



Journal of

NONLINEAR

MATHEMATICAL

Journal of Nonlinear Mathematical Physics

ISSN (Online): 1776-0852 ISSN (Print): 1402-9251 Journal Home Page: <u>https://www.atlantis-press.com/journals/jnmp</u>

On the Zeros of Polynomials Satisfying Certain Linear Second-Order ODEs Featuring Many Free Parameters

Francesco Calogero

To cite this article: Francesco Calogero (2013) On the Zeros of Polynomials Satisfying Certain Linear Second-Order ODEs Featuring Many Free Parameters, Journal of Nonlinear Mathematical Physics 20:2, 191–198, DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/14029251.2013.805565

To link to this article: https://doi.org/10.1080/14029251.2013.805565

Published online: 04 January 2021

On the Zeros of Polynomials Satisfying Certain Linear Second-Order ODEs Featuring Many Free Parameters

Francesco Calogero

Dipartimento di Fisica, Universitá di Roma "La Sapienza" Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Roma francesco.calogero@roma1.infn.it, francesco.calogero@uniroma1.it

Received 19 January 2013 Accepted 21 February 2013

Certain techniques to obtain properties of the zeros of polynomials satisfying second-order ODEs are reviewed. The application of these techniques to the classical polynomials yields formulas which were already known; new are instead the formulas for the zeros of the (recently identified, and rather explicitly known) polynomials satisfying a (recently identified) second-order ODE which features many free parameters and *only* polynomial solutions. Some of these formulas have a Diophantine connotation. Techniques to manufacture infinite sequences of second-order ODEs featuring *only* polynomial solutions are also reported.

Keywords: zeros of polynomials, polynomials satisfying linear second-order ODEs, a linear second-order ODE with only polynomial solutions, Diophantine relations

1. Introduction

The properties of the zeros of polynomials satisfying linear second-order ODEs is a classical subject to which significant advances have been made over time by such mathematicians as J.C.F. Sturm, T.J. Stieltjes, G. Szegö and too many others to be listed here (yet let me heed the suggestion by an anonymous referee and refer the interested reader to a recent paper reporting new results on this topic but also having a review character indeed listing 48 references [1]). Many decades ago these findings were reviewed by Szegö in his classical treatise [2], and some decades ago many algebraic equations satisfied by the zeros of the classical polynomials were presented in [3], including sum rules and findings having a Diophantine connotation. Additional and related results of this kind are reviewed in Section 2.4 (entitled "Finite-dimensional representations of differential operators, Lagrangian interpolation, and all that") and Appendix D (entitled "Remarkable matrices and related identities") of [4], and in Appendix C (entitled "Diophantine findings and conjectures") of [5]. The main purpose of the present paper is to provide a few analogous results for the zeros of the (recently identified, and rather explicitly known) polynomials satisfying certain (recently identified) secondorder ODEs which feature many free parameters and only polynomial solutions [6]. To this end we report, in the following Section 2, firstly some general results for the zeros of polynomials satisfying linear second-order ODEs, and then the specific versions of these results for the zeros of the polynomials satisfying ODEs featuring *only* polynomial solutions, on which this paper is mainly focussed (indeed, techniques to manufacture infinite sequences of second-order ODEs having this

property are also reported at the end of this section). The proofs of these findings are provided in the following Section 3. A terse Section 4 entitled Outlook completes this paper.

2. Results

In this paper we focus on (monic) polynomials of degree N,

$$\Psi_N(z) = \prod_{n=1}^N (z - z_n) = z^N + \sum_{m=1}^N \left(c_m \, z^{N-m} \right) = \sum_{m=0}^N \left(c_m \, z^{N-m} \right), \quad c_0 = 1, \tag{2.1}$$

satisfying the linear second-order ODE

$$a_2(z;N) \ \psi_N''(z) + a_1(z;N) \ \psi_N'(z) + a_0(z;N) \ \psi_N(z) = 0.$$
(2.2)

Notation 2.1. Above and hereafter *N* is a *positive integer* (large enough, see below), $\psi_N(z)$ is a (monic) polynomial of degree *N* satisfying the ODE (2.2) (with appropriate assignments of the 3 functions $a_2(z;N)$, $a_1(z;N)$ and $a_0(z;N)$, depending parametrically on the integer *N* and on other parameters, see below), z_n are its *N* zeros and c_m its *N* coefficients. Hereafter we assume for simplicity that the *N* zeros z_n are all different among themselves, $z_n \neq z_m$ if $n \neq m$; the results continue to hold if some zeros coincide, but generally only after taking appropriate limits. Indices such as n, m, ℓ take generally all integer values from 1 to *N* (unless otherwise explicitly indicated). In (2.2) and hereafter primes appended to a function denote of course differentiations with respect to the argument of that function, $\psi'_N(z) \equiv d\psi_N(z)/dz$, $\psi''_N(z) \equiv d^2\psi_N(z)/dz^2$.

Proposition 2.1. Provided the function $a_2(z;N)$ does not vanish at the zeros z_n and the function $a_0(z;N)$ does not diverge at the zeros z_n ,

$$a_2(z_n;N) \neq 0, \quad [a_0(z_n;N)]^{-1} \neq 0,$$
(2.3)

there hold the N sum rules

$$\sum_{\substack{\ell=1\\\ell\neq n}}^{N} \left(\frac{1}{z_n - z_\ell}\right) = -\frac{a_1(z_n; N)}{2 a_2(z_n; N)}.$$
(2.4)

This result is rather elementary and presumably not new: for instance, in the case of the classical polynomials (Hermite, Laguerre, respectively Jacobi), see eqs. (3.3a), (4.2a) respectively (5.2a) of [3].

Corollary 2.1. There holds the sum rule

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N} \left(\frac{a_1(z_n)}{a_2(z_n)} \right) = 0.$$
(2.5)

Proposition 2.2. Let the $N \times N$ matrix Q be defined, componentwise, as follows:

$$Q_{nn} = -\frac{z_n a_1(z_n; N)}{2 a_2(z_n; N)}; \quad Q_{nm} = \frac{z_n}{z_n - z_m}, \quad n \neq m.$$
(2.6)

Then the N eigenvalues of the $N \times N$ matrix Q are the N integers from 0 to N-1,

$$\underline{Q} \, \underline{v}^{(m)} = (m-1) \, \underline{v}^{(m)}, \tag{2.7a}$$

and the corresponding eigenvectors $\underline{v}^{(m)}$ are defined as follows:

$$\underline{v}^{(m)} \equiv \left(v_1^{(m)}, \dots, v_n^{(m)}\right), \quad v_n^{(m)} = (z_n)^m \prod_{\substack{\ell=1\\\ell \neq n}}^N (z_n - z_\ell)^{-1}.$$
(2.7b)

Notation 2.2. Hereafter $N \times N$ matrices such \underline{Q} are denoted by underlined upper-case letters, and *N*-vectors by underlined lower case letters, so that for instance $\underline{v} \equiv (v_1, \dots, v_n)$.

Next, we introduce the specific ODE on which we mainly focus in this paper, which is characterized by the following assignment of the functions $a_2(z;N)$, $a_1(z;N)$ and $a_0(z;N)$ in (2.2):

$$a_2(z;N) = 1, \ a_1(z;N) = -\left[\frac{N-1}{z} + R_k(z;\underline{m},\underline{x})\right], \ a_0(z) = \frac{N}{z} R_k(z;\underline{m},\underline{x}).$$
(2.8)

Notation 2.3. Hereafter, for any *positive* integer *K*, the *rational* function $R_k(z;\underline{m},\underline{x})$ of the independent variable *z* is defined as follows,

$$R_k(z;\underline{m},\underline{x}) = \sum_{k=1}^K \left(\frac{m_k}{z - x_k}\right), \quad R'_k(z;\underline{m},\underline{x}) = -\sum_{k=1}^K \left[\frac{m_k}{(z - x_k)^2}\right], \quad (2.9a)$$

in terms of the 2*K* parameters m_k respectively x_k which are the *K* components of the two *K*-vectors $\underline{m} = (m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_k)$ respectively $\underline{x} = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k)$. Hereafter we use the standard convention according to which a sum vanishes, and a product equals unity, if its upper limit is smaller than its lower limit. Note that the coefficients of the ODE (2.2) with (2.8) and (2.9a) become *polynomial* after multiplication of this ODE by the polynomial $z [\prod_{k=1}^{K} (z - x_k)]$ (of degree 1 + K).

In [6] it is shown that the *general* solution of the second-order ODE (2.2) with (2.8) and (2.9a) is a *polynomial* in z of degree N, provided K is (of course) a *positive integer*, the K components m_k of the K-vector <u>m</u> are all positive integers and their sum equals N - 1,

$$\underline{m} \equiv (m_1, \dots, m_k)$$
; m_k integer, $m_k > 0$, $k = 1, \dots, K$; $\sum_{k=1}^K m_k = N - 1$, (2.9b)

while the *K* additional parameters provided by the *K* components x_k of the *K*-vector $\underline{x} \equiv (x_1, ..., x_k)$ are *arbitrary numbers* (possibly also *complex*; but obviously without loss of generality one may assume that these *K* parameters x_k are all different among themselves).

Indeed in [6] it is shown that the *general* solution of the ODE (2.2) with (2.8) and (2.9) reads as follows:

$$\Psi_N(z) \equiv \Psi_N(z; \underline{m}, \underline{x}; \gamma) = z^N \, \varphi_N(z; \underline{m}, \underline{x}; \gamma), \qquad (2.10a)$$

$$\varphi_N(z; \underline{m}, \underline{x}; \gamma) = 1 + \frac{\gamma}{z} \sum_{\mu_1=0}^{m_1} \cdots \sum_{\mu_k=0}^{m_k} \left[\left(\prod_{k=1}^K \left[\binom{m_k}{\mu_k} \left(-\frac{x_k}{z} \right)^{\mu_k} \right] \right) \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{\ell=1}^K \mu_\ell} \right], \quad (2.10b)$$

where γ is an *arbitrary* (integration) constant. Here of course $\binom{m}{\mu}$ is the standard binomial coefficient,

$$\binom{m}{\mu} = \frac{m!}{\mu! (m-\mu)!}.$$
(2.10c)

Remark 2.1. The *general* solution of the *second-order* ODE (2.2) should depend on *two* arbitrary parameters, one of which has however been fixed here, see (2.10), for consistency with the notationally convenient requirement (see (2.1)) that the polynomial $\psi_N(z)$ be *monic*.

Remark 2.2. The result reported above, see in particular (2.8) and (2.10), corresponds to the special case of the results of [6] with J = 0. This restriction is justified by the fact that, in the more general case with J > 0 (see [6]), the polynomial $\psi(z)$ introduced in [6] (of order N + J) features rather trivially J zeros y_j which can be *arbitrarily* assigned *a priori*; while the zeros z_n of the polynomial (2.10) depend nontrivially on the 2K parameters featured by the ODE (2.2) with (2.8) and (2.9), and also on the arbitrary (integration) constant γ . Moreover the sum rule of Proposition 2.1 obviously does *not* hold for the more general ODE considered in [6] (with J > 0). Also note that the number 2K of parameters can be arbitrarily large, but only if the degree N is adequately large, since the last of the equations (2.9b) clearly entails that N must be adequately larger than K.

Proposition 2.3. The $N \times N$ matrix <u>C</u> defined componentwise as follows,

$$C_{nn} = \frac{N-1}{2} + \frac{z_n}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left(\frac{m_k}{z_n - x_k} \right), \quad C_{nm} = \frac{z_n}{z_n - z_m}, \quad n \neq m,$$
(2.11)

features the N integers from 0 to N - 1 as its N eigenvalues:

$$\underline{C} \, \underline{v}^{(m)} = (m-1) \, \underline{v}^{(m)}, \tag{2.12a}$$

with the corresponding eigenvectors $\underline{v}^{(m)}$ defined as above, see (2.7b). Here the parameters m_k are *K* (arbitrary) positive integers satisfying the single restriction

$$\sum_{k=1}^{K} m_k = N - 1, \qquad (2.12b)$$

the K parameters x_k are K arbitrary numbers (possibly complex), and the N numbers z_n are the N zeros of the polynomial of degree N (2.10) (satisfying the ODE (2.2) with (2.8) and (2.9)).

Remark 2.3. Let us reemphasize that the *N* zeros z_n of the polynomial (2.10) depend (of course) on *N* and on the 2*K* parameters m_k and x_k which are featured by the ODE (2.2) with (2.8) and (2.9), and moreover on the additional *arbitrary* parameter γ (which does *not* appear in this ODE but is

On the Zeros of Polynomials Satisfying Certain Linear Second-Order ODEs

featured by its solution (2.10)). And let us highlight the *Diophantine* connotation of Proposition 2.3, which we consider the main result of this paper.

Let us complete this section by displaying the ODE (2.2) with (2.8) and (2.9), as well as its solution (2.10), corresponding to the simplest assignments of the parameter K.

For K = 1,

$$z (z - x_1) \psi_N'' - (N - 1) (2 z - x_1) \psi_N' + N (N - 1) \psi_N = 0, \qquad (2.13a)$$

$$\psi_N(N-1;x_1;\gamma;z) = (1+\gamma) z^N - \gamma (z-x_1)^N = \left(\frac{x_1}{2}\right)^N p_N\left(0,0;-N \gamma;\frac{2 z}{x_1} - 1\right),$$
(2.13b)

with γ and x_1 two arbitrary parameters. Here $p_N(0,0;\alpha;y)$ is a para-Jacobi polynomial, see [7]. For K = 2,

$$z (z - x_1) (z - x_2) \psi_N'' - \{2(N-1) z^2 - [(2N-2-m_1) x_1 + (N-1+m_1) x_2] z + (N-1) x_1 x_2\} \psi_N' + N [(N-1) z - (N-1-m_1) x_1 - m_1 x_2] \psi_N = 0, \qquad (2.14a)$$

$$\psi_{N}(m_{1},N-1-m_{1};x_{1},x_{2};\gamma;z) = z^{N} + \gamma z^{N-1} \sum_{\mu_{1}=0}^{m_{1}} \sum_{\mu_{2}=0}^{N-1-m_{1}} \left[\binom{m_{1}}{\mu_{1}} \binom{N-1-m_{1}}{\mu_{2}} x_{1}^{\mu_{1}} x_{2}^{\mu_{2}} \frac{(-z)^{-(\mu_{1}+\mu_{2})}}{1+\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}} \right],$$
(2.14b)

with m_1 an arbitrary *integer* in the range $0 \le m_1 \le N - 1$ and γ , x_1 , x_2 three arbitrary parameters.

And finally let us proffer the following two remarks.

Remark 2.4. If a second-order ODE such as (2.2) admits a *general* solution which is (up to overall normalization) a monic polynomial $\psi_N(z)$ of arbitrary degree N, it is clearly easy to manufacture other analogous ODEs, say

$$\tilde{a}_2(z;N) \; \tilde{\psi}_N''(z) + \tilde{a}_1(z;N) \; \tilde{\psi}_N'(z) + \tilde{a}_0(z;N) \; \tilde{\psi}_N(z) = 0, \tag{2.15}$$

having the *same* property to feature a *general* solution which is (up to normalization) a monic polynomial $\tilde{\psi}_N(z)$ of degree N. For instance such a (monic) polynomial solution could be

$$\tilde{\psi}_N(z) = \frac{\psi'_{N+1}(z)}{N+1},$$
(2.16a)

with the coefficients of the corresponding ODE (2.15)—obtained dividing (2.2) (with N replaced by N + 1) by $a_0(z; N + 1)$, then differentiating, then multiplying by $a_0(z; N + 1)$)—related to those

of the ODE (2.2) as follows:

$$\tilde{a}_2(z;N) = a_2(z;N+1),$$
 (2.16b)

$$\tilde{a}_1(z;N) = a_1(N+1;z) + a_2'(N+1;z) - \frac{a_0'(N+1;z)a_2(N+1;z)}{a_0(N+1;z)},$$
(2.16c)

$$\tilde{a}_0(z;N) = a_0(N+1;z) + a_1'(N+1;z) - \frac{a_0'(N+1;z)a_1(N+1;z)}{a_0(N+1;z)}.$$
(2.16d)

The *N* zeros \tilde{z}_n of $\tilde{\psi}_N(z)$ coincide then with the *N* extrema of $\psi_{N+1}(z)$.

Of course this procedure can be applied sequentially an arbitrary number of times, thereby producing an arbitrarily long sequence of ODEs whose *general* solution is a polynomial of degree N in z; to each of these ODEs all the findings reported above therefore apply. Although in special cases—such as the sequence starting from (2.13)—all these equations might be identical, generally this shall not be the case: see for instance the sequence starting from the ODE (2.2) with (2.8) and (2.9) and $K \ge 2$, in particular (2.14).

It is also plain how to identify other second-order ODEs—more general than (2.15) with (2.16)—having the same property to only feature polynomial solutions of degree N: for instance by replacing $\tilde{\psi}_N(z)$, see (2.16a), with $\check{\psi}_N(z) = [p_M(z)\psi_{N+1-M}(z)]'/(N+1)$ with $p_M(z)$ an *arbitrary* (monic) polynomial of degree M less than, say, N-1, and then following a procedure closely analogous to that indicated above after (2.16a).

Remark 2.5. The *most general* second-order ODE featuring *only* polynomial solutions $\psi(z)$ can be formulated as follows:

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \psi(z) & p(z) & q(z) \\ \psi'(z) & p'(z) & q'(z) \\ \psi''(z) & p''(z) & q''(z) \end{pmatrix} = 0,$$
(2.17a)

with p(z) and q(z) two arbitrary (different) polynomials, i. e. (see (2.2)) $a_2\psi'' + a_1\psi' + a_0\psi = 0$ with $a_2 = w$, $a_1 = -w'$, $a_0 = w'' - pq''' + p'''q$ and w = pq' - p'q. Indeed clearly the general solution of this ODE reads

$$\Psi(z) = b \ p(z) + c \ q(z),$$
 (2.17b)

with b and c two arbitrary (integration) constants. I was reminded of this fact by Robert Conte and Allan Fordy.

3. Proofs

In this Section 3 we prove the results reported in the preceding Section 2.

Firstly let us proof Proposition 2.1, see in particular (2.4). The very definition of the quantities z_n as the *N* zeros of the polynomial $\psi_N(z)$ —so that $\psi_N(z_n) = 0$ —entails that the ODE (2.2) yields the *N* relations

$$a_2(z_n) \ \psi_N''(z_n) + a_1(z_n) \ \psi_N'(z_n) = 0 ; \qquad (3.1a)$$

of course provided the function $a_0(z)$ does *not* diverge at $z = z_n$ (as assumed in the formulation of Proposition 2.1, see (2.3)).

Co-published by Atlantis Press and Taylor & Francis Copyright: the authors 196 **Notation 3.1.** Of course above and hereafter notations such as $\psi'_N(z_n)$ indicate the value of the function $\psi'_N(z)$ at $z = z_n$.

Clearly the above relation can be rewritten as follows:

$$\frac{\psi_N''(z_n)}{\psi_N'(z_n)} = -\frac{a_1(z_n)}{a_2(z_n)}$$
(3.1b)

(of course, provided the function $a_2(z_n) \neq 0$, as assumed in the formulation of Proposition 2.1, see (2.3)).

We then note that the first of the formulas (2.1) entails the identities

$$\psi'_N(z) = \psi_N(z) \sum_{n=1}^N (z - z_n)^{-1},$$
 (3.2a)

$$\psi_N''(z) = \psi_N(z) \sum_{n=1}^N (z - z_n)^{-1} 2 \sum_{\substack{\ell=1\\\ell \neq n}}^N (z_n - z_\ell)^{-1}.$$
 (3.2b)

The first of these two well-known identities—reported, with many analogous formulas, in Appendix A (entitled "Some useful identities") of [5]—is immediately obtained by logarithmic differentiation of the first of the formulas (2.1); and the second is as well easily obtained by differentiating the first and using some standard algebraic manipulations. Their ratio,

$$\frac{\psi_N''(z)}{\psi_N'(z)} = \frac{\sum_{m=1}^N (z - z_m)^{-1} \ 2 \ \sum_{\ell=1, \ell \neq m}^N (z_m - z_\ell)^{-1}}{\sum_{m=1}^N (z - z_m)^{-1}},$$
(3.2c)

clearly entails the simple relation

$$\frac{\psi_N''(z_n)}{\psi_N'(z_n)} = 2 \sum_{\substack{\ell=1\\ \ell \neq n}}^N (z_n - z_\ell)^{-1}.$$
(3.3)

And this formula, together with (3.1b), implies (2.4). Proposition 2.1 is thereby proven.

Corollary 2.1 is immediately implied by summing (2.4) over *n* from 1 to *N* and noticing that the left-hand side then vanishes due to the antisymmetry of the summand under the exchange of the two indices *n* and ℓ .

Likewise the proof of Proposition 2.2 is an immediate consequence of (1), which implies that the $N \times N$ matrix \underline{Q} , see (2.6), coincides with the following $N \times N$ matrix \underline{N} defined (componentwise) as follows:

$$N_{nn} = z_n \sum_{\substack{\ell=1\\ \ell \neq n}}^{N} (z_n - z_\ell)^{-1} ; \quad N_{nm} = \frac{z_n}{z_n - z_m}, \quad n \neq m.$$
(3.4)

The fact that this matrix <u>N</u>—for any *arbitrary* assignment of the N numbers z_n —has the N integers m-1 from 0 to N-1 as its eigenvalues and the N vectors $\underline{v}^{(m)}$ (see (2.7b)) as the corresponding eigenvalues, is (or should be) a well-known fact (see for instance eqs. (2.4.3-55b) and (2.4.3-56) of [4]).

And Proposition 2.3 is merely the special case of Proposition 2.2 corresponding to the ODE (2.2) with (2.8) and (2.9).

4. Outlook

The approach utilized in this paper might be used to investigate analogous properties to those reported above, satisfied by the zeros of polynomial solutions of ODEs of higher order than two, and by the zeros of ODEs the solutions of which are *not* polynomial and feature an *infinite* number of zeros. These developments will be eventually reported if the results thereby obtained are deemed sufficiently novel and interesting to justify their publication.

References

- [1] B. Shapiro, "Algebro-geometric aspects of Heine-Stieltjes theory", J. London Math. Soc. 83, 36–56 (2011).
- [2] G. Szegö, *Orthogonal polynomials*, Amer. Math. Soc. Coll. Publ. 23, Providence, R. I., 1939 (see in particular section 6.7).
- [3] S. Ahmed, M. Bruschi, F. Calogero, M. A. Olshanetsky and A. M. Perelomov, "Properties of the zeros of the classical polynomials and of Bessel functions", Nuovo Cimento 49B, 173–199 (1979).
- [4] F. Calogero, *Classical many-body problems amenable to exact treatments*, Lecture Notes in Physics Monograph **m66**, Springer, Berlin, 2001.
- [5] F. Calogero, *Isochronous systems*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2008; an updated paperback edition of this monograph has been published by OUP in September 2012.
- [6] F. Calogero, "A linear second-order ODE with only polynomial solutions", J. Diff. Eqns. (submitted to, October 23, 2012).
- [7] F. Calogero and Ge Yi, "Can the general solution of the second-order ODE characterizing Jacobi polynomials be polynomial?", J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 45, 095206 (2012) (4 pages). doi: 10.1088/1751-8113/45/9/095206.