

Forage Pellets Quality From Weed *Legetan* With Different Composition

Bambang Suwignyo^{1,*}, Rifqi Danang Subagya², Andriyani Astuti¹, Nafiatul Umami¹,
and Ali Agus¹

¹ Lecturer and researcher, Faculty of Animal Science, Universitas Gadjah Mada

² Undergraduate students at Faculty of Animal Science, Universitas Gadjah Mada

*Corresponding author. Email: bsuwignyo@ugm.ac.id

ABSTRACT

The aimed of the research conducted to determine the effect of composition on the quality of forage pellet. This study used fresh *Legetan* (*Synedrella nodiflora*) for major material of forage pellets, while rice bran was additional feed ingredients. There was two (2) variation of forage pellet consisting of A (80% *Legetan* + 20% rice bran) and B (90% *Legetan* + 10% rice bran). These materials were formulated based on dry matter (DM). The mixture of ingredient were conducted in the form asfed, and then pelletized and dried (under sunlight) at Integrated Technical Implementation Unit (UPT), Forage and Pasture Science Laboratory, Faculty of Animal Science, Universitas Gadjah Mada. Each treatment replicated three (3). The experimental design was completely randomized design (CRD). The variables observed were DM, crude fiber (CF), crude protein (CP) and pelet durability index (PDI). The data were analyzed statistically with the help of the SPSS version 22 computer program. The significant differences then continued with the least significant different (LSD) test. The compositions of pellets forage affected the PDI, but not DM, CP, and CF.

Keywords: Durability index, feed technology, forage pellet, *Legetan* weed.

1. INTRODUCTION

Forage is main feed source of ruminants. Forage is also source energy for ruminant, since ruminants has the ability to digest fiber and then convert into volatyl fatty acid (energy source like glucose in non ruminant). Ultimately it can be described that fiber can be converted become milk and/or meat [1].

Unfortunately, people facing problem gap supply of feed between two season in Indonesia (dry and wet sesaon). Normally there is no problem of feed supply during wet season, but lack of supply during dry season [2]. People is looking for solution to maintain feed suply in both season by feed technology processing [3]. Another side, Indonesia has abundant potential type of forage sources that can be used as feed for ruminant diet, weeds *Legetan* (*Synedrella nodiflora*) is one of it [4][5].

Legetan is high nutrition forage with crude protein (CP) reaching 20% or higher, and crude fiber more than 20% [4]. *Legetan* not only can be stored in the form of

hay, but also can be processed as forage pellet [6]. The advantages of pellet form are not voluminous/ compact, easily stored, relatively stable in quality, can be combined with other materials, and less wasting when it given to the cattle [4]. There still open large opportunity to do research related to forage pellets with *Legetan* - based. Therefore, needs to be conducting research activities with scooping about weeds for feed [5].

2. MATERIAL AND METHOD

The experiment was conducted at the Forage and Pasture Science Laboratory, Faculty of Animal Science, Universitas Gadjah Mada (UGM). The equipments used in the implementation of the research consisted of the chopper forage, trays, pelletizer machine, and the pellet dryer pedestal tarp. Ingredients used in this study were rice bran, and *Legetan* that harvested from the pasture land of Forage Forage and Pasture Science Laboratory.

This research was used different compositions of forage pellets. *Legetan* as forage pellet provide t 20%

dry matter (DM), 23% CP and 30% crude fiber (CF) [5], rice bran contains DM 89.2% DM, ash 13.8%, 8.6% CP, 10.8% ether extract (EE), CF 21.6%, and 45.33% nitrogen free extract (NFE) [7]. There were two (2) compositions of forage pellets, namely:

- A = 90% *Legetan* and 10% rice bran
- B = 80% *Legetan* and 20% rice bran

These materials were calculated based on the dry matter. The mixture of ingredient conducted in *asfed*. After, a mixed ingredient was pelletized and dried it under sunlight. This research activity was based on a completely randomized design (CRD). The data was statistically analyzed with SPSS version 22 computer program. The observed variables were DM, CF, CP and pellet durability index (PDI).

3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The results of nutrient contents of forage pellets based on the calculation from composition are shown in Table 1. below:

Table 1. Nutrient contents of forage pellets (% DM) based on the calculation from its composition per 100%

| Feed ingredients | Type of forage pellets | |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|-------|
| | A | B |
| Rice bran | 10 | 20 |
| <i>Synedrella nodiflora</i> | 90 | 80 |
| Total | 100 | 100 |
| CP | 19.49 | 18.28 |
| CF | 22.86 | 22.71 |
| EE | 6.37 | 6.85 |

The nutritional quality of the two types of pellets was calculated based on the nutritional content of the raw materials. Both types of pellets were almost nutritionally equivalent in terms of CP, CF and EE. The decreased in the number of forage component from 90% to 80% had not shown a significant decreased in the levels of CP, CF and EE forage pellets. This occurred because the water content of grass was higher than that of rice bran [2,7] so that with the water content of forage *Legetan* weed which reached about 77%, causing a reduction in the proportion from 90% to 80% in *Legetan* forage pellets, it could still be covered by an increased in the proportion of rice bran nutrients from 10% to 20%.

3.1. Pellet Durability Index

The results of nutrient contents of forage pellets based on the analysis in the laboratory are shown in Table 2. below:

Pellet durability index is one of the standard for physical pellet quality. The higher the PDI obtained the

better the pellet quality. It means that the strength of a material made in pellet form will be guaranteed quality in terms of hardness and durability and save the feed, especially in terms of transportation and pellet packaging [8]. Factors that affect the durability value of pellets include the characteristics of the raw materials (protein, fat, fiber, and starch), density, texture, water and the stability of the characteristics of the material [9].

Table 2. *Legetan* forage pellet nutrient content

| Variables measured | Type of forage pellets | |
|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| | A | B |
| PDI | 97.20 ^a | 95.90 ^b |
| DM | 50.49 | 50.65 |
| CP | 12.38 | 12.81 |
| CF | 19.79 | 20.43 |

^{ab} Different superscripts in the same column show significant differences (P<0.05)

The results of the analysis of variance in the PDI value of forage pellets (Table 2) showed a significant difference (P<0.05). This was inseparable from the high protein content of the mixed legumes and concentrates. The minimum PDI specification standard is 80% [10]. The results obtained from the test show that *Legetan*'s PDI was good, because it was above the minimum limit of the PDI standard. Pellets that have high durability will be more resistant to impact and friction, besides that pellets are also easier to handle and the possibility of de-mixing of the particles making up the pellets is smaller [11].

3.2. Dry Matter

Based on the results of the analysis of variance in the DM content of *Legetan* forage pellets (Table 2), there was no significant difference from the composition treatment to the DM content. This might be caused by a mutual subsidized factor between reducing *Legetan* forage (90% to 80%) and increasing rice bran (from 10% to 20%). This is in accordance with the opinion of Chuzaemi and Hartutik [12] which states that feed ingredients are divided into water and DM. If the water content in the material is high then the dry matter contained in the material is low and vice versa.

3.3. Crude Protein

The content of CP in *Legetan* forage pellets according to Table 2. showed none of significant difference. The CP content of forage pellet was lower than CP content of *Legetan* in pellet formulation (Table 1). Suwignyo [4] stated that the CP content of *Legetan* was 20.11%. These results showed a decrease in CP content by pelleting process. In addition, the pelleting process also affects the CP content due to protein denaturation by heating. On the other hand, starch

gelatinization and protein denaturation are widely accepted as thermomechanical interactions that can improve pellet quality. Another factor can be caused vary quality of feed ingredient [13].

3.4. Crude Fiber

The results of the analysis of variance in the CF content of the forage *Legetan* pellets (Table 2) showed that there was no significant difference of CF content among treatments. This indicates that the composition of the pellets did not affect the CF content of the pellets. However, the CF content of forage pellets was lower than that the raw material (Table 1). Therefore, the livestock is easier to digest the *Legetan* by this pelleting process.

The content of CF is also a factor that affects the strength and durability of pellets in addition to starch, protein, lignin and fat [14]. Therefore, content of CF is an important factor in determining pellet quality. The main component of CF is in the form of carbohydrates consisting of cellulose compounds, some hemicelluloses, and lignin compounds that are difficult to digest [15]. An increase of CF content will reduce the digestibility of the feed.

4. CONCLUSION

The results showed that the composition of the raw materials for *Legetan* forage pellets had an effect on the pellet durability index but had no effect on dry matter, crude protein and crude fiber. Suggestion, continue the research with comparison of raw material between fresh and hay *Legetan*, and find out the effect on the quality of forage pellet.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

BS: designed and guided the study, and reviewed the manuscript. BS and RDS: collected data and pelletized *Legetan* weed. RDS and AA: managed the study and wrote the manuscript. NU and AA: reviewed the manuscript before submission. All authors read and approved the final manuscript

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The researchers wish to thank to Eprilia Aristia Rini and Dean Faculty of Animal Science for their support, discussion and assistance. Thank you to RTA programme of the year of 2021.

REFERENCES

[1] H. R. Kartadisastra, *Penyediaan dan pengelolaan pakan ternak ruminansia*, Kanisius. Yogyakarta, 1997.

[2] B. Suwignyo, B. Suhartanto, B. A. Suparja, W. Wahyudin, and G. Pawening, Effects of Different Season on Dominant Species and Chemical Composition of Tropical Agricultural Weeds, in *International Seminar on Tropical Animal Production (ISTAP)*, 2017, pp. 57–61.

[3] B. Suwignyo, N. Umami, N. Suseno, W. Wahyudin, and B. Suhartanto, Study For Dominance and Nutrition of Weeds As Feed in Various Crop Land in Yogyakarta, 17th Asian-Australasian Assoc. Anim. Prod. Soc. Anim. Sci. Congr., 2016.

[4] B. Suwignyo, A. Astuti, R. A. R. S. Putra, M. Danang, and E. Yulianto, Application of Appropriate Technology Forage Pellets for Emergency Response to Horse in Yogyakarta Andong Community Affected by Covid-19., 2020.

[5] B. Suwignyo, G. Pawening, M. H. Haris, N. Umami, N. Suseno, and B. Suhartanto, Effect of Organic and Inorganic Fertilizers on Yield and Quality of *Synedrella nodiflora* (Tropical Weed), *Bul. Peternak.*, vol. 44, no. 4, 2020.

[6] I. Susilawati, Effect Of Binder On Physical And Chemical Quality Of Grass Pellet, *J. Ilmu Ternak Univ. Padjadjaran*, vol. 12, no. 1, 2012.

[7] H. Hartadi, A. D. Tillman, and S. Reksohadiprojo, *Tabel komposisi pakan untuk Indonesia*. Gadjah Mada University Press, 1990.

[8] Z. User, S. K. Syafura, and W. User, Penggunaan Pelet Ransum Lengkap dengan Sumber Hijauan Rumput Gajah (*Pennisetum Purpurium*) Untuk Pakan Ternak Ruminansia, *Inov. Pembang. J. Kelitbangan*, vol. 4, no. 03, pp. 254–267, 2016.

[9] I. Rahmana, D. A. Mucra, and D. Febrina, Kualitas fisik pelet ayam broiler periode akhir dengan penambahan feses ternak dan bahan perekat yang berbeda, *J. Peternak.*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 33–40, 2016.

[10] W. A. Dozier, Pellet quality for most economical poultry meat. *J. Feed Int.*, vol. 52, no. 2, pp. 40–42, 2001.

[11] F. YUSDAMA. AP, pengaruh jenis dan dosis leguminosa terhadap durabilitas dan densitas pelet konsentrat sapi perah, *Students e-Journal*, vol. 5, no. 4, 2016.

[12] S. Chuzaemi and Hartutik, 1990. *Ilmu Makanan Ternak Khusus Ruminansia*, NUFFIC. Univ. Brawijaya. Malang.

[13] D. E. Maier, J. Briggs, and B. A. Watkins, Effects of ingredients and processing conditions on the pelleting of feeds, *Comple. Res. Summ.*

US Poultry Egg Assoc. Proj., no. 305, 1999.

- [14] N. Kaliyan and R. V. Morey, Factors affecting strength and durability of densified biomass products, *Biomass and bioenergy*, vol. 33, no. 3, pp. 337–359, 2009.

- [15] D. Tilman and D. Wedin, Plant traits and resource reduction for five grasses growing on a nitrogen gradient, *Ecology*, vol. 72, no. 2, pp. 685–700, 1991.