On Darboux transformations for the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation

Jonathan J.C. Nimmo, Halis Yilmaz

On Darboux transformations for the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation

Jonathan J.C. Nimmo[1] and Halis Yilmaz[1,2]*

[1]*School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Glasgow*
Glasgow G12 8QW, UK
Jonathan.Nimmo@glasgow.ac.uk

[2]*Department of Mathematics, University of Dicle*
21280 Diyarbakir, Turkey
halisyilmaz@dicle.edu.tr, Halis.Yilmaz@glasgow.ac.uk

We consider Darboux transformations for the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation. A new theorem for Darboux transformations of operators with no derivative term are presented and proved. The solution is expressed in quasideterminant forms. Additionally, the parabolic and soliton solutions of the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation are given as explicit examples.

Keywords: Derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation; Darboux transformation; Quasideterminants.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35C08, 35Q55, 37K10 , 37K35

1. Introduction

The derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation (DNLS), also called the Kaup-Newell (KN) equation,

$$iq_t + q_{xx} = i(|q|^2 q)_x \qquad (1.1)$$

is completely integrable and is an important model in mathematical physics, especially in space plasma physics and nonlinear optics [1, 2, 12, 18, 23, 26]. Kaup and Newell [13] solved the initial value problem for the DNLS equation using the inverse scattering method. The first *N*-soliton formula for the DNLS equation was obtained by Nakamura and Chen [19] by use of Hirota's bilinear transform method. On the basis of Darboux transformation, another alternative method, Huang and Chen [11] established an *N*-soliton formula in terms of determinants.

Darboux transformations are an important tool for studying the solutions of integrable systems. They provide a universal approach that will bring together and extend a number of disparate results connected with the nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) equation and its cousin the derivative NLS equation (DNLS). In recent years, there has been some interest in solutions of the DNLS equation obtained by means of *Darboux-like* transformations [9, 15, 24, 27–29]. These solutions are often written in terms of determinants with a complicated structure. Here, under a gauge transformation, a one-step Darboux transformation of the KN system (2.1–2.2) is constructed by finding a 2×2 trial matrix so that the KN spectral problem (Lax pair) [13] is covariant. Then, the determinant representations of *n*-fold Darboux transformation are obtained by stating and proving of sequence of theorems. These determinants are expressed in terms of solutions (eigenfunctions) of the linear

---

*Corresponding author.

partial differential equations, where the equations (2.1) and (2.2) are the integrable condition of this linear KN system. The important point to note here is that Steudel [24] has established a general formulae of the solution of the KN system in terms of *Vandermonde-type determinants* [25]. He used solutions of Riccati equations, which are replaced by solutions of the linear KN system, in order to construct solutions of the DNLS equation. Steudel introduced his *seahorse function* to write down general solutions of Riccati equations in terms of this auxiliary function.

On the other hand, in this present paper, we present a systematic approach to the construction of solutions of (1.1) by means of a standard Darboux transformation and written in terms of quasideterminants [4, 5]. Quasideterminants have various nice properties which play important roles in constructing exact solutions of integrable systems [6–8, 10, 14].

For the sake of clarity we emphasize that the strategy we employ here is based on Darboux's [3] and Matveev's [16, 17] original ideas. Therefore, our approach should be considered on its own merits.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 1.1 below, we give a brief review on quasideterminants. In Section 3, we state and prove a theorem for Darboux transformations of operators with no derivative term. This has a similar structure to the standard theorem [17] for Darboux transformation of general operators.

In Sections 3.3 and 4, we show how the quasideterminant solutions of the DNLS equation arise naturally from the Darboux transformation. Here, the quasideterminants are written in terms of solutions of Riccati sytems which arise from linear eigenvalue problems.

In Section 5, parametric and soliton solutions of the DNLS equation are given for both zero and non-zero seed solutions.

1.1. *Quasideterminants*

In this short section we recall some of the key elementary properties of quasideterminants. The reader is referred to the original papers [4, 5] for a more detailed and general treatment.

The notion of a quasideterminant was first introduced by Gelfand and Retakh in [4] as a straightforward way to define the determinant of a matrix with noncommutative entries. Many equivalent definitions of quasideterminants exist, one such being a recursive definition involving inverse minors. Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be an $n \times n$ matrix with entries over a usually non commutative ring $\mathcal{R}$, where $i, j = 1, \ldots, n$. We define the $(i, j)^{th}$ quasideterminant recursively by $|A|_{ij}$ such that

$$|A|_{ij} = a_{ij} - r_i^j \left(A^{ij}\right)^{-1} c_j^i, \tag{1.2}$$

where r_i^j represents the row vector obtained from i^{th} row of A with the jth element removed, c_j^i represents the column vector obtained from j^{th} column of A with the ith element removed and A^{ij} is the $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ submatrix obtained by deleting the ith row and the jth column from A. Quasideterminants can also be denoted by boxing the entry about which the expansion is made

$$|A|_{ij} = \begin{vmatrix} A^{ij} & c_j^i \\ r_i^j & \boxed{a_{ij}} \end{vmatrix}. \tag{1.3}$$

If A is an $n \times n$ matrix over a commutative ring, then the quasideterminant $|A|_{ij}$ reduces to a ratio of determinants

$$|A|_{ij} = (-1)^{i+j} \frac{\det A}{\det A^{ij}}. \tag{1.4}$$

It should be noted that the expansion formula (1.2) is also valid in the case of block matrices provided the matrix to be inverted is square.

In this paper, we will consider only quasideterminants that expanded about a term in the first row and the last column. Let $M = \begin{pmatrix} C & B \\ D & E \end{pmatrix}$ be a block matrix, where D is an invertible square matrix over $\mathcal{R}$ of arbitrary size and C, E are matrices over $\mathcal{R}$ of compatible lengths. The quasideterminant of M expanded about the matrix B is defined by

$$\begin{vmatrix} C & \boxed{B} \\ D & E \end{vmatrix} = B - CD^{-1}E. \tag{1.5}$$

2. Derivative Nonlinear Schrödinger equations

Let us consider the coupled DNLS equations

$$iq_t + q_{xx} - i(q^2 r)_x = 0, \tag{2.1}$$
$$ir_t - r_{xx} - i(r^2 q)_x = 0, \tag{2.2}$$

where $q = q(x,t)$ and $r = r(x,t)$ are complex-valued functions. Equations (2.1) and (2.2) reduce to the DNLS equation (1.1) when $r = q^*$, where q^* denotes the complex conjugation of q.

The Lax pair for the coupled DNLS equations (2.1)-(2.2) is given by

$$L = \partial_x + J\lambda^2 - R\lambda \tag{2.3}$$
$$M = \partial_t + 2J\lambda^4 - 2R\lambda^3 + qrJ\lambda^2 + U\lambda, \tag{2.4}$$

where J, R and U are the 2×2 matrices

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}, \quad R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q \\ r & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -iq_x - rq^2 \\ ir_x - r^2q & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.5}$$

Here λ is an arbitrary complex number, the eigenvalue (or spectral parameter).

3. Darboux Theorems and Dimensional Reductions

3.1. *General Darboux theorems*

Theorem 3.1 ([3,16,17]). *Consider the linear operator*

$$L = \partial_x + \sum_{i=0}^{n} u_i \partial_y^i \tag{3.1}$$

where $u_i \in R$, where R is a ring, in general non-commutative. Let $G = \theta \partial_y \theta^{-1}$, where $\theta = \theta(x,y)$ is an invertible eigenfunction of L, so that $L(\theta) = 0$. Then

$$\widetilde{L} = GLG^{-1} \tag{3.2}$$

has the same form as L:

$$\widetilde{L} = \partial_x + \sum_{i=0}^{n} \tilde{u}_i \partial_y^i \tag{3.3}$$

If ϕ is any eigenfunction of L then

$$\tilde{\phi} = \phi_x - \theta_y \theta^{-1} \phi \tag{3.4}$$

is an eigenfunction of $\widetilde{L}$. In other words, if $L(\phi) = 0$ then $\widetilde{L}(\tilde{\phi}) = 0$ where $\tilde{\phi} = G(\phi)$.

This Darboux transformation does not, however, preserve the form of L when $u_0 = 0$. That is, for L with $u_0 = 0$, $\tilde{u}_0 \neq 0$ in general. In the scalar case [22] and matrix case [20], it is shown that the operator (3.1) with $u_0 = 0$ is invariant under the Darboux transformation

$$G = \left[\left(\theta^{-1} \right)_y \right]^{-1} \partial_y \theta^{-1}. \tag{3.5}$$

Unfortunately, this transformation acts trivially for the DNLS and we need to consider a slight generalization.

Theorem 3.2. *Consider the linear operator*

$$L = \partial_x + \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_i \partial_y^i \tag{3.6}$$

where $u_i \in R$. Let

$$G = \sigma \left[\left(\theta^{-1} \right)_y \right]^{-1} \partial_y \theta^{-1}, \tag{3.7}$$

where $\theta = \theta(x, y)$ is an invertible eigenfunction of L and $\sigma \in R$ is invertible and independent of x and y. Then

$$\widetilde{L} = GLG^{-1} \tag{3.8}$$

has the same form as L:

$$\widetilde{L} = \partial_x + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \tilde{u}_i \partial_y^i \tag{3.9}$$

If ϕ is any eigenfunction of L then

$$\tilde{\phi} = \sigma \phi - \sigma \theta \left(\theta_y \right)^{-1} \phi_y \tag{3.10}$$

is an eigenfunction of $\widetilde{L}$. In other words if $L(\phi) = 0$ then $\widetilde{L}(\tilde{\phi}) = 0$ where $\tilde{\phi} = G(\phi)$.

Proof. The case $\sigma = I$ is proved in [20]. For the case of general σ, it is sufficient to observe that under the transformation $G \to \sigma G$, $\widetilde{L} \to \sigma \widetilde{L} \sigma^{-1}$ and, since σ is constant, $\tilde{u}_i \to \sigma \tilde{u}_i \sigma^{-1}$. Thus the structure of L is preserved by G given in (3.7). □

3.2. *Dimensional reduction of Darboux transformation*

Here, we describe a reduction of the Darboux transformation from $(2+1)$ to $(1+1)$ dimensions. We choose to eliminate the *y*-dependence by employing a 'separation of variables' technique. The

reader is referred to the paper [21] for a more detailed treatment. We make the ansatz

$$\phi = \phi^r(x,t)e^{\lambda y}, \tag{3.11}$$

$$\theta = \theta^r(x,t)e^{\Lambda y}, \tag{3.12}$$

where λ is a constant scalar and Λ an $N \times N$ constant matrix and the superscript r labels reduced functions, independent of y. Hence in the dimensional reduction we obtain $\partial_y^i(\phi) = \lambda^i \phi$ and $\partial_y^i(\theta) = \theta \Lambda^i$ and so the operator L and Darboux transformation G become

$$L^r = \partial_x + \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_i \lambda^i, \tag{3.13}$$

$$G^r = \sigma - \sigma \theta^r \Lambda^{-1}(\theta^r)^{-1}\lambda, \tag{3.14}$$

where θ^r is a matrix eigenfunction of L^r such that $L^r(\theta^r) = 0$, with λ replaced by matrix Λ, that is,

$$\theta_x^r + \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_i \theta^r \Lambda^i = 0. \tag{3.15}$$

Below we omit the superscript r for a simpler notation.

3.3. *Iteration of reduced Darboux Transformations*

In this section we shall consider iteration of the Darboux transformation and find closed form expressions for these in terms of quasideterminants. The reader is referred to [4, 5] for an explanation of the quasideterminant notation.

Let L be an operator, form invariant under the reduced Darboux transformation $G_\theta = \sigma - \sigma \theta \Lambda^{-1} \theta^{-1} \lambda$ discussed above.

Let $\phi = \phi(x,t)$ be a general eigenfunction of L such that $L(\phi) = 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\phi} &= G_\theta(\phi) \\
&= \sigma\left(\phi - \theta \Lambda^{-1}\theta^{-1}\lambda \phi\right) \\
&= \sigma \begin{vmatrix} \theta & \boxed{\phi} \\ \theta\Lambda & \lambda\phi \end{vmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

is an eigenfunction of $\widetilde{L} = G_\theta L G_\theta^{-1}$ so that $\widetilde{L}(\tilde{\phi}) = \lambda \tilde{\phi}$. Let θ_i for $i = 1,\ldots,n$, be a particular set of invertible eigenfunctions of L so that $L(\theta_i) = 0$ for $\lambda = \Lambda_i$, and introduce the notation $\Theta = (\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_n)$. To apply the Darboux transformation a second time, let $\theta_{[1]} = \theta_1$ and $\phi_{[1]} = \phi$ be a general eigenfunction of $L_{[1]} = L$. Then $\phi_{[2]} = G_{\theta_{[1]}}(\phi_{[1]})$ and $\theta_{[2]} = \phi_{[2]}|_{\phi \to \theta_2}$ are eigenfunctions for $L_{[2]} = G_{\theta_{[1]}} L_{[1]} G_{\theta_{[1]}}^{-1}$.

In general, for $n \geq 1$, we define the nth Darboux transform of ϕ by

$$\phi_{[n+1]} = \sigma\left(\phi_{[n]} - \theta_{[n]}\Lambda_n^{-1}\theta_{[n]}^{-1}\lambda\phi_{[n]}\right), \tag{3.16}$$

in which

$$\theta_{[k]} = \phi_{[k]}|_{\phi \to \theta_k}.$$

For example,

$$\phi_{[2]} = \sigma\left(\phi - \theta_1\Lambda_1^{-1}\theta_1^{-1}\lambda\phi\right) = \sigma\begin{vmatrix} \theta_1 & \boxed{\phi} \\ \theta_1\Lambda_1 & \lambda\phi \end{vmatrix},$$

$$\phi_{[3]} = \sigma\left(\phi_{[2]} - \theta_{[2]}\Lambda_2^{-1}\theta_{[2]}^{-1}\lambda\phi_{[2]}\right)$$

$$= \sigma^2\begin{vmatrix} \theta_1 & \theta_2 & \boxed{\phi} \\ \theta_1\Lambda_1 & \theta_2\Lambda_2 & \lambda\phi \\ \theta_1\Lambda_1^2 & \theta_2\Lambda_2^2 & \lambda^2\phi \end{vmatrix}.$$

After *n* iterations, we get

$$\phi_{[n+1]} = \sigma^n\begin{vmatrix} \theta_1 & \theta_2 & \dots & \theta_n & \boxed{\phi} \\ \theta_1\Lambda_1 & \theta_2\Lambda_2 & \dots & \theta_n\Lambda_n & \lambda\phi \\ \theta_1\Lambda_1^2 & \theta_2\Lambda_2^2 & \dots & \theta_n\Lambda_n^2 & \lambda^2\phi \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \theta_1\Lambda_1^n & \theta_2\Lambda_2^n & \dots & \theta_n\Lambda_n^n & \lambda^n\phi \end{vmatrix}. \tag{3.17}$$

4. Constructing Solutions for DNLS Equation

In this section we determine the specific effect of the Darboux transformation $G = \sigma - \sigma\theta\Lambda^{-1}\theta^{-1}\lambda$ on the 2×2 Lax operators L, M given by (2.3), (2.4). Here θ is a eigenfunction satisfying $L(\theta) = M(\theta) = 0$ with 2×2 matrix eigenvalue Λ. From $\widetilde{L}\,G = GL$ we obtain the three conditions

$$[J, \sigma\theta\Lambda^{-1}\theta^{-1}] = 0 \tag{4.1}$$

$$\widetilde{R}\,\sigma\theta\Lambda^{-1}\theta^{-1} = \sigma\theta\Lambda^{-1}\theta^{-1}R + [\sigma, J] \tag{4.2}$$

$$\widetilde{R}\,\sigma = \sigma R - (\sigma\theta\Lambda^{-1}\theta^{-1})_x. \tag{4.3}$$

From (4.1), we see that $\sigma\theta\Lambda^{-1}\theta^{-1}$ must be a diagonal matrix and then from (4.2) that $[\sigma, J]$ and hence σ must be off-diagonal. Guided by this, we choose

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}\lambda, \qquad \sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.4}$$

Finally, comparison of (4.2) and (4.3) leads to the requirement that the matrix θ has the structure

$$\theta = \begin{pmatrix} \theta_{11} & \theta_{12} \\ f\theta_{11} & -f\theta_{12} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.5}$$

and in turn the linear equations for θ impose conditions of f, namely the Riccati equations

$$f_x + \lambda q f^2 - 2\lambda^2 if - \lambda r = 0 \tag{4.6}$$

$$f_t + \lambda\left(iq_x + rq^2 + 2\lambda^2 q\right)f^2 - 2i\lambda^2\left(2\lambda^2 + qr\right)f - \lambda\left(qr^2 + 2\lambda^2 r - ir_x\right) = 0 \tag{4.7}$$

for given $q(x,t), r(x,t)$ solutions in (2.1-2.2) and λ is a constant scalar.

In summary, the Darboux transformation is

$$\sigma \widetilde{R} \sigma = R - \left(\theta \Lambda^{-1} \theta^{-1} \right)_x \tag{4.8}$$

which can be written in a quasideterminant structure as

$$\sigma \widetilde{R} \sigma = R + \begin{vmatrix} \theta & \boxed{0_2} \\ \theta\Lambda & I_2 \end{vmatrix}_x, \tag{4.9}$$

We rewrite (4.8) as

$$\sigma R_{[2]} \sigma = R_{[1]} - \left(\theta_{[1]} \Lambda_1^{-1} \theta_{[1]}^{-1} \right)_x \tag{4.10}$$

where $R_{[1]} = R$, $R_{[2]} = \widetilde{R}$, $\theta_{[1]} = \theta_1 = \theta$, $f_1 = f$, $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda$, $\lambda = \lambda_1$. Then after repeated n Darboux transformations, we have

$$\sigma R_{[n+1]} \sigma = R_{[n]} - \left(\theta_{[n]} \Lambda_n^{-1} \theta_{[n]}^{-1} \right)_x \tag{4.11}$$

which can be written in quasideterminant form as

$$\sigma^n R_{[n+1]} \sigma^n = R + \begin{vmatrix} \theta_1 & \theta_2 & \dots & \theta_n & \boxed{0_2} \\ \theta_1\Lambda_1 & \theta_2\Lambda_2 & \dots & \theta_n\Lambda_n & I_2 \\ \theta_1\Lambda_1^2 & \theta_2\Lambda_2^2 & \dots & \theta_n\Lambda_n^2 & 0_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \theta_1\Lambda_1^n & \theta_2\Lambda_2^n & \dots & \theta_n\Lambda_n^n & 0_2 \end{vmatrix}_x, \tag{4.12}$$

where

$$\theta_i \Lambda_i^k = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{2i-1} & (-1)^k \phi_{2i} \\ f_i\phi_{2i-1} & (-1)^{k+1}\phi_{2i} \end{pmatrix} \lambda_i^k, \tag{4.13}$$

where $i = 1,\dots,n$, $k = 0,\dots,n$ and f_i is a solution of the Riccati equations (4.6)-(4.7).

Let

$$\Theta = (\theta_1,\dots,\theta_n) = \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \psi \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.14}$$

where $\phi = (\phi_1,\phi_2,\dots,\phi_{2n-1},\phi_{2n})$ and $\psi = (f_1\phi_1,-f_1\phi_2,\dots,f_n\phi_{2n-1},-f_n\phi_{2n})$ denote $1 \times 2n$ row vectors. Thus, (4.12) can be rewritten as

$$\sigma^n R_{[n+1]} \sigma^n = R + \begin{vmatrix} \Theta & \boxed{0_2} \\ \widehat{\Theta} & E \end{vmatrix}_x, \tag{4.15}$$

where $\widehat{\Theta} = \left(\theta_i \Lambda_i^j \right)_{i,j=1,\ldots,n}$ and $E = (e_1, e_2)$ denote $2n \times 2n$ and $2n \times 2$ matrices respectively, where e_i represents a column vector with 1 in the ith row and zeros elsewhere. Hence, we obtain

$$
\sigma^n R_{[n+1]} \sigma^n = R + \begin{pmatrix} \left| \begin{array}{cc} \phi & \boxed{0} \\ \widehat{\Theta} & e_1 \end{array} \right|_x & \left| \begin{array}{cc} \phi & \boxed{0} \\ \widehat{\Theta} & e_2 \end{array} \right|_x \\[4mm] \left| \begin{array}{cc} \psi & \boxed{0} \\ \widehat{\Theta} & e_1 \end{array} \right|_x & \left| \begin{array}{cc} \psi & \boxed{0} \\ \widehat{\Theta} & e_2 \end{array} \right|_x \end{pmatrix} , \tag{4.16}
$$

where it can be easily shown that

$$
\left| \begin{array}{cc} \phi & \boxed{0} \\ \widehat{\Theta} & e_1 \end{array} \right| = \left| \begin{array}{cc} \psi & \boxed{0} \\ \widehat{\Theta} & e_2 \end{array} \right| = 0 . \tag{4.17}
$$

The pair of $q_{[n+1]}$ and $r_{[n+1]}$ are derived from the above matrix expression with respect to n which is odd ($n = 2k-1$) or even number ($n = 2k$), where $k \in \mathbb{N}$ is a positive integer.

In the case of n odd ($n = 2k - 1$)

$$
q_{[n+1]} = r + \left| \begin{array}{cc} \psi & \boxed{0} \\ \widehat{\Theta} & e_1 \end{array} \right|_x , \tag{4.18}
$$

$$
r_{[n+1]} = q + \left| \begin{array}{cc} \phi & \boxed{0} \\ \widehat{\Theta} & e_2 \end{array} \right|_x . \tag{4.19}
$$

Thus, we obtain

$$
q_{[n+1]} = r + \left| \begin{array}{cc} \mathfrak{f} & \boxed{0} \\ \Omega_q & \mathfrak{e_1} \end{array} \right|_x , \tag{4.20}
$$

$$
r_{[n+1]} = q + \left| \begin{array}{cc} \mathfrak{1} & \boxed{0} \\ \Omega_r & \mathfrak{e_1} \end{array} \right|_x , \tag{4.21}
$$

where $\mathfrak{e_1} = (1,0,\ldots,0)^T$, $\mathfrak{1} = (1,1,\ldots,1)$, $\mathfrak{f} = (f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n)$ and

$$
\Omega_q = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 & \ldots & \lambda_n \\ f_1 \lambda_1^2 & f_2 \lambda_2^2 & \ldots & f_n \lambda_n^2 \\ \lambda_1^3 & \lambda_2^3 & \ldots & \lambda_n^3 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ f_1 \lambda_1^{n-1} & f_2 \lambda_2^{n-1} & \ldots & f_n \lambda_n^{n-1} \\ \lambda_1^n & \lambda_2^n & \ldots & \lambda_n^n \end{pmatrix} , \quad \Omega_r = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \lambda_1 & f_2 \lambda_2 & \ldots & f_n \lambda_n \\ \lambda_1^2 & \lambda_2^2 & \ldots & \lambda_n^2 \\ f_1 \lambda_1^3 & f_2 \lambda_2^3 & \ldots & f_n \lambda_n^3 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \lambda_1^{n-1} & \lambda_2^{n-1} & \ldots & \lambda_n^{n-1} \\ f_1 \lambda_1^n & f_2 \lambda_2^n & \ldots & f_n \lambda_n^n \end{pmatrix} . \tag{4.22}
$$

For $n = 1$, we obtain the pair of new solutions for the couple DNLS equations (2.1)-(2.2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{[2]} &= r + \left| \begin{array}{cc} f_1 & \boxed{0} \\ \lambda_1 & 1 \end{array} \right|_x \\
&= r - \frac{1}{\lambda_1} f_{1,x},
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.23}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{[2]} &= q + \left| \begin{array}{cc} 1 & \boxed{0} \\ f_1 \lambda_1 & 1 \end{array} \right|_x \\
&= q - \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \left(\frac{1}{f_1} \right)_x,
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.24}
$$

where f_1 is a solution of the Riccati equations (4.6)-(4.7).

In the case of n even ($n = 2k$)

$$
q_{[n+1]} = q + \left| \begin{array}{cc} \phi & \boxed{0} \\ \widehat{\Theta} & e_2 \end{array} \right|_x,
\tag{4.25}
$$

$$
r_{[n+1]} = r + \left| \begin{array}{cc} \psi & \boxed{0} \\ \widehat{\Theta} & e_1 \end{array} \right|_x.
\tag{4.26}
$$

Thus, we obtain

$$
q_{[n+1]} = q + \left| \begin{array}{cc} \mathbf{1} & \boxed{0} \\ \mho_q & \mathfrak{e}_\mathbf{1} \end{array} \right|_x,
\tag{4.27}
$$

$$
r_{[n+1]} = r + \left| \begin{array}{cc} \mathfrak{f} & \boxed{0} \\ \mho_r & \mathfrak{e}_\mathbf{1} \end{array} \right|_x,
\tag{4.28}
$$

where $\mathfrak{e}_\mathbf{1} = (1, 0, \dots, 0)^T$, $\mathbf{1} = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$, $\mathfrak{f} = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n)$ and

$$
\mho_q = \begin{pmatrix}
f_1 \lambda_1 & f_2 \lambda_2 & \dots & f_n \lambda_n \\
\lambda_1^2 & \lambda_2^2 & \dots & \lambda_n^2 \\
f_1 \lambda_1^3 & f_2 \lambda_2^3 & \dots & f_n \lambda_n^3 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
f_1 \lambda_1^{n-1} & f_2 \lambda_2^{n-1} & \dots & f_n \lambda_n^{n-1} \\
\lambda_1^n & \lambda_2^n & \dots & \lambda_n^n
\end{pmatrix}, \quad
\mho_r = \begin{pmatrix}
\lambda_1 & \lambda_2 & \dots & \lambda_n \\
f_1 \lambda_1^2 & f_2 \lambda_2^2 & \dots & f_n \lambda_n^2 \\
\lambda_1^3 & \lambda_2^3 & \dots & \lambda_n^3 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\lambda_1^{n-1} & \lambda_2^{n-1} & \dots & \lambda_n^{n-1} \\
f_1 \lambda_1^n & f_2 \lambda_2^n & \dots & f_n \lambda_n^n
\end{pmatrix}.
\tag{4.29}
$$

For $n = 2$, we have

$$q_{[3]} = q + \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & \boxed{0} \\ f_1\lambda_1 & f_2\lambda_2 & 1 \\ \lambda_1^2 & \lambda_2^2 & 0 \end{vmatrix}_x , \tag{4.30}$$

$$r_{[3]} = r + \begin{vmatrix} f_1 & f_2 & \boxed{0} \\ \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 & 1 \\ f_1\lambda_1^2 & f_2\lambda_2^2 & 0 \end{vmatrix}_x . \tag{4.31}$$

Thus, we obtain the pair of new solutions for the couple DNLS equations (2.1)-(2.2)

$$q_{[3]} = q + \frac{\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_2^2}{\lambda_1\lambda_2} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_2 f_1 - \lambda_1 f_2} \right)_x , \tag{4.32}$$

$$r_{[3]} = r - \frac{\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_2^2}{\lambda_1\lambda_2} \left(\frac{f_1 f_2}{\lambda_1 f_1 - \lambda_2 f_2} \right)_x , \tag{4.33}$$

where f_1 and f_2 are two distinct solutions of the Riccati equations (4.6)-(4.7).

Reduction

The eigenvalues λ_k have to be real or pairs of complex conjugate values when we choose the reduction $r_{[k]} = q_{[k]}^*$. This reduction condition gives the following relations:

$$f_k f_k^* = 1 \text{ for real } \lambda_k, \tag{4.34}$$

$$f_m = \frac{1}{f_k^*} \text{ when } \lambda_m = \lambda_k^* \ (m \neq k), \tag{4.35}$$

where f_i is a solution of the Riccati equations (4.6)-(4.7) $(i, k, m \in \mathbb{N})$.

5. Particular solutions

5.1. *Solutions for the vacuum*

For $q = r = 0$, the Riccati equations (4.6)-(4.7) transforms into the first-order linear system

$$f_x - 2\lambda^2 i f = 0 \tag{5.1}$$
$$f_t - 4i\lambda^4 f = 0 \tag{5.2}$$

which has a solution

$$f = c e^{2\lambda^2 (x + 2\lambda^2 t) i}, \tag{5.3}$$

where c is an arbitrary integration constant.

Case 1 ($n = 1$)

For one single Darboux transformation-due to the required reduction $r = q^*$, we have to take $\lambda_1 = \lambda$ real and $|c| = 1$. By choosing arbitrary constant $c = 1$, we have

$$f_1 = e^{2\lambda_1^2(x+2\lambda_1^2 t)i}. \tag{5.4}$$

By substituting f_1 into (4.23), we obtain a new solution $q_{[2]}$ for DNLS equation (1.1) as

$$q_{[2]} = -2i\lambda_1 e^{2\lambda_1^2(x+2\lambda_1^2 t)i}, \tag{5.5}$$

where $r_{[2]} = \left(q_{[2]}\right)^*$.

This, of course, is not a soliton but a periodic solution. It is obvious that $\left|q_{[2]}\right|^2 = constant$ so it satisfies a linear equation $iq_t + cq_x + q_{xx} = 0$ obtained from (1.1), where c is a constant. Thus, it is not an interesting solution we would like to have by the Darboux transformation.

Case 2 ($n = 2$)

Substituting $f_1 = e^{2\lambda_1^2(x+2\lambda_1^2 t)i}$ and $f_2 = e^{2\lambda_2^2(x+2\lambda_2^2 t)i}$ into (4.32) and then letting $\lambda_1 = \xi + \eta$ and $\lambda_2 = \xi - \eta$, we obtain a new solution $q_{[3]}$ for DNLS equation (1.1) as

$$q_{[3]} = -2i\left(\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_2^2\right) \frac{\lambda_1 f_1 - \lambda_2 f_2}{\left(\lambda_2 f_1 - \lambda_1 f_2\right)^2} \tag{5.6}$$

$$= -4i\xi\eta \, \frac{\left(\eta\cos F + \xi i\sin F\right)^3}{\left(\xi^2 + (\eta^2 - \xi^2)\cos^2 F\right)^2} \, e^{-iG}, \tag{5.7}$$

where $F = 4\xi\eta\left(x + 4\left(\xi^2 + \eta^2\right)t\right)$ and $G = 2\left(\xi^2 + \eta^2\right)x + 4\left(\xi^4 + 6\xi^2\eta^2 + \eta^4\right)t$.

In order that $r_{[3]} = q_{[3]}^*$, λ_1 and λ_2 are either real ($\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}$) or complex conjugate eigenvalues ($\xi \in \mathbb{R}, \eta \in i\mathbb{R}$). This solution holds in both cases. For real eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, both F, G are real and for the complex case, G is real while $F = iH$ is purely imaginary. For the complex case, taking $\lambda_{1,2} = \kappa \pm i\tau$, where $\xi = \kappa$ and $\eta = i\tau$, (5.7) gives soliton solution of the DNLS generated by the two-fold Darboux transformation

$$q_{[3]} = -4i\kappa\tau \frac{\left(\tau\cosh H + i\kappa\sinh H\right)^3}{\left(\kappa^2 - (\kappa^2 + \tau^2)\cosh^2 H\right)^2} e^{-iG}, \tag{5.8}$$

where $G = 2\left(\kappa^2 - \tau^2\right)x + 4\left(\kappa^4 - 6\kappa^2\tau^2 + \tau^4\right)t$ and $H = 4\kappa\tau\left(x + 4\left(\kappa^2 - \tau^2\right)t\right)$ are real functions.

5.2. *Solutions for non-zero seeds*

For $q, r \neq 0$ and $r = q^*$, we can easily find a periodic solution

$$q = ke^{ia\left[x - (a - k^2)t\right]} \tag{5.9}$$

of the DNLS equation (1.1), where a and k are real numbers. We use this as the seed solution for application of Darboux transformations.

We already know that the solution of (1.1) is given in terms of solutions f_i of Riccati system (4.6)-(4.7). For given q in (5.9), we will solve the Riccati system for the function $f(x,t)$. If we define

$$f = \mu(x,t)e^{-i\alpha}, \tag{5.10}$$

where $\alpha = a\left[x - (a - k^2)t\right]$, as a solution of the Riccati system (4.6)-(4.7) for given q in (5.9), we end up with the single Riccati equation with constant coefficients

$$\mu_x + \lambda k\mu^2 - i\left(a + 2\lambda^2\right)\mu - \lambda k = 0, \tag{5.11}$$

where $\mu_t = \left(k^2 - a + 2\lambda^2\right)\mu_x$. By letting

$$\mu = \frac{u_x}{\lambda k u}, \tag{5.12}$$

the Riccati equation (5.11) transforms into the second-order linear partial differential equation with constant coefficients

$$u_{xx} - i\left(a + 2\lambda^2\right)u_x - \lambda^2 k^2 u = 0, \tag{5.13}$$

where $u_t = \left(k^2 - a + 2\lambda^2\right)u_x$. Solving this equation and then substituting u into (5.11), we obtain the general solution of the Riccati equation as

$$\mu(x,t) = \frac{1}{2\lambda k}\left(Ai + D\frac{c_1 e^{\frac{1}{2}D(x+Bt)} - c_2 e^{-\frac{1}{2}D(x+Bt)}}{c_1 e^{\frac{1}{2}D(x+Bt)} + c_2 e^{-\frac{1}{2}D(x+Bt)}}\right), \tag{5.14}$$

where

$$A = a + 2\lambda^2, \quad B = k^2 - a + 2\lambda^2, \quad D = \sqrt{4\lambda^2 k^2 - A^2}, \tag{5.15}$$

and c_1, c_2 integration constants, obtained from (5.13).

Case 3 ($n = 1$)

For single real eigenvalue λ_1, substituting $r = ke^{-i\alpha}$ and $f_1 = \mu_1(x,t)e^{-i\alpha}$ into (4.23) gives the solution

$$q_{[2]} = \mu_1\left(k\mu_1 - 2i\lambda_1\right)e^{-i\alpha}, \tag{5.16}$$

where $\alpha(x,t) = a\left[x - a\left(a - k^2\right)t\right]$ and $\mu_1 = \mu$ is given in (5.14) with relabeled coefficients $A_1 = A$, $B_1 = B$, $D_1 = D$ such that $|\mu_1| = 1$ and $A_1 = a + 2\lambda_1^2$, $B_1 = k^2 - a + 2\lambda_1^2$, $D_1 = \sqrt{4k^2\lambda_1^2 - A_1^2}$. It is such that

$$\left|q_{[2]}\right|^2 = \left|k\mu_1 - 2i\lambda_1\right|^2. \tag{5.17}$$

We show below that $D_1^2 < 0$ and $D_1^2 > 0$ produce the periodic and soliton solutions respectively.

Periodic solution

For $D_1^2 = 4k^2\lambda_1^2 - \left(a + 2\lambda_1^2\right)^2 < 0$, (5.14) gives us

$$\mu_1(x,t) = i\left(\frac{ke^{\frac{1}{2}i\beta_1} + 2\lambda_1 e^{-\frac{1}{2}i\beta_1}}{2\lambda_1 e^{\frac{1}{2}i\beta_1} + ke^{-\frac{1}{2}i\beta_1}}\right) \tag{5.18}$$

in which have chosen $k^2 = 2a$, where $\beta_1(x,t) = \left(a - 2\lambda_1^2\right)\left[x + \left(a + 2\lambda_1^2\right)t\right]$. It can be easily seen that the relation $\mu_1 \mu_1^* = 1$ holds. By substituting μ_1 into (5.17), we obtain

$$\left|q_{[2]}\right|^2 = \frac{2\left(a - 2\lambda_1^2\right)}{a + 2\lambda_1^2 + 2k\lambda_1 \cos\beta_1}, \tag{5.19}$$

which is a periodic solution.

Soliton solution

For $D_1^2 = 4k^2\lambda_1^2 - \left(a + 2\lambda_1^2\right)^2 > 0$, (5.17) gives soliton solution as

$$\left|q_{[2]}\right|^2 = k^2 - 2a - \frac{D_1^2}{(a + 2\lambda_1^2)/2 + \delta\lambda_1 k \cosh\gamma_1}, \tag{5.20}$$

where $\gamma_1(x,t) = D_1\left[x + \left(k^2 - 2a + 2\lambda_1^2\right)t\right]$ and $\delta = \pm 1$.

***Case 4** (n = 2)*

In this case, we have two eigenvalues λ_1 and λ_2. For solutions such that $r = q^*$, these eigenvalues are either real or complex conjugate to each other and satisfy the relations $|f_1| = |f_2| = 1$ or $f_1 f_2^* = 1$ respectively, where f_1 and f_2 are two distinct solutions for the Riccati system (4.6)-(4.7). By substituting $q = ke^{i\alpha}$ and $f_1 = \mu_1(x,t)e^{-i\alpha}$, $f_2 = \mu_2(x,t)e^{-i\alpha}$ into (4.32), we have the following solution

$$q_{[3]} = \left(\frac{kF_2 - 2i\Lambda}{F_1^2}\right)F_2 e^{i\alpha}, \tag{5.21}$$

where $\alpha(x,t) = a\left[x - \left(a - k^2\right)t\right]$, $\Lambda = \lambda_1^2 - \lambda_2^2$, $F_1(x,t) = \lambda_2\mu_1 - \lambda_1\mu_2$, $F_2(x,t) = \lambda_1\mu_1 - \lambda_2\mu_2$. Here $\mu_1(x,t)$ and $\mu_2(x,t)$ are two distinct solutions, given by (5.14), for the Riccati equation with constant coefficients (5.11). The functions μ_1, μ_2 with the eigenvalues λ_1, λ_2 either hold $(R1)$ $\mu_1\mu_1^* = \mu_2\mu_2^* = 1$ for $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and so Λ is real or $(R2)$ $\mu_1\mu_2^* = 1$ for $\lambda_2 = \lambda_1^*$ and so Λ is pure imaginary. The solution above can be rewritten as

$$\left|q_{[3]}\right|^2 = \left|k + 2\frac{\Lambda}{\Omega_1}\right|^2, \tag{5.22}$$

where $\Omega_1 = iF_2$ and $\Omega_2 = iF_1$. This holds for both $(R1)$ and $(R2)$. This result is consistent with [24]. This can be rewritten as

$$\left|q_{[3]}\right|^2 = k^2 \pm 2\frac{\Lambda}{|\Omega_1|^2}\left[2\Lambda + k\left(\Omega_1 \pm \Omega_1^*\right)\right], \tag{5.23}$$

which holds for $(R1)$ and $(R2)$ respectively. An example for $(R1)$ is given below.

Periodic solution

We have periodic solution for the choice $(R1)$ with $k^2 = 2a$ as

$$\left|q_{[3]}\right|^2 = k^2 + \frac{m_0 + m_1 \cos\beta_1 + m_2 \cos\beta_2}{n_0 + n_1 \cos\beta_1 + n_2 \cos\beta_2 + n_3 \cos\beta_3}, \qquad (5.24)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_1 &= \left(a - 2\lambda_1^2\right)\left[x + \left(a + 2\lambda_1^2\right)t\right], \\
\beta_2 &= \left(a - 2\lambda_2^2\right)\left[x + \left(a + 2\lambda_2^2\right)t\right], \\
\beta_3 &= 2\Lambda\left[x + 2\left(\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2\right)t\right],
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
m_0 &= 4\Lambda\left[\left(a - 2\lambda_1^2\right)^2\left(a + 2\lambda_2^2\right) - \left(a + 2\lambda_1^2\right)\left(a - 2\lambda_2^2\right)^2\right], \\
m_1 &= -8k\lambda_1\Lambda\left(a - 2\lambda_2^2\right)^2, \\
m_2 &= 8k\lambda_2\Lambda\left(a - 2\lambda_1^2\right)^2, \\
n_0 &= \left(a - 2\lambda_1^2\right)^2\left(a + 2\lambda_2^2\right) + \left(a + 2\lambda_1^2\right)\left(a - 2\lambda_2^2\right)^2 - k^2\left(a - 2\lambda_1^2\right)\left(a - 2\lambda_2^2\right), \\
n_1 &= 4k\lambda_1\Lambda\left(a - 2\lambda_2^2\right), \\
n_2 &= -4k\lambda_2\Lambda\left(a - 2\lambda_1^2\right), \\
n_3 &= -4\lambda_1\lambda_2\left(a - 2\lambda_1^2\right)\left(a - 2\lambda_2^2\right).
\end{aligned}$$

In the second case $(R2)$, a similar result is obtained expressed in terms of sines-cosines and hyperbolic sines-cosines.

6. Conclusion

In this paper, we have established and proved a new theorem for Darboux transformation of operators with no derivative term. This has a similar structure to the theorem on the standard Darboux transformation for general operators. We have constructed solutions in quasideterminant forms for the DNLS equation. These quasideterminants are expressed in terms of f_i functions, where $f_i (i \in \mathbb{N})$ are solutions of Riccati systems. It should be pointed out that these solutions are derived from linear eigenvalue problems $L(\Phi) = M(\Phi) = 0$, where $\Phi = (\phi, \psi)^T$ and the linear operators L, M are given in (2.3)-(2.4). By letting $f_i = \psi_i/\phi_i$ in (4.20)-(4.22) and (4.27)-(4.29), we easily write down the quasideterminant solutions in terms of solutions ϕ_i, ψ_i of linear partial differential equations (eigenvalue problems) as given in [28].

It should be emphasised that these solutions arise naturally from the Darboux transformation we present here. Our theorem provides a natural and universal approach for operators with no derivative term. Furthermore, for the DNLS equation, parametric and soliton solutions for zero and non-zero seeds have been presented here. Finally, it is important to point out that our approach can be applied to other integrable systems in which their Lax operators have no derivative term.

Acknowledgments

References

[1] G.P. Agrawal, Nonlinear Fibers Optics, 3rd edn. (Academic Press, 2001).

[2] D. Anderson and M. Lisak, Nonlinear asymmetric self-phase modulation and self-steepening of pulses in long optical waveguides, Phys. Rev. A **27** (1983) 1393–1398.

[3] G. Darboux, Comptes Rendus de l'Acadmie des Sciences **94** (1882) 1456–1459.

[4] I. Gelfand and V. Retakh, Determinants of the matrices over noncomutative rings, Funct. Anal. App. **25** (1991) 91–102.

[5] I. Gelfand, S. Gelfand, V. Retakh and R. L. Wilson, Quasideterminants, Adv. Math. **193** (2005) 56–141.

[6] C.R. Gilson and J.J.C. Nimmo, On a direct approach to quasideterminant solutions of a noncommutative KP equation, J. Phys. A **40** (2007) 3839–3850.

[7] C.R. Gilson, M. Hamanaka and J.J.C. Nimmo, Bäcklund transformations and the Atiyah–Ward ansatz for non–commutative anti–self-dual Yang–Mills equations, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A **465** (2009) 2613–2632.

[8] C.R. Gilson and S.R. Macfarlane, Dromion solutions of noncommutative Davey-Stewartson equations, J. Phys. A **42** (2009) 235232.

[9] B. Guo, L. Ling and Q.P. Liu, High-Order Solutions and Generalized Darboux Transformations of Derivative Nonlinear Schrödinger Equations, Studies in Applied Mathematics **130** (2013) 317–344.

[10] M. Hassan, Darboux transformation of the generalized coupled dispersionless integrable system, J. Phys. A **42** (2009) 065203.

[11] N.N. Huang and Z.Y. Chen, Alfven solitons, J. Phys. A **23** (1990) 439–453.

[12] Y.H. Ichikawa, K. Konno, M. Wadati and H. Sanuki, Spiky soliton in circular polarized Alfvén wave, J. Phys. Soc. Japan **48** (1980) 279–286.

[13] D.J. Kaup and A.C. Newell, An exact solution for derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation, J. Math. Phys. **19** (1978) 798–801.

[14] C.X. Li and J.J.C Nimmo, Darboux transformations for a twisted derivation and quasideterminant solutions to the super KdV equation, Proc. R. Soc. Lond., Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci. **466** (2010) 2471–2493.

[15] L. Luo, Darboux transformation and exact solutions for a hierarchy of nonlinear evolution equations, J. Phys. A **40** (2007) 4169–4179.

[16] V. B. Matveev, Darboux transformation and explicit solutions of the Kadomtcev-Petviaschvily equation, depending on functional parameters, Lett. Math. Phys. **3** (1979) 213–216.

[17] V.B. Matveev and M.A. Salle, Darboux transformations and solitons (Springer Series in Nonlinear Dynamics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991).

[18] E. Mjolhus, On the modulational instability of hydromagnetic waves parallel to the magnetic field, J. Plasma Phys. **16** (1976) 321–334.

[19] A. Nakamura and H.H. Chen, Multi-Soliton Solutions of a Derivative Nonlinear Schrdinger Equation, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **49** (1980) pp. 813–816

[20] J. J. C. Nimmo, Darboux Transformations from Reductions of the KP Hierarchy, in Nonlinear Evolution Equations and Dynamical Systems: NEEDS '94 (Los Alamos, NM), World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ (1995) 168–177.

[21] J. J. C. Nimmo, C. R. Gilson and Y. Ohta, Applications of Darboux transformations to the self-dual Yang-Mills equations, Theor. Math. Phys. **122** (2000) 239–246.

[22] W. Oevel and C. Rogers, Gauge transformations and receiprocal links in $2+1$ dimensions, Rev. Math. Phys **5** (1993) 299–330.

[23] M.S. Ruderman, DNLS equation for large-amplitude solitons propagating in an arbitrary direction in a high-β Hall plasma, J. Plasma Phys. **67** (2002) 271–276.

[24] H. Steudel, The hierarchy of multi-soliton solutions of the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation, J. Phys. A **36** (2003) 1931–1946.

[25] H. Steudel, R. Meinel and G. Neugebauer, Vandermonde-like determinants and N-fold Darboux Bäcklund transformations, J. Math. Phys. **38** (1997) 4692–4695.

[26] N. Tzoar and M. Jain, Self-phase modulation in long-geometry optical waveguide, Phys. Rev. A **23** (1981) 1266–1270.

[27] T. Xia, X. Chen and D. Chen, Darboux transformation and soliton-like solutions of nonlinear Schrödinger equations, Chaos Solitons Fractals **26** (2005) 889–896.

[28] S. Xu, J. He and L. Wang, The Darboux transformation of the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation, J. Phys. A **44** (2011) 305203.

[29] Y. Zhang, L. Guo, S. Xu, Z. Wu and J. He, The hierarchy of higher order solutions of the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation, Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul. **19** (2014) 1706–1722.