The XLindley Distribution: Properties and Application
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1. INTRODUCTION

The real-life applications of contemporary numerical techniques in different fields such as medicine, finance, biological engineering sciences and statistics. To this end, statistics plays a critical role in our real-life applications. Often by using the statistical analysis which strongly depends on the assumed probability model or distributions. However, several problems in statistics do not follow any of the classical or standard probability models.

Let X is a random variable following the one-parameter distribution with density function called Lindley (LD) distribution:

\[ f(x; \theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{\theta \beta + \beta x e^{-\beta x}}{1+\theta} & x, \theta > 0 \\
0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \]  

It has introduced by Lindley [1]. Sankaran [2] used (1) as mixing distribution of poisson parameter which it named poisson-LD distribution. Recently, Asgharzadeh et al. [3], Zeghdoudi and Nedjar [4], Zeghdoudi and Bouchahed [5], Beghriche and Zeghdoudi [6], Ghitany et al. [7,8], rediscovered and studies the distribution bounded to (1), what that derived is known as Zero-truncated poisson-LD and pareto poisson-LD distributions.

Recently, Zeghdoudi and Nedjar [9,10] introduced a new distribution named Gamma LD distribution based on mixture of gamma distribution with scale parameter \( \theta \), mixture parameter \( \beta \) and shape parameter 2 and LD distribution with parameter \( \theta \). This idea about mixture of two known distributions is not new, there are a lot of mathematicians has use it before like Shanker and Sharma [11] to create a two parameter LD distribution.

The idea of this work is based on special mixture of exponential and LD distributions in order to create the XLindley distribution (XLD). This work is motivated by the following: XLD it is simple and easy to apply; the formulas of the mean, variance, coefficient of variation, skewness, kurtosis and index of dispersion are simple in form and may be used as quick approximations in many cases. However, in general it is applicable to try out simpler distributions than more complicated ones; the XLD can be used quite effectively in analyzing many real lifetime data set: application to Ebola, Corona and Nipah virus and gives adequate fits too many data sets.

* Corresponding author. Email: halimzeghdoudi77@gmail.com
The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 is devoted to introduce the methodology and gives survivals properties of XLD. Section 3 discusses the estimation of its parameter using method of moment and maximum likelihood. Finally, we present illustrative example of XLD with other distributions to show the superiority and flexibility of this model that found.

2. METHODOLOGY AND SURVIVAL PROPERTIES

In this section, a mixture of two known distributions used to give new distribution called XLD. Let $X$ be a random variable following mixture distribution, its density function (pdf) $f(x)$ given in this form:

$$
 f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} p_i f_i(x)
$$

With:

- $f_i(x)$ probability density function for each $i$
- $p_i$ denote mixing proportions that are no-negative and $\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_i = 1$

We consider $f_1(x) \sim \text{Exp}(\theta)$ and $f_2(x) \sim \text{LD}(\theta)$ two independents random variables with $p_1 = \frac{\theta}{1 + \theta}$ and $p_2 = 1 - \frac{\theta}{1 + \theta}$ respectively. Now the density function of $X$ is given by:

$$
 f_{XLD}(x; \theta) = \begin{cases} 
 \frac{\theta^2 e^{x + \frac{\theta x}{1 + \theta}}}{(1 + \theta)^2}, & x, \theta > 0 \\
 0, & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
$$

(2)

The first derivative of $f_{XLD}$ is:

$$
 \frac{df_{XLD}(x)}{dx} = -\theta^2 \left[ \theta^2 + \theta (2 + x) - 1 \right] e^{-\theta x} = 0
$$

(3)

gives:

$$
 x = -\frac{\left( \theta^2 + 2\theta - 1 \right)}{\theta}
$$

For:

1. $0 < \theta < \sqrt{2} - 1$: $\hat{x} = -\frac{\left( \theta^2 + 2\theta - 1 \right)}{\theta}$ is critical point which $f_{XLD}(\hat{x}; \theta)$ is maximum
2. $\theta \geq \sqrt{2} - 1$: $\frac{df_{XLD}(x)}{dx} \leq 0$, then the density function $f_{XLD}(x; \theta)$ is decreasing in $x$

And the second derivative is:

$$
 \frac{d^2f_{XLD}(x)}{dx^2} = \frac{\theta^3 \left[ \theta^2 + \theta (2 + x) - 2 \right]}{(1 + \theta)^2} e^{-\theta x}
$$

(4)

Therefore, the mode of XLD is given by:

$$
 \text{mode}(X) = \begin{cases} 
 -\frac{\left( \theta^2 + 2\theta - 1 \right)}{\theta}, & \text{for } 0 < \theta < \sqrt{2} - 1 \\
 0, & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
$$

We can find easily the cumulative distribution function (CDF) of the XLD:

$$
 F_{XLD}(x; \theta) = 1 - \left( 1 + \frac{\theta x}{(1 + \theta)^2} \right) e^{-\theta x}, \quad x > 0, \theta > 0
$$

(5)

The shapes of the PDF, CDF and hazard function of the XLD distribution are given in Figures 1–3 for different values of the parameter theta.
3. SURVIVAL AND HAZARD RATE FUNCTION

The survival function and failure rate (hazard rate) function for a continuous distribution are defined as:
Let:

\[ S_{XL}(x) = 1 - F_{XL}(x) \]

\[ S_{XL}(x) = 1 - \left[ 1 - \left( 1 + \frac{\theta x}{(1 + \theta)^2} \right) e^{\theta x} \right] \]

\[ S_{XL}(x) = \left( 1 + \frac{\theta x}{(1 + \theta)^2} \right) e^{\theta x} \] \hspace{1cm} (6)

and:

\[ H_{XL}(x) = \frac{f_{XL}(x)}{1 - F_{XL}(x)} \]

\[ H_{XL}(x) = \frac{\theta^2(x + \theta + 2)}{(1 + \theta)^2} \left( x^{\frac{\theta}{(1 + \theta)^2}} + 1 \right) \]

\[ H_{XL}(x) = \frac{\theta^2(x + \theta + 2)}{(1 + \theta)^2 + x\theta} \] \hspace{1cm} (7)

be the survival and hazard rate function, respectively.

**Proposition 1.** Let \( H_{XL}(x) \) be the hazard rate function of \( X \). Then \( H_{XL}(x) \) is increasing.

**Proof.** According to Glaser [12] and from the density function (2):

\[ \rho(x) = \frac{f_{XL}(x)}{f_{XL}(x)} = \frac{x^{\theta + 2} + 2\theta - 1}{x + \theta + 2} \]

\[ = \frac{1}{x + \theta + 2} \left( x\theta + \theta^2 + 2\theta - 1 \right) \]

It follows that:

\[ \rho'(x) = \frac{1}{(x + \theta + 2)^2} \] \hspace{1cm} (8)

Imply that \( h_{XL}(x) \) is increasing.

### 4. MOMENTS AND RELATED MEASURES

The \textit{rth} moment about the origin of the XLindley distribution can be obtained as:

\[ \mu'_r = E(X^r) = \int_0^\infty x^r f_{XL}(x) \, dx \]

\[ = \int_0^\infty x^r \theta^2 \left( 2 + \theta + x \right) e^{-\theta x} \, dx \]

\[ = \frac{\theta^2}{(1 + \theta)^2} \int_0^\infty x^r \left( 2 + \theta + x \right) \, dx \]

Using gamma integral and little algebraic simplification, we get finally a general expression for the \textit{rth} factorial moment of XLD as:

\[ \mu'_r = \frac{(\theta^2 + 2\theta + r + 1)}{(1 + \theta)^2} \frac{r!}{\theta^r} \] \hspace{1cm} (9)
Substituting  \( r = 1, 2, 3 \) and 4 in (9), the first four moments can be obtained and then using the relationship between moments about origin and moment about mean, the first four moment about origin of XLD were obtained as:

\[
\begin{align*}
\mu'_1 &= \frac{\left(\theta^2 + 2\theta + 2\right)}{(1 + \theta)^2} = \frac{1}{\theta} + \frac{1}{(1 + \theta)^2} \\
\mu'_2 &= \frac{2 \left(\theta^2 + 2\theta + 3\right)}{(1 + \theta)^2} \\
\mu'_3 &= \frac{6 \left(\theta^2 + 2\theta + 4\right)}{(1 + \theta)^2} \\
\mu'_4 &= \frac{24 \left(\theta^2 + 2\theta + 5\right)}{(1 + \theta)^2}
\end{align*}
\]

**Proposition 2.** Let  \( X \sim XL(x) \), the mean, variance, coefficients of variation, skewness and kurtosis for  \( X \) are:

\[
\begin{align*}
\mu_1 &= E(X) = \frac{(1 + \theta)^2 + 1}{(1 + \theta)^2} \\
E(X^2) &= \frac{2 \left(\theta^2 + 2\theta + 3\right)}{(1 + \theta)^2} \\
\mu_2 &= \text{Var}(X) = \frac{(1 + \theta)^4 + 4\theta^2 + 6\theta + 1}{(1 + \theta)^2}
\end{align*}
\]

Skewness, Kurtosis and Coefficient of variation of XLD:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Skewness} &= \sqrt{\beta_1} = \frac{E(X^3)}{(\text{Var}(X))^{\frac{3}{2}}} = \frac{6 \left(\theta^2 + 2\theta + 4\right) (1 + \theta)^4}{\left[(1 + \theta)^4 + 4\theta^2 + 6\theta + 1\right]^\frac{3}{2}} \\
\text{Kurtosis} &= \beta_2 = \frac{E(X^4)}{(\text{Var}(X))^2} = \frac{24 \left(\theta^2 + 2\theta + 5\right) (1 + \theta)^6}{\left[(1 + \theta)^4 + 4\theta^2 + 6\theta + 1\right]^\frac{3}{2}} \\
\text{C.V} &= \gamma = \sqrt{\frac{\text{Var}(X)}{E(X)}} = \sqrt{\frac{(1 + \theta)^4 + 4\theta^2 + 6\theta + 1}{(1 + \theta)^2 + 1}}
\end{align*}
\]

The coefficients are increasing functions in  \( \theta \) (see Figure 4 for the graph of C.V (\( \gamma \)) and Skewness  \( \sqrt{\beta_1} \) for varying  \( \theta \)).

## 5. STOCHASTIC ORDERING

**Definition 1.** Consider two random variables  \( X \) and  \( Y \). Then  \( X \) is said to be smaller than  \( Y \) in the:

a) Stochastic order  \( (X \prec_S Y) \) if  \( F_X(t) > F_Y(t) \), \( \forall t \).

b) Convex order  \( (X \prec_{CX} Y) \), if for all convex functions  \( \phi \) and provided expectation exist,  \( E[\phi(X)] \leq E[\phi(Y)] \).

c) Hazard rate order  \( (X \prec_{hr} Y) \), if  \( h_X(t) \geq h_Y(t) \), \( \forall t \).

d) Likelihood ratio order  \( (X \prec_{lr} Y) \), if  \( \frac{f_X(t)}{f_Y(t)} \) is decreasing in  \( t \).
Remark 1. Likelihood ratio order ⇒ Hazard rate order ⇒ Stochastic order. If $E(X) = E(Y)$, then:
Convex order⇒ Stochastic order.

Theorem 1. Let $X_i \sim XL(\theta_i)$, $i = 1, 2$ be two random variables. If $\theta_1 \geq \theta_2$, then $X_1 \prec_{lr} X_2$, $X_1 \prec_{hr} X_2$, $X_1 \prec_{S} X_2$.

Proof. We have:
$$\frac{f_{X_1}(t)}{f_{X_2}(t)} = \frac{\theta_2^2 (2 + \theta_1 + t) (1 + \theta_2)^2 e^{-(\theta_1 - \theta_2)t}}{\theta_2^2 (2 + \theta_2 + t) (1 + \theta_1)^2 e^{-(\theta_1 - \theta_2)t}}$$

For simplification, we use $\ln\left(\frac{f_{X_1}(t)}{f_{X_2}(t)}\right)$. Now, we can find
$$\frac{d}{dt} \ln\left(\frac{f_{X_1}(t)}{f_{X_2}(t)}\right) = -\frac{\theta_1 - \theta_2}{(t + \theta_1 + 2)(t + \theta_2 + 2)} - (\theta_1 - \theta_2)$$

To this end, if $\theta_1 \geq \theta_2$, we have $\frac{d}{dt} \ln\left(\frac{f_{X_1}(t)}{f_{X_2}(t)}\right) \leq 0$. This means that $X_1 \prec_{lr} X_2$. Also, according to Remark 1 the theorem is proved.

6. ESTIMATION OF PARAMETER

6.1. Method of Moments Estimation

Let $\overline{X}$ be the sample mean, equating sample mean and population mean $E(x)$:
$$E(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_i}{n}$$

Putting the expression of $E(x)$ from equation (10) in the equation and solving the equation for $\theta$, We get:
$$\overline{X} = \frac{(1 + \theta)^2 + 1}{(1 + \theta)^2 \theta} = \frac{\theta^2 + 2\theta + 2}{\theta^3 + 2\theta^2 + \theta}$$
We obtain equation of 3rd degree: $\overline{X} \theta^3 + \theta^2 \left( 2\overline{X} - 1 \right) + \theta \left( \overline{X} - 2 \right) - 2 = 0$. We take the real part for the solution:

$$
\hat{\theta}_{\text{MoM}} = -\frac{1}{3\overline{X}} \left( 2\overline{X} - 1 \right) + \frac{2}{9\overline{X}} + \frac{1}{9\overline{X}} + \frac{1}{9\overline{X}} + \frac{1}{27}
$$

$$
+ 3 \sqrt\frac{1}{27} \sqrt\frac{13}{36\overline{X}} + \frac{1}{9\overline{X}} + \frac{1}{27\overline{X}} + \frac{11}{18\overline{X}} + \frac{1}{27\overline{X}} + \frac{1}{7\overline{X}}
$$

### 6.2. Maximum Likelihood Estimation

Let $X_i \sim \text{XL} (\theta), i = 1, \ldots, n$ be $n$ random variables. The in-likelihood function $ln l(x_i; \theta)$ is:

$$
L(\theta) = \left( \frac{\theta^2}{(1+\theta)^2} \right)^n \prod_{i=1}^{n} (2 + \theta + x_i) e^{-\theta \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i}
$$

Logarithm of likelihood function is:

$$
ln l(x_i; \theta) = 2n \log \theta - 2n (1+\theta) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log (2 + \theta + x_i) - \theta \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i
$$

$$
ln l(x_i; \theta) = 2n \left( \log \theta - (1+\theta) \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log (2 + \theta + x_i) - \theta \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i
$$

The derivative of $ln l(x_i; \theta)$ with respect to $\theta$ is:

$$
\frac{d\ln l(x_i; \theta)}{d\theta} = 0
$$

$$
\frac{d\ln l(x_i; \theta)}{d\theta} = \frac{2n}{\theta} - \frac{2n}{1+\theta} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{1}{2+\theta+x_i} \right) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i
$$

$$
\frac{d\ln l(x_i; \theta)}{d\theta} = \frac{2}{\theta(1+\theta)} + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{1}{2 + \theta + x_i} \right) - \overline{X}
$$

$$
\frac{d\ln l(x_i; \theta)}{d\theta} = \frac{2}{\theta(1+\theta)} + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{1}{2 + \theta + x_i} \right) - \overline{X}
$$

To obtain the maximum likelihood estimation (MLE) of $\theta : \hat{\theta}_{\text{MLE}}$ can maximize equation (11) directly with respect to $\theta$, or we can solve the non-linear equation $\frac{d\ln l(x_i; \theta)}{d\theta} = 0$. Note that $\hat{\theta}_{\text{MLE}}$ cannot solved analytically; numerical iteration techniques, such as the Newton-Raphson algorithm, are thus adopted to solve the Logarithm of likelihood equation for which (11) is maximized.

The following theorem shows that the estimator of $\theta$ is positively biased.

**Theorem 2.** the estimator $\hat{\theta}$ of $\theta$ is positively biased, i.e: $E \left( \hat{\theta} \right) - \theta > 0$

**Proof.** Let $g(\overline{X}) = \hat{\theta}$

$$
g(t) = -\frac{1}{3t} (2t - 1) + \frac{2}{9t} + \frac{1}{9t^2} + \frac{1}{9t} + \frac{1}{27t} + \frac{1}{27}
$$

$$
+ 3 \sqrt\frac{1}{27} \sqrt\frac{13}{36t} + \frac{1}{9t^2} + \frac{1}{27t^2} + \frac{11}{18t} + \frac{1}{27t^2} + \frac{1}{7t}
$$

and it is easy to find $\frac{dg(t)}{dt} > 0$, since $g(t)$ is strictly convex. Thus, by Jensen’s inequality, we have $E \left( g (\overline{X}) \right) > g \left( E (\overline{X}) \right)$.

Finally, since $E \left( g (\overline{X}) \right) = g(\mu) = g \left( \left( \frac{1+\theta^2}{(1+\theta)^2} \right) \right) = \theta$, we obtain $E \left( \hat{\theta}_{\text{MoM}} \right) > \theta$
Theorem 3. The estimator $\hat{\theta}$ of $\theta$ is consistent and asymptotically normal:

$$\sqrt{n} (\hat{\theta} - \theta) \xrightarrow{p} N \left( 0, \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \right)$$

The large-sample 100 $(1 - \alpha)\%$ confidence interval for $\theta$ is given by:

$$\hat{\theta} \pm z_{\alpha/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}\sigma}$$

The proof is omitted because it is very similar to the proof of Theorem 4 [7]

7. THE QUANTILE FUNCTION OF XLD

It may be noted that $F_X(x)$ in equation (5) is continues and strictly increasing, so we for the quantile function of X is defined:

$$Q_X(u) = x_u = F_X^{-1}(u)$$  (12)

For $u = F_{XL}(x)$, we give an explicit expression for $Q_X(u)$ in terms of the Lambert W function in the following theorem and results.

Theorem 4. For any $\theta > 0$, the $Q_X(u)$ of the XLD X is:

$$Q_X(u) = x_u = -\left(\frac{1 + \theta}{\theta}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{\theta} W_{-1} \left[ \frac{(1 + \theta)^2}{\exp(1 + \theta)^2} (u - 1) \right], u \in [0, 1]$$  (13)

Where $W_{-1}$ is the negative branch.

Proof. For any $\theta > 0$ let $0 < u < 1$. From equation (5) we will solve the equation $u = F_{XL}(x)$ with respect to $x$, by following the steps bellow:

$$\left( 1 + \frac{\theta x}{(1 + \theta)^2} \right) e^{-\theta x} = (1 - u)$$

$$\left[ (1 + \theta)^2 + \theta x \right] e^{-\theta x} = (1 - u)(1 + \theta)^2$$  (14)

We multiplying the both sides by $[\exp(-1 - \theta)^2]$ of the equation (14), we get:

$$-\left[ (1 + \theta)^2 + \theta x \right] e^{-[1+(1+\theta)^2+\theta x]} = (u - 1)(1 + \theta)^2 e^{-(1+\theta)^2}$$  (15)

By using the definition of Lambert W function $\left( W(z) \exp(W(z)) = z \right)$ [see Jodrá [13] for more details], we observe that $-\left[ (1 + \theta)^2 + \theta x \right]$ is the Lambert W function of the real argument $(u - 1)(1 + \theta)^2 e^{-(1+\theta)^2}$.

So, we have

$$W \left[ (u - 1)(1 + \theta)^2 e^{-(1+\theta)^2} \right] = -\left[ (1 + \theta)^2 + \theta x \right]$$

$$W \left[ \frac{(1+\theta)^2}{e^{(1+\theta)^2}} (u - 1) e^{-(1+\theta)^2} \right] = -\left[ (1 + \theta)^2 + \theta x \right]$$  (16)

In addition to that, for any $\theta > 0$ and $x > 0$ it’s obviously that $(1 + \theta)^2 + \theta x > 0$ and it also checked that $(u - 1)(1 + \theta)^2 e^{-(1+\theta)^2} \in \left( \frac{1}{e}, 0 \right)$ since $0 < u < 1$ Thus, by taking into account the properties of the negative branch $W_{-1}$ of the Lambert W function, so the equation above (16) become:

$$W_{-1} \left[ \frac{(1+\theta)^2}{e^{(1+\theta)^2}} (u - 1) e^{-(1+\theta)^2} \right] = -\left[ (1 + \theta)^2 + \theta x \right]$$  (17)

This in turn means the result that given before in Theorem 4 is complete.
8. SIMULATION

We can see that the equation $F(x) = u$, where $u$ is an observation from the uniform distribution on $(0; 1)$, can be solved explicitly in $x$ (we’re going to use Lambert W function, because in this case $k = 1$).

In this subsection, we investigate the behavior of the ML estimators for a finite sample size ($n$). A simulation study consisting of the following steps is being carried out $N = 10000$ times for selected values of $(\theta; n)$, where $\theta = 0.1; 0.5; 1; 3; 5$ and $n = 20; 40; 100$

- Generate $U_i \sim \text{Uniform}(0;1), i = 1 \ldots \ldots n$
- Generate $Y_i \sim \text{Exponential}(\theta), i = 1 \ldots \ldots n$
- Generate $Z_i \sim \text{LD}(\theta), i = 1 \ldots \ldots n$
- If $U_i \leq p(\theta)$, then set $X_i = Y_i$, otherwise, set $X_i = Z_i, i = 1 \ldots \ldots n$

average bias = $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\hat{\theta} - \theta)$

and the average square error:

$\text{MSE}(\theta) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\hat{\theta} - \theta)^2$

The result of the simulation is presented in Tables 1 and 2. The following observations are made from the simulation study:

- For some given value of $\theta$, the average of: bias of $\hat{\theta}$ and mean square error of $\hat{\theta}$ are decreases as sample size $n$ increases
- The mean square error (MSE) gets higher and following a similar ways for larger value of $\theta$ as we mentioned before.

9. APPLICATION AND GOODNESS OF FIT

Now we have used data of survival times (in months) of 94 Sierra Leone individuals infected with Ebola virus showing in Table 3, which we compare LD, Zeghdoudi (ZD), see (Messaadia and Zeghdoudi [14]), Exponential, Xgamma see (Sen et al. [15]) and XLD.

10. CONCLUSION

In this work, we present a one-parameter distribution called XLD which is mixture of two known distribution Exponential ($\theta$) and LD($\theta$) distributions. Its survival properties have been discussed: moments, skewness, kurtosis, hazard and rate function, stochastic ordering, quantile function, the maximum likelihood method and method of moments. The XLD is flexible and likely model in describing real life time-to-event data. Lot of properties and simulation are given which confirm the goodness of fit and it’s better than exponential, LD, Xgamma and ZD distributions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Average bias of the estimator $\hat{\theta}$.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bias</td>
<td>$\theta = 0.1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n = 20$</td>
<td>0.0032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n = 40$</td>
<td>0.00183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n = 100$</td>
<td>0.000321</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MSE, mean square error.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>The average square error of the estimator $\hat{\theta}$.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MSE</td>
<td>$\theta = 0.1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n = 20$</td>
<td>1,0854.10$^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n = 40$</td>
<td>3,357.10$^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n = 100$</td>
<td>1,0334.10$^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3  Comparison between LD, Xgamma, ZD, Exp and XLD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Survival Time</th>
<th>Obs freq</th>
<th>LD $\hat{\theta} = 0.522$</th>
<th>Xgamma $\hat{\theta} = 0.689$</th>
<th>ZD $\hat{\theta} = 0.852$</th>
<th>Exp $\hat{\theta} = 0.315$</th>
<th>XLD $\hat{\theta} = 0.467$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[0,2]</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>38.262</td>
<td>37.652</td>
<td>30.339</td>
<td>43.937</td>
<td>41.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[2,4]</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>28.164</td>
<td>27.197</td>
<td>37.27</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>25.855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\chi^2$</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.7899</td>
<td>3.2040</td>
<td>14.236</td>
<td>1.8619</td>
<td>1.6446</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LD, Lindley; XLD, XLindley distribution; ZD, Zeghdoudi.
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