Correlation Between Motivation and Work Environment to Primary School Teachers' Work Commitment
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ABSTRACT
Teachers' work commitment takes an important role in education. There are several factors that influence teachers' work commitment, namely teachers' work motivation and teachers' work environment. This study aims to find out the relationship between motivations for teachers' work commitment, the relationship between work environment for teachers' work commitment, and the relationship between motivation and work environment for teachers' work commitment. This research was conducted at SD Gugus Kapten Japa, North Denpasar District using a non-experimental (ex-post facto) research design. The sampling technique used was a total census/sampling with the number of population was 50 people. The method applied in this research was the non-test method by giving a closed questionnaire. Also, it applied descriptive statistical analysis and inferential statistic methods. Based on the data analysis, it was found that there was a significant relationship between motivation for teachers' work commitment with the value, that was $r_{count} = 0.604 \geq r_{table} = 0.279$. There was a significant relationship between work environment for teachers' work commitment with the value, that was $r_{count} = 0.614 \geq r_{table} = 0.279$. Also, there was a significant relationship between motivation and work environment for teachers' work commitment with a significant level of 5%, namely $F_{count} = 19.157 \geq F_{table} = 3.195$.

This research is useful for teachers in strengthening their work commitment because it requires high work motivation and a supportive work environment, so that it can improve the quality of the nation's education and create the new generation that is able to compete with other countries.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Today, global development increases rapidly. The increasing global development requires quality Human Resources (HR). One of the efforts of the government in creating quality Human Resources is by providing education to all citizens. The government continues to strive for the quality of education both in education management and from other aspects. It was shown from changes of curriculum arranged by the government and the improvement of supporting facilities and infrastructure for education. It aims to achieve the goals of national education. It begins with commitment, both from schools, teachers, school staff, parents, and even the students themselves. In this case, educators, especially, teachers have a significant role in developing education quality [1]. In education, teachers are the spearhead of the realization of education [2]. Teacher quality is required in the implementation of education. The teachers' quality can be seen from the work commitment of a teacher.

Commitment is a reference and encouragement that can make a person responsible for the obligations they must carry out [3]. According to Mustaghfiroh et al. [4], a person's commitment to an organization where they work shows someone's involvement in realizing the vision and mission of their organization. Ekalawati et al. [5] stated that commitment is a complete recognition, which is an attitude of character in a person to encourage self-confidence and morale in carrying out tasks towards the better changes. Commitment is a reflection of the extent to which a person is bound and familiar with the organization they are following [6] [7]. Therefore, commitment is a reference and someone's attachment to an organization, so that someone must be responsible for the obligations that must be fulfilled.
According to Paramitha & Ihaluw [8], work commitment is the willingness of someone to carry out the task that directly supports the goals of an organization. Teachers’ work commitment is also an urge in teachers themselves to maintain their membership and participation in improving the quality of education and achieving the organizational goals of a school [4]. In essence, the teachers’ work commitment is an attachment and urge in teachers themselves to participate in achieving the goals of a school in improving the quality of education.

According to Susana [9], there are three components of teachers’ work commitment. The first one is an affective commitment which is a commitment influenced by various personal characteristics such as locus of control and even works experiences in the previous workplace. It can influence the work commitment of a teacher. The second one is a normative commitment. It reflects what the teacher should get and give to an organization in their workplace as a reward for what they have done to an organization or their workplace. Lastly, continuance commitment is a commitment that is influenced by the willingness of an individual or the teachers themselves to survive in an organization. With this commitment, teachers are more likely to maintain their jobs than leave their jobs. According to Mustaghfiroh et al., [4]; Werang, [10] there are three components of teachers’ work commitment, namely affective commitment, normative commitment, and continuance commitment. Thus, based on the statement of experts regarding the work commitments above, the dimensions of teachers’ work commitment was (1) affective commitment with the indicators are the willingness of the teacher to exert all the effort. It is expected to complete the duties and obligations, not complain about their jobs as a teacher, and enthusiastic in carrying out their duties. (2) Normative commitment with the indicators is responsible for providing quality learning, supporting to achieve school organizational goals, and providing the best services. (3) Continuance commitment with indicators are following the school rules, actively participating in school activities, and discipline in academic administration.

Many factors can strengthen the teachers’ work commitment in improving the quality of education in this nation, one of which is the teachers’ work motivation. Motivation is derived from the word “movere”, which means “urge or driving force”. Motivation is a driving force of a subject to carry out certain activities to achieve a goal [11][12][13]. Hartanti & Yuniarsih [14]; Aprida et al., [15] stated that motivation is a form of encouragement and effort both inside and outside of a person that influences voluntary behavior in working for a specific purpose. Motivation is someone’s willingness and need, where it is the background for someone to do an action or the background for someone to do a job [16]. Teachers’ work motivation is a willingness and need of teachers in carrying out their duties as well as providing energy for a teacher in achieving the goals they expected [17][18][19]. Thus, it can be concluded that teachers’ work motivation is a willingness within the teacher themselves to carry out something in order to be able to achieve the goals they expected. Teachers who have high work motivation can be seen from how they complete their responsibility as a teacher [20][21]. According to Pianda [22], there are three dimensions of teachers’ work motivation. Those are responsibility, discipline, and achievement. Responsibility is a teacher’s responsibility in carrying out their duties. Discipline as one of the dimensions of teachers’ work motivation refers to complete a task and prioritize something. The last is an achievement, which is not only referred to as the achievement in getting an award but also the achievement in a broader context. It can be the achievement of respecting the opinions of others and establishing good relationships with people in the environment. Furthermore, Kartini & Kristiawan [23]; Endin [24], stated that the teachers’ work motivation includes several indicators such as teachers’ responsibility in carrying out their tasks according to the curriculum applied, having clear and challenging goals, having feedback of the results of their work, working wholehearted, and always outperformed others. Dwiyani & Sarino [25] also argued that the indicators of teachers’ work motivation consist of four indicators which were responsibility in carrying out tasks, achievement achieved by the teacher, self-development, and independence in action. Based on some statements stated by the experts, it can be concluded that there are some dimensions of teachers’ work motivation. The first one is the responsibility with the indicators consists of preparing learning activities as good as possible, correcting students’ work, giving assignments if unable to attend school, attending school on time, and guiding as well as directing students. The second dimension is discipline. Discipline referred is in carrying out the tasks, duties, and giving full attention to the school development. The last dimension is an achievement that includes respecting opinions, supporting and improving work performance, maximize existing facilities and infrastructure.

In addition to teachers’ work motivation, the teachers’ work environment can also influence teachers’ work commitment. The work environment is the most important component for teachers in carrying out their work [26]. The work environment can affect the teachers’ work commitment because it can create morale so that it can strengthen the teachers’ work commitment [27]. According to [28], teachers’ work environment is everything around the teacher when the teacher is working. It can be something physically and non-physically or directly or indirectly which can influence the teacher when they are working. A work environment that can strengthen teachers’ work commitment is a work environment that conducive and can provide a sense of
security so that teachers will work more optimally [29][30]. In addition to the work environment that conducive, the suitability of the work environment can also influence the implementation and morale of the teacher [31][32][33]. The work environment is a workplace of the teachers or the place where the teachers do all of their works in accordance with their respective duties [34][35]. Efendi et al. [36] stated the work environment is a condition that can be prepared in advance by the organization when establishing the workplace. Putrayana et al. [37], said that the work environment is a condition that has a big influence on schools both in workplace settings such as lighting, cleanliness, air circulation, color regulation, noise, and job security as well as others. Manik & SYafrina [38] also argued that the work environment is a condition in an organization in both material and psychological terms. Thus, the work environment is the teachers’ workplace or a place for teachers to do all activities related to their work both physically and non-physically. According to Sutaji et al. [39], the work environment consists of a family context, good communication, self-control, work equipment, and cleanliness of the workplace. According to Prahasti & Wahyono [40], indicators of the work environment consist of work context, relationships with colleagues, and the availability of work facilities. Therefore, it can be concluded that the work environment consists of two dimensions, namely physical and non-physical environment. The indicators of the physical environment are lighting, air circulation, noise, coloring, and work facilities. On the other hand, the indicators of a non-physical environment are the working relationship between teachers and superiors as well as the relationship between teachers and their colleagues. The purpose of this research is to find out the relationship between motivation to teachers’ work commitment, the relationship between work environment to teachers’ work commitment, and the relationship between motivation and work environment to teachers’ work commitment. Also, it can be used as a material in strengthening the teachers’ work commitment.

2. METHODS

This research was non-experimental (ex post facto) that was conducted on the civil servant teachers at Gugus Kapten Japa, North Denpasar District with a number of population was 50 people. This study aimed to find out how was the relationship between the motivation and work environment to the work commitment of teachers in Primary School at Gugus Kapten Japa, North Denpasar District. The sample was conducted using the census or sampling total method. The method of collecting data applied in this research was the non-test method using a questionnaire. This research used a close questionnaire, where the respondents were asked to choose the answer to the questions arranged by the researcher. In the statement, there were four answer options. The answer options provided in the questionnaire were Strongly Agree (SA), Agree (A), Disagree (D), and Strongly Disagree (SD). For quantitative analysis needs, the answers to the questionnaire were scored using a Likert Scale with four answer choices [50]. The Likert scale is usually used to measure a person’s perception or attitude desired by researchers. This questionnaire was analyzed using the construct validity, item validity, and reliability test.

This research used descriptive statistical analysis and inferential statistics methods. In testing hypotheses I and II, it used the product-moment correlation test, while testing hypotheses III used multivariate correlation or commonly called multiple correlations. However, before testing the hypothesis, at first, the researcher conducted a prerequisite test, namely: normality test, linearity test, and multicollinearity test.

Table 1. The Results of Descriptive Statistics Calculation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Teachers’ Work Motivatio n</th>
<th>Teachers’ Work Environment</th>
<th>Teachers’ Work Commitment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Samples</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>104.78</td>
<td>100.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>105.5</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modus</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Deviation</td>
<td>10.57914</td>
<td>9.8110516</td>
<td>11.351813</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance</td>
<td>111.9183</td>
<td>96.256734</td>
<td>128.86367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Score</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Score</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Based on the data collected using a questionnaire distributed via a google form, the results of descriptive statistical testing can be seen as follows.

Before conducting the hypothesis test, the researcher conducted a prerequisite test. It was examined with the assistance of IBM Statistic 21.0. The result of the first prerequisite test was the normality test. It aimed to find out whether the data studied had been normally distributed or not. It was obtained using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov formula. This test was carried out with a significant level of 0.05%. The test results are as follows.
The result of the second prerequisite test was linearity. It was conducted using a linearity test with a significant level of 0.05%. The test results are as follows.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Kolmogorov-Smirnov</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Work Motivation</td>
<td>0.691</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work Environment</td>
<td>0.581</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work Commitment</td>
<td>0.478</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results obtained in the third prerequisite test, namely the multicollinearity test, are as follows.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Linear Relationship</th>
<th>Kolmogorov-Smirnov</th>
<th>Remark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Teachers’ work motivation on teachers’ work commitment</td>
<td>0.205</td>
<td>Linear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teachers’ work environment on teachers’ work commitment</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>Linear</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this research, three hypotheses were using the significant level of 0.05%. In testing hypothesis I, it was carried out using the product-moment correlation test. It was found that there was a significant relationship between teachers’ work motivation and teachers’ work commitment with the value of \( r_{\text{count}} = 0.604 \geq r_{\text{table}} 0.279 \). In testing hypothesis II, it was carried out using the product-moment correlation with the testing results showed that there was a significant relationship between teachers’ work environment and teachers’ work commitment with the value of \( r_{\text{count}} = 0.614 \geq r_{\text{table}} 0.279 \). In testing hypothesis III, it was carried out using multiple correlation tests with a significant level of 0.05%. The results obtained from the test showed that there was a relationship between motivation and work environment to teachers’ work commitment with the value of \( F_{\text{count}} = 19.157 \geq F_{\text{table}} 3.195 \).

Table 2. The Results of Normality Test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Kolmogorov-Smirnov</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Work Motivation</td>
<td>0.691</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work Environment</td>
<td>0.581</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work Commitment</td>
<td>0.478</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the results explained above, this research used descriptive statistical tests and inferential statistical tests. The descriptive statistical was used to see the results of the research generally, while the inferential statistical tests were used to count the hypotheses in this research. Before calculating the hypotheses, three prerequisite tests should be fulfilled. Those were normality test, linearity test, and multicollinearity. The normality test aimed to see whether the data collected is normally distributed or not. Good data used and can be continued in the process of calculating the hypothesis was data that was normally distributed, so that later in the data processing processed there was no bias. In this research, the normality tests applied the Kolmogorov-Smirnov formula that was counted using the assistance of IBM SPSS Statistic 21.0 with the significant level of 5%. Thus, it obtained the results that the value of the work motivation variable was 0.669, the work environment variable was 0.581, and the work commitment variable was 0.478. After carrying out the normality test, the linearity test was tested using a significance level of 5%. The result of the second prerequisite test was linearity. It was conducted using a linearity test with a significant level of 0.05%.

Table 3. The Results of Linearity Test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Linear Relationship</th>
<th>Kolmogorov-Smirnov</th>
<th>Remark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Teachers’ work motivation on teachers’ work commitment</td>
<td>0.205</td>
<td>Linear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teachers’ work environment on teachers’ work commitment</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>Linear</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results obtained in the third prerequisite test, namely the multicollinearity test, are as follows.

Table 4. The Results of Murticollinearity Test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Unstandardized Coefficients</th>
<th>Standardized Coefficients</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
<th>Collinearity Statistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Std. Error</td>
<td>Beta</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tolerance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(Constant)</td>
<td></td>
<td>14.637</td>
<td>.010</td>
<td>.300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Teachers’ Work Motivation</td>
<td></td>
<td>.379</td>
<td>.017</td>
<td>.166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Teachers’ Work Environment</td>
<td></td>
<td>.444</td>
<td>.010</td>
<td>.300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a. Dependent Variable: Teachers’ Work Commitment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

After the prerequisites are fulfilled by carrying out these three tests, then the calculation of the hypothesis was carried out using a significance level of 5%. It aimed to see whether there is or not a relationship between the
The research concluded that there is a significant relationship between teachers’ work motivation and the work commitment of elementary school teachers in Gugus Kapten Japa, North Denpasar District. In addition, the results of this research also show that there is a significant relationship between the work environment and the work commitment of elementary school teachers in gugus Kapten Japa, North Denpasar District. Teachers who have strong work commitment are teachers who have high work motivation. Therefore, high work motivation is required to strengthen the teachers’ work commitment. Thus, the purposes of teachers participating in achieving the goals of schools by improving the quality of education can be achieved. In addition, the environment is one of the factors that can strengthen the teachers’ work commitment. A work environment that has adequate facilities both physically and non-physically can strengthen the teachers’ work commitment.

The suggestions to be conveyed to teachers, school principals, and other researchers based on the research conducted is to further strengthen work commitment to getting the maximum results as expected.
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