi i \Gamma\left(1+\frac{\theta_{1}^{0}}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\theta_{1}^{0}+\theta_{1}^{\infty}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(1+\frac{\theta_{1}^{0}+\theta_{2}^{\infty}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(1+\frac{\theta_{1}^{0}+\theta_{3}^{\infty}}{2}\right)}, \\
& s_{2}^{(1)}=\frac{-2 \pi i e^{\pi i\left(-\frac{\theta_{2}^{0}}{2}\right)} \Gamma\left(\frac{\theta_{1}^{0}}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1-\frac{\theta_{1}^{\infty}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(-\frac{\theta_{2}^{\infty}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(-\frac{\theta_{3}^{\infty}}{2}\right)}, s_{2}^{(2)}=\frac{-2 \pi i e^{\pi i\left(\frac{\theta_{1}^{0}-\theta_{2}^{0}}{2}\right)} \Gamma\left(-\frac{\theta_{1}^{0}}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1-\frac{\theta_{1}^{0}+\theta_{1}^{\infty}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(-\frac{\theta_{1}^{0}+\theta_{2}^{\infty}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(-\frac{\theta_{1}^{0}+\theta_{3}^{\infty}}{2}\right)}, \\
& s_{3}^{(1)}=\frac{-2 \pi i e^{\pi i \theta_{2}^{0}} \Gamma\left(1-\frac{\theta_{1}^{0}}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\theta_{1}^{\infty}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(1+\frac{\theta_{2}^{\infty}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(1+\frac{\theta_{3}^{\infty}}{2}\right)}, s_{3}^{(2)}=\frac{-2 \pi i e^{\pi i\left(\theta_{2}^{0}-\theta_{1}^{0}\right)} \Gamma\left(1+\frac{\theta_{1}^{0}}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\theta_{1}^{0}+\theta_{1}^{\infty}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(1+\frac{\theta_{1}^{0}+\theta_{2}^{\infty}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(1+\frac{\theta_{1}^{0}+\theta_{3}^{\infty}}{2}\right)}, \\
& s_{4}^{(1)}=\frac{-2 \pi i e^{\pi i\left(-\frac{3 \theta_{2}^{0}}{2}\right)} \Gamma\left(\frac{\theta_{1}^{0}}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1-\frac{\theta_{1}^{\infty}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(-\frac{\theta_{2}^{\infty}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(-\frac{\theta_{3}^{\infty}}{2}\right)}, s_{4}^{(2)}=\frac{-2 \pi i e^{3 \pi i\left(\frac{\theta_{1}^{0}-\theta_{2}^{0}}{2}\right)} \Gamma\left(-\frac{\theta_{1}^{0}}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1-\frac{\theta_{1}^{0}+\theta_{1}^{\infty}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(-\frac{\theta_{1}^{0}+\theta_{2}^{\infty}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(-\frac{\theta_{1}^{0}+\theta_{3}^{\infty}}{2}\right)} . \\
& c_{11}=\frac{\Gamma\left(1-\frac{\theta_{1}^{0}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(1-\frac{\theta_{1}^{\infty}-\theta_{2}^{\infty}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(1-\frac{\theta_{1}^{\infty}-\theta_{3}^{\infty}}{2}\right) e^{\pi i \frac{\theta_{1}^{2}}{2}},}{\Gamma\left(1+\frac{\theta_{2}^{\infty}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(1+\frac{\theta_{3}^{\infty}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(1-\frac{\theta_{1}^{0}+\theta_{1}^{\infty}}{2}\right)},
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& c_{12}=\frac{\Gamma\left(1+\frac{\theta_{1}^{0}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(1-\frac{\theta_{1}^{\infty}-\theta_{2}^{\infty}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(1-\frac{\theta_{1}^{\infty}-\theta_{3}^{\infty}}{2}\right) e^{\pi i i_{1}^{0}+\theta_{1}^{\infty}}}{\Gamma\left(1+\frac{\theta_{1}^{0}+\theta_{2}^{\infty}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(1+\frac{\theta_{1}^{0}+\theta_{3}^{\infty}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(1-\frac{\theta_{1}^{\infty}}{2}\right)}, c_{13}=\frac{\Gamma\left(1-\frac{\theta_{1}^{\infty}-\theta_{2}^{\infty}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(1-\frac{\theta_{1}^{\infty}-\theta_{3}^{\infty}}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1-\frac{\theta_{1}^{0}+\theta_{1}^{\infty}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(1-\frac{\theta_{1}^{\infty}}{2}\right)}, \\
& c_{21}=\frac{\Gamma\left(1-\frac{\theta_{1}^{0}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\theta_{1}^{\infty}-\theta_{2}^{\infty}}{2}-1\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\theta_{3}^{\infty}-\theta_{2}^{\infty}}{2}\right) e^{\pi i\left(1+\frac{\theta_{2}^{\infty}}{2}\right)}}{\Gamma\left(1+\frac{\theta_{3}^{\infty}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{-\theta_{1}^{0}-\theta_{2}^{\infty}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\theta_{1}^{\infty}}{2}\right)}, \\
& c_{22}=\frac{\left.\Gamma\left(1+\frac{\theta_{1}^{0}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\theta_{1}^{\infty}-\theta_{2}^{\infty}}{2}-1\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\theta_{3}^{\infty}-\theta_{2}^{\infty}}{2}\right) e^{\pi i\left(1+\frac{\theta_{1}^{0}+\theta_{2}^{\infty}}{2}\right.}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1+\frac{\theta_{1}^{0}+\theta_{3}^{\infty}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{-\theta_{2}^{\infty}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\theta_{1}^{0}+\theta_{1}^{\infty}}{2}\right)}, c_{23}=\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\theta_{1}^{\infty}-\theta_{2}^{\infty}}{2}-1\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\theta_{3}^{\infty}-\theta_{2}^{\infty}}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\theta_{1}^{0}-\theta_{2}^{\infty}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\theta_{2}^{\infty}}{2}\right)}, \\
& c_{31}=\frac{\left.\Gamma\left(1-\frac{\theta_{1}^{0}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\theta_{1}^{\infty}-\theta_{3}^{\infty}}{2}-1\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\theta_{2}^{\infty}-\theta_{3}^{\infty}}{2}\right) e^{\pi i\left(1+\frac{\theta_{3}^{\infty}}{2}\right.}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1+\frac{\theta_{2}^{\infty}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{-\theta_{1}^{0}-\theta_{3}^{\infty}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\theta_{1}^{\infty}}{2}\right)}, \\
& c_{32}=\frac{\left.\Gamma\left(1+\frac{\theta_{1}^{0}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\theta_{1}^{\infty}-\theta_{3}^{\infty}}{2}-1\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\theta_{2}^{\infty}-\theta_{3}^{\infty}}{2}\right) e^{\pi i\left(1+\frac{\theta_{1}^{0}+\theta_{3}^{\infty}}{2}\right.}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1+\frac{\theta_{1}^{0}+\theta_{2}^{\infty}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{-\theta_{3}^{\infty}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\theta_{\theta_{0}^{0}+\theta_{1}^{\infty}}^{2}}{2}\right)}, c_{33}=\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\theta_{1}^{\theta_{1}^{\infty}-\theta_{3}^{\infty}}}{2}-1\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\theta_{2}^{\infty}-\theta_{3}^{\infty}}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{-\theta_{1}^{0}-\theta_{3}^{\infty}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{-\theta_{3}^{\infty}}{2}\right)} . \\
& C M_{\infty} C^{-1} S_{1} S_{2} S_{3} S_{4} e^{2 \pi i T_{0}}=I_{3} .
\end{aligned}
\]

Acknowledgements The author wishes to thank Prof. Y. Ohyama for his constant guidance over time and useful suggestions to complete this work. This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 22540237 and the Mitsubishi Foundation.

\section*{References}
[1] V.E. Adler, Nonlinear chains and Painlevé equations, Phys. D, 73 (1994), 330-351.
[2] P. Appell, Sur les polynômes se rattachant à l'équations différentielle \(y^{\prime \prime}=6 y^{2}+x\), Bull. Sci. Math. France 45 (1917) 150-153.
[3] C. Briot and J.-C. Bouquet, Recherches sur les propriétés des fonctions définies par des équations différentielles, Journal de l'Ecole Polytechnique. 36e Cahier, Tome 21 (1856), 133-198.
[4] A. Duval, Confluence procedures in the generalized hypergeometric family, J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, 5 (1998), 597-625.
[5] A. Duval et C. Mitschi, Matrices de Stokes et groupe de Galois des equations hypergeometriques confluentes generalisees, Pacific Journal of Math. 138, (1989), 25-56.
[6] R. Fuchs, Über lineare homogene Differentialgleichungen zweiter Ordnung mit drei im endlichen gelegenen wesentlich singulären Stellen. Math. Ann. 63 (1907), 301-321.
[7] K. Fuji and T. Suzuki, Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchies of type A and fourth order Painlevé systems, Funkcial. Ekvac. 53 (2010), 143-167.
[8] R. Garnier, Sur des équations différentielles du troisième ordre dont líntegrale générale est uniforme et sur une classe d'équations nouvelles d'ordre supérieur dont líntegrale générale a ses points critiques fixes, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. 29 (1912),1-126.
[9] K. Iwasaki,H. Kimura, S. Shimomura and M. Yoshida, From Gauss to Painlevé, Vieweg, 1991.
[10] K. Kaneko, A new solution of the fourth Painlevé equation with a solvable monodromy, Proc. Japan Acad., 81, Ser.A. (2005), 75-79.
[11] K. Kaneko and Y. Ohyama, Fifth Painlevé transcendents which are analytic at the origin, Funkcial. Ekvac. 50 (2007), 187-212.
[12] K.Kaneko, Local expansion of Painlevé VI transcendents around a fixed singularity, J. Math. Phys. 50 (2009), 013531, 24 pp .
[13] K. Kaneko and Y. Ohyama, Meromorphic Painlevé transcendents at a fixed singularity, Math. Nachr., 286 (2013), 861-875.
[14] H. Kawakami, A. Nakamura, and H. Sakai, Degeneration scheme of 4-dimensional Painlevé type equations, arXiv:1209.3836v1(2012).
[15] H. Kimura, The Degeneration of the Two Dimensional Garnier System and Polynomial Hamiltonian Structure, Annali di Matemaica pura ed applicata (IV), Vol. CLV(1989), 25-74.
[16] A.V, Kitaev, Symmetric solutions for the first and second Painlevé equations, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. LOMI 187 (1991) 129-138 (Russian). English transration in J. Math. Sci. 73 (1995) no.4, 494-499
[17] K. Matsuda, Rational solutions of the \(A_{4}\) Painlevé equation, Proc. Japan Acad. 81, Ser. A (2005), 85-88.
[18] M. Noumi and Y. Yamada, Higher order Painlevé equations of type \(A_{l}^{(1)}\), Funkcial. Ekvac. 45 (2002), 237-258.
[19] K. Okamoto, Isomonodromic deformation and Painlevé equations, and the Garnier system. J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. 1A, Math., 33 (1986), 575-618.
[20] T. Oshima, Classification of Fuchsian systems and their connection problem, arXiv:0811.2916,2008.
[21] H. Sakai, Isomonodromic deformation and 4-dimensional Painlevé type equations, preprint, Univ. of Tokyo, Math. Sci. (2010),
[22] Y. Sasano, Coupled Painlevé VI systems in dimension four with affine Weyl group symmetry of type \(D_{6}^{(1)} . I I\), RIMS Kokyuroku Bessatsu B5 (2008), 137-152.
[23] N. Tahara, An argumentation of the phase space of the system of type \(A_{4}^{(1)}\), Kyusyu J. Math. 58 (2004), 393-425.

\section*{6. Appendix}

In this section, we write down the Noumi-Yamada system and the transformation formulae to the Hamiltonian system \(\mathscr{H}_{N Y}^{A_{4}}\).

\subsection*{6.1. The Noumi-Yamada system}

The Noumi-Yamada system is expressed as follows:
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d f_{0}}{d t}=f_{0}\left(f_{1}-f_{2}+f_{3}-f_{4}\right)+\alpha_{0}, \\
& \frac{d f_{1}}{d t}=f_{1}\left(f_{2}-f_{3}+f_{4}-f_{0}\right)+\alpha_{1}, \\
& \frac{d f_{2}}{d t}=f_{2}\left(f_{3}-f_{4}+f_{0}-f_{1}\right)+\alpha_{2}, \\
& \frac{d f_{3}}{d t}=f_{3}\left(f_{4}-f_{0}+f_{1}-f_{2}\right)+\alpha_{3}, \\
& \frac{d f_{4}}{d t}=f_{4}\left(f_{0}-f_{1}+f_{2}-f_{3}\right)+\alpha_{4}, \\
& f_{0}+f_{1}+f_{2}+f_{3}+f_{4}=t, \quad \alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}+\alpha_{4}=1 .
\end{aligned}
\]

\subsection*{6.2. The transformation formulae to the Hamiltonian system \(\mathscr{H}_{N Y}^{A_{4}}\)}

We have the Hamiltonian system \(\mathscr{H}_{N Y}^{A_{4}}\) by putting as follows:
\[
\begin{aligned}
& q_{1}=-f_{1}, \quad p_{1}=f_{2}, \quad q_{2}=-f_{1}-f_{3}, \quad p_{2}=f_{4} \\
& \alpha=-\alpha_{1}, \quad \beta=-\alpha_{2}, \quad \widetilde{\alpha}=-\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{3}, \quad \widetilde{\beta}=-\alpha_{4}
\end{aligned}
\]```

