

# Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Research, Implementation, and Education of Mathematics and Sciences (ICRIEMS 2020)

## The Learning Continuum of Biological Resource Management Aspects Reviewed From the Difficulty Levels Based on Elementary School Teachers' Opinions

 $Hanida\ Listiani^{1,*}\ Bambang\ Subali^2$ 

## **ABSTRACT**

This research aims to investigate teachers' opinionson the teaching and assessment of biological resource management aspects reviewed from their difficulty level in order to develop a curriculum. This is survey research whose sample members were selected through the convenience sampling technique. In this study, the convenience sample covers 156 respondents as the representatives of the hypothetical population having the determined characteristics. They consisted of elementary school teachers of grade I to VI in two regencies/cities in the Special Region of Yogyakarta, namely Yogyakarta municipality and Bantul regency. Data were collected by means of questionnaires and analyzed by using descriptive analysis techniques. Based on the findings of this study, elementary school teachers would opine that the aspects of biological resource managementare at level 2 (easy) and level 3 (somewhat difficult). These aspectsare proposed to be taught and assessed in grade IV and VIof elementary schools and grade VII of junior high schools.

Keywords: Learning Continuum, Biological resource management, difficulty level, elementary school.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The Indonesian government has released a new curriculum in 2013, namely the 2013 curriculum (K13). The curriculum implemented in Indonesia should be formulated based on the level of students' development [1]. The learning process should be appropriate for the students' development in each level of education, so that students can master sustainable competencies [2]. Likewise, the implementation of the learning process should be able to support the educational goals to be achieved [3]. A curriculum has some main components including the competences that will be achieved and teaching materials that will be studied in the learning process [4].

Learning materials are the contents of the learning activities striven to be mastered by students [5]. In fact, the existing teaching materials have not met the needs of students [6]. The materials taught in the learning process are still overlapping and incompatible with the

development of learners [7]. Determination of basic competencies, therefore, should pay attention to the depth of the materials so that the contents presented in the textbooks can be the main sources of learning that guide students to achieve their target competences. Ideally, the content knowledge provided in the learning materials should be managed in some levels of difficulty (easy to difficult), from elementary schools (ESs) to senior high schools (SHSs). For example, the learning materials introduced in ESs are basic and easy, and they are continued to be explored in JHSs while holding the characteristics of the simplicity of delivery. Then, the learning materials are further strengthened and elaborated at the SHS level. A strong foundation in primary sciences is a prerequisite for whatever sciences to be taught at the other levels [8].

In addition, the implementation of the curriculum should be assessed periodically[9]. The learning process may not be effective if the development of the curriculum does not continuously pay attention to the

<sup>\*1</sup> Biology Education, Postgraduate Program, Yogyakarta State University, Jl. Colombo No. 1, Karang Malang, Yogyakarta, 55281, Indonesia

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Biology Education, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Science, Yogyakarta State University, Jl. Colombo No. 1, Karang Malang, Yogyakarta, 55281, Indonesia

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. Email: hanidalistiani.2018@student.uny.ac.id



essence of sustainable materials in every level of education [1]. Therefore, the reference for the formulation of a framework in the form of a functional learning continuum as a learning target is needed [10].

Learning continuum gives many benefits in the learning process as it functions as a basis in selecting the learning materials and sources which are suitable with the learners, and it can be used by teachers to develop individuals' learning and lesson plans, and it is the core principle to compose, fix, and develop the curriculum [11],[12],[13].

In addition, learning continuum should start to be considered and arranged to support the process of achieving learning objectives. The process of preparing a continuous learning must be able to reflect the scientific construct that is sequential according to the development of students [1]. The scope of the learning materials in continuous learning in the conceptual knowledge is formulated based on the characteristics of the learners so that learning becomes more focused and more suitable with the ability of the learners [14]. Learning continuum, in other words, can be developed based on the teachers' opinions because they directly deal with students [4].

With regard to the research context, Biology has diverse topics that are taught to students, including the aspects of morphology of living things, anatomy of living creatures, physiology of living things, diversity of living things, management of biological resources, classifications of living things, ecological, genetics, evolution, and the physical and chemical topics[15]. Regarding this, the biological resource management is an important aspect to be taught to students. Giving this aspect to students is expected to increase their concern for nature and to be wiser in exploiting nature. The assessment of the materials contained in this aspect hence becomes necessary to be carried out so that every material provided is in accordance with the development of the students.

The learning continuum regarding aspects of biological resource management has been reported by Kusumawati et al. (2019) [3]. Kusumawati et al. (2019) reported the learning continuum on aspects of biological resource management was formed based on the level of competence and the characteristics of specific pedagogical material with the respondents were consisted of biological education experts. The findings showed that this aspect has been taught in the seventh grade junior high school with cognitive level C2 (understanding) and in the tenth grade senior high school with cognitive level C3 (applying). Based on the findings of research conducted by Kusumawati et al. (2019), aspects of biological resource management have not been taught at the elementary school, if seen from the characteristics of the material, this aspect should have been taught starting from the elementary level. Based on this rationale, the researchers were interested in conducting a research study to reveal the difficulty levels of content knowledge or teaching materials to arrange a learning continuum framework for aspects of the biological resource management based on the opinions of thematic teachers. The findings are expected to contribute to the development of the more appropriate curriculum in elementary schools.

## 2. METHOD

This is descriptive research harnessing a survey method to investigate the opinions of some ES teachers on the teaching and the assessment of biological resource management aspects in their school. This study was conducted in two regencies/cities in the Special Region of Yogyakarta province, from March to May 2020. The selected areas were Bantul regency and Yogyakarta municipality. This location selection was carried out to obtain different sample characteristics, the samples or respondents who taught at two places with different regional characteristics, they were regency and municipality/city, so that the data obtained was more comprehensive. Daniel's (1989) principles determining the research population and sample were employed. Meanwhile, the sample members were chosen by the convenience sampling technique, i.e. those who are easy to find [16]. The hypothetical population in this research includes teachers in Public Elementary Schools(ESs) in the Special Region of Yogyakarta. The convenience sample members consist of 156 respondents as representatives of the hypothetical population who had the sample characteristics. The 156 participating teachers taught students from grade I to VI in the respective regencies. There were 14 public ESs in Bantul regency and 12 public ESs in Yogyakarta municipality. The participating teachers in grade I to VI consists of 26 respondents for each grade. Whilst the variable investigated in this study was the presentation of the sequence of the specific pedagogical material in the biological natural resource management aspect, based on their difficulty level.

The data were collected by using questionnaires, a non-test instrument, distributed to the respondents (teachers). The questionnaire had been previously validated by an instrument expert in February 2020. Aspects of biological resource management are presented in the questionnaire that consists of five subaspects. They include kinds of biological natural resources, conservation efforts of biological natural resources, the benefits of biological natural resources, the balance of nature, and the nature conservation. While the difficulty levels are depicted in the five-point scales (1) "very easy", (2)"easy", (3)"somewhatdifficult", (4)"difficult", (5)"very difficult".

Data were analyzed by using a descriptive analysis technique which served the mode of respondents' opinions obtained from the questionnaires. The mode shows the agreement between respondents (ES teachers) and it can be seen when the aspects or sub-aspects are mostly taught and how their difficulty levels are so they



can be appropriately arranged in the learning continuum of the biological resource management aspects.

## 3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The opinions about the biological resource management aspects that should be taught at school were obtained

from 156 thematic teachers from Public Elementary Schools in two regencies in the Special Region of Yogyakarta. The data on the difficulty levels of the biological resource management aspects are summarized in Table 1.

**Table 1.** The percentages of the opinions of ES teachers regarding the level of difficulty of the biological resource management aspects

Level 1 (Very   Easy)	Difficulty	Biological Resource Management	Mode	Grade	Logical/ Illogical
Level 2 (easy)	levels	Aspects			
Level 2 (easy)		-	-	-	
A. Plant   52%   IV   Logical	Level 2 (easy)				
b. Agricultur and Plantation   51%   IV   Logical			500/	15.7	Lasiaal
C. Animal, Livestock and fishery   Sub aspects: Conservation efforts of biological resources   a. In-situ maintenance   1) Wildlife sanctuary   51%   IV dan VI   Illogical, only taught in IV   2) National park   52%   IV   Logical   3) Nature preserve   50%   IV dan VI   Illogical, only taught in IV   Logical   4) Sea park   50%   IV dan VI   Illogical, only taught in IV   Logical   1) Botanical garden   52%   IV   Logical   10   Logical   2) Zoo   52%   IV   Logical   2) Zoo   52%   IV   Logical   20   20   20   20   20   20   20   2					
Sub aspects: Conservation efforts of biological resources					
biological resources			52%	IV	Logicai
a. In-situ maintenance					
1) Wildlife sanctuary					
2   National park   52%   IV   Logical			510/	I\/ dan \/I	Illogical only taught in IV
3   Nature preserve   50%   IV   Logical					
A   Sea park   50%   IV dan VI   Illogical, only taught in IV					
b. Ex-situ maintenance					
1) Botanical garden   52%   IV   Logical   2) Zoo   52%   IV   Logical   3) Forest park botanical   52%   IV   Logical   3) Forest park botanical   52%   IV   Logical   45%   IV   Logical   45%   VI   Logical   45%			50%	iv dan vi	mogical, only taught in TV
2) Zoo   52%   IV   Logical			520/	IV/	Logical
3) Forest park botanical garden c. Conservation efforts of biological conservation efforts of biological resources artificially d. Conservation efforts of biological resources naturally Sub aspect: The benefit of biological resources a. The benefit in the environmental field b. The benefit of biology c. The benefit of economic field 50% IV dan VI Illogical, only taught in IV Sub aspects: The balance of nature a. The harmony of nature b. The conservation and preservation Sub aspects: The nature conservation a. The conservation with general purposes 1) The tight conservation 43% VII Logical 2) The guide conservation 41% VI Illogical, replaced VII b. The conservation with certain purposes 1) Geological protection 32% VI Illogical, replaced VII 3) Botany conservation 32% VI Illogical, replaced VII 3) Botany conservation 32% VI Illogical, replaced VII 4) Zoology conservation 32% VI Illogical, replaced VII 4) Zoology conservation 33% VI Illogical, replaced VII Level 4 (Difficult) Level 5 (Very					
Garden   C. Conservation efforts of biological resources artificially   G. Conservation efforts of biological resources naturally   Sub aspect: The benefit of biological resources naturally   Sub aspect: The benefit of biological resources   Substitution   Su					
resources artificially   d. Conservation efforts of biological resources naturally   Sub aspect: The benefit of biological resources   a. The benefit of biology   54%   IV   Logical			32 /0	IV	Logical
d. Conservation efforts of biological resources naturally Sub aspect: The benefit of biological resources a. The benefit in the environmental field b. The benefit of biology c. The benefit of economic field Sub aspects: The balance of nature a. The harmony of nature 51% VI logical  Level 3 (Somewhat Difficult)  Sub aspects: The nature conservation a. The conservation with general purposes 1) The tight conservation 44% VI lllogical, replaced VII Logical  Level 3 (Somewhat Difficult)  Sub aspects: The nature conservation a. The conservation with general purposes 1) The tight conservation 44% VI lllogical, replaced VII Logical  Level 3 (Somewhat Difficult)  Sub aspects: The nature conservation a. The conservation with general purposes 1) The tight conservation 43% VII Logical 1 lllogical, replaced VII 2) The guide conservation 41% VI lllogical, replaced VII 2) Anthropology protection 32% VI lllogical, replaced VII 3) Botany conservation 32% VI lllogical, replaced VII 4) Zoology conservation 33% VI lllogical, replaced VII 4) Zoology conservation 33% VI lllogical, replaced VII 4) Zoology conservation 53% VI lllogical, replaced VII 1 llogical, replaced VII 2 lllogical, replaced VII 3 lllogical, replaced VII 4 Zoology conservation 33% VI lllogical, replaced VII			45%	VI	Logical
resources naturally   Sub aspect: The benefit of biological resources   a. The benefit in the environmental field   b. The benefit of biology   54%   IV   Logical			53%	VI	Logical
resources		resources naturally			3
resources		Sub aspect: The benefit of biological			
Field		resources			
b. The benefit of biology c. The benefit of economic field Sub aspects: The balance of nature a. The harmony of nature 51% VI llogical, replaced VII  Evel 3 (Somewhat Difficult)  Difficult)  Level 3  (Somewhat Difficult)  Difficult)  Level 3  (Somewhat Difficult)  Difficult)  Difficult  Difficult		a. The benefit in the environmental	53%	IV	Logical
c. The benefit of economic field Sub aspects: The balance of nature a. The harmony of nature 51% VI logical  Level 3 (Somewhat Difficult)  Sub aspects: The nature conservation and presevation a. The conservation with general purposes 1) The tight conservation b. The conservation 43% VII Logical 2) The guide conservation 41% VI lllogical, replaced VII  Logical 2) The conservation 41% VI lllogical, replaced VII b. The conservation with certain purposes 1) Geological protection 2) Anthropology protection 32% VI lllogical, replaced VII 2) Anthropology protection 33% VI lllogical, replaced VII 4) Zoology conservation 4) Zoology conservation 4) Zoology conservation 4) Zoology conservation 2					
Sub aspects: The balance of nature   a. The harmony of nature   51%   VI   logical					
a. The harmony of nature 51% VI logical  Level 3 (Somewhat Difficult)  Difficult)  Sub aspects: The nature conservation  a. The conservation with general purposes  1) The tight conservation  2) The guide conservation  b. The conservation 43% VII Logical  2) The guide conservation  b. The conservation 41% VI Illogical, replaced VII  b. The conservation with certain purposes  1) Geological protection  2) Anthropology protection  32% VI Illogical, replaced VII  2) Anthropology protection  33% VI Illogical, replaced VII  4) Zoology conservation  32% VI Illogical, replaced VII  4) Zoology conservation  4) Zoology conservation  Level 4 (Difficult)  Level 5 (Very			50%	IV dan VI	Illogical, only taught in IV
Level 3 (Somewhat Difficult)  b. The conservation and presevation Sub aspects: The nature conservation a. The conservation with general purposes 1) The tight conservation 43% VII Logical 2) The guide conservation b. The conservation 41% VI Illogical, replaced VII b. The conservation with certain purposes 1) Geological protection 2) Anthropology protection 32% VI Illogical, replaced VII 2) Anthropology protection 33% VI Illogical, replaced VII 3) Botany conservation 4) Zoology conservation 32% VI Illogical, replaced VII 4) Zoology conservation 33% VI Illogical, replaced VII 4) Zoology conservation 5 VI Illogical, replaced VII 6 VI Illogical, replaced VII 7 VI Illogical, replaced VII 8 VI Illogical, replaced VII 9 VI Illogical, replaced VII 1 VI Illogical, replaced VII 2 VI Illogical, replaced VII 3 VI Illogical, replaced VII 4 VI Illogical, replaced VII					
(Somewhat Difficult)  presevation Sub aspects: The nature conservation a. The conservation with general purposes 1) The tight conservation 43% VII Logical 2) The guide conservation b. The conservation with certain purposes 1) Geological protection 2) Anthropology protection 32% VI Illogical, replaced VII 2) Anthropology protection 33% VI Illogical, replaced VII 3) Botany conservation 4) Zoology conservation 33% VI Illogical, replaced VII 4) Zoology conservation 33% VI Illogical, replaced VII 4) Zoology conservation 33% VI Illogical, replaced VII Level 4 (Difficult)  Level 5 (Very					
Difficult)  Sub aspects: The nature conservation  a. The conservation with general purposes  1) The tight conservation  2) The guide conservation  b. The conservation with certain purposes  1) Geological protection  2) Anthropology protection  32% VI Illogical, replaced VII  2) Anthropology protection  33% VI Illogical, replaced VII  3) Botany conservation  4) Zoology conservation  Level 4  (Difficult)  Level 5 (Very			44%	VI	Illogical, replaced VII
conservation a. The conservation with general purposes  1) The tight conservation 43% VII Logical 2) The guide conservation 41% VI Illogical, replaced VII b. The conservation with certain purposes 1) Geological protection 2) Anthropology protection 32% VI Illogical, replaced VII 2) Anthropology protection 33% VI Illogical, replaced VII 3) Botany conservation 4) Zoology conservation 4) Zoology conservation  Level 4 (Difficult)  Level 5 (Very					
a. The conservation with general purposes  1) The tight conservation 43% VII Logical  2) The guide conservation 41% VI Illogical, replaced VII  b. The conservation with certain purposes  1) Geological protection 32% VI Illogical, replaced VII  2) Anthropology protection 33% VI Illogical, replaced VII  3) Botany conservation 32% VI Illogical, replaced VII  4) Zoology conservation 33% VI Illogical, replaced VII  Level 4  (Difficult)  Level 5 (Very	Difficult)				
purposes 1) The tight conservation 43% VII Logical 2) The guide conservation 41% VI Illogical, replaced VII b. The conservation with certain purposes 1) Geological protection 32% VI Illogical, replaced VII 2) Anthropology protection 33% VI Illogical, replaced VII 3) Botany conservation 32% VI Illogical, replaced VII 4) Zoology conservation 33% VI Illogical, replaced VII Level 4 (Difficult) Level 5 (Very					
1) The tight conservation 43% VII Logical 2) The guide conservation 41% VI Illogical, replaced VII b. The conservation with certain purposes 1) Geological protection 32% VI Illogical, replaced VII 2) Anthropology protection 33% VI Illogical, replaced VII 3) Botany conservation 32% VI Illogical, replaced VII 4) Zoology conservation 33% VI Illogical, replaced VII Level 4 (Difficult) Level 5 (Very		<u> </u>			
2) The guide conservation 41% VI Illogical, replaced VII b. The conservation with certain purposes 1) Geological protection 32% VI Illogical, replaced VII 2) Anthropology protection 33% VI Illogical, replaced VII 3) Botany conservation 32% VI Illogical, replaced VII 4) Zoology conservation 33% VI Illogical, replaced VII Level 4 (Difficult) Level 5 (Very			400/	\/II	Lagiant
b. The conservation with certain purposes  1) Geological protection 32% VI Illogical, replaced VII 2) Anthropology protection 33% VI Illogical, replaced VII 3) Botany conservation 32% VI Illogical, replaced VII 4) Zoology conservation 33% VI Illogical, replaced VII Level 4 CDifficult) Level 5 (Very					
Durposes   1) Geological protection   32%   VI   Illogical, replaced VII			41%	VI	illogical, replaced VII
1) Geological protection   32%   VI   Illogical, replaced VII   2) Anthropology protection   33%   VI   Illogical, replaced VII   3) Botany conservation   32%   VI   Illogical, replaced VII   4) Zoology conservation   33%   VI   Illogical, replaced VII   Level 4   -					
2) Anthropology protection 33% VI Illogical, replaced VII 3) Botany conservation 32% VI Illogical, replaced VII 4) Zoology conservation 33% VI Illogical, replaced VII Level 4 (Difficult) Level 5 (Very			32%	\/I	Illogical replaced VII
3) Botany conservation 32% VI Illogical, replaced VII 4) Zoology conservation 33% VI Illogical, replaced VII Level 4 (Difficult) Level 5 (Very					
4) Zoology conservation 33% VI Illogical, replaced VII  Level 4  (Difficult)  Level 5 (Very					
Level 4 (Difficult)  Level 5 (Very					
(Difficult) Level 5 (Very	Level 4	- 20010gy Conservation	33 /0		illogical, replaced VII
Level 5 (Very		_	_	_	
		-	-	-	
	Difficult)				



Table 1 shows that the ES teachers' views regarding the level of difficulty of the biological resource management aspects. It is apparent that several subaspects, such as kinds of biological natural resources, conservation efforts of biological resources and the benefit of biological resources have the same level of difficulty, which is at level 2 (easy). This means that according to the teacher's opinions, these sub-aspects' characteristics and scope are easy. Several other subaspects, such as the balance of nature and the nature conservation are at level 3 (somewhat difficult). Based on Subali & Suyata, if the sub-aspects that are easy are learned, they can be taught for students at the beginning level [17]. Piaget argues that each stage of child development has different characteristics of cognitive development, such as the sensory-motor (0-1.5 years), pre-operational (1.5-6 years), concrete operational (6-12 years), and the formal stage (12 years and over) [18]. While generally, students at the elementary school level in Indonesia are at the age of 6-12.

Furthermore, from Table 1 it can be seen that several sub-aspects of the biological resource management, such as kinds of biological natural resources, conservation efforts of biological resources and the benefit of biological resources begin to be taught and assessed in grade IV of ESs. Several indicators, including indicator (a) about in-situ maintenance (wildlife sanctuary and sea park) in the sub-aspect of conservation efforts of biological resources, and indicator (c) about the benefit of the economic field in the sub-aspect of the benefit of biological resources, will continue to be taught in the sixth grade of elementary schools. The choice of grade level of teachers' opinions is illogical, and it will be better if these indicators are taught in the fourth grade only. Thus, there is no need to be taught again in the sixth grade. This is in line with the idea, that any learning materials should not be taught in higher levels of education if they have been taught in the previous level [3]. Several other indicators in the sub-aspect of conservation efforts of biological resources, including indicator (c) Conservation efforts of biological resources artificially, and (d) Conservation efforts of biological resources naturally are started to be taught and assessed in the sixth grade of elementary school.

According to Table 1, The sub-aspect of the balance of nature should be taught and assessed in the sixth grade of ESs. The difficulty level mode found in the two indicators on sub-aspects of nature conservation is different, as indicator (a) the harmony of nature is found

at level 2 (easy) and (b)the conservation and preservation is found at level 3 (somewhat difficult). These two indicators are taught in the sixth grade of ESs. If viewed from the content of the material, this sub-aspect has a large and abstract material scope. The material presented in the sub-aspect of the balance of nature is very large, including the earth, atmosphere, hydrosphere, lithosphere and biosphere as well as the conservation and preservation of nature. Based on the stages of children's cognitive development suggested by Piaget, students at the ES level can think logically, but are still limited to concrete things so the learning process still needs to be supported by demonstrations. The more abstract a learning material is, the more difficult the material is for the students. The more difficult the material is, the higher the target learners' level should be. As students at the junior and senior high school level (over 12 years) are able to think abstractly, logically, and ideally, they can analyze a problem scientifically, make a hypothesis, and then solve the problem. Therefore, indicator (b) in this sub-aspect is not suitable for ES students. The sub-aspect of the balance of nature, based on this study, should be taught in the seventh grade of junior high schools (JHSs) as it's difficulty level is at level 3 (somewhat difficult).

The data from Table 1 also show the mode found in all indicators in the sub-aspect of the nature conservation, which is at level 3 (somewhat difficult). Several indicators on the sub-aspect of the nature conservation are started to be taught and assessed in the sixth grade of ESs. Based on the teachers' opinions, when some indicators in this sub-aspect should be taught and assessed are illogical considering the difficulty level that found in this sub-aspect and characteristics of elementary school students. This implies that students need to be directly involved by visiting places that are used as actual examples of the nature conservation so that they can fully understand the material. This study suggests that this sub-aspect should be taught in the seventh grade of JHSs as it's level of difficulty is at level 3 (somewhat difficult). This would be possible since JHS students are able to think abstractly and analyze any problem raised by the teacher.

All of the data on the difficulty level of the biological resource management aspects that have been obtained and based on logical or illogical considerations were then developed into a learning continuum framework for the biological resource management aspect as presented in Table 2.



Table 2. The learning continuum framework for aspects of biological resource management.

Difficulty levels	Distanted December	Education Level							
Difficulty levels	Biological Resource		-0			01	10		
	Management Aspects		S	SJ		Sh			
1 1 4 () / - m -		Mode	Grade	Mode	Grade	Mode	Grade		
Level 1 (Very	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Easy) Level 2 (easy)	Sub aspects: Kinds of biological								
Level 2 (easy)	natural resources								
	a. Plant	52%	IV	-	_	-	-		
		51%	IV			_	_		
	b. Agricultur and Plantation	52%	IV	-	-	-	-		
	c. Animal, Livestock and fishery	52%	IV	-	-	-	-		
	Sub aspects: Conservation efforts								
	of biological resources								
	a. In-situ maintenance	F40/	1\/						
	1) Wildlife sanctuary	51%	IV	-	-	-	-		
	2) National park	52%	IV	-	-	-	-		
	Nature preserve	50%	IV	-	-	-	-		
	4) Sea park	50%	IV	-	-	-	-		
	b. Ex-situ maintenance								
	<ol> <li>Botanical garden</li> </ol>	52%	IV	-	-	-	-		
	2) Zoo	52%	IV	-	-	-	-		
	Forest park botanical	52%	IV	-	-	-	-		
	garden								
	c. Conservation efforts of	45%	VI	-	-	-	-		
	biological resources artificially								
	d. Conservation efforts of	53%	VI	-	-	-	-		
	biological resources naturally								
	Sub aspect: The benefit of								
	biological resources								
	a. The benefit in the	53%	IV	-	-	-	-		
	environmental field								
	b. The benefit of biology	54%	IV	-	-	-	-		
	c. The benefit of economic field	50%	IV	-	-	-	-		
	Sub aspects: The balance of								
	nature								
	a. The harmony of nature	51%	VI	-	-	-	-		
Level 3	b. The conservation and	-	-	44%	VII	-	-		
(Somewhat	presevation								
Difficult)	Sub aspects: The nature								
	conservation								
	a. The conservation with general								
	purposes			400/	\ //I				
	1) The tight conservation	-	-	43%	VII	-	-		
	2) The guide conservation	-	-	41%	VII	-	-		
	b. The conservation with certain								
	purposes			000/	\ ///				
	1) Geological protection	-	-	32%	VII	-	-		
	2) Anthropology protection	-	-	33%	VII	-	-		
	3) Botany conservation	-	-	32%	VII	-	-		
	4) Zoology conservation	-	-	33%	VII	-	-		
Level 4 (Difficult)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Level 5 (Very	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Difficult)									



Table 2 shows the continuity of learning materials on the aspects of biological resource management, in terms of the difficulty level perceived by the participating teachers. Sub-aspectsat level 2 (*easy*) are proposed to be taught in the fourth and sixth grade of ESs, while those at level 3 (somewhat difficult) should be taught in the seventh grade of JHSs.

According to Feiman-Nemser (2001), teachers should understand any subjects they teach if they are responsible for supporting their students learn meaningful content [19]. Identifying the difficulty level of the learning materials is an important point in teaching biology to help the students to better understand them. It is also stated by Anggara et al., that the difficulty of the learning materials should be considered for the appropriate learning process and can resolve the obstacle that may appear in the next learning process [21]. The appropriate arrangement of the learning continuum of biological resource management aspects is important for supporting learning and for obtaining the best learning outcomes that are assessed based on the learning objectives [3]. Learning continuum allows teachers to understand the learning objectives generally and specifically, acts as a reference for teachers in introducing the learning materials from easy to difficult, monitoring the differences of students' learning outcomes, and selecting instructional strategies that best suit the characteristics of the learners [22]. This consistency is supported by a rigorously monitored and integrated teacher training focused developmental path of the learners [23].

### 4. CONCLUSION

Based on the results of this study, the aspects of biological resource management currently taught at school are mostly perceived as *easy* (level 2) and some sub aspects are at level 3 (*somewhat difficult*). This suggests that these aspects have the characteristics and scope of material that are easy to a little difficult. Finally, the present study suggests that the aspects of biological resource management be taught and assessed from the fourth and sixth grades of elementary schools to the seventh grade of junior high schools.

## **AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS**

The Author contributions are in the development of instrumens, data collection and processing and reporting of research of result.

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The researchers would like to thank the Ministry of Research, Technology, and Higher Education of the Republic of Indonesia for its support to this research. Thanks also go to the participating teachers of elementary schools in Bantul regency and Yogyakarta municipality for their major contribution to this research.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Situmorang R P 2016 Analisis Learning Continuum Tingkat SD sampai SMP pada Tema Sistem Pencernaan Manusia *Scholaria*, 2016, 61-13
- [2] Sugihartono, Fathiyah K N, Setiawati F A, Harahap F and Nurhayati S R. *Psikologi Pendidikan* (Yogyakarta: UNY Press). 2007.
- [3] Kusumawati M U, Subali B and Paidi. Developing A Learning Continuum of Biological Resources Management Aspect from Elementary School to Senior High School Based on The Experts' Opinion *Jurnal of Physics:conference series*, 2019, vol 1397 pp 1-9
- [4] Pramesti I C and Subali B. The Learning Continuum of ecology based on Tearhers's Opinions about student's Level of Competence and Specific Pedagogical Learning Material on Physiological Aspects from Tearhers's Opinions AIP Conference Proceedings, 2017, vol 1868 pp 1-10
- [5] Pane A and Dasopang, M D. Belajar dan Pembelajaran *Fitrah Jurnal Kajian Ilmu-Ilmu Keislaman*, 2017, 03(2), 333–352.
- [6] Meilan, A. 2018 Pengembangan Bahan Ajar Mata Kuliah Penulisan Kreatif Bermuatan Nilai-Nilai Pendidikan Karakter Religius bagi Mahasiswa Prodi PBSI, FKIP, UNISSULA. *Jurnal Kredo*, 2018, 1(2), 71–90.
- [7] Astuti L D and Subali B. Teacher's Opinion about Learning Continuum based on The student's Level of Competence and Specific Pedagogical Material on Anatomical Aspects AIP Conference Proceedings, 2017, vol 1868 pp 1-12
- [8] Mumuni A A O, Dike J W and Uzuma-Nwogu A. Teaching Trajectories and Students' Understanding if Difficult Concepts Biologi in Obio/Akpor Local Government Area in Rivers State Worl Journal of Education, 2017, vol 7, No 1 pp 44-52
- [9] Suyanto S. The Implementation of The Scientific Approach through 5Ms of The New Curriculum 2013 in Indonesia Jurnal Cakrawala Pendidikan No.



- *1* ,2018, 22–29 ISSN:0216-1370 online ISSN 24428620
- [10]Subali В. Pengembangan Tes Pengukur Keterampilan Proses Sains Pola Divergen Mata Pelajaran Biologi SMA. Prosiding Seminar Nasional Biologi, Lingkungan dan FMIPA, Pembelajarannya, Biologi, Jurdik Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta, 2009.
- [11] Mendala, Subali B and Paidi. Developing A Learning Continuum on Ecological Aspect from Elementary School to Senior High School Based on The Opinion of Biology Education Expert *Jurnal of Physics:conference series*, 2019, vol 1397 pp 1-10
- [12] Northwest Evaluation Association. *Primary Use of The Learning Continuum* (Pocattello: Idaho State Univerity). 2003.
- [13] Departement of Education and Communities. *An Overview of the Literacy Continuum K-10* (Sydney: State of New South Wales). 2013.
- [14] Hadi R F and Subali B. The Learning Continuum based on The student's Level of Competence and Specific Pedagogical Material on Physiological Aspects from Tearhers's Opinions AIP Conference Proceedings, 2017, vol 1868 pp 1-11
- [15] Andriani A E and Subali B.Teacher's Opinion about Learning Continuum of Genetics based on student's level of competence and Specific Pedagogical

- Material in Classification Topics AIP Conference Proceedings , 2017, vol 1868 pp 1-10
- [16] Daniel, W. W. Statistik Nonparametrik Terapan, Jakarta: PT Gramedia. 1989.
- [17] Subali B and Suyata P. Pengembangan Item Tes Konvergen dan Divergen dan Penyelidikan Validitasnya secara Empiris (Yogyakarta: Diandra). 2012.
- [18] Ibda, F. Perkembangan Kognitif: Teori Jean Piaget INTELEKTUALITA, 2015, vol 5(1) pp 27-38
- [19] Feiman-Nemser S 2001 From Preparation to Practice: Designing a Continuum to strengthen and sustain Teaching *Teach. Coll. rec.*, 2001,103: 1013-55
- [20] Anggara B, Priatna N, and Juandi D. Learning Difficulties of Senior High School Students based on Probability Understanding Levels J.Phys.:con.ser., 2018, vol 1397 012052 pp 1-6
- [21] Juniati E and Subali B. Teacher's Opinion about Learning Continuum of Genetics based on student's level of competence *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 2017, vol 1868 pp 1-11
- [22] Subali B, Kumaidi and Aminah N S. Developing a Scientific Learning Continuum of Natural Science Subjects at Grades 1 4 *J. Turkish Sci. Educ.*, 2018, 15:66–81