



# Level of Instructional Leadership Practice of Preschool Supervisors and Its Relationship with the Commitment of Community Educators TABIKA and TASKA KEMAS

Nor Maizatul Akmal Mohd Zubir<sup>(✉)</sup> and Mohd Izham Mohd Hamzah

Faculty of Education, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia (UKM), Bangi, Malaysia  
akmalshah87@gmail.com

**Abstract.** This concept paper to identify the level of practice of Preschool Supervisors and its relationship with the commitment of Community Educators in Kindergartens and KEMAS Nurseries. The purpose of this concept paper is also to identify issues in national education related to instructional leadership that is through dimension one related to school mission, second dimension is to manage instructional programs and third dimension is the formation of a positive school climate. Apart from that, the issues discussed are the level of educator commitment through affective organizational commitment, continuous organizational commitment, and normative organizational commitment in line with the rapid changes in the field of Education and technology. This paper is a concept paper based on past literature review. The findings of previous studies also found that the level of Instructional Leadership Practice is at a high level, namely (Mean = 3.98; s.p = 0.568) and (Mean = 4.39; s.p = 0.523). On the dimension of Teacher Commitment is also at a high level with (Mean = 4.46; s.p = 0.454). The implications derived from literacy studies will ensure supervisors to work together, understand and motivate others whether individuals or groups in an organization. In addition, there are implications for community educators in the organization's commitment in helping the eradication of illiterate children, educating, and providing encouragement for the social and personality development of children. Implications for the field of administration to improve the uniformity of administrative documentation governance, documentation administration instruction and child development. In conclusion, community supervisors and educators work together in an organization to maintain the continuity of supervisors and educators in tandem.

**Keywords:** Instructional Leadership · Commitment of Preschool Supervisors · Community Educators

## 1 Introduction

[1] The PPPM Malaysia Education Development Plan 2013–2025 has also emphasized that Early Childhood Education is an important goal. Children are the world leaders of the future. [2] Kindergarten is an abbreviation of the word Taman Bimbingan Kanak-kanak

© The Author(s) 2023

S. Sulastri et al. (Eds.): PICEMA 2021, ASSEHR 683, pp. 89–102, 2023.

[https://doi.org/10.2991/978-2-494069-11-4\\_11](https://doi.org/10.2991/978-2-494069-11-4_11)

and Taska is an abbreviation of the word Taman Asuhan Kanak-kanak. KEMAS means Community Development which this institution is under the Department of Community Development. This department is managed by the Ministry of Rural Affairs Malaysia (KKLB). Kindergarten and Taska is an informal institution introduced by the KEMAS Community Development Department to children aged two to four years (Taska) and four to six years (Tabika) with the aim of developing communities in rural and remote areas so that there are no children who will drop out in building their personalities. Kindergartens and Nurseries are also placing for children to train, physically, emotionally, spiritually, intellectually, and socially (JERIS) before they step into formal education which is the mainstream. Kindergartens and nurseries adopt the National Preschool Curriculum (KSPK) from the Ministry of Education Malaysia (KPM).

The Community Development Department (KEMAS) is a department that manages and develops the community in terms of education. Beginning in the post-independence era in 1961, the KEMAS Department began with adult classes in rural areas with the aim of eradicating the illiterate community through cabinet No. 385/25/60 under the Ministry of Rural Development. In addition, KEMAS has also managed Kindergartens in Malaysia. Along with the passage of time, changes in the KEMAS Department also change and grow. Various advances in the field of education and administration in KEMAS include the Instructional Leadership of Preschool Supervisors who administer KEMAS Kindergartens and Nurseries in each Parliament in each state. A Preschool Supervisor is a person who administers and manages activities by determining the school's mission goals. [3] According to Mary Jipin & Abdul Said Ambotang, 2019 states that KEMAS plays a role in productively developing the socio-economy of rural communities managed by the Gerakan Daya Wawasan division by holding computer literacy classes, Family Welfare Education Skills, Resource Center, Building Skills Human and Early Childhood Education Namely Nursery and Kindergarten.

According to [4] Hallinger & Chung Wang (2015), have clarified that instructional leadership is a core construct centered on student learning. According to [5] Goldring et al. (2009), Hallinger (2011), Leithwood, Patten and Jantzi (2010) and Hallinger et al. (2018), stated that instructional leadership is the development of empirical models. The three-dimensional model of instructional leadership can be elaborated with the first dimension highlighting the mission of the school itself. Among the features found in the school's mission is that administrators need to formulate goals with the cooperation of teachers, staff, and parents in identifying areas that can be improved in other schools. In this dimension as well, the goals that have been set need to be explained to school people and parents through formal such as gatherings, meetings, or letter distribution and informally such as conversations, notice boards and so on. In the second dimension which describes the management of teaching programs that apply instructional leadership in which teachers and supervisors work together to evaluate the best methods of teaching in the classroom, monitoring teaching through informal visits to coordinate classroom practices to suit school goals. In addition, supervision in adjusting all appropriate curriculum activities based on the standards that have been set. Monitoring of all student achievement with test analysis findings to ensure that the goals set are appropriate, and even the evaluation of teaching effectiveness and identify the level of progress compared

to the initial targets that have been set. The third dimension is the formation of a positive school climate based on the positive steps taken by the administrators indirectly to foster a meaningful learning environment. A good climate will increase the motivation of teachers and students, indirectly, student achievement in the academic field will also increase. In addition, a good school climate will also have an impact on the use of teaching time, selection, and implementation of teacher professional development programs.

The definition of commitment according to [6] Asri Marsidi and Hamrila Abdul Latip (2007) states about the criteria of employees in an organization involves behavior and emotions. Organizations also play an important role in maintaining employee commitment in the organization. According to [7] Suhaili Mohd Yusoff and Khaliza Saidin (2016) have stated that teachers play an important role in a school organization. [8] Kamsiah (2003) in Zuraimy Ali et al. (2016) have stated that commitment to the organization is defined as an individual who has a strong belief in the objectives and values of the organization, is willing to do something on behalf of the organization and has a strong desire to remain in the organization. Furthermore, commitment also indicates an attitude that is an intention to establish a lasting relationship over a long period of time. In addition, [9] Zuraimy Ali et al. (2016) one's internal commitment to the organization. [10] It also describes the level of commitment, loyalty, and dedication of employees as well as their desire to further strengthen their membership in the organization according to Nor Hazlin (2004). Organizational commitment is vital and advantageous to organizational leaders where organizational commitment has been found to impact the success and outcome of the organization. [11] According to Abdull Sukor (2003), it has been stated that commitment is defined as a person's responsibility in the job until it becomes a topic of study by researchers. He also stated that someone with high commitment will contribute to the achievement of organizational goals. Commitment has shown that a teacher providing the best service in the classroom believes that the task is a trust. This proves that diligence in performing the task is part of the teacher's commitment. [12] Commitment according to Arumugan Raman, Cheah Huey Mey, Yahya Don, Yaacob Daud, and Rozlina Khalid (2015) who stated that teachers who are not motivated to work as a commitment, will cause great problems to the school and administration. According to [13] Nahed, Aydogdu and Asikgil (2011) stated a significant positive relationship that teacher commitment plays an important role in job satisfaction. Therefore, it can be concluded that, teacher commitment is very important in the organization because it determines the success of the vision and mission of the organization. A statement on supervision, according to [14] Nur Nazurah Mohd Noramin Chang and Khadijah Daud who commented on a study conducted by Glatthorn, Embretson, Feber, and Langer (1984) stated that supervision of teachers is a process that helps the professional development of teachers in the classroom and provide opinions for the improvement of the teacher. Clinical supervision is a direct method through classroom observation. According to [15] Nurlia (2014) has defined on clinical supervision studied by Cogan (1973) and Goldhammer (1969) that clinical supervision is a rational and practical design in Teacher teaching techniques in the classroom by looking at behaviors and methods

teacher delivery. The way teachers interact and respond to student questions. Moreover, also according to [16] Pajak (2008) has stated that direct supervision is through observation of individuals.

## 2 Models and Theories

### A. Hallinger & Murphy’s Instructional Leadership Model (2000).

In [17] 2000, Hallinger revised the model and concept of instructional leadership developed jointly with Murphy in 1985. The instructional leadership model is leadership that states school goals, as a program manager to be implemented in schools and promotes a school climate. Hallinger (2000) has stated ten sub-dimensions in school climate of instructional leadership model (Hallinger, 2000) is summarized as in Fig. 1.

The first dimension is to define the school’s mission. This dimension has two functions: I defining school objectives and (ii) delivering school goals. The Preschool Supervisor’s role is to set the goals for the organization’s Kindergarten and Nursery classes each year. The Preschool Supervisor’s work also includes communicating the aims of the KEMAS organization to parents, particularly through the conduct of a Meeting Program and Information Council at the start of each year. In this program, the role of the Supervisor is to explain to the parents about the advantages of KEMAS and the learning methods

Defining the Mission of the School	Managing Instructional Programs	Shaping the School Climate
Formulate school goals	Supervise and assess instructional	Maintain instructional time
Deliver school goals	Coordinate the curriculum Monitor student progress	Encourage professional development Maintain learning support  Provide incentives to teachers  Provide incentives to students

**Fig. 1.** Hallinger’s (2000) Instructional Leadership Model. *Sumber: A Review of Two Decades Of Research On The Principalsip Using The Prinsipal Instructional Management Rating Slace (2000).*

implemented in the organization. The second dimension is about managing the KEMAS instructional program. There are three functions in this dimension namely (i) supervising and evaluating, (ii) coordinating the curriculum and (iii) monitoring children's development. At this stage for the Preschool Supervisor needs to carry out his role to launch the educational journey implemented by the Community Educator. At this phase, the supervisor needs to supervise and evaluate the methods implemented in the kindergarten class whether they are suitable to be implemented or need to be changed for the better. Supervisors also need to coordinate the implemented curriculum by arranging programs or activities that are meaningful to students. In addition, the role of the supervisor is to monitor the progress of students with child development reports that the Community Educator needs to send at the end of the year each year. Apart from that, the Open Day Program is also one of the ways to inform the progress of students to their parents which is also held twice in the same year. The third dimension that emphasizes the function of shaping the school climate is (i) maintaining instructional time, (ii) encouraging professional development, (iii) encouraging development support, (iv) providing incentives to teachers and (v) providing incentives to students. Preschool Supervisors play an important role in determining a good school climate. The preparation of a conducive learning schedule according to the creativity of the Community Educator is also a function of instructional time care. Supervisors also provide a platform for Community Educators to generate ideas that can help them use in learning methods. Apart from that, it also promotes professional development by holding bi-weekly workshops for Community Educators to convey information and mission from the department to all members. In addition, there are various learning approaches implemented in the classroom to maintain learning support such as projects, discovery inquiry, centralized and free play. In addition, learning support in terms of building infrastructure and facilities is also the role of the supervisor to ensure that the classroom feels conducive. The role in shaping the school climate is to provide incentives to Community Educators and to students. The role of the supervisor is to find out the Community Educators and students who need incentives with the help channeled directly from the department.

#### *B. The Theory of the Three-Component Commitment Model Meyer and Allen (1991)*

The three-component commitment model [18] Meyer and Allen (1991) were created to argue that commitment has three different components that correspond to different psychological conditions. They have implemented this commitment model based on previous studies on organizational commitment. Their study of the thinking that has characterized employee commitment in organizations. [19] Zachary A. Mercurio (2015) has extended this commitment model by relating empirical studies and on organizational commitment stating that commitment to employee emotions or affective commitment.

##### 1. Affective Commitment

Employees' good sentiments about the organization are directly tied to affective commitment. Meyer and Allen claim that it has turned AC into a "want" component of organizational commitment. An affectively devoted employee is highly relevant to the business's goals and desires to stay with the organization. This individual is dedicated to the company because he or she "wants to." This dedication is also impacted by

demographic criteria such as employee age, length of employment, gender, and educational level. However, these demographic indicators are inconsistent with one's dedication to the organization. The commitment that involves these emotions is difficult to explain because it is difficult to measure. The development of the concept of commitment expressed by Meyer and Allen in Mowday, Porter, and Steers (2006) on the concept of commitment, which states that commitment is important in an organization.

## 2. Ongoing Commitment

This commitment states about the components of needs or profits versus job losses in the organization. This commitment is explained about a person who has a need for survival needs to continue the commitment in the organization for the sake of self-interest. It therefore requires a commitment to continue to survive in the organization to get the required reward i.e., salary. In addition, the interest in the work done makes a person's commitment increase and continue because they like to implement what they have worked for.

## 3. Normative Commitment

Concerning the normative commitment, it refers to someone who stays with the company because of a sense of duty, which is the final component of organizational commitment. This sensation may develop with stress on the individual prior to and after joining the organization. For example, a company may have invested money in training personnel who then feel a 'moral' need to stay with the company in order to 'pay off debt.' It will also represent an internal norm, formed prior to joining the organization through family or other socialization processes, that one should be loyal to one's organization. The employee remains with the company because he "should." In general, if a private investor makes a large investment, they will receive a "advanced reward." Normative commitment is higher in firms that respect loyalty and use rewards, incentives, and other tactics to communicate facts to employees. Employee normative commitment is also strong when workers witness clear examples of employees who are devoted to employee well-being on a regular basis. An employee who is more committed to the organization has a greater chance of contributing to its success and will enjoy higher levels of job satisfaction. Job satisfaction reduces employee turnover and improves the organization's capacity to recruit and retain talent. Meyer and Allen focus their research in this subject on theoretical rather than empirical data, which may account for the lack of depth in this section of the study when compared to others. So is the educator's dedication to the organization. Implement commitment with enthusiasm because you wish what you do and bring profit to the organization.

## 3 Literature Research

The level of supervisory practice implemented by the headmasters in the national schools of Kulai jaya district stated that the teachers agreed with the supervision carried out for the benefit of the knowledge and teaching methods in the classroom. In addition, the findings of the study are supported by [20] Subaidah (2001) that supervision brings an advantage to teaching methods and the quality of enhanced professionalism is closely

related to the high commitment of teachers to the administration of the school. Moreover, a past study by [21] Hamdan and Rahimah (2011), there has been an increase in positive attitudes after supervision was performed. Bilateral discussions of supervisors and teachers make teacher commitment after supervision increase. [22] Lina Norhayati, (2010) and Robbin Judge, (2007) stated that teacher commitment in involvement, values, school goals increase through instructional leadership.

Moreover, [23] Leitwood and Jantzi (2005) found that student achievement depends on teacher commitment. Moreover, according to a study by [24] Chua (2005), quality education also depends on the commitment of an educator. According to a study [25] Graczewski, Knudson, and Holtzman (2009) have defined that school goals have a positive impact on the professional development of affective teachers who focus on curriculum and teaching content. Whereas [26] DiPaola and Tschannen (2005) found that leaders fail when there is a gap difference between school and community relations. In the opinion of [23] Leithwood, Louis, Anderson, and Wahlsttom (2004) that the direction of a school depends on the leader.

Foreign studies such as the study [27] Niloufar (2011) in Tehran district secondary schools in Iran stated that significant correlations through various environmental factors have influenced the level of teacher commitment. While a study by [28] Pouriya Vali (2006) showed that teacher commitment is influenced by religious commitment, human factors, motivational factors, commitment to work values, effective system evaluation, management style in strengthening teacher work commitment. This past study shows the level of supervisory practices implemented by headmasters in national schools in Kulajjaya district stating that teachers agree with the supervision carried out for the benefit of knowledge and teaching methods in the classroom. In addition, the findings of the study are supported by Subaidah (2001) that supervision brings a benefit to teaching methods and the quality of professionalism is improved.

In addition, Hamdan and Rahimah (2011), there has been an increase in positive attitudes after supervision is done. Bilateral discussions with supervisors and teachers made teacher commitment after supervision increased. Lina Norhayati, (2010) and Robbin Judge, (2007) stated that teachers' commitment in school involvement, values, goals increase through instructional leadership. Leitwood and Jantzi (2005) found that student achievement depends on teacher commitment. Moreover, according to a study by Chua (2006), quality education also depends on the commitment of an educator. According to the study of Graczewski, Knudson, and Holtzman (2009) have defined that school goals have a positive impact on the professional development of affective teachers who focus on curriculum and teaching content. Whereas DiPaola and Tschannen (2005) found that leaders fail when there is a gap difference between school and community relations. In the opinion of Leithwood, Louis, Anderson, and Wahlsttom (2004) that the direction of a school depends on the leader. Foreign studies such as Niloufar's (2011) study in Tehran district secondary schools in Iran stated that significant correlations through various environmental factors have influenced the level of teacher commitment.

## 4 Findings

### 1. Instructional Leadership

[29] The findings of a previous study by Cammellia Othman (2021) in the form of a survey using quantitative methods. The findings show that instructional leadership style is practiced in these schools based on a high overall mean value of (Mean = 3.95; s.d = 0.568). The relationship between head teacher instructional leadership and teacher commitment Correlation research is a related study of the linear relationship between two variables. This means that an effective instructional leadership style by the head teacher makes the teacher’s commitment higher. A good environmental climate makes emotions and commitment effective. The dimensions shown in the findings of the study have proved that headmasters in Sri Aman District have used instructional leadership style effectively. This is evidenced by the dimension of the school’s mission showing a score (Mean = 4.13; s.p = 1.020) and it is at a high level. In addition, in the dimension of managing instructional programs, the score (Mean = 3.96; s.d = 0.520) was also at a high level. On the dimension of forming a positive school climate, the score (Mean = 3.87; s.d = 0.592) was also at a high level. This is evidenced by the headmasters in the Sri Aman district emphasizing the goals and mission of the school to realize the mission of the school (Tables 1 and 2).

[30] The findings of a previous study on the instructional leadership of head teachers according to the perception of teachers in Beaufot district found that all dimensions are at

**Table 1.** Mean Values and Standard Deviations of Headmaster Instructional Leadership Practice Levels. *Source: Level of instructional leadership practices practiced by Headmasters in Sri Aman District Primary Schools, Sarawak (Cammellia Othman, 2021).*

Headmaster Instructional Leadership	Min	Standard Deviation	Stage
Direct Instructional Leadership			
1. Formulate School Goals	4.33	0.589	Height
2. Ensure quality Learning	4.31	0.588	Height
3. Encourage Professional Development	4.43	0.530	Height
4. Develop Collective Responsibility	4.34	0.580	Height
Overall	4.30	0.572	Height
Indirect Instructional Leadership			
1. Ensuring a Safe and Orderly Learning Environment	4.50	0.529	Height
2. Strategic Resource Provision	4.41	0.563	Height
3. Solve Complex Problems	4.45	0.567	Height
Overall	4.40	0.553	Height
<b>Overall Total</b>	<b>4.39</b>	<b>0.523</b>	<b>Height</b>

*Source: The Level of Headmaster’s Instructional Leadership Practice and Its Relationship with the Level of Teacher Commitment in Beaufot District, Sabah (Dayangku Rodzianah binti Awangku Amin & Mohd Izhah bin Mohd Hamzah, 2021).*



**Table 2.** Mean Values and Standard Deviations of Head Teachers 'Instructional Leadership Practice Levels according to teachers' perceptions.

Dimensions	Min	Standard deviation	Stage
Defining the Mission of the School	4.13	1.020	Height
Managing Instructional Programs	3.96	0.520	Height
Creating a Positive School Climate	3.87	0.592	Height
Overall	3.98	0.568	Height

**Table 3.** Beaufot District Teacher Commitment Levels

Teacher Commitment	Min	Standard Deviation	Interprets
Acceptance of Organizational Goals and Values	4.45	0.503	Height
Willingness to Achieve Goals	4.52	0.472	Height
Desire To Remain in The Organization	4.41	0.531	Height
Level of Commitment and Dedication to Achieve Organizational Goals	4.46	0.532	Height
<b>Overall</b>	<b>4.46</b>	<b>0.454</b>	<b>Height</b>

*Source: The Level of Headmaster's Instructional Leadership Practice and Its Relationship with the Level of Teacher Commitment in Beaufot District, Sabah (Dayangku Rodzianah binti Awangku Amin & Mohd Izham bin Mohd Hamzah, 2021).*

a high level that is the total number of direct and indirect instructional leadership is (Mean = 4.39; s.d = 0.523). Instructional levels directly with leadership formulate school goals with (Mean = 4.33; s.d = 0.589) indicating a high level. This proves that instructional leadership style is emphasized in school organization. Next, the instructional leadership score by ensuring quality learning got a high level (Mean = 4.31; s.d = 0.588). In addition, instructional leadership in promoting professional development was also high with a score (Mean = 4.43; s.d = 0.530) and collective responsibility was also at a high level with a score (Mean = 4.34; s.d = 0.580). The sum for direct instructional leadership was (Mean = 4.30; s.d = 0.572). The level of indirect leadership practice is also at a high level with instructional leadership style to ensure a safe and orderly learning environment with values (Mean = 4.50; s.d = 0.529). Next in the preparation of resources strategically is with a high score that is (Mean = 4.41; s.d = 0.563) and the score for the mean of solving complex problems is (Mean = 4.40; s.d = 0.553) is also at a high level.

## 2. Teacher Commitment Level

In this study, the level of the principal's instructional leadership practice was measured by 3 dimensions, namely defining the school's mission, managing the instructional program and creating a positive school climate. Table 3 shows that the score of defining the school mission (mean = 4.13, SP = 1.020), managing the instructional program (mean = 3.96,

SP = 0.520) and forming a positive school climate (mean = 3.87, SP = 0.592) are at a high level. Overall, it shows that the head teacher's instructional leadership practice level score (mean = 3.98, SP = 0.568) among national school teachers in Sri Aman district is at a high level. In this study, the level of the principal's instructional leadership practice was measured by 3 dimensions, namely defining the school's mission, managing the instructional program and creating a positive school climate. Table 3 shows that the score of defining the school mission (mean = 4.13, SP = 1.020), managing the instructional program (mean = 3.96, SP = 0.520) and forming a positive school climate (mean = 3.87, SP = 0.592) are at a high level. Overall, it shows that the head teacher's instructional leadership practice level score (mean = 3.98, SP = 0.568) among national school teachers in Sri Aman district is at a high level (Table 3).

In this study, the level of the principal's instructional leadership practice was measured by 3 dimensions, namely defining the school's mission, managing the instructional program and creating a positive school climate. Table 3 shows that the score of defining the school mission (mean = 4.13, SP = 1.020), managing the instructional program (mean = 3.96, SP = 0.520) and forming a positive school climate (mean = 3.87, SP = 0.592) are at a high level. Overall, it shows that the score of the principal's instructional leadership practice level (mean = 3.98, SP = 0.568) among national school teachers in the Sri Aman district is at a high level. The results of the study from the table show a mean of 4.13, which means defining the school's mission is gradual height equals 1.020 for the standard deviation. This shows that this dimension can be implemented in schools well. The dimensions of the school program show that the mean of 3.96 equal to 0.520 is also at a high level. This means that the instructional leadership style is effective with the commitment of teachers in schools. The dimension of creating a positive school climate also shows a high mean of 3.98 with a standard deviation of 0.592. The conclusion that can be seen is that effective instructional leadership style affects teachers' commitment to school.

The findings of the previous study found that the level of commitment of primary school teachers in Beaufort District is at a high level with values (Mean = 4.46; s.d = 0.454). Dimension of Readiness to Achieve Goals (Mean = 4.52; sd = 0.472) is at a high level and is followed by the level of commitment and dedication to achieve organizational goals achieved (Mean = 4.46; s.d = 0.532), on the commitment to acceptance of organizational goals and values is ( Mean = 4.45; s.d = 0.503) and the commitment of the desire to remain to achieve the goal was also high with (Mean = 4.41; s.d = 0.531).

## 5 Discussion

Effective Instructional Leadership is leadership that adopts good and motivated characteristics to the school and teachers. Practices such as rewards to teachers who show good potential in service and are able to raise the name of the department. School leaders' confidence in teachers' abilities also influences teachers' involvement and commitment to the school. According to [30] Zakiah Arshad (2013) most teachers feel increased stress when they have to carry out too many other tasks besides teaching. According to [31] Berhane (2014) also argues that the main problem in instructional leadership is the time constraint factor of the supervisor. According to [32] Norashid et al. (2014), the infrequent supervision performed by head teachers is also a major problem in instructional

leadership that has impacted teachers' teaching but only reviewed teaching preparation books. According to [33] Sharifah (2001) the problem of supervision that teachers are not very confident about the role of supervisors who are less concerned about problems in teaching. [34] This causes teachers' perceptions of the importance of less supervision and causes conflict among teachers as expressed by Rafisah (2000). In fact, according to Foo and Tang (2000), frequent supervision of teachers, has a positive effect due to the increase in professionalism and motivation of teachers towards quality and excellent work.

## 6 Implications

### *A. Government Policy*

Implications through government policy are expected to help community educators keep pace with the progress of global education in the future. [35] The national Education Policy is expected to help Education organizations provide trained educators and meet the needs especially of Preschool Education. May this Education policy help to produce a young generation with high potential and excellence. The formulation of a special curriculum for preschool education can integrate special education.

### *B. Training*

Implications in terms of training are expected to help community educators improve the quality in terms of teaching and learning in the classroom, improve pedagogy, atmosphere and climate of a positive workplace environment and commitment in the organization.

### *C. Administrator/Supervisor*

The implications of the study in the field of administration are to improve the uniformity of administrative documentation, administrative instructions, monthly financial file updates, governance of government assets and assessment of the development of kindergarten children through preschool assessment instruments and first year student readiness. In addition, knowing the level of Human Skills (the skill of the heart) that is the ability of supervisors to work together, understand and motivate others either as individuals or groups in an organization. Knowing the Technical Skills (the skill of the hand) of the supervisor with the Ability to use tools, procedures, or techniques to perform a job 'Job-Know-How' according to the main specific field. Know the level of Knowledgeable Administration Skills over the whole organization and know how it coordinates, plans and controls as well as its records system and information system. Concept Skills (the skill of the mind) The ability to see the whole organization as one entity and the relationships between all divisions/branches/units. Seeing something as an opportunity.

### *D. Community Educators*

The implications for Community Educators are about the role of Community Educators with a commitment to the organization, the classroom and as an educator. In addition, Community Educators know the role of providing the best services to the children of Kindergartens and Nurseries, especially in rural areas. In addition, the commitment of Community Educators in helping the eradication of illiterate children, educating, and

providing encouragement for the social and moral development of children aged 4 to 6 years.

## 7 Conclusion

Instructional leadership of supervisors or administrators in an organization is very important to ensure smooth governance to be effective and systematic. A good instructional leadership style can inspire positive organizational commitment from community educators. Supervisors and community educators need to work together to raise the name of the department and achieve the planned annual work targets. As a supervisor it is necessary to be wise to identify community educators who have problems and need special attention to solve the problems they face in a transparent and fair manner. Based on the findings of previous studies found that most administrators and supervisors in educational organizations play a good role in terms of effective instructional leadership style.

**Acknowledgment.** Thanks to the supervisor, Professor Dr Mohd Izham bin Mohd Hamzah who always provided encouragement and guidance in producing this concept paper successfully. Next, not to forget all the lecturers who are willing to provide knowledge and guidance to me and not to forget my colleagues, family and UKM citizens.

## References

1. Pelan Pembangunan Pendidikan Malaysia (2013–2025). Kementerian Pendidikan Malaysia.
2. Pelan Harapan Pembangunan luar bandar (2018–2023). Kementerian Luar Bandar.
3. Jipin, M., & Ambotang, A. S. (2019). Kepimpinan Instructional Penyelia Operasi Pendidikan Awal kanak-kanak dan hubungannya dengan kepuasan kerja Pemaju Masyarakat TABIKA atau TASKA KEMAS, 4(7), 197–201.
4. Hallinger, P., & Wang, W.-C. (2015). *Assessing instructional leadership with the principal instructional management rating scale*. Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-15533-3>
5. Glickman, C. D., Gordon, S. P., & Ross-Gordon, J. M. (2009). *The basic guide to supervision and instructional leadership* (2nd ed.). Allyn and Bacon.
6. Marsidi, A., & Latip, H. A. (2007). Faktor-faktor mempengaruhi komitmen pekerja di organisasi awam.
7. binti Mohd Yusoff, S., & binti Saidin, K. (2016). Tahap Iklim Sekolah, Tahap Komitmen Guru Serta Hubungan di Antara Iklim Sekolah Dengan Komitmen Guru Sekolah-Sekolah Menengah Daerah Machang, Kelantan. In Proceeding of ICECRS, 1 (2016) 635–646 ISSN. 2548-6160 International Seminar on Generating Knowledge Through Research, UUM-UMSIDA, 25–27 October 2016, Universiti Utara Malaysia, Malaysia.
8. Kamin, K. (2003). Hubungan Komunikasi Pegawai-Subordinat dengan Kepuasan Kerja dan Komitmen Pekerja Terhadap Organisasi. Tesis MSc UUM/INTAN.
9. Ali, Z., Bakar, A. A., & Jaafar, M. N. (2016). Tekanan Kerja Serta Hubungannya Dengan Komitmen Guru Pendidikan Islam Terhadap Organisasi. *Journal of Global Business and Social Entrepreneurship (GBSE)*, 2(2), 69–79. [gbse.com.my](http://gbse.com.my). eISSN 24621714
10. Mohammad, N. H. (2004). Pemimpin dan Hubungannya dengan Komitmen Pekerja: Satu kajian di Cawangan Mekanikal Ibu Pejabat Jabatan Kerja Raya, Kuala Lumpur. Tesis MSc UUM/INTAN.

11. Shaari, A. S. (2003). Hubungan Motivasi, Keupayaan Mengajar Dan Komitmen Kerja Dengan Prestasi Kerja Guru Bahasa Melayu Sekolah Menengah. Tesis PhD. Universiti Utara Malaysia, Sintok. Kedah.
12. Raman, A., Mey, C. H., Don, Y., Daud, Y., & Khalid, R. (2015). Relationship between principals' transformational leadership style and secondary school teachers' commitment. *Asian Social Science*, 11(15). ISSN 1911-2017. E-ISSN 1911-2025. Published by Canadian Center of Science and Education.
13. Anari, N. N. (2011). Teachers: Emotional intelligence, job satisfaction, and organizational commitment. *Journal of Workplace Learning*, 24(4), 256–269.
14. Chang, N. N. M. N., & Daud, K. (2018). Amalan Penyeliaan Klinikal Mempengaruhi Tahap Keberkesanan Pengajaran Guru di Daerah Kuala Kangsar, 10: 3–2. 79–87. [www.sainshumanika.utm.my](http://www.sainshumanika.utm.my). e-ISSN: 2289-6996.
15. Mohamad, N. (2014). *Penyeliaan Pengajaran Dan Pembelajaran Di Sekolah Sekolah Kebangsaan di Daerah Kota Tinggi Johor*. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
16. Edward, P. (2008). *Supervising instruction: Differentiating for teacher success* (3rd ed.). Christopher Gordon.
17. Hallinger, P. (2000). A review of two decades of research on the principalship using the principal instructional management rating scale.
18. Meyer, J. P., & Allen, N. J. (1991). A three-component conceptualization of organizational commitment. *Human Resource Management Review*, 1, 61–89. [https://doi.org/10.1016/1053-4822\(91\)90011-Z](https://doi.org/10.1016/1053-4822(91)90011-Z)
19. Mercurio, Z. A. (2015). Affective commitment as a core essence of organizational commitment: An integrative literature review. *Human Resource Development Review*, 14(4), 389–414.
20. Haleed, S. (2001). Persepsi Guru-Guru Sekolah Menengah Daerah Johor Bahru Terhadap Kesan penyeliaan Pengetua Ke Atas Peningkatan Kualiti Pengajaran Guru. UTM, Skudai: Tesis Sarjana Pendidikan.
21. Said, H. B., & Rasid, R. B. A. (2011). Amalan Penyeliaan Pengajaran Dan Pembelajaran Di Sekolah-Sekolah Kebangsaan Di Daerah Melaka Tengah, Melaka.
22. Lina Norhayati (2010) dan Robbin Judge (2007) dalam Jaini Darsan & Mohd Izham Mohd Hamzah. Amalan Kepimpinan Instruksional Guru Besar Dan Hubungannya Dengan Komitmen Guru: Kebolehlaksanaan Standard 1 Skpmg2.
23. Leithwood, K., Seashore, K., Anderson, S., Wahlstrom, K., & Center for Applied Research and Educational Improvement. (2004). *Review of research: How leadership influences student learning*. University of Minnesota, Center for Applied Research and Educational Improvement. Retrieved from the University of Minnesota Digital Conservancy. <https://hdl.handle.net/11299/2035>
24. Chua, Y. P. (2006). *Kaedah dan Statistik Penyelidikan Buku 1: Asas Statistik Penyelidikan*. McGraw Hill.
25. Graczewski, C., Knudson, J., & Holtzman, D. J. (2009). Instructional leadership in practice: What does it look like, and what influence does it have?
26. DiPaola, M. F., & Tschannen-Moran, M. (2005). Bridging or buffering? The impact of schools' adaptive strategies on student achievement. *Journal of Educational Administration*, 43(1), 60–71
27. Mohammadtaheri, N. (2011). The study of effective factors on the teachers' work commitment in high schools. In *ICEEPSY 2011: International conference on education and educational psychology*.
28. Pouriya Vali (2006) dalam Cammellia Othman & Abdul Halim Busari. (2021). Tahap Kepimpinan Instruksional Guru Besar Di Sekolah Rendah Daerah Sri Aman, Sarawak.
29. Othman, C., & Busari, A. H. (2021). Tahap Kepimpinan Instruksional Guru Besar Di Sekolah Rendah Daerah Sri Aman, Sarawak.

30. binti Awangku Amin, D. R., & bin Mohd Hamzah, M. I. (2021). Tahap Amalan Kepimpinan Instruksional Guru Besar dan Hubungannya Dengan Tahap Komitmen Guru. *Journal of Social Science and Humanities (MJSSH)*, 6(2), 135–151.
31. Arshad, Z. (2013). Tekanan emosi dalam kalangan guru.
32. Berhane, A. E. (2014). The practices and challenges of instructional supervision in Asossa Zone Primary Schools. Jimma University of Ethiopia.
33. Othman, N. B., & Omar, H. B. Md. (2014). Beban tugas dan motivasi pengajaran guru di sekolah menengah daerah Ranau. *Jurnal Pemikir Pendidikan*, 5, 35–37.
34. Gany, S. M. (2001). Kepimpinan pengajaran pengetua sekolah menengah: kajian terhadap perlaksanaannya di sekolah Menengah Kebangsaan St. George, Pulau Pinang. Thesis Sarjana yang tidak diterbitkan. Minden: Universiti Sains Malaysia.
35. Osman, R. (2010). Hubungan Kualiti Penyeliaan Pengajaran Dan Pembelajaran Di Bilik Darjah Dengan Efikasi Guru. *Asia Pacific Journal of Educators and Education*, 25, 53–71.
36. Kementerian Pelajaran Malaysia (2012). Dasar Pendidikan Kebangsaan.

**Open Access** This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

