



Peer-Review Statements

Ranying Sun¹(✉) and Jane Zhang²

¹ Zhejiang University of Technology, Hangzhou, China

sunranying@aliyun.com

² Yaseen Academy, Wuhan, China

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the [ICAICC2022] during [25 June, 2022] [Online]. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the [Scientific Committee] and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

1 Review Procedure

The reviews were [double-blind]. Each submission was examined by [2] reviewer(s) independently.

[The conference submission management system was Yaseen Academy Submission System, www.yasen.org.cn.]

[A preliminary review was conducted by the main editor to make sure all papers are included in the call-for-paper areas of this conference, if not, the submission will be rejected or suggested to other applicable conferences. On this occasion, only the approved papers were sent for peer-reviewed. The included submissions will then be numbered and sent to the conference committee members (reviewers) for peer-review, the author names, emails and affiliations were removed constantly in this process.]

2 Quality Criteria

For the peer review process, each reviewer will be asked to fill in the reviewing form and 9 criteria in total are to be scored (5-point scale, with 1 = lowest and 5 = highest), including:

1. Originality: Is the work relevant and novel
2. Motivation: Does the problem considered have a sound motivation
3. Repetition: Have significant parts of the manuscript already been published
4. Length: Is the content of the work of sufficient scientific interest to justify its length
5. Conclusion: Does the paper contain a carefully conclusion, summarizing what has been learned and why it is useful
6. Reference: Is the reference adequate and necessary
7. Structure: Is the manuscript structure (including the title, abstract and the content) rational

R. Sun—Editor-in-Chief of the ICAICC2022.

© The Author(s) 2023

R. Sun and J. Zhang (Eds.): ICAICC 2022, ACSR 97, pp. 1–2, 2023.

https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6463-002-2_1

8. Language: Is the language accurate and readable
9. Diagrams, figures, tables and captions: Are they essential and clear

Also the reviewer will give an overall evaluation or Detailed reviewing result (if any). In the end of the form, the final decision of Accept/Revision/Reject should be selected. No matter which selection the paper was marked, we send the whole reviewing form to the authors. When it is marked by Revision, we will have a double check of the revised papers before acceptance.

3 Key Metrics

Total submissions	18
Number of articles sent for peer review	16
Number of accepted articles	11
Acceptance rate	61.1%
Number of reviewers	5

4 Competing Interests

Neither the Editor-in-Chief nor any member of the Scientific Committee declares any competing interest.

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

