The Responses of HIF-1α and HSP70 to Interval Training with Various Intensities in Indonesian Junior Sprinters
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ABSTRACT
Interval training (IT) is a method of exercise to improve running ability in predetermined distance and time. This study aims to describe physiological responses (hypoxia-inducible factor-1α (HIF-1α) and heat shock proteins 70 (HSP70)) to several IT in male Indonesian junior sprinters. In this experimental study 17 male junior sprinters were allocated into 3 groups based on exercise intensity: low, moderate and high. Measured were height, body weight, 100 m running speed and biochemical parameters (HIF-1α and HSP70), before IT, during IT, and after a 30-minutes break following IT. Data analyses were performed using Kruskal-Wallis and ANOVA tests. HIF-1α concentration increased in high intensity group after IT compared to the condition during IT was performed, while HSP70 concentration mostly increased in moderate and high intensity groups. HSP70 concentrations were lower in all groups, after the 30-minute break, but did not recover to its concentration before IT was performed. HIF-1α and HSP70 production depended on training intensity. Their concentrations did not reach baseline concentrations after 30-minute break. It is suggested that more than 30 minutes of rest are needed to recover after a very intense training session.
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1. INTRODUCTION
Systematic repetitive training, with an increased load everyday according to the target, is needed to improve athletes’ performances and achievements. Its components consist of intensity, volume, duration, rhythm, and frequency. The target is usually set by adjusting the intensity with athletes’ conditions. Intensity is defined as the quality of the exercise and can be determined by either distance/volume of exercise completed in each session or the running speed in predetermined time. Low-intensity training is an aerobic training while high-intensity training is typically anaerobic and may cause injuries or health problems if performed without sufficient recovery periods [1-3].

One of current methods developed in athletics is interval training (IT). The elements of IT are distance, time, resting period between exercises, number of repetitions and training intensity. This type of trainings comprises sequences of various intensities of trainings (low to high) and a resting period in between each training. IT applies generally to the athletes who want to improve their performance, particularly sprinters who want to improve their running speed in predetermined distance and time. The initial physiological response to exercise is an increased blood flow to muscle tissue. This increment lasts up to 25 times during training and is needed for muscle contraction. The energy is produced aerobically in adequate oxygen supply milieu. However, repetitive or continuous high-intensity training, particularly in short period, will result in reduced oxygen concentration in muscle tissue which leads to anaerobic metabolism [4-6]. Anaerobic energy turnover generates lactic acid, which in turn causes fatigue declining the muscle’s ability to contract [1, 4].

Birch and McLaren [7] found that high intensity of IT causes relative hypoxia depending on physiological muscle characteristics and the amount of energy supply required during training. Sustained hypoxia threatens the survival of cells, tissues, and organs [8]. Hence, the body has various mechanisms to adapt to hypoxia, such as stabilizing hypoxia inducible factor-1α (HIF-1α) [9, 10] and to maintain homeostasis by inducing the production of heat shock protein (HSP) [11, 12]. From the HSP subfamilies (due to molecular weight HSP27, HSP60, HSP70 and HSP90), especially HSP70 is easily increased in skeletal muscle by physical training [13].

To adjust IT to the conditions of Indonesian athletes, we here investigate HIF-1α, HSP70 under different exercise training intensities.
2. METHODS

A. Participants

Seventeen male sprinters (mean±standard deviation, age: 15.6±0.7 years; height: 168.1±3.3 cm; weight: 54.4±3.3 kg) and fifteen male non-sprinters (mean±standard deviation, age: 15.4±0.6 years; height: 161.1±3.3 cm; weight: 51.5±2.9 kg) participated in the study. The sprinters were joining Nasionl Training Center in Indonesia but the non-sprinters were not joining it. Each group consisted of sprint athletes and non-sprint-athletes were divided into 3 sub-groups randomly. There are high-intensity (90%-100%) group, moderate-intensity (70%-80%) group, and low-intensity (50%-60%) group.

B. Experimental Design

This study is an experimental study aiming to measure the response of a 10×100 meter interval running. Blood sampling, height, and body weight measurement were carried out at Senayan Madya Stadium. Blood analysis for HIF-1α and HSP70 was done at Biochemistry Laboratory Faculty of Medicine, University of Indonesia. To measure body physiological response towards interval training (IT), blood sampling was carried out 3 times: before training, during training, and after a 30-minute break following training. The measurement was done by 3 steps. The first step: before training, anthropometry, first blood sampling and the ability to run 100 m were conducted. The results were used to classify subjects into the sub-groups. The second step, all subjects underwent 10×100 m interval running with resting periods in between. Pulse rate should be in the range of 110-120 beats per minute (bpm). Soon after all subjects finished the running, blood sample was drawn. The third step, right after a 30-minute resting period following IT, the third blood sample was taken. Blood samples were analyzed for HIF-1α using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) sandwich method (SurveyorTM IC, Human/Mouse HIF-1α immunoassay, catalog number SUV1935), while HSP70 measurement used ELISA sandwich method (surveyorTM IC, human/mouse/rat total HSP70/HSPA1A immunoassay, catalog number SUV 1663).

C. Statistical Analyses

Data analyses were performed using R HIF-1α and HSP70 values were statistically analyzed using R version 3.5.1. Mean differences between sprinters and non-sprinters’ physical characteristics were assessed using Student’s t-test. A statistical significant was obtained by setting up the alpha level at <0.05. A two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed to test the effect of the three intensity (low, moderate, high) and sprinter and non-sprinter had an interaction effect on running speed, a two-way ANOVA was performed. Prior to conducting the ANOVA, the assumption of normality for each 6 level combinations was examined using a Shapiro-Wilk test and found to be satisfied as each combination of the groups’ distribution was associated with p-value greater than 0.05. Furthermore, the assumption of homogeneity variances was tested and satisfied based on Levene’s F test, F(5,26)=1.766 and p=0.155. A two-way ANOVA yielded a main effect for the intensity, F(2,26)=5.966, p=0.007, indicating that there was a significant difference in mean running speed between the intensities. Pos hoc comparisons using the Tukey was carried out. There was a significant difference between low and moderate intensities (p=0.006) with people on moderate intensity run on average 0.37 s faster than those on low intensity, while the low-hard intensities and moderate-hard intensities showed the same lack of effect (p=0.083 and p=0.462, respectively). The main effect of sprinter/non-sprinter yielded an F ratio of F(1,26)=466.536 and p<0.001. A Tukey’s HSD further indicated that the mean running speed was significantly faster for sprinter (M=11.465, SD=0.150) than for non-sprinter (M=13.373, SD=0.373). The interaction effect was non-significant, F(2,26)=2.328, p=0.117. The Tukey’s HSD for interaction effect demonstrated the average running speed for sprinter on either low, moderate, or hard intensity was significantly faster than those non-sprinter (all p-values < 0.001).

3. RESULTS

Mean of age and body weight were about the same between sprinter and non-sprinter (p=0.889 and p=0.432). Mean of body height for sprinter was higher than non-sprinter (p=0.026). Its contrast with this result, the running speed 100 m for sprinter was faster than non-sprinter (p=0.025). Moreover, based on each intensity, athletes’ running speed was also faster than non-sprinter, as depicted in Fig. 1.

Table 1. Physical characteristics of the subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>Data</th>
<th>Sprinter (n=17)</th>
<th>Non-sprinter (n=15)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (year)</td>
<td></td>
<td>15.6 ± 0.7</td>
<td>15.4 ± 0.6</td>
<td>0.889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body height (cm)</td>
<td></td>
<td>168.1 ± 3.3</td>
<td>161.1 ± 3.3</td>
<td>0.026*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body weight (kg)</td>
<td></td>
<td>54.4 ± 3.3</td>
<td>51.5 ± 2.9</td>
<td>0.432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Running speed 100</td>
<td></td>
<td>11.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>13.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.025*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as mean±SD; * p <0.05.

In order to test the hypothesis that intensity level (low, moderate, high) and sprinter and non-sprinter had an interaction effect on running speed, a two-way ANOVA was performed. Prior to conducting the ANOVA, the assumption of normality for each 6 level combinations was examined using a Shapiro-Wilk and found to be satisfied as each combination of the groups’ distribution was associated with p-value greater than 0.05. Furthermore, the assumption of homogeneity variances was tested and satisfied based on Levene’s F test, F(5,26)=1.766 and p=0.155. A two-way ANOVA yielded a main effect for the intensity, F(2,26)=5.966, p=0.007, indicating that there was a significant difference in mean running speed between the intensities. Pos hoc comparisons using the Tukey was carried out. There was a significant difference between low and moderate intensities (p=0.006) with people on moderate intensity run on average 0.37 s faster than those on low intensity, while the low-hard intensities and moderate-hard intensities showed the same lack of effect (p=0.083 and p=0.462, respectively). The main effect of sprinter/non-sprinter yielded an F ratio of F(1,26)=466.536 and p<0.001. A Tukey’s HSD further indicated that the mean running speed was significantly faster for sprinter (M=11.465, SD=0.150) than for non-sprinter (M=13.373, SD=0.373). The interaction effect was non-significant, F(2,26)=2.328, p=0.117. The Tukey’s HSD for interaction effect demonstrated the average running speed for sprinter on either low, moderate, or hard intensity was significantly faster than those non-sprinter (all p-values < 0.001).
A. Concentration of HIF-1α and training intensity

HIF-1α concentration was measured before IT, during IT, and after a 30-minute break in all intensity groups. At baseline, a Kruskal-Wallis test showed that there was no statistically difference in HIF-1α concentration of sprinters between the different intensities before IT ($X^2(2) = 0.666$ and $p=0.717$), during IT ($X^2(2) = 0.372$ and $p=0.830$), and after 30-minute break ($X^2(2) = 0.058$ and $p=0.972$). The Kruskal-Wallis test was conducted on each group since the normality assumption on ANOVA was not satisfied.

Table 2. Sprinters’ HIF-1α level during and after IT in all intensity groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Training Intensity</th>
<th>HIF-1α Level (pg/ml)</th>
<th>Difference (pg/ml)</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>During IT</td>
<td>After IT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>71.395±39.655</td>
<td>71.926±42.112</td>
<td>0.531</td>
<td>0.744</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>64.822±33.633</td>
<td>76.546±50.968</td>
<td>11.724</td>
<td>18.086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>78.525±50.833</td>
<td>78.989±56.965</td>
<td>0.373</td>
<td>0.475</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Concentration of HSP70 and training intensity

HSP70 concentrations before IT, during IT, and after a break in each group are presented in Fig. 3. Fig. 3 presents the observed and the mean HSP70 levels given by participants in each of the nine conditions. The mean value of HSP70 concentration of sprinters increased during IT and after a break in high- and moderate-intensity groups. The HSP70 concentration in high-intensity group increased 45.2% during IT and 2% after 30-minute break compared to its concentration before IT. Similarly, it increased in the moderate training group by 49.5% during IT and 42.2% after the break period compared to its concentration before IT. Meanwhile in low-intensity group, HSP70 concentration decreased 11.3% during IT and further down 54.5% after the break compared to its concentration before IT (Fig. 3). The HSP70 levels for sprinters were significantly higher than non-sprinters across intensity groups ($p<0.0001$).
mean HSP70 levels of sprinter between the intensities was found after IT (F(2,14)=10.676, p=0.002). Pos hoc comparisons using the Tukey were carried out. There was a significant difference between moderate and low intensities (p=0.001) with sprinters on moderate intensity had the HSP70 levels on average 18.799 pg/ml more than those on low intensity. There was also a significant difference between high and low intensities (p=0.025) with sprinters on high intensity had the HSP70 levels on average 12.289 pg/ml more than those on low intensity. However, there was no significant difference between high and moderate intensities (p=0.253).

The principal finding of the present study was that endurance exercise led to an elevation in the resting level of peripheral leukocytes HSP70 content, as was previously reported in skeletal [8] and myocardial [9] muscle [10]. Previous studies indicated that endurance exercise elevated the resting level of HSP70 in skeletal muscle and heart [10-12]. The elevation of body temperature [13, 5, 14], formation of ROS [14, 15] and depletion of glycogen [16] are all regarded as factors that induce HSP70 expression in skeletal muscle during exercise [17]. When body temperature was maintained during endurance exercise, HSP accumulated in peripheral leukocytes. Therefore, an elevation in body temperature could be excluded as a contributor to the increase of peripheral leukocytes HSP70 in subjects. So the there is a possibility that IT with high intensity and moderate intensity might be a stressor to induce HSP70. These findings suggest the possibility that the IT, as used in the present study, may stimulate stress response to induce HSP70.

Exercise intensity per unit time was probably higher in our exercise endurance [17]. Some of studies demonstrated that HSP70 was delayed several hours after stress: 2 h for heat [18, 19], 1 h for cold [16], 2 h for oxidative reagent or ethanol [20] and 2h for treadmill running [21, 17]. Endurance exercise leads to an elevation in the resting level of peripheral leukocytes HSP70. Thus it is concluded that endurance exercise elevates the resting level of peripheral leukocytes HSP70 and that the resultant accumulation of HSP70 helps to protect stress-loaded cells from injury due to the elevation of chaperone activity [17]. HSP70 production was higher in high- and moderate-intensity training compared to low-intensity group in junior sprinters and these differences were statistically significant. High-intensity IT increased the concentrations of both HIF-1α and HSP70. This is beneficial to athletes that they may adapt to stress or hypoxic state. Hypoxia inducible factor (HIF) is a transcription factor which is regulated under hypoxic or normoxic states [14]. VOGH et al. [20] reported that in normoxic and hypoxic high-intensity training, the production of HSP70 increased, whereas in normoxic low-intensity training, it decreased. During IT, the body responded to the executed training, in this case a 10×100 m run, based on the exercise intensity. High- and moderate-intensity IT increased the athletes’ physiological responses during training. These changes were seen on the increment of HIF-1α and HSP70 concentrations. Moreover, the athlete sprinters were more responsive in high- and moderate-intensity IT than the controls. However, after 30-minute break, those concentrations did not fall to their normal values. This showed that the response was not easily reversed back even after the recovery period. It is suggested that more than 30 minutes are needed for an athlete to rest, especially for the one doing high-intensity IT. Besides, a shift to moderate- or low-intensity training on the following day may be needed, so that the cells have enough time to regenerate.

4. CONCLUSION

Concentration of HIF-1α increased in all intensities of IT for sprinter-athletes, especially in the high-intensity group. After a 30-minute break, HIF-1α concentration did not decrease, whereas HSP70 concentration decreased significantly after recovery in all intensity groups (although not back to baseline). Our results suggest that athletes may need more than 30-minute recovery and a shift to moderate- or low-intensity training on the following day to recover after a very intense training session.
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