



# Peer-Review Statements

Sulastri Sulastri<sup>(✉)</sup> and Havid Ardi

Universitas Negeri Padang, Padang, Indonesia  
sulastri\_aip@fip.unp.ac.id

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the 2<sup>nd</sup> Padang International Conference on Educational Management and Administration (PICEMA) during 30–31 October, 2021 in Padang. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the Scientific Board and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference’s review process.

## 1 Review Procedure

The reviews were double-blind peer reviews. Each submission was examined by 2 reviewer(s) independently.

The conference submission management system was <http://picema.ap.fip.unp.ac.id/2021/registration/>.

The submitted manuscripts would be checked whether it complies PICEMA author guidelines and template for submission, also whether the manuscript matches the focus and scope. If appropriate, the next process will be carried out. The editor will notify immediately via email.

The manuscripts would be checked with Turnitin (similarity check). We really concern about plagiarism issues. The manuscripts would be reviewed by an assigned editor to find out whether it contributes sufficiently to the development of knowledge. The manuscripts that qualify at this stage would be proceeded to the review stage by two peer reviewers.

The manuscript that is accepted with revisions (minor or major), it would contain comments from peer reviewers and would be returned to the author for revision. The author is given the time to revise the manuscript no later than one month. The acceptance or rejection of a revised manuscript was final.

## 2 Quality Criteria

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions:

1. Pertinence of the article’s content to the scope and themes of the conference;
2. Clear demonstration of originality, novelty, and timeliness of the research;
3. Soundness of the methods, analyses, and results;

---

S. Sulastri—Editor-in-Chief of the 2<sup>nd</sup> PICEMA.

© The Author(s) 2023

S. Sulastri et al. (Eds.): PICEMA 2021, ASSEHR 683, pp. 3–4, 2023.

[https://doi.org/10.2991/978-2-494069-11-4\\_1](https://doi.org/10.2991/978-2-494069-11-4_1)

4. Adherence to the ethical standards and codes of conduct relevant to the research field;
5. Clarity, cohesion, and accuracy in language and other modes of expression, including figures and tables.

In addition, all of the articles have been checked for textual overlap in an effort to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the publisher.

### 3 Key Metrics

Total submissions	54
Number of articles sent for peer review	52
Number of accepted articles	31
Acceptance rate	59.61%
Number of reviewers	20

### 4 Competing Interests

Neither the Editor-in-Chief nor any member of the Scientific Committee declares any competing interest.

**Open Access** This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

