# Psychological Well-Being of Chinese Indonesian College Students

Meiske Yunithree Suparman<sup>1\*</sup> Ninawati Ninawati<sup>1</sup> Kurnia Setiawan<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Psychology, Universitas Tarumanagara, West Jakarta, Indonesia <sup>2</sup>Faculty of Visual Art and Design, Universitas Tarumanagara, West Jakarta, Indonesia. \*Corresponding author. Email: meiskey@fpsi.untar.ac.id

# ABSTRACT

Chinese people in Indonesia are found to be well-informed about identity administration and become victims of violence and crime. It can affect the individual's psychological well-being. Thus, the study was intended to identify the psychological well-being of Chinese Indonesian college students. The study involved 111 Chinese Indonesian college students. The study is a quantitative study with a descriptive survey design. The sampling technique used is non-probability sampling with sample retrieval technique is purposive sampling. Data was obtained through a short version of the psychological well-being scale (18 items). Through descriptive analysis, psychological well-being levels of college students are high with the empirical mean at 4.9352. Different tests were also conducted and found there were differences in psychological well-being degrees between males and females at autonomy (p = 0,000 ) and environmental mastery (<math>p = 0,013 ). Based on age and education, researchers have found no significant difference.

Keywords: Chinese Indonesian college students, discrimination, psychological well-being

# **1. INTRODUCTION**

Chinese in Indonesia respond to the environment is unique because the environment they face is nowhere else [1]. This unique environment has been a complex social, political, and historical state for generations. Experiences as well as stories passed down through generations form certain psychological conditions in Chinese Indonesian, including those entering early adulthood.

Psychological well-being is the individual's ability to accept oneself as he is, to form warm relationships with others, to have independence in the face of social environment, control the external environment, set their purpose in life, and realize his inherent potential.

The psychological well-being dimensions that presently by Ryff [2] refer to positive psychology functioning theories, developmental theories, and mental health theories. These dimensions are self-acceptance, positive relations with others, autonomy, environmental mastery, purpose in life, and personal growth.

**Self-acceptance** is a criterion that requires effort to be able to feel good about oneself. Self-acceptance is characterized by positive behavior toward oneself and recognizes and accepts the inner aspects, including the unfavorable personal aspects. Additionally, self-acceptance is marked by positive feelings about the past.

**Positive relations with others** consists of the ability to instill and encourage warmth, trust, intimacy with others, concern for the well-being of others, and be able to

empathize, cooperate, and understanding others. According to Ryff and Singer [3] positive relationships with others, involving strength, pleasure, and enjoyment of close relationship with others, are characterized by intimacy and affection.

**Autonomy**, reflected the search for self-determination and personal authority or independence in society which sometimes encourages obedience and fulfilment of responsibilities. The ability to withstand social pressure with specific thought and action, and to direct and evaluate actions based on internalized standards and values, is essential in autonomy.

**Environmental mastery**, include the ability to regulate daily problems, control issues related to external activities, utilize existing opportunities effectively, and choose or create contexts that suit personal needs. A sense of mastery has been obtained when the individual is aware of personal needs and desires and feels capable and able to act accordingly so as to obtain what is needed from the existing environment.

**Purpose in life**, consists of purpose and objectivity to live, including the existence of purpose and a sense of direction. A person with high purpose in life sees everyday life as a way to reach it, so that he will see his present and past life as meaningful.

**Personal growth**, reflected efforts to develop capabilities, talents and opportunities for personal growth. It is also shown open to new experiences and identifies challenges in various situations. Individuals who based their lives on values, such as overfullness, justice, autonomy, and empathy are within the pathway of personal growth, who will gain greater psychological health and well-being [4].

Psychological well-being will bring individuals to a more optimal life. When a person has a bad experience or has fear and uncertainty about his situation, his psychological wellbeing is affected. In Chinese Indonesian that are increasingly poorly treated with ethnic identity [5], psychological well-being becomes a matter of concern. Therefore, it is very important to obtain an overview of the psychological well-being of Chinese Indonesian college students, and the results of the analysis obtained from this study will be helpful to design interventions that are appropriate for individuals especially those with inadequate psychological well-being.

## 2. RESEARCH METHODS

This research is based on quantitative research. The research was conducted on the 111 Chinese Indonesian college students (Table 1), and the sampling technique used was non-probability sampling. We use a short version of Psychological Well-Being Scale with 18 items (SPARQTools.org).

Table 1 Participants Data

Variable	Frequency	Percentage
Sex		
Male	56	50.5
Female	55	49.5
Age		
<= 20 years old	57	51.4
> 20 years old	54	48.6
Education		
Bachelor	95	85.6
Graduate	16	14.4

Based on the data obtained, the data normality test was performed using The One Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test (Table 2). From the results of the test, it is obtained that the significance value was p = 0.200 > p = 0.05. So the distribution of data for the dimensions of psychological well-being is normally distributed. Thus, testing can be carried out with parametric test.

Table	2	Normal	lity	Test
-------	---	--------	------	------

Ν		111	
Normal	Mean	4.9352	
Parameters <sup>a,b</sup>	Std. Deviation	.73688	
Most Extreme	Absolute	.048	
Differences	Positive	.048	
	Negative	037	
Test Statistic		.048	
Asymp. Sig. (2-tai	Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)		

## **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### 3.1. Results

Psychological well-being variable using a scale of 1-7 has a hypothetical mean measuring instrument of 4 while the empirical mean is 4.9352. The first dimension for autonomy has an empirical mean at 4.8514 with the lowest total score is 1.00 and the highest total score is 7.00. The second dimension, personal growth has an empirical mean at 5.8739 with the lowest total score is 3.50 and the highest total score is 7.00. The third dimension, which is positive relations with others has an empirical mean at 4.5586 with the lowest total score is 1.00 and the highest total score is 7.00. The fourth dimension, which is self-acceptance has an empirical mean at 4.9610 with the lowest total score is 1.00 and the highest total score is 7.00. The fifth dimension, which is environmental mastery has an empirical mean at 4.8138 with the lowest total score is 3.00 and the highest total score is 7.00. The sixth dimension, purpose in life has an empiric mean at 4.5526 with the lowest total score is 2.67 and the total highest score is 7.00.

According to these data, the score of empirical mean is higher than the hypothetical mean on all dimensions. Thus, the psychological well-being levels of respondents are high (Table 3).

Table 3	Psychological	Well-Being	Variable Description
---------	---------------	------------	----------------------

Dimension	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
Autonomy	1.00	7.00	4.8514	1.28381
Personal Growth	3.50	7.00	5.8739	.91321
Positive Relation with Others	1.00	7.00	4.5586	1.14430
Self- Acceptance	1.00	7.00	4.9610	1.18980
Environment al Mastery	3.00	7.00	4.8138	.90418
Purpose in Life	2.67	7.00	4.5526	.78560
PWB	2.94	6.78	4.9352	.73688

Based on data obtained, different tests of psychological well-being were carried out in terms of gender. Different tests were carried out using The Independent Sample T-Test. Based on different tests, it was found that the value of F = 0.221 with a significance value of p = 0.639 > p = 0.05. T-Test results obtained the value at 2.349 with df = 109, p = 0.021 then H<sub>0</sub> is rejected. It can be concluded that there are significant differences in terms of gender. In this case, the psychological well-being of male respondents had a mean value at 5.0947 (standard of deviation = 0.75394), and female respondents had a mean value at 4.7727 (standard of deviation = 0.68837) (Table 4).

					Sig.
					(2-
	F	Sig.	t	df	tailed)
PWB	.221	.639	2.349	109	.021

Different tests in terms of gender were also carried out on each dimension of psychological well-being. Based on the different tests, it was found that the significance value of p < 0.05 on the autonomy and environmental dimensions. This means that there are differences autonomy and environmental based on gender (Table 5).

**Table 5** Psychological Well-Being Dimension Based on Gender

					Sig.
					(2-
Dimension	F	Sig.	t	df	tailed)
Autonomy	.020	.887	3.991	109	.000
Personal	2.712	.102	.117	109	.907
Growth					
Positive	1.684	.197	.560	109	.576
Relation with					
Others					
Self-	.093	.761	1.473	109	.144
Acceptance					
Environmental	2.844	.095	2.529	109	.013
Mastery					
Purpose in	3.164	.078	.818	109	.415
Life					

Based on the data obtained, different test of psychological well-being was carried out in terms of education level. Different test were carried out using the Independent Sample T-Test. Based on different test, it was found that the value of F = 2.783 with a significance value of p = 0.098 > 0.05. T-Test results obtained a value at -0.409 with df = 109, p = 0.683 > 0.05 then  $H_0$  is accepted. It can be concluded that there is no significant difference in terms of education level (Table 6).

 Table 6 Psychological Well-Being Based on Education

 Level

					Sig.
					(2-
	F	Sig.	t	df	tailed)
PWB	2.783	.098	409	109	.683

Different tests in terms of education level were also carried out on each dimension of psychological well-being. Based on the different tests, it was found that the significance value of p > 0.05 on all dimensions. This means that there are no significant difference on all dimensions in terms of education level (Table 7).

				Sig.
				(2-
F	Sig.	t	df	tailed)
.129	.720	1.508	109	.135
2.805	.097	-	109	.075
		1.799		
2.068	.153	-	109	.204
		1.278		
1.455	.230	594	109	.554
1.198	.276	192	109	.848
3.312	.072	.288	109	.774
	.129 2.805 2.068 1.455 1.198	.129         .720           2.805         .097           2.068         .153           1.455         .230           1.198         .276	.129         .720         1.508           2.805         .097         -           1.799         1.799           2.068         .153         -           1.455         .230        594           1.198         .276        192	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Based on the data obtained, different tests of psychological well-being was carried out in of age. Different tests were carried out using the Independent Sample T-Test. Based on the different tests, it was found that the value of F = 0.005 with a significance value of p = 0.941 > 0.05. T-Test obtained a value at -0.988 with df = 109, p = 0.325 > 0.05 then H<sub>0</sub> is accepted. It can be concluded that there is no significant difference in terms of age (Table 8).

Table 8 Psychological Well-Being Based on Age

					Sig.
					(2-
	F	Sig.	t	Df	tailed)
PWB	.005	.941	988	109	.325

Different tests in terms of age were also carried out on each dimension of psychological well-being. Based on the different tests, it was found that the significance value of p > 0.05 on all dimensions. This means that there are no significant difference on all dimensions in terms of age (Table 9).

 Table 9 Psychological Well-Being Dimension Based on

 Age

					Sig.
					(2-
Dimension	F	Sig.	t	df	tailed)
Autonomy	6.158	.015	742	109	.460
Personal	2.169	.144	-	109	.067
Growth			1.852		
Positive	.006	.940	-	109	.115
Relation with			1.588		
Others					
Self-	.063	.802	441	109	.660
Acceptance					
Environmental	.231	.632	151	109	.880
Mastery					
Purpose in	4.341	.040	.927	109	.356
Life					

**Table 7** Psychological Well-Being Dimension Based on

 Education Level



#### 3.2. Discussion

Based on the research that has been done, the psychological well-being of 111 Chinese Indonesian college students is said to be high. In the dimension of autonomy, Chinese Indonesian college students can be said to have a defense against social pressure [3] in this case the discrimination that is often experienced by Chinese Indonesian. However, they are still full of compliance and responsibility in living up to their obligations as Indonesian citizen. In the dimension of personal growth, Chinese Indonesia college students are open to new experiences and can face challenges in various situations [3].

In the dimension of positive relationships with others, Chinese Indonesian college students are able to empathize, work together, bring warmth to relationships, and care for others [3]. In the dimension of self-acceptance, Chinese Indonesian college student can accept themselves both positive and negative aspects they have [3]. In the dimension of environmental mastery, Chinese Indonesian college students are able to manage the problems they face and can perceive their individual needs and desires. In a dimension of purpose in life, Chinese Indonesian college students see the meaning of their lives both in the present and in the past so that individuals can survive when they faced with life's challenges [3].

In addition, it was found that there were significant difference in well-being between males and females on the dimensions of autonomy and environmental mastery. This is accordance with previous research that there are significant differences between males and females in the dimension of autonomy [6] and the environmental mastery[7].

## 4. CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS

## 4.1. Conclusion

According to data analysis that has been done, the level of psychological well-being of the 111 respondents was said to be high. Based on the different test of the dimensions of psychological well-being in terms of gender, there are significant differences between males and females in the autonomy and environmental mastery. Furthermore, in the different test of psychological well-being in terms of age and educational level, researchers did not find any significant difference.

#### 4.2. Suggestions

Suggestions that can be given for future research are to use more respondents and compare the psychological wellbeing of Chinese Indonesian and non-Chinese Indonesian college students. In addition, qualitative research can also be carried out to explore the meaning of psychological wellbeing for the respondents involved.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We would like to thank all those who have supported this research, LPPM Universitas Tarumanagara, all participants, and all those who have contributed so that this research can be carried out.

#### REFERENCES

[1] A. Dawis, *Orang Indonesia Tionghoa: Mencari Identitas*. Gramedia Pustaka Utama, 2012.

[2] C. D. Ryff, "Happiness is everything, or is it? Explorations on the meaning of psychological wellbeing," *J. Pers. Soc. Psychol.*, vol. 57, pp. 1069–1081, 1989.

[3] C. L. M. Keyes and J. L. Magyar-Moe, "The meaurement and utility of adult subjective well-being," in *Positive Psychological Assessment: A Handbook of Models and Measures*, S. J. Lopez and C. R. Snyder, Eds. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association, 2004, pp. 411–422.

[4] J. J. Bauer and D. P. McAdams, "Growth goals, maturity, and well-being.," *Dev. Psychol.*, vol. 40, no. 1, pp. 114–127, 2004, doi: https://doi.org/10.1037/0012-1649.40.1.114.

[5] O. K. Burhan and J. Sani, "Prasangka terhadap etnis tionghoa di kota medan: Peran identitas nasional dan persepsi ancaman," *Psikologia J. Pemikir. dan Penelit. Psikol.*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 25–33, 2014, doi: 10.32734/psikologia.v8i1.6599.

[6] M. P. Matud, M. Lopez-Curbelo, and D. Fortes, "Gender and psychological well-being," *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health*, vol. 16, no. 19, 2019, doi: 10.3390/ijerph16193531.

[7] P. Lindfors, L. Berntsson, and U. Lundberg, "Factor structure of ryff's psychological well-being scales in swedish female and male white-collar workers," *Pers. Individ. Dif.*, vol. 40, no. 6, pp. 1213–1222, 2006, doi: 10.1016/j.paid.2005.10.016.