# Development of Student Worksheets (LKPD) Based on Problem Based Learning to Improve Learning Outcomes in Business Economics Subjects Class X at SMK Swasta Taman Siswa Medan Academic Year 2020/2021

Monika Karolina Sianturi<sup>1,\*</sup> Arwansyah<sup>2</sup> Muhammad Yusuf<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> The Educational Economy Study Program of Postgraduate School of Universitas Negeri Medan, Indonesia 20221 <sup>2,3</sup> Universitas Negeri Medan, Indonesia 20221

\*Corresponding author. Email: <u>monika.sianturi26@gmail.com</u>

### ABSTRACT

The purpose of this experiment to develop of student worksheets (LKPD) to improve learning outcomes. This research is a student worksheets (LKPD) based problem based learning on business economics subjects class X. This research is a research development using the 4-D step. Quality of the Student Worksheets (LKPD) which will be described include feasibility worksheets, and worksheets effectiveness in terms of student learning outcomes. The product evaluation subject was validated by 2 validators, subject teacher, and the sample of this research was student in class X with first trial of 7 students and the second trial of 29 students. The result show the development of student worksheets (LKPD) based on problem based learning is feasible because overall validation with an average percentage of 87% and the assessment of student response questionnaires at the small group trial stage and field trials with a percentage greater than 80%. The effectiveness of learning to know the increase in student learning outcomes using pretest and posttest, with a significance value of 0.05 and tcount > ttable (2.71 > 1.664). While the results of N-gain X 1 > N-gain X 2 = 58% > 46%. This shows that the learning outcomes of business economics taught by using LKPD based on problem based problem based problem based problem. So it can be concluded that student worksheets (LKPD) based problem based learning the criteria feasible, and can be used to improve learning outcomes students.

Keywords: Student Worksheets, Problem Based Learning, Learning Outcomes.

# **1. INTRODUCTION**

The quality of human resources is a great and long-term problems for the related to education. When discussing education, it is not separated from the school as a container that brings together elements of education. The school as a formal institute is a vehicle in the institution of acceptance of the purpose of education. Through school, students train themselves a variety of things. In education, practice shows a positive formal transformation so that in the final phase will be able to new skills, skills and insights. But in an effort to achieve adequate results, a learning system is needed. In the teaching and learning system, teachers have a position as director as well as actor and are the dominant aspect in determining the success of the teaching and learning system in the classroom.

According to Suyanto (1999: 6), economic learning is not only so that students have a better

interpretation of history and social sciences. However, what really means is training students to think rationally and also assume carefully. Furthermore, the purpose of learning is to improve the skills of students in analyzing economic situations and problems in a logical way. Because economics can also be used to solve problems of individual and public economic problems, economics needs to be taught and studied by teachers and students.

Based on the results of interviews conducted by researchers with one of the economics teachers at SMK Taman Siswa Medan showed that the achievement of student learning outcomes was still not in line with expected. Where the minimum completion criteria for Business Economics subjects are 75. Details can be observed in table 1.1:

**Table 1.1.** Percentage of Completion of Students Class XOTKP Business Economics Subjects Year of Learning2020/2021

Class	Total Student	Student with ≤KKM	Percentage	Student with ≥KKM	Percentage
X OTK P-1	29	17	58,62%	12	41,37%
X OTK P-2	26	16	61,53%	10	38,46%

# Source : DKN Business Economics SMK Taman Siswa Medan

From the table above it can be seen that the average of the results of the final semester repeat value of students for class X OTKP-1 who obtained a score greater than KKM which is 41% or as many as 12 students, and who obtained a score smaller than KKM which is 59% or as many as 17 students, and for class X OTKP-2 which obtained more than KKM which is 38% or as many as 10 students, and who get a score smaller than KKM which is 62% or as many as 16 students. Sourced from the data obtained, it is clear that the percentage of learning of students is still low in categories and has not achieved success in learning in accordance with what is set. This proves that the learning outcomes of students must be improved.

Low learning outcomes are thought to be influenced by several factors such as variations in the learning model used. The learning model still uses conventional methods (monotony) as a result of which teachers are not yet experts in directing the attention of students to follow the learning, so that many students are still less observing. According to Wahyuningsih (2014: 95), the process of teaching and learning with a teacher centered nature is very easy for teachers but for students it is a saturated and not easy matter, consequently affecting the thinking skills of students.

The last factor that attracted the attention of researchers was that teachers had not been able to compile and develop teaching materials, especially LKPD. According to Katriani (2014: 1), LKPD is a collection of sheets containing student activities that allow learners to carry out real activities with the objects and problems studied. LKPD acts as a guideline for learning learners and also makes it easier for learners and teachers to carry out teaching and learning activities so as to increase the participation of students or students' activities in learning and change the learning situation from teacher centered to student centered".

LKPD provided from the school is not the result of the development of the school teacher. However,

LKPD obtained from publishers that have been provided and the internet, so teachers have not developed LKPD to guide their students to increase student involvement and student activity in learning. Teachers still rely on package books from the government even though one of the weaknesses of the government package book according to the Ministry of Education (in Rosliana, 2019: 13) "When observed from the structure is the absence of learning instructions, supporting information and the stage of question-solving activities so that in their use, the use of package books only allows one-way communication that has an impact on the lack of opportunities for learners to improve their mindset including creativity. as well as less support for learners in creating their own knowledge so that it has an impact on the skills of understanding concepts that are less well honed".

The curriculum in Indonesia is currently curriculum 2013 in particular (Regulation of the Minister of Education and Culture of the Republic of Indonesia Number 70 of 2013, 2013) on the Basic Framework and Structure of vocational curriculum / MAK which states that the 2013 Curriculum was developed with the improvement of mindset, among others: learning that focuses on teachers so focuses on students, as well as passive learning into active learning. Therefore, as a teacher can develop the learning process, especially learning resources that are able to explore the ideas of students into an innovative and critical so that they can produce and solve their own case problems.

One of the efforts to achieve learning goals in accordance with the 2013 curriculum is the need for teaching materials that support learning systems that focus on students and are active in learning. One of the teaching materials is the Student Worksheet or often referred to as LKPD. The existence of LKPD, students have a handle on learning resources so that students can learn independently to produce students' thinking skills. But in reality in the field, there are still many conventional-based LKPDs that are monotonous and saturated for students end up less motivated to assume more innovative and critical. Moreover, there are still many LKPD encounters that are not in accordance with basic competencies and curriculum indicators in 2013 because the worksheets submitted in the form of disposable worksheets, just buy and without the efforts of teachers to design, prepare and assemble themselves in increasing their creativity to design in making LKPD more creative and innovative in suppressing students to be more active and critical in producing their knowledge.

With these problems, overcoming the weaknesses of student worksheets in business economics learning, teacher creativity is needed in improving student worksheets. In the development of student worksheets in accordance with the 2013 curriculum, conformity of existing problems is needed by utilizing the basis of the combined learning model. Andarwati and Hernawati (2013:166) state that "the application of LKPD requires a learning model that can create new knowledge". By utilizing the basis of the learning model, the preparation



of LKPD can support students so as not to have difficulty in mastering learning and actively participating in the teaching and learning process. Currently, many learning models that can be used by a teacher in improving students' learning outcomes, one option is to use a problem based learning model that can give the widest space to students to be able to think and participate actively in the learning process.

According to Aryanti (2020:6) that :

Problem Based Learning is a learning model that utilizes real-world problems as a context for students to learn critical thinking and problemsolving skills, and to gain the main knowledge and concepts of the subject matter. Problem Based Learning emphasizes on students to be independent learning and actively involved in learning.

From the expert statement above it can be concluded that learning with the Problem Based Learning model begins with a problem (can be raised by teachers or students), after which students deepen their knowledge about what they already know and what they need to know to solve the problem. Students can sort out problems that are considered interesting to solve so that they are encouraged to play an active role in learning.

Sourced from the results of interviews with teachers in the field of Business Economics studies at the Medan Student Park Vocational School that they have never utilized student worksheets (LKPD) based on problem based learning and the way of learning to teach is still conventionally based. The learning model must be able to change the learning style of students from students who learn passively so active in constructing theories. The right learning model can create more useful, fun, and suitable learning for students. Therefore, teachers must be able to be creative and innovative in teaching and be able to raise the concern of students to be active and motivated to learn, and must be balanced with the teacher's expertise in understanding the model. Related to this researchers choose a problem based learning model.

This is related to research put forward by Benny Satria Wahyudi, Slamet Hariyadi, Sulifah Aprilya Hariani (2014) entitled "Pengembangan Bahan Ajar Berbasis Model *Problem Based Learning* pada Pokok Bahasan Pencemaran Lingkungan untuk Meningkatkan Hasil Belajar Siswa Kelas X SMA Negeri Grujugan Bondowoso", that hypothesis that reads the development of teaching materials based on problem based learning models on the subject of environmental pollution is able to improve the learning outcomes of class X students at SMA Negeri Grujugan Bondowoso acceptable.

Sourced in the case above, researchers try to study the problem with the title "Development of Student Worksheets (LKPD) Based on Problem Based Learning to Improve Learning Outcomes in Business Economics Subjects Class X at SMK Swasta Taman Siswa Medan Academic Year 2020/2021".

#### **2. METHOD**

This type of research is research development (Research and Development). Development research aims to develop, improve and test the effectiveness of a product. This development research refers to the 4-D model by Thiagarajan and Semmel (1974). This model has four stages, namely define, design, develop and disseminate. However, in this study only carried out up to the stage of development (without the disseminate stage). Products developed in research are teaching materials in the form of problem-based learning LKPD that has the potential impact to improve student learning outcomes.

This research will be conducted in SMK Swasta Taman Siswa Medan which is located at Jalan Sabaruddin No. 8 Medan. The time of the implementation of the research was carried out in the even semester of the Learning Year 2020/2021. This sampling is done by means of total sampling. Thus this study can be referred to as population research is kelas X-OTKP<sup>1</sup> dan X-OTKP<sup>2</sup>. Where the 1st experimental class uses LKPD based problem based learning model and experiment class 2 uses LKPD based conventional learning model.

The assessment instruments are questionnaires, observations and tests of learning results. The required questionnaires are as follows: (1) Assessment questionnaires or responses from LKPD material experts; (2) Assessment or response from LKPD design experts; (3) Assessment or response questionnaires from business economics teachers; (4) Angket assessment or student response to LKPD. The content of the questionnaire is in the form of statements related to the condition or circumstances of LKPD based on Problem Based Learning in learning.

Data analysis techniques are used to formulate research results. The results of this data analysis are the answer to the question of the existing problem. The collected data is analyzed descriptively quantitatively and then the results of the analysis are described qualitatively. The data to be collected is data related to LKPD product development assessment data based on Problem Based Learning on business economics learning for vocational class X semester 2 through a team of experts, teachers and students. On the questionnaire sheet uses the Likert scale with 5 alternative answers available with different weights. The results of the questionnaire sheet will be adjusted to the benchmarks used to interpret the percentage of expert validation questionnaire results as well as the responses of teachers and students. The test used is an objective test of multiple choices with 5 answer choices (a,b,c,d,e) about consumer and producer behavior materials. Before hypothesis testing is first done test data analysis requirements in this case calculated data normality test and data homogeneity test. To test the learning outcome hypothesis, the tests used are the t and N-Gain tests.



### **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The research was conducted at SMK Swasta Taman Siswa Medan 2020/2021 involving two classes with different treatment in both classes. Class X-OTKP1 is used as an experimental class I which is given LKPD based on Problem Based Learning model and X-OTKP2 is used as an experiment class II which is given LKPD based on conventional learning model.

Before conducting research into the field, researchers validated the LKPD developed to 3 validators, namely 2 expert lecturers and teachers in the field of study. The validation process is carried out 1 stage because the results of validation of 1 LKPD are said to be worth trialling without revision with an average percentage of 87%.

LKPD trials are carried out with 2 stages, the first is a small group trial stage and the second stage of trials in the field. In the small group trial stage as well as field trials, the student response was very positive, with a very decent category with a percentage greater than 80%. After this stage is completed, the next stage to find out the feasibility of LKPD developed towards improving learning outcomes.

Before learning begins, pre-tests are held to both classes aimed at looking at students' initial abilities in consumer and producer behavior materials. Researchers pretested the I and II trials using as many as 20 valid multiple choice questions.

After being given different treatment, namely the first experimental class that was given a collaborative treatment of problem based learning model, and the second experimental class that was taught with conventional learning models, it was given back post tests to see improvements in learning outcomes. With the post test, the average score was obtained in the first experimental class of 81.03 with 27 students from a sample of 29 students declared to pass KKM. As for the second experimental class, the average score was 74.81 with 22 students from a sample of 26 students declared to pass KKM.

After the calculation using the Liliefors technique, the pre-test normality test for the experimental class I was obtained Lhitung = 0.106. From the list of liliefors tests with real levels  $\alpha = 0.05$  with n = 29, ltabel = 0.161. This indicates that Lhitung < Ltabel (0.106 < 0.161) means normal distributed data. The post-test normality test of experimental class I obtained Lhitung = 0.132. From the list of liliefors tests with real levels  $\alpha = 0.05$  with n = 29, Ltabel = 0.161. This shows Lhitung < Ltabel 0.132 < 0.161 means normal distributed data.

After the calculation using the Liliefors technique, the pre-test normality test for the experimental class I was obtained Lhitung = 0.106. From the list of liliefors tests with real levels  $\alpha = 0.05$  with n = 29, ltabel = 0.161. This indicates that Lhitung < Ltabel (0.106 < 0.161) means normal distributed data. The post-test normality test of experimental class I obtained Lhitung = 0.132. From the list of liliefors tests with real levels  $\alpha =$ 

0.05 with n = 29, ltabel = 0.161. This shows Lhitung < Ltabel 0.132 < 0.161 means normal distributed data.

From the calculation of homogeneity test using interpolation calculation obtained Ftabel = 1.88, Fhitung for pre test data is 1.19 and Fhitung for post test data is 1.18. By comparing the price obtained Fhitung < Ftabel which is 1.19 < 1.88 for pre test data and Fhitung < Ftabel which is 1.18 < 1.88 for post test data. It can then be concluded that the variance of both sample groups for pre test and post test has the same variance or homogeneous.

Furthermore, the t-test results were conducted, where the test results were found to have an increase in the learning outcomes of students who used LKPD problem based learning on consumer and producer behavior materials compared to the learning results of students who used conventional LKPD (student handbook) with significance values of 0.05 and thitung > ttabel (2.71 > 1,664). Meanwhile, based on the results of the normality gain test in the experimental class I showed that the average experimental class increased by 58% which means the average N-gain of experiment I included an increase in categories quite effective. While the increase in experiment II by 46% includes an increase in less effective categories, so it can be concluded that business economics learning outcomes taught using LKPD based problem based learning models are more effective than business economics learning outcomes taught using conventional LKPD-based learning models in students of class X OTKP SMK Swasta Taman Siswa Medan 2020/2021.

The results of this study are supported by the results of previous research conducted by Lestari with his research entitled "Procedural Adopting 4D Model from Thiagarajan A Study of Biotechnology MSMEs Using PBL Models for Students. The results of this study showed that draft validation from experts was worth 92.1% with good criteria. Validation of the draft by practitioners of 94.5% with excellent criteria. The trial of students amounted to 88.2% with good criteria. The results of the implementation of MFI in the field based on cognitive assessments in 34 students with a pre-test score of 51,912 and post test 61,618. Statistical tests show a significant difference between pre-test and post test scores. Thus it can be concluded that the hypothesis that reads the application of the PBL model MFI can effectively improve learning outcomes in Biotechnology courses is acceptable.

From the overall analysis of data from the results of the study, it can be concluded that the research I conducted that the results I can also experience improvements in student learning outcomes, the use of problem-based learning-based LKPD is feasible and the student response is found to be positive or good.

#### 4. CONCLUSION

Based on the results of research and discussion, it can be concluded as follows:

1. Problem-based learning LKPD development that has been developed on Consumer and Producer

Behavior materials for students of class X SMK Taman Siswa Medan, overall validation results with an average percentage of 87% and assessment of student response questionnaires at small group trial stages and field trials with a percentage greater than 80%, are declared fit for use in the teaching and learning process.

2. The results of the test-t found an increase in the learning outcomes of students who used LKPD problem based learning on consumer and producer behavior materials compared to the learning results of students who used conventional LKPD (student handbook) with significance values of 0.05 and thitung > ttabel (2.71 > 1.664), while N-gain  $\overline{X1}$  > N-gain  $\overline{X2} = 58\% > 46\%$ . This shows the learning outcomes of business economics taught using LKPD-based problem based learning models are significantly more effective than business economics learning outcomes taught using conventional LKPD-based learning models.

Based on the results of the study and conclusions, the researchers provide the following suggestions:

- 1. For teachers who want to implement the development of problem-based learning LKPD should be tailored to the analysis and needs of students during learning by following the steps of the problem based learning model so that students can engage more enthusiastically and can cooperate in the team.
- 2. The LKPD developed must be made with a more creative or more interesting design so that all students are interested in working on LKPD.
- 3. For further researchers who want to conduct similar research to first conduct the feasibility test of LKPD before being used by teachers of the field of study and students.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Amir, M. T. 2010. *Inovasi Pendidikan Melalui Problem Based Learning*. Jakarta: Kencana Prenada Media Group.
- [2] Andarwati, D dan Hernawati, K. 2013. Pengembangan Lembar Kegiatan Siswa (LKS) Berbasis Pendekatan Penemuan Terbimbing Berbantuan Geogebra Untuk Membelajarkan Topik Trigonometri pada Siswa Kelas X SMA. *Prosiding FMIPA UNY*, pp. 978–979 ISBN : 978-979-16353-9-4. <u>https://eprints.uny.ac.id/10745/1/P%20-%2022.pdf</u>.
- [3] Arikunto, Suharsimi. 2014. *Evaluasi Program Pendidikan*. Jakarta: Bumi Aksara.
- [4] Arikunto, Suharsimi. 2018. *Prosedur Penelitian Suatu Pendekatan Praktek*. Jakarta: Rineka Cipta.
- [5] Aryanti. 2020. *Inovasi Pembelajaran Matematika di SD*. Sleman: Deepublish Publisher.

- [6] Djamarah, Syaiful dan Aswan Zain. 2016. Strategi Belajar Mengajar. Jakarta: Rineka Cipta.
- [7] Elfina, Sisra dan Sylvia. 2020. Pengembangan Lembar Kerja Peserta Didik (LKPD) Berbasis Problem Based Learning (PBL) dalam Meningkatkan Kemampuan Berpikir Kritis Siswa Pada Mata Pelajaran Sosiologi di SMA Negeri 1 Payakumbuh. Jurnal Kajian Pendidikan dan Pembelajaran. Volume 2 Nomor 1 September (2020) 27-34, ISSN: 2686-3413 (Print) 2715-1735 (Online), <u>http://sikola.ppj.unp.ac.id/index.php/siko la/article/view/56</u>.
- [8] Hamruni. 2012. *Strategi Pembelajaran*. Yogyakarta: Insan Madani.
- [9] Istarani dan Intan Pulungan. 2015. *Ensiklopedi Pendidikan*. Medan: Media Persada.
- [10] Jasperina dan Suryelita. 2019. The Development of Problem Based Learning Student Worksheet on Alkanale and Alkanone Topics for 3rd Grade of Senior High School. *Edukimia Journal*. Volume 1 Nomor 3 Oktober (2019) 112-117, e-ISSN: 2502-6399,http://edukimia.ppj.unp.ac.id/ojs/index.php/e dukimia/.
- [10] Karwono. 2018. Belajar dan Pembelajaran. Depok: Rajawali Pers.
- [11] Kaharuddin, A. 2018. Effect of Problem Based Learning Model on Mathematical Learning Outcomes of 6 th Grade Students of Elementary School Accredited B in Kendari City. *International Journal of Trends in Mathematics Education Research*, (2), pp. 43–46. doi:10.33122/ijtmer.v1i2.14. <u>https://core.ac.uk/dow</u> <u>nload/pdf/230061677.pdf</u>.
- [12] Katriani, Laila. 2014. Pengembangan Lembar Kerja Peserta Didik (LKPD). Makalah disampaikan dalam PPM "Pelatihan Pembuatan Perencanaan" bagi Guru SMP Se-Kecamatan Danurejan, Kota Yogyakarta. Jurusan Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta (UNY), Yogyakarta, 24 Oktober. <u>http://staff.uny.ac.id/sites/default/files/pengabdian/l</u> aila-katriani-ssi-msi/pengembangan-lembar-kerjapeserta-didik-lkpd-ppm-dipa-fakultas-20141.pdf.
- [13] Kaymakci, S. 2006. *History Teachers' Views About Worksheets*. Turkey: Karadeniz Technical University.
- [14] Kompri. 2017. Belajar: Faktor-Faktor yang Mempengaruhinya. Yogyakarta: Media Akademi.
- [15] Latief, Dede dan Epon. 2014. Pengaruh Pembelajaran Kontekstual terhadap Hasil Belajar. *Jurnal Pendidikan Geografi*, Volume 14 Nomor 1 (2014) 11-27, <u>https://ejournal.upi.edu/index.php/gea/article/vi</u> <u>ew/3358</u>.

- [16] Lestari. 2018. Prosedural Mengadopsi Model 4D dari Thiagarajan Suatu Studi Pengembangan LKM Bioteknologi Menggunakan Model PBL Bagi Mahasiswa. Jurnal Ilmiah Teknologi FST Undana, Volume 12 Nomor 2 September (2018) 56-65, <u>https://ejurnal.undana.ac.id/jurnal\_teknologi/ar</u> <u>ticle/view/1170</u>.
- 2019. [17] Lukman, Kembaren dan Mariani. Pengembangan Lembar Kerja Siswa Inovatif Berbasis Problem Based Learning Dalam Pembelajaran Konsep Materi Kimia Reaksi Reduksi dan Oksidasi pada Siswa SMA. Prosiding Seminar Nasional Peningkatan Mutu Pendidikan, Volume 1 Nomor 1 Desember (2019)457-463, http://publikasi.fkipunsam.org/index.php/semnas2019/article/view/116 <u>/110</u>.
- [18] Ngalimun. 2017. Strategi Pembelajaran : Dilengkapi dengan 65 Model Pembelajaran. Yogyakarta: Penerbit Parama Ilmu.
- [19] Nuraini, Johari dan Revis. 2017. Pengembangan Lembar Kerja Siswa Berbasis Problem Based Learning Pada Pembelajaran Biologi untuk Sekolah Menengah Atas. Jurnal Edu Sains, Volume 6 Nomor 1 Januari (2017) 11-18, <u>https://online-journal.unja.ac.id/edusains/article/view/5267</u>.
- [20] Peraturan Menteri Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan Republik Indonesia Nomor 70 Tahun 2013. 2013. Peraturan Menteri Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan Republik Indonesia Nomor 70 Tahun 2013 tentang Kerangka Dasar dan Struktur Kurikulum Sekolah Menengah Kejuruan/Madrasah Aliyah Kejuruan. Jakarta: Depdiknas.
- [21] Polya, G. 1973. How to Solve It: A New Aspect of Mathematical Method (Second Edition). New Jerey: Princeton University Press. <u>https://notendur.hi.is/hei2/teaching/Polya HowToS</u> <u>olveIt.pdf</u>.
- [22] Prastowo, A. 2013. Panduan Kreatif Membuat Bahan Ajar Inovatif. Yogyakarta: Diva Press.
- [23] Purwanto. 2012. Prinsip-Prinsip dan Teknik Evaluasi Pengajaran. Bandung: Rosda Karya.
- [24] Qohar. 2017. Pengembangan Perangkat Pembelajaran Model Problem Based Learning untuk Meningkatkan Keterampilan Berfikir Tingkat Tinggi. Tesis. Jember: Program Studi Magister Pendidikan Matematika Universitas Jember. <u>https://repository.unej.ac.id/handle/123456789/810</u> <u>27</u>.
- [25] Rosliana, Ina. 2019. Pengembangan LKPD Matematika dengan Model Learning Cycle 7E Berbantuan Mind Mapping. Jurnal Pengembangan Pembelajaran Matematika, Volume 1 Nomor 1 Februari (2019) 10-22, <u>http://ejournal.uin-suka.ac.id/saintek/jpm/article/view/1357/1223</u>.

- [26] Rusman. 2014. Model-model Pembelajaran : Mengembangkan Profesionalisme Guru. Jakarta: Rajagrafindo Persada.
- [27] Sadirman. 2018. Interaksi dan Motivasi Belajar Mengajar. Depok: Rajagrafindo Persada.
- [28] Sari, Banowati dan Purwanti. 2018. The Effect of Problem-Based Learning Model Increase The Creative Thinking Skill and Students Activities on Elementary School. *Journal of Primary Education*, Volume 7 Nomor 1 April (2018) 57-63 e-ISSN: 2502–4515 p-ISSN: 2252– 6404, <u>https://journal.unnes.ac.id/sju/index.php/jpe/ article/view/21861</u>.
- [29] Sitepu, B.P. 2012. *Penulisan Buku Teks Pelajaran*. Bandung: PT Remaja Rosdakarya.
- [30] Sudjana. 2009. Metoda Statistika. Bandung: PT Tarsito.
- [31] Sugiyono. 2016. Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif, Kualitatif dan R&D. Bandung: Alfabeta.
- [32] Suyanto. 1999. Pokok-Pokok Pembelajaran Pendidikan Ekonomi di SLTP. Jakarta: Departemen Pendidikan Nasional.
- [33] Tim Prodi Pendidikan Sosiologi FIS UNY. 2019. Instrumen Penilaian Keterampilan Mata Pelajaran Sosiologi SMA: LKPD (Lembar Kerja Peserta Didik). Yogyakarta: UNY Press.
- [34] Trianto. 2014. Mendesain Model Pembelajaran Inovatif-Progresif. Jakarta: Kencana Prenada Media Group.
- [35] Usman, Uzer. 2017. *Menjadi Guru Profesional*. Bandung: Remaja Rosdakarya.
- [36] Usmeldi. 2015. Pengembangan Lembar Kerja Siswa dalam Pembelajaran Fisika Berbasis riset di SMAN 1 Padang. Prosiding Seminar Nasional Fisika (E-Journal), Volume IV Oktober 2015, pp. 185–190 p-ISSN: 2339-0654 e-ISSN: 2476-9398, <u>http://journal.unj.ac.id/unj/index.php/prosidingsnf/ article/download/5007/3693/</u>.
- [37] Wahyudi, Benny Satria, dkk. 2014. Pengembangan Bahan Ajar Berbasis Model Problem Based Learning pada Pokok Bahasan Pencemaran Lingkungan untuk Meningkatkan Hasil Belajar Siswa Kelas X SMA Negeri Grujugan Bondowoso. Jurnal Pancaran, Vol. 3 No. 3, Agustus 2014. <u>https://jurnal.unej.ac.id/index.php/pancaran/article/ view/765</u>.
- [38] Wahyuningsih, dkk. 2014. Pengembangan LKS Berbasis Inkuiri Terbimbing Pada Materi Pokok Hidrolis Garam Untuk SMA/MA. Jurnal Paedagogia, Vol. 17 No. 1, 2014, 0126-4109 ISSN (Print), 2549-6670 ISSN (Online), <u>https://jurnal.uns.ac.id/paedagogia/article/view/360</u> <u>61</u>.