# The Readiness of University Students in Emergency Online Learning During *Covid-19* Pandemic

Irene Dema Anggraeni<sup>1\*</sup> Anita Novianty<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Psychology, Krida Wacana Christian University, Jakarta 11470, Indonesia \*Corresponding author. Email: irene.2017fpsi020@civitas.ac.id

## ABSTRACT

Due to the Covid-19 pandemic situation, online learning has become an alternative way of learning. This study aims to describe the readiness of emergency online learning during the Covid-19 pandemic. This study employed a quantitative method with 387 students as participants, consisting of 269 women and 118 men from a private university in West Jakarta. The Emergency Transition to Full-Scale Online Learning Scale has been translated into Bahasa Indonesia and was used to collect data via an online platform. Descriptive statistics and a comparison of means tests were applied to analyze the data. The results indicated that students' readiness for the sudden transition to online learning was categorized as moderate. It also discovered that there were significant differences in initial preparedness and motivation for online learning factors based on gender group and the support factors based on faculty and batch group.

Keywords: emergency online learning, readiness, university students, pandemic

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

The Covid-19 pandemic started in the year 2020, and it quickly became a global pandemic [1]. It has impacted several nations, including Indonesia. As of August 3, 2021, the number of patients who have tested positive for Covid-19 had reached 3.5 million, according to the official Covid-19 website. This pandemic has an affect on all aspects of life, including schooling. In response to this, the Minister of Education and Culture initiated a learning transition from face-to-face to online learning.

Online learning is defined as learning which students and teachers do on their own [2]. Furthermore, Suharyanto and Ailangkay [3] describe online learning as learning that is supported by media or electronic technologies. There are major benefits to online learning, including 1) students are more likely to absorb, recall, and repeat the material offered, 2) it can improve students' understanding and memory, 3) increasing collaboration in online communities, 4) saving education costs, and 5) focusing the center of attention during learning on the students [4]. However, the online learning method still has to be improved. The online learning method merely transfers the learning design, which is generally done face-to-face in a classroom, to an online platform. During pandemic period, Rahiem [6] views learning as emergency online learning. Emergency online learning is a type of learning that takes place in an emergency and uses online media to respond to a crisis. On April 13, 2020, the Indonesian Child Protection Commission ran a poll about emergency online learning and received 246 complaints about it. The most common concerns are about the lack of supporting tools and internet connectivity. An initial survey of students about emergency online learning was conducted by researchers. The most common issues encountered during emergency online learning were inconsistent internet connections (61%), learning difficulties (9%), and the lack of supporting resources such as mobile phones, laptops, PCs, or tablets (8%).

To address those problems in the online learning process, adaptation is critical. Students must prepare themselves to keep up with rapid changes in the educational system. According to Naji et al. [7], there are various elements that enable emergency online learning. The initial level of readiness and motivation for online learning is the first aspect to consider. It indicated the responsibility of the organization (university) for providing and explaining information about changes that occur so that they can adjust to these changes. The second factor is self-efficacy beliefs about online learning. Self-efficacy refers to a social cognitive theory that describes a person's belief in his or her ability to achieve their goals. The third factor is self-directed learning online, which is an individual process of understanding learning needs, determining learning goals, identifying learning resources, determining and implementing learning strategies, and evaluating the learning process. The fourth factor is support, which includes things like encouraging, motivating, or inspiring people. Support can help people become more motivated and successful in changing their learning habits.

The success of online learning objectives is determined by the student's learning process [8]. As a result, students must be able to adjust to existing changes in order to



succeed in the emergency online learning process. This study aims to describe students' readiness during emergency online learning during the course of Covid-19 pandemic. The findings of this research will contribute to university/faculty understanding of which factors need to be improved during the online learning process.

## 2. METHOD

#### 2.1. Variable

This research studies students' readiness for sudden implementation of online learning, which is defined as the process by which students, individually and collectively, can be motivated, confident, and able to accept change based on emergency online learning situations. The emergency Transition To Full-Scale Online Learning Scale measured initial readiness to make emergency changes in online learning. The scale consisted of four factors: initial preparedness and motivation for online learning, self efficacy belief about online learning, selfdirected learning online, and support. The higher the average or mean value of each factor, the higher the students' readiness for emergency online learning transition.

## 2.2. Participants

The participants in this study were 387 students from a private university in West Jakarta, Indonesia. A non-random cluster sampling technique was used to choose participants.

**Table 1** Participants Demographic (n = 387)

Category	n	%
Gender		
Men	118	30.5
Women	269	69.5
Faculty		
Economics & Business	124	32.0
Medicine & Health Sciences	111	28.7
Psychology	90	23.3
Engineering & Computer Science	49	12.7
Social Sciences & Humanities	13	3.4
Batch		
2020	141	36.4
2018	123	31.8
2019	123	31.8
Instructional Media		
Laptops	292	75.5
Handphone	75	19.4
Laptops and mobile phones	14	3.6
Tablet	4	1.0
Computer	2	0.5
Internet Source		
Wifi	283	73.1
Internet Quota	98	25.3
Internet and Wifi Quota	6	1.6
Platforms used*		

Zoom	376	44.0
University's Learning Management	265	31.0
System		
Google (Google classroom, google	178	20.8
meet)		
Other (Canvas, Edmodo, Learn Wise,	35	4.1
Padlet, Whatsapp, Jitsi)	35	4.1

Note: (\*) demographic data with more than one answer

#### 2.3. Research Instruments

Emergency Transition To Full-Scale Online Learning Scale, which was developed by Naji et al [7], was translated into Bahasa Indonesia and was used to collect data on university students' readiness for online learning during the Covid-19 pandemic. The scale consists of 29 items in four factors (a) initial preparedness and motivation for online learning, (b) self-efficacy belief about online learning, (c) self-directed learning online, and (d) support, and measure using 5-point Likert scale. Item scores will be calculated according to each factor.

Content validity was applied, involving five experts, with the results of Aiken's V ranging from 0.60-0.93. Item analysis using corrected item-total correlation ( $r_{it}$ ) test results in coefficient values of 29 items ranging from 0.305 - 0.743. Internal consistency was applied to test the reliability of the scale. The result showed all factors are reliable: initial preparedness and motivation for online learning ( $\alpha = 0.876$ ), self-efficacy beliefs about online learning ( $\alpha = 0.80$ ), self-directed learning online ( $\alpha =$ 0.860), and support ( $\alpha = 0.856$ ).

#### 3. RESULTS

The results of the calculation of empirical categorization are based on factors of initial preparedness and motivation for online learning (IPM) ( $\bar{x} = 39.32$ ). Self-efficacy (SE) beliefs about online learning ( $\bar{x} = 15.91$ ), self-directed learning online factor (SDL) ( $\bar{x} = 36.78$ ), and support factor (S) ( $\bar{x} = 19.68$ ). It can be concluded that students' online learning readiness is included in the moderate category. Overall, the results of this study indicate that students' readiness for emergency online learning transition is in the moderate category.

**Table 2** Categorization of Emergency TransitionFactors to Full-Scale Online Learning

Factors		0	Categorization			
Factors	Low	Moderate	High			
IPM		18.9%	61.8%	19.4%		
SE		12.1%	64.6%	23.3%		
SDL		16.5%	55.4%	17.1%		
S		19.9%	62.3%	17.8%		

According to the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test (see Table 3), The data was not normally distributed, so the nonparametric test was applied. The Mann-Whitney U test and Kruskal-Wallis test were used to analyze the demographic data. The results of the normality test can be seen in Table 3.

Based on Table 4, Initial preparedness and motivation for online learning based on gender group (p=0.005), which means a significant difference. The support factor was based on the batch group (p=0.022) and the faculty group (p=0.045), which means there is also a significant difference.

Table 3 Normality Test Results

Factors	Ν	р	
IPM	387	0.00	
SE	387	0.00	
SDL	387	0.00	
S	387	0.00	

**Table 4** Statistical analysis results for each factor based on demographics

Damagnahiag	Factors			
Demographics	IPM	SE	SDL	S
Gender	0.005	0.278	0.516	0.642
Batch	0.610	0.295	0.557	0.022
Faculty	0.068	0.348	0.264	0.045

According to Table 5, the results of the average ranking of each factor have significant differences based on demographic groups.

### 4. DISCUSSION

The goal of this study is to describe university students' readiness throughout the Covid-19 pandemic's emergency online learning. Readiness to change in emergency online learning is defined as the extent to which students individually and collectively can be motivated, confident, and able to adapt to changes based on emergency online learning situations [7]. According to categorization, university students' readiness during online learning is in the moderate category. The moderate group had the following percentages of participants: (61.8%) for initial preparedness and motivation for online learning, (64.6%) for self-efficacy belief in online learning, (55.4%) for self-directed learning online, and (62.3%) for support (see Table 2).

The result also showed gender differences in students preparedness and motivation for online learning, while no difference found in other factors. Men are higher compared to the women group. These results are in contrast to previous result reported by Hung et al [9] and Naji et al [7] that found there is no difference in attitudes between men and women in preparing for the online learning process.

There is significant batch difference in term of support factor, while there was no batch difference in the rest of the factors. Within the faculty group, there are also notable differences in initial preparedness and motivation for online learning factors, self-efficacy beliefs about learning online factors, and self-directed learning online factors. The support factor, on the other hand, differs significantly. Initial preparedness and motivation for online learning factor is in the moderate category. It indicates that students have been informed about the transition from onsite to online learning. However, 25.9% of students are having trouble adjusting to the existing learning system. The ineffectiveness of the online learning process is hindered by the lack of understanding about efficient learning methods and the use of software to aid the learning process [10].

 Table 5 Mean Rank Analysis Results Based on Demographics

Factor	s Demographics	Ν	Mean
			Rank
	Gender		
IPM	Men	118	214.65
	Women	269	184.94
SE	Men	118	186.12
	Women	269	197.46
SDL	Men	118	189.35
	Women	269	196.04
S	Men	118	197.45
	Women	269	192.49
	Batch		
	2018	123	199.93
IPM	2019	123	194.82
	2020	141	188.11
	2018	123	197.33
an a	2019	123	183.17
SE	2020	141	200.55
	2018	123	194.83
SDL	2019	123	186.99
	2020	141	199.39
	2018	123	213.72
S	2019	123	183.12
-	2020	141	186.28
	Faculty		
IPM	Medicine & Health Sciences	111	173.67
	Economics & Business	124	206.15
	Psychology	90	198.32
	Engineering & Computer Science	49	207.57
	Social Sciences & Humanities	13	170.62
SE	Medicine and Health Sciences	111	184.08
	Economics and Business	124	199.69
	Psychology	90	206.03
	Engineering & Computer Science	49	178.61
	Social Sciences & Humanities	13	199.12
SDL	Medicine and Health Sciences	111	178.85
222	Economics and Business	124	198.72
	Psychology	90	203.78
	Engineering & Computer Science	49	191.62
	Social Sciences & Humanities	13	219.62
S	Medicine and Health Sciences	111	175.25
5	Economics and Business	124	209 78
	Psychology	90	202.81
	Engineering & Computer Science	49	177.15
	Social Sciences & Humanities	12	203.15
	Social Sciences & Humanities	15	203.13

The level of self-efficacy about online learning is modest. It implies that students already have confidence in their ability to manage online learning to achieve the goals also are willing to use online learning platforms. However, 2.1% of students claimed that the online learning platforms they were using did not improve their study. It may be stated that in an emergency online learning, the online platform is beneficial to most students' learning process. This finding is consistent with prior study, which revealed that using online platforms as a learning medium can help students attend online courses [11] [12] [13].

The self-directed learning online factor is in the moderate category. It means the students can already learn independently, but 33.6% of students find difficulties understanding the material presented. Students also might not feel productive while learning online (1.6%). This is the opposite of the 3.7% of students who believe that online learning allows them to be more productive in their studies. Furthermore, students reported that they have become more flexible in their other activities (8.8%) and are able to focus more on the continuing learning process (1.9%).

The support factor is included in the moderate category. It indicates that the students have gotten the necessary assistance, such as a reduction in the cost of education to replace the quota used throughout the learning process. In addition, the government has policies in place to offer students with free internet quotas. Various learning technologies, such as Zoom, University Virtual Classroom, Google Meet, Google Classroom, and others, are also provided by the university and lecturers to assist students' needs. Students are encouraged to participate in online learning using these platforms (97.9%). However, some students continue to have issues with unstable internet connections (82.2%), learning support tools such as laptops, mobile phones, and other devices (6.2%), difficulty communicating with lecturers or friends (6.7%), and lecturers who do not master digital technology related to online platforms for online learning (0.7%).

Students' readiness for an emergency online learning shift during a pandemic was categorized as moderate. It means that students can follow the online learning process, but there are few things need to be considered. First and foremost, the significance of establishing successful communication. Du and Chaaban [14] explained that the role of effective communication between lecturers and students can assist students grasp the needs and benefits of an emergency transition to online learning. Students can develop positive attitudes, motivation, and enthusiasm for the learning process. Second, in online learning, integrating group projects as part of the learning technique is encouraged. Students can communicate with one another through group projects, which might help them feel less lonely during the learning process [7]. Third, the independent learning process by students can be supported by interactive learning activities [9]. Finally, support is a critical factor in the learning process that students go through. Anderson, Imdieke, and Standerford [15] stated that support can be offered through activities and supporting facilities to accommodate student requirements. The learning environment has been shown to improve motivation, problem-solving skills, communication, and collaboration [16]. Emotional support is another type of assistance that can be provided. It can assist students in overcoming challenges during online learning.

Due to pandemic, some limitations in this study must be addressed, including as the data collection being solely relied on self-reports sent online to students. Because the participants only came from one university, this conclusion cannot represent the readiness of students in general when it comes to emergency online learning. In the following study, it's also crucial to capture the lecturers' readiness.

## **5. CONCLUSIONS**

This study aims to describe the readiness of students in the emergency online learning transition during the pandemic. The results showed that students were well-prepared in an emergency online learning transition, which covered four factors: initial preparedness and motivation for online learning, self-efficacy beliefs about online learning, selfdirected learning online, and support. In terms of initial preparedness and motivation for online learning, men are better prepared and motivated than women, however the result showed no significant difference in three other factors between gender groups. Furthermore, there is no significant difference in the initial preparedness and motivation factors for online learning, self-efficacy beliefs, online learning factors, and self-directed learning online factors within batch and faculty groups. However, a significant difference was found in support factors within batch and faculty groups.

## 6. RECOMMENDATIONS

This research suggests that more research be done to expand on this initial study's findings by employing focus group discussions to capture the dynamic of the student preparation and learning process during emergency online learning. The suggestions also address to universities in preparing for online learning. First, the importance of twoway effective communication between lecturers and students during online learning will help students understand the transitions in the learning system, as well as encourage students to build positive attitudes towards the learning process. In addition, the group-based learning method can overcome the feeling of being isolated during a pandemic. Students also require assistance, such as instrumental support and emotional support. Using various online platforms can also encourage students to get involved in their learning process. Finally, the lecturer's capacity in terms of digital and technology literacy must be improved.



#### REFERENCES

[1] E. Mahase, "Coronavirus: covid 19 has killed more people than sars and mers combined, despite lower case fatality rate," *The BMJ*, 2020.

[2] Zaharah, G. I. Kirilova and A. Windarti, "Impact of coronavirus outbreak towards teaching and learning activities in indonesia," *Jurnal Sosial dan Budaya Syar-i*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 269-282, 2020.

[3] Suharyanto and B. L. Mailangkay, "Penerapan elearning sebagai alat bantu mengajar dalam dunia pendidikan," *Jurnal ilmiah widya,* vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 1-21, 2016.

[4] Silahuddin, "Penerapan e-learning dalam inovasi pendidikan," *Jurnal ilmiah CIRCUIT*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 48-59, 2015.

[5] E. Kurtanto, "Kefektifan model pembelajaran daring dalam perkuliahan bahasa indonesia di perguruan tinggi," *Journal indonesian language education and literature*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 99-110, 2017.

[6] M. D. H. Rahiem, "The emergency remote learning experience of university student in indonesia amidst the covid-19 crisis," *International journal of learning, teaching and education research,* vol. 19, no. 6, pp. 1-26, 2020.

[7] K. K. Naji, X. Du, F. Tarlochan, U. Ebead, M. A. Hasan and A. K. AAl-Ali, "Engineering Students' Readiness to Transition to Emergency Online Learning in Response to COVID-19: Case of Qatar," *Modestum*, pp. 1-17, 2020.

[8] S. Jamal, "Analisis kesiapan pembelajaran elearning saat pandemi covid-19 di SMK negeri 1 tambelang," *Jurnal Nalar Pendidikan*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 16-22, 2020.

[9] M.-L. Hung, C. Chou, C. Chen and Z. Y. Own, "Learner readiness for online learning: Scale development and student perceptions," *Elsevier*, vol. 55, pp. 1080-1090, 2010.

[10] I. R. Ayu, "Keterkaitan literasi dengan adaptasi mahasiswa di masa pandemik," *Jurnal amal pendidikan*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 122-128, 2020.

[11] M. H. Assidiqi and W. Sumarni, "Pemanfaatan platform digital di masa pandemi covid-19," in *Seminar Nasional Pascasarjana 2020*, Semarang, 2020.

[12] F. P. Hidasari, M. F. Bafadal and A. Triansyah, "Pemanfaatan platform digital untuk studi online dalam pendidikan jasmani," *Jurnal Ilmu Pengetahuan dan Teknologi*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 33-38, 2020.

[13] D. Jatmoko and M. Faizun, "Persepsi mahasiswa terhadap layanan pembelajaran online di masa pandemi covid-19," *Jurnal Pendidikan Surya Edukasi*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 104-113, 2020.

[14] X. Du and Y. Chaaban, "Teachers' Readiness to change to Project based learning in Qatari government schools," *Interdisciplinary Journal of Problem Based Learning*, vol. 14, no. 1, 2020.

[15] D. Andreson, S. Imdieke and N. S. Standerford, "Feedback please: Studying self in the online classroom," *International Journal of Instruction*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 1308-1470, 2011.

[16] P. Wang, P. Wu, J. Wang, H.-L. Chi and X. Wang, "A Critical Review of the Use of Virtual Reality in Construction Engineering Education and Training," *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, vol. 6, no. 15, p. 1204, 2018.