

# Journal of Nonlinear Mathematical Physics

ISSN (Online): 1776-0852 ISSN (Print): 1402-9251 Journal Home Page: <u>https://www.atlantis-press.com/journals/jnmp</u> TLANTIS

PRESS

# **On Geodesic Completeness of Nondegenerate Submanifolds in Semi-Euclidean Spaces**

Fazilet Erkekog~lu

**To cite this article**: Fazilet Erkekog<sup>~</sup>lu (2009) On Geodesic Completeness of Nondegenerate Submanifolds in Semi-Euclidean Spaces, Journal of Nonlinear Mathematical Physics 16:2, 161–168, DOI: https://doi.org/10.1142/S1402925109000200

To link to this article: https://doi.org/10.1142/S1402925109000200

Published online: 04 January 2021







Journal of Nonlinear Mathematical Physics, Vol. 16, No. 2 (2009) 161–168 © F. Erkekoğlu

## ON GEODESIC COMPLETENESS OF NONDEGENERATE SUBMANIFOLDS IN SEMI-EUCLIDEAN SPACES

FAZILET ERKEKOĞLU

Department of Mathematics, Hacettepe University Beytepe, 06532 Ankara, Turkey fazilet@hacettepe.edu.tr

> Received 23 January 2008 Accepted 30 November 2008

In this paper, we study the geodesic completeness of nondegenerate submanifolds in semi-Euclidean spaces by extending the study of Beem and Ehrlich [1] to semi-Euclidean spaces. From the physical point of view, this extend may have a significance that a semi-Euclidean space contains more variety of Lorentzian submanifolds rather than those of Lorentzian hypersurfaces in a Minkowski space as in [1]. From mathematical point of view, since there is no distinction in the analysis of geodesic completeness of Lorentzian submanifolds and nondegenerate submanifolds in a semi-Euclidean space, we treat the mathematically more general case of nondegenerate submanifolds in a semi-Euclidean space. The new ideas leading to this generalization are the sufficient conditions for algorithms in the proofs of the results in [1]. Indeed these sufficient conditions for the algorithms also work well for the nondegenerate submanifolds in a semi-Euclidean space.

*Keywords*: Nondegenerate submanifold; semi-Euclidean spaces; geodesic completeness; affine growth condition; second fundamental form tensor; nonacute geodesic; nonobtuse geodesic; totally umbilic.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2000: 53C50

### 1. Introduction

Unlike in Riemannian Geometry, a closed imbedded submanifold of a complete semi-Riemannian manifold may not be geodesically complete (cf. [7] and [9]). Cheng and Yau [6] have shown that a closed imbedded spacelike hypersurface in Minkowski space is complete in the induced metric if it has constant mean curvature. Harris [7] has shown that the assumption of constant mean curvature in the above result can be replaced by the assumption of bounded principle curvatures. Beem and Ehrlich [1] have obtained sufficient conditions for the geodesic completeness of a Lorentzian hypersurface M in a Minkowski space by assuming that a unit normal vector field on M satisfies an affine growth condition on M. In this paper, we study the geodesic completeness of nondegenerate submanifolds in semi-Euclidean spaces by extending the study of Beem and Ehrlich [1] to semi-Euclidean spaces. From the physical point of view, this extend may have a significance that a semi-Euclidean space contains more variety of Lorentzian submanifolds rather than those of Lorentzian hypersurfaces in a Minkowski space as in [1]. From mathematical point of view, since there is no distinction in the analysis of geodesic completeness of Lorentzian submanifolds and nondegenerate submanifolds in a semi-Euclidean space, we treat the mathematically more general case of nondegenerate submanifolds in a semi-Euclidean space. We first state a slightly more general form of the subaffine growth condition in [1]. Then, we show that, if the Euclidean norm of the second fundamental tensor of a

properly immersed nondegenerate submanifold  $M \in \mathbb{R}^n_{\nu}$  satisfies an affine growth condition along an inextendible geodesic c of M then c is complete. In particular, we show that every properly immersed totally umbilic nondegenerate submanifold  $M \in \mathbb{R}^n_{\nu}$  with dim  $M \ge 2$  is geodesically complete. We call an inextendible geodesic  $c : (a, b) \to M$  of M nonobtuse (respectively, nonacute) with respect to a normal vector field Z on M if  $\dot{c}(t)$  makes a nonobtuse (respectively, nonacute) angle with Z with respect to the auxiliary Euclidean metric on  $\mathbb{R}^n_{\nu}$ . Then, we show that, if an inextendible geodesic  $c : (a, b) \to M$  of a properly immersed nondegenerate submanifold  $M \in \mathbb{R}^n_{\nu}$  is nonacute with respect to the second fundamental form tensor along itself then  $b = \infty$ . For a holomorphic point of view about geodesic completeness see [5].

The new ideas leading to this generalization are the sufficient conditions for algorithms in the proofs of the results in [1]. Indeed these sufficient conditions for the algorithms also work well for the nondegenerate submanifolds in a semi-Euclidean space. For examples, Definition 3.1, that is the geodesic-wise version of the subaffine growth condition in [1], is sufficient for the algorithm showing the geodesic completeness of nondegenerate submanifolds in a semi-Euclidean space. Also, in the case of a Lorentzian hypersurface M in a Minkowski space, timelike geodesics of M makes either nonobtuse or nonacute angle with a normal vector field of M, and hence with the second fundamental form tensor of M along timelike geodesics of M. But the algorithm of its proof only depends on the nonobtuse/nonacute angle it makes with the second fundamental form tensor along these geodesics. Hence, by this observation, it can be generalized to nondegenerate submanifolds of a semi-Euclidean space.

#### 2. Preliminaries

Here, we briefly state the main concepts and definitions used throughout this paper.

 $\mathbb{R}^n_{\nu}$  denote *n*-dimensional semi-Euclidean space with standard metric

$$g = -\sum_{i=1}^{\nu} dx^i \otimes dx^i + \sum_{i=\nu+1}^{n} dx^i \otimes dx^i$$

and let

$$g_E = \sum_{i=1}^n dx^i \otimes dx^i$$

be the associated auxiliary Euclidean metric on  $\mathbb{R}^n_{\nu}$ , where  $1 \leq \nu < n$ . (Notice that both metrics have the same Levi–Civita connection  $\nabla$ ). A vector  $0 \neq v \in \mathbb{R}^n_{\nu}$  is called timelike (respectively, null or spacelike) if g(v,v) < 0 (respectively, g(v,v) = 0 or g(v,v) > 0). An immersion  $f: M \to \mathbb{R}^n_{\nu}$  of a manifold M is called nondegenerate if  $f^*g$  is a (nonsingular) metric on M. Without loss of generality, we shall always assume that  $f^*g$  is not negative definite. By a geodesic of M, we shall always mean a geodesic of  $(M, f^*g)$ . Let  $f: M \to \mathbb{R}^n_{\nu}$  be a nondegenerate immersion of a manifold M. For each  $p \in M$ , we identify  $T_pM$  with  $f_*T_pM \subset T_{f(p)}M$  and denote  $(f_*T_pM)^{\perp}$  by  $T_pM^{\perp}$ . We also identify  $T_p\mathbb{R}^n_{\nu}$  with  $\mathbb{R}^n_{\nu}$  for each  $p \in \mathbb{R}^n_{\nu}$ . The second fundamental form tensor II :  $T_pM \times T_pM \to T_pM^{\perp}$ of a nondegenerate submanifold in a semi-Riemannian manifold  $\mathbb{R}^n_{\nu}$  is defined by  $\mathrm{II}(x,y) = \nabla_x Y^{\perp}$ , where  $Y \in \Gamma TM$  with  $Y_p = y$ ,  $\nabla$  is the Levi-Civita connection on  $\mathbb{R}^n_{\nu}$  and  $\nabla_x Y^{\perp}$  is the component of  $\nabla_x Y$  normal to M. The second fundamental form operator  $L_z: T_p M \to T_p M$  in the direction of  $z \in T_p M^{\perp}$  is defined by  $g(L_z x, y) = g(\Pi(x, y), z)$ , where  $x, y \in T_p M$ . (Thus,  $L_z x = -(\nabla_x Z)^T$ , where Z is a normal extension of z to a neighborhood of  $p \in M$  and  $(\nabla_x Z)^T$  is the component of  $\nabla_x Z$  tangent to M). The second fundamental form  $\Pi_z$  in the direction  $z \in T_p M^{\perp}$  is defined by  $II_z(x,y) = g(L_zx,y)$ , where  $x, y \in T_pM$  (cf. [8, pp. 97–108]). We denote the Euclidean norm of a vector  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n_{\nu}$  by  $\|v\|_E$  (that is  $\|v\| = [g_E(v, v)]^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ).

**Remark 2.1.** Although, in general, the induced metric on  $M^k$  may have any signature  $(p, q), p+q = k, p < \nu$  and cannot be made not negatively defined i.e., (k, 0); indeed we may assume  $f^*g$  on M

is nonnegative definite because in case it is negative definite, we multiply g with -1 and obtain metric  $f^*g$  on M positive definite without changing any concept in the paper. That is we consider the immersion into  $\mathbb{R}^n_{n-\nu}$  instead of  $\mathbb{R}^n_{\nu}$ .

#### 3. Geodesic Completeness of Nondegenerate Submanifolds

Let  $f: M \to \mathbb{R}^n_{\nu}$  be an immersion of a manifold M. Let  $d_M$  and  $L_M(c)$  respectively denote the distance function and the length of a curve c on M in the Riemannian structure of  $(M, f^*g_E)$ . In [1], a normal vector field Z on a nondegenerate submanifold M in (Minkowski space)  $\mathbb{R}^n_1$  is said to satisfy the subaffine growth condition on M if there exist  $p_0 \in M$  and positive constants A, B such that  $\|Z(p)\|_E \leq A + Bd_M(p_0, p)$  for all  $p \in M$  (cf. [1, Definition 3.3]). We now state a slightly more general form of this definition.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $f: M \to \mathbb{R}^n_{\nu}$  be an immersion of a manifold M and let  $c: I \to M$  be a curve. A function  $\varphi$  along c is said to satisfy the affine growth condition along c if there exist a point  $p_0 \in M$  and positive constants A, B (which may depend on c) such that  $|\varphi(t)| \leq A + Bd_M(p_0, c(t))$  for all  $t \in I$ . A function  $\varphi$  on M is said to satisfy the affine growth condition on M if it satisfies the affine growth condition along each curve  $c: I \to M$ . A function  $\varphi$  on M is said to satisfy the subaffine growth condition on M if there exist  $p_0 \in M$  and positive constants A, B such that  $|\varphi(p)| \leq A + Bd_M(p_0, p)$  for all  $p \in M$ .

**Remark 3.2.** Notice that, if a function  $\varphi$  on M satisfies the subaffine growth condition on M then  $\varphi$  satisfies the affine growth condition on M.

Recall that if  $c: (a, b) \to M$  is a geodesic of a nondegenerate submanifold in  $\mathbb{R}^n_{\nu}$  then  $\nabla_{\dot{c}}\dot{c} = \Pi(\dot{c}, \dot{c})$  (cf. [8, p. 103]). Let  $c: (a, b) \to M$  be a geodesic of  $M, t_0 \in (a, b)$  and s = s(t) be arc length of a geodesic segment  $c_{|[t_0,t]}$  in  $(M, f^*g_E)$ . Then, since g and  $g_E$  have the same Levi–Civita connection, it follows from  $\frac{ds}{dt} = \|\dot{c}\| = [g_E(\dot{c}, \dot{c})]^{\frac{1}{2}}$  that  $\frac{d^2s}{dt^2} = g_E(\Pi(\dot{c}, \dot{c}), \dot{c})[g_E(\dot{c}, \dot{c})]^{-\frac{1}{2}} = g_E(\Pi(\dot{c}, \dot{c}), \frac{\dot{c}}{\|\dot{c}\|_E})$ .

**Remark 3.3.** The arc length s of the geodesic c of M which means in  $(M, g_M)$  is taken with respect to induced Euclidean metric on M as indicated in the above paragraph since a geodesic with respect to one metric is still a curve and has an arc length as a curve with respect to the other. Hence  $\frac{ds}{dt} = \|\dot{c}\|$ . So, in the light of the above point the arc length should not be taken with respect to induced semi-Euclidean metric on M which is nonsense in semi-Riemannian geometry since null geodesics have zero arc length function. Consequently there is no confusion of orthogonal space of  $T_pM$  with respect to g and  $g_E$ . In fact, orthogonal space to  $T_pM$  with respect to  $g_E$  is never used.

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $f: M \to \mathbb{R}^n_{\nu}$  be a nondegenerate proper immersion of a manifold M and let  $c: (a,b) \to M$  be an inextendible geodesic of M. If  $\| \Pi(\dot{c},\dot{c}) \|_E$  satisfies the affine growth condition along c then c is complete.

**Proof.** (Following [1]). From Schwartz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2s}{dt^2} &= g_E \left( \Pi(\dot{c}(t), \dot{c}(t)), \frac{\dot{c}(t)}{\|\dot{c}(t)\|}_E \right) \\ &\leq \left| g_E \left( \Pi(\dot{c}(t), \dot{c}(t)), \frac{\dot{c}(t)}{\|\dot{c}(t)\|}_E \right) \right| \\ &\leq \|\Pi(\dot{c}(t), \dot{c}(t))\|_E \\ &\leq A + Bd_M(p_0, c(t)) \\ &\leq A + Bd_M(p_0, c(t_0)) + Bd_M(c(t_0), c(t)) \\ &\leq A' + Bs, \end{aligned}$$

where  $p_0 \in M, t_0 \in (a, b), s(t) = L_M(c \mid_{[t_0, t]})$  and  $A' = A + Bd_M(p_o, c(t_0))$ . Hence, multiplying this inequality with  $\frac{ds}{dt} (= \|\dot{c}\|_E > 0)$  and integrating, we obtain  $\left[\frac{ds}{dt}\right]^2 \leq \lambda + 2A's + Bs^2$ , where  $\lambda = \left[\frac{ds}{dt}(t_0)\right]^2$ . Thus,  $\frac{ds}{dt} \leq [\lambda + 2A's + Bs^2]^{1/2}$ , and therefore

$$\int_{s_0}^{s(b^-)} [\lambda + 2A's + Bs^2]^{-1/2} ds \le \int_{t_0}^{b^-} dt = b^- - t_0,$$

where  $s_0 = s(t_0)$ . On the other hand, since c is inextendible in M and f is proper,

$$\lim_{t \to b^-} s(t) = \infty$$

(cf. [2, p. 64, Lemma 2.52] or [3, p. 102, Lemma 3.65]). Hence, it suffices to show that

$$\int_{s_0}^{\infty} [\lambda + 2A's + Bs^2]^{-1/2} ds = \infty.$$

It is easy to see that there exist  $S \in (s_0, \infty)$  such that  $[\lambda + 2A's + Bs^2]^{1/2} \leq 2\sqrt{Bs}$  for all  $s \geq S$ . Thus,

$$\infty = \int_{S}^{\infty} (1/(2\sqrt{Bs}))ds \le \int_{S}^{\infty} [\lambda + 2A's + Bs^2]^{-1/2}ds.$$

A similar argument shows that  $a = \infty$ .

As a straightforward consequence of the above theorem, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.5.** Let  $f : M \to \mathbb{R}^n_{\nu}$  be a nondegenerate proper immersion of a manifold M. If  $\|\Pi(\dot{c}, \dot{c})\|_E$  satisfies the affine growth condition along every inextendable geodesic  $c : (a, b) \to M$  then M is geodesically complete.

We recall that a nondegenerate submanifold M is called umbilic at  $p \in M$  if there exist a normal vector z to M at p such that II(x, y) = g(x, y)z. M is called totally umbilic if M is umbilic at each  $p \in M$ . Thus, if M is totally umbilic then there is a normal vector field Z on M such that II(X, Y) = g(X, Y)Z, and Z is called the normal curvature vector field, where X, Y are vector fields tangent to M (cf. [8, p. 105]).

**Corollary 3.6.** Let  $f: M \to \mathbb{R}^n_{\nu}$  be a proper immersion of a connected manifold M as a nondegenerate totally umbilic submanifold, where dim  $M \ge 2$ . Then M is geodesically complete.

**Proof.** Let  $c : (a, b) \to M$  be an inextendible geodesic of M. Then, since M is totally umbilic,  $II(\dot{c}, \dot{c}) = g(\dot{c}, \dot{c})Z$ , where Z is the normal curvature vector field. Thus, it suffices to show that  $\|Z\|_E$  satisfies the subaffine growth condition on M. Then, it follows from Theorem 3.4 that M is geodesically complete. Let  $L_Z$  be the second fundamental form operator in the direction Z. Then, for  $X, Y \in \Gamma TM$ ,  $g(L_Z X, Y) = g(II(X, Y), Z) = g(X, Y)g(Z, Z)$ . Thus,  $L_Z = g(Z, Z)id$  on TM, where  $id: TM \to TM$  is the identity homeomorphism. Also, since  $\mathbb{R}^n_{\nu}$  is of constant curvature, it follows that Z is normal parallel, and hence k = g(Z, Z) is constant on M (cf. [8, p. 124, Exercise 6]). Now, let  $p_0 \in M$  and  $p \in M$  be any point. Since, f is a proper immersion,  $(M, f^*g_E)$  is a complete connected Riemannian manifold, and therefore there exist a geodesic  $\gamma : [c, d] \to M$  of  $(M, f^*g_E)$ 

with  $\gamma(c) = p_0, \gamma(d) = p$  and  $L_M(\gamma) = d_M(p_0, p_0)$ . Then, since Z is normal parallel, at  $\gamma(t) \in M$ ,

$$\frac{d}{dt}g_E(Z,Z) = 2g_E(\nabla\gamma'Z,Z)$$

$$= 2g_E([\nabla_{\gamma'}Z]^T,Z)$$

$$= -2g_E(L_Z\gamma',Z)$$

$$= -2kg_E(\gamma',Z)$$

$$\leq 2|k||g_E(\gamma',Z)|$$

$$\leq 2|k|||\gamma'||_E||Z||_E,$$

from Schwarz inequality, where  $[\nabla_{\gamma'}Z]^T$  is the component of  $\nabla_{\gamma'}Z$  tangent to M with respect to metric g. On the other hand, since  $\frac{d}{dt}g_E(Z,Z) = 2\|Z\|_E(\frac{d}{dt}\|Z\|_E)$ , it follows that  $\frac{d}{dt}\|Z\|_E \leq |k|\|\gamma'\|_E$ . Thus,

$$||Z(p)||_{E} \leq ||Z(p_{0})||_{E} + |k| \int_{c}^{d} ||\gamma'||_{E} dt$$
$$= ||Z(p_{0})||_{E} + |k| d_{M}(p_{0}, p).$$

Therefore,  $||Z||_E$  satisfies the subaffine growth condition on M.

**Theorem 3.7.** If  $f: M \to \mathbb{R}^n_{\nu}$  be a proper immersion of a connected manifold M as a nondegenerate totally umbilic submanifold, where dim  $M \ge 2$ , then M is a space form. As a partial converse, every constant curvature hypersurface M in a semi-Euclidean space satisfies affine growth condition.

**Proof.** M is geodesically complete by Corollary 3.6. Since M is totally umbilic then is of constant curvature. Hence M is a space form.

Conversely, let  $c : [0, a) \to M$  be an inextendable geodesic in M. Then, since M is a totally umbilic hypersurface,  $\amalg(\dot{c}, \dot{c}) = g(\dot{c}, \dot{c})Z$ , where Z is the normal curvature vector field of M. Thus it follows as in the above corollary that, there exist constants A and B such that  $\lVert \amalg(\dot{c}(t), \dot{c}(t)) \rVert_E \leq A + Bd_M(c(0), c(t))$  for all  $t \in [0, a)$ .

**Remark 3.8.** Also note that, if  $f: M \to \mathbb{R}^n_{\nu}$  is a proper immersion of a connected manifold M of dimension  $\geq 3$  as a nondegenerate nonzero constant curvature hypersurface then, since M is totally umbilic (cf. [8, p. 117] — which mentions only hypersurfaces, as opposed to the general codimension of this paper), M is complete from Corollary 3.6.

It is also shown in [1] that if M is a properly immersed spacelike submanifold in  $\mathbb{R}_1^n$  which has a unit normal timelike vector field satisfying the subaffine growth condition on M then M is complete (cf. [1, Theorem 3.4]). Proof of this result uses the fact that, if  $z, n \in \mathbb{R}_1^n$  with g(z, z) =-1, g(n, n) = 1, and g(z, n) = 0 then  $\|z\|_E \geq \|n\|_E$ . However, if  $\nu \geq 2$  then  $z, n \in \mathbb{R}_{\nu}^n$  with g(z, z) = -1, g(n, n) = 1, and g(z, n) = 0 implies  $\|z\|_E \geq \|n\|_E$  if  $z^-$  and  $n^-$  are linearly dependent, where  $z^-$  and  $n^-$  are, respectively, the components of z and n in span $\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}, \ldots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\nu}}\}$  (notice that, this is the case when  $\nu = 1$ ). Hence, [1, Theorem 3.4] can also be extended to semi-Riemannian submanifolds in semi-Euclidean spaces with an additional (but highly restrictive) assumption. That is; if  $c : (a, b) \to M$  is a spacelike inextendible geodesic of a properly immersed nondegenerate submanifold M in  $\mathbb{R}_{\nu}^n$  then, c is complete if there exist a unit normal timelike vector field Z to Malong c such that Z satisfies the affine growth condition along c and  $Z^- = h(t)\dot{c}^-$  along c, where his a function along c.

**Definition 3.9.** Let M be a nondegenerate submanifold of  $\mathbb{R}^n_{\nu}$ , c :  $(a,b) \to M$  be an inextendible geodesic of M and Z be a normal vector field to M along c. c is called

nonacute (respectively, nonobtuse) geodesic with respect to Z if  $g_E(Z(t), \dot{c}(t)) \leq 0$  (respectively,  $g_E(Z(t), \dot{c}(t)) \geq 0$ ) for all  $t \geq t_0$  for some  $t_0 \in (a, b)$ .

Examples of nonacute and nonobtuse geodesic can be obtained by intersecting hyperquadrics in  $\mathbb{R}^n_{\nu}$  by the planes through the origin (cf. [8, pp. 108–114]).

**Remark 3.10.** Note that, if c is a nonspacelike geodesic of a timelike hypersurface M in  $\mathbb{R}_1^n$  then c is either nonobtuse or a nonacute geodesic with respect to unit normal on M (cf. [1, Lemma 4.4]). However, a spacelike geodesic of M may be not either nonobtuse or nonacute with respect to Z. Also, a geodesic of a nondegenerate submanifold M of  $\operatorname{codim}(M) \geq 2$  in  $\mathbb{R}_1^n$  may be not either nonobtuse or nonacute.

**Theorem 3.11.** Let  $f: M \to \mathbb{R}^n_{\nu}$  a nondegenerate proper immersion of M. If  $c: (a, b) \to M$  is a nonacute inextendable geodesic with respect to  $\amalg(\dot{c}, \dot{c})$  then  $b = \infty$ .

**Proof.** (Following [1]). Since,  $\frac{d^2s}{dt^2} = g_E(\amalg(\dot{c}(t), \dot{c}(t)), \dot{c}(t)) \leq 0$  for all  $t \geq t_0$  for some  $t_0 \in (a, b)$ ,  $\frac{ds}{dt} = \|\dot{c}\|_E$  is bounded on  $[t_0, b)$ . Thus,

$$\int_{s_0}^{s} ds = \int_{t_0}^{t} \|\dot{c}\|_E \le \Big(\sup_{[t_0,b)} \|\dot{c}\|_E\Big)(t-t_0),$$

where s = s(t) and  $s_0 = s(t_0)$ . On the other hand, since c is inextendible in M and f is proper,

$$\lim_{t \to t_0} s(t) = \infty$$

and therefore  $b = \infty$ .

The sign  $\epsilon$  of a nondegenerate hypersurface M in a semi-Riemannian manifold (M', g) is defined by  $\epsilon = g(Z, Z)$ , where Z is a unit normal vector field to M (cf. [8, p. 106]).

**Corollary 3.12.** Let  $f: M \to \mathbb{R}^n_{\nu}$  be a proper immersion of a manifold M as a nondegenerate hypersurface with sign  $\epsilon = -1$  (respectively,  $\epsilon = 1$ ) and let  $c: (a, b) \to M$  be an inextendible geodesic of M. If unit normal vector field Z is in span $\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}, \ldots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu}\}$  (respectively, in span $\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\nu+1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n}\}$ ) along c then c is complete.

**Proof.** Assume  $\epsilon = -1$ . Let,  $Z = \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} a_i(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i})$  and  $\dot{c} = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i})$  along c, where  $a_i(i = 1, \dots, \nu)$  and  $b_i(i = 1, \dots, n)$  are some functions along c. Then, since  $g(Z, \dot{c}) = 0$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^{\nu} a_i b_i = 0$  along c. Thus,  $g_E(Z, \dot{c}) = 0$ , and it follows from Theorem 3.11 that c is complete since c is a nonacute geodesic with respect to II( $\dot{c}, \dot{c}$ ). Proof of  $\epsilon = 1$  is similar.

**Corollary 3.13.** Let  $f : M \to \mathbb{R}^n_{\nu}$  be a proper immersion of a manifold M as a nondegenerate hypersurface and let Z be a unit normal vector field to M. If  $\coprod_Z(\dot{c}, \dot{c}) \ge 0$  (respectively,  $\coprod_Z(\dot{c}, \dot{c}) \le 0$ ) then every nonacute (respectively, nonobtuse) inextendible geodesic  $c : (a, b) \to M$  with respect to Z has domain  $(a, \infty)$ .

**Proof.** Immediate from Theorem 3.11 since 
$$II(\dot{c}, \dot{c}) = II_Z(\dot{c}, \dot{c})Z$$
.

**Remark 3.14.** Let M be a nondegenerate hypersurface with sign  $\epsilon$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n_{\nu}$ , Z be a unit normal vector field to M and let  $\wp$  be a nondegenerate plane to M. Then, from Gauss equation, the sectional curvature  $K(\wp)$  of  $\wp$  is given by

$$K(\wp) = \frac{\epsilon[\amalg_Z(x,x)\amalg_Z(y,y) - (\amalg_Z(x,y))^2]}{g(x,x)g(y,y) - (g(x,y))^2},$$

where  $\wp = \operatorname{span}\{x, y\}$ . Then, if  $\amalg_Z(x, x) \ge 0$  (respectively, if  $\amalg_Z(x, x) \le 0$ ) for every  $x \in \Gamma TM$  then  $\amalg_Z(x+ty, x+ty) = \amalg_Z(x, x) + 2t \amalg_Z(x, y) + t^2 \amalg_Z(y, y) \ge 0$  (respectively,  $\le 0$ ) for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Thus, in

either case,  $\coprod_Z(x, x) + 2t \amalg_Z(x, y) + t^2 \amalg_Z(y, y) \ge 0$  for every  $\{x, y\}$  which span a nondegenerate plane tangent to M. Hence, if  $\epsilon = 1$  (respectively,  $\epsilon = -1$ ) then the sectional curvatures of nondegenerate planes tangent to M with signature (+, -) are nonpositive (respectively, nonnegative) and the sectional curvatures of nondegenerate planes tangent to M with signature (+, +) or (-, -) are nonpositive (respectively, nonnegative). Thus, if M is of constant curvature C then C = 0. Note also that, if M is of constant curvature (C = 0) then, M is totally geodesic iff M is totally umbilic. (Also see the Remark below Corollary 3.6).

A nondegenerate hypersurface M in  $\mathbb{R}^n_{\nu}$  is called diagonal if its second fundamental form operator is diagonalizable with respect to an orthonormal basis at each point  $p \in M$ 

**Remark 3.15.** Notice that every spacelike hypersurface M in  $\mathbb{R}^n_{\nu}$  is diagonal. Moreover, if principal curvatures of M are bounded then the second fundamental form of M is bounded along the geodesics of M. However, if M is a semi-Riemannian hypersurface in  $\mathbb{R}^n_{\nu}$  then, even if M is diagonal, the fundamental form of M may not be bounded (cf. [1]).

We finally state a special case of Theorem 3.11 for diagonal semi-Riemannian hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^n_{\nu}$  by extending [1, Theorem 4.5] in semi-Euclidean spaces. Let M be a diagonal nondegenerate hypersurface. Then, since the second fundamental form of M is diagonalizable, let  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_{\nu'}, e_{\nu'+1}, \ldots, e_{n'}\}$  be the principle directions with corresponding principle curvatures  $\{k_1, \ldots, k_{\nu'}, k_{\nu'+1}, \ldots, k_{n'}\}$  with respect to a unit normal vector field Z at each point  $p \in M$ , where

$$\nu' = \begin{cases} \nu & \text{if } \epsilon = 1\\ \nu - 1 & \text{if } \epsilon = -1 \end{cases}, \quad n' = n - 1$$

and

$$g(e_i, e_i) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{for } 1 \le i \le \nu' \\ 1 & \text{for } \nu' + 1 \le i \le n' \end{cases}$$

**Corollary 3.16.** Let  $f: M \to \mathbb{R}^n_{\nu}$  be a proper immersion of a manifold M as a diagonal semi-Riemannian hypersurface of sign  $\epsilon$  and let Z be a unit normal vector field on M, where  $n \ge 2$ . If the principle curvatures of M with respect to Z satisfy  $\min\{k_1, \ldots, k_{\nu'}\} \ge 0 \ge \max\{k_{\nu'+1}, \ldots, k_{n'}\}$ (respectively,  $\min\{k_{\nu'+1}, \ldots, k_{n'}\} \ge 0 \ge \max\{k_1, \ldots, k_{\nu'}\}$ ) at each point  $p \in M$  then every nonobtuse timelike (respectively, nonacute spacelike) inextendible geodesic  $c: (a, b) \to M$  with respect to Z has domain  $(a, \infty)$ .

**Proof.** We shall only prove the case when c is nonspacelike. Proof of the case when c is spacelike is similar. Let  $k_0 = \min\{k_1, \ldots, k_{\nu'}\}$  and  $K_0 = \max\{k_{\nu'+1}, \ldots, kn'\}$  at  $p \in M$ . Then, for all nonspacelike  $u \in T_pM$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{Z}(u,u) &= -\sum_{i=1}^{\nu'} k_{i}(g(u,e_{i}))^{2} + \sum_{i=\nu'+1}^{n'} k_{i}(g(u,e_{i}))^{2} \\ &\leq -k_{0} \sum_{i=1}^{\nu'} (g(u,e_{i}))^{2} + K_{0} \sum_{i=\nu'+1}^{n'} (g(u,e_{i}))^{2} \\ &\leq k_{0} \left[ -\sum_{i=1}^{\nu'} (g(u,e_{i}))^{2} + \sum_{i=\nu'+1}^{n'} (g(u,e_{i}))^{2} \right] \\ &= k_{0}g(u,u) \\ &\leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then, from Theorem 3.11, every inextendible nonobtuse nonspacelike geodesic of M with respect to Z has domain $(a, \infty)$ .

Note that, if M is a Lorentzian hypersurface in  $\mathbb{R}^n_{\nu}$  with sign  $\epsilon$  then,  $\epsilon = -1$  iff  $\nu = 1$ , and  $\epsilon = 1$  iff  $\nu = 2$ . In [1] and [4], Beem and Ehrlich studied the nonspacelike geodesic completeness of spacetimes which can be isometrically immersed in  $\mathbb{R}^n_1$  as diagonal Lorentzian hypersurfaces with nonvanishing second fundamental form. In the remarks below, we discuss the difference between the Lorentzian hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^n_1$  and  $\mathbb{R}^n_2$ .

### 4. Remarks

- 1. Every Lorentzian hypersurface in  $\mathbb{R}_1^n$  is necessarily stably causal (cf. [1]). However, a Lorentzian hypersurface in  $\mathbb{R}_2^n$  may contain closed timelike curves, for example, the pseudohyperbolic space  $\mathbb{H}_1^n$  contains closed timelike curves (cf. [8, p. 229]).
- 2. If M is a Lorentzian hypersurface in  $\mathbb{R}_1^n$  then a unit normal vector field can be chosen so that every future directed (respectively, past directed) nonspacelike geodesic of M is nonobtuse (respectively, nonacute) (cf. [1]). However, if M is a Lorentzian hypersurface in  $\mathbb{R}_2^n$  then a future directed nonspacelike geodesic may be neither nonobtuse nor nonacute with respect to a unit normal vector field. (For example, elliptic timelike geodesics of pseudohyperbolic space  $\mathbb{H}_1^n$  are not either nonabtuse or nonacute).

An example of a spacetime which can be isometrically immersed in  $\mathbb{R}_2^n$  as a Lorentzian hypersurface is the universal anti-de Sitter spacetime  $\mathbb{H}_1^n$  which is the universal covering space of the pseudo hyperbolic space  $\mathbb{H}_1^n$ .  $\mathbb{H}_1^n$  is a causally simple (but not globally hyperbolic) spacetime with constant curvature C < 0 (cf. [8, p. 229]).

#### References

- J. K. Beem and P. E. Ehrlich, Geodesic completeness of submanifolds in Minkowski space, Geom. Dedicata 18 (1985) 213–226.
- [2] J. K. Beem and P. E. Ehrlich, Global Lorentzian Geometry (Marcel Dekker, New York, 1981).
- [3] J. K. Beem, P. E. Ehrlich and K. L. Easley, *Global Lorentzian Geometry*, 2nd edn. (Marcel Dekker, New York, 1996).
- [4] J. K. Beem and P. E. Ehrlich, Incompleteness of timelike submanifolds with nonvanishing second fundamental form, Gen. Rel. Grav. 17 (1985) 293–300.
- [5] C. Meneghini, A holomorphic point of view about geodesic completeness, J. Nonlinear Math. Phys. 11(3) (2004) 297–324.
- S.-Y. Cheng and S.-T. Yau, Maximal spacelike hypersurfaces in the Lorenz Minkowski spaces, Math. Ann. 104 (1976) 407–419.
- [7] S. G. Harris, Closed and complete spacelike hypersurfaces in Minkowski space, Class. Quantum Grav. 5 (1988) 111–119.
- [8] B. O'Neill, Semi-Riemannian Geometry (Academic Press, New York, 1983).
- [9] R. Schneider, Zur affinen Differentialgeometrie im Grossen I, Math Z. 101 (1967) 375–406.