



Peer-Review Statements

Barotun Mabaro^(✉), Maya Rayungsari, Badriyah Wulandari, Dewi Nurmalitasari,
Diah Anita Pusparini, and Ani Afifah

Universitas PGRI Wiranegara, Pasuruan, Indonesia
barotunmabaroh@uniwara.ac.id

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the ICLESS during August 22nd, 2022 online by Zoom Meeting. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the International Scientists Committee and the Editorial and Publication Committee and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

1 Review Procedure

The reviews were double-blind. Each submission was examined by *two* reviewers independently. The reviews were done through the conference submission management system <https://icle-uniwara.or.id/public/>.

The submission was first analyzed for the plagiarism using Turnitin and the grammatical use using Grammarly by the operators. The submission was then double-blind reviewed. The blind review process is the process of evaluating the work completely anonymously. In this system, only the editors know each stage. In this system authors do not know who the reviewers are, and the reviewers do not know whose submission they are evaluating. Thus, biased evaluation of the submission by the reviewers is prevented. In addition, since the author does not know the reviewers, he/she can not possibly get contact with the reviewers, and influence them through 'special routes'. From this point of view, the double-blind review process is thought to provide objective evaluation and increase the equal opportunity.

The operators deliver the feedbacks or evaluation from the reviewers through to the author's registered account. The operators set the deadline for the authors' revision, and then the editorial team re-evaluates the revision. This review and revision process was done for **four stages** in order to giving more opportunity to revise the submission. Therefore, the acceptance or rejection of the submission is final. The editorial team issues the Letter of Acceptance (LoA) for authors of accepted submission.

2 Quality Criteria

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions:

B. Mabaro^(✉)—Editor-in-Chief of the ICLESS.

1. Pertinence of the article's content to the scope of language, education,
2. Relevance of the article's content to the theme of "Innovation Reaserach in Digital Era";
3. Clear demonstration of originality, novelty, and timeliness of the research;
4. Soundness of the methods, analyses, and results;
5. Adherence to the ethical standards and codes of conduct relevant to the research field;
6. Clarity, cohesion, and accuracy in language and other modes of expression, including figures and tables.

In addition, all of the articles have been checked for textual overlap in an effort to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the publisher. The committee checked the submission through Turnitin by maximum similarity index 20 (excluding the reference).

3 Key Metrics

Total submissions	45
Number of articles sent for peer review	37
Number of accepted articles	30
Acceptance rate	66.7%
Number of reviewers	5
Number of editors	6
Turnitin checkers/operators	2
Grammarly checkers/operators	2
Desk/System operators	2

4 Competing Interests

Neither the Editor-in-Chief nor any member of the Scientific Committee declares any competing interest. The Editor-in-Chief and any member of the Scientific Committee guarantee that the acceptance of the submission is based on the objective, transparent, and measurable evaluation since it is done with no certain interests or provisions of any other party.

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

