



Peer-Review Statements

Valentina E. Balas^{1(✉)}, Ramesh C. Bansal^{2,3}, Siva Kumar Mangipudi⁴,
and Subhojit Dawn⁵

¹ Department of Automation and Applied Informatics, Aurel Vlaicu University of Arad, Arad, Romania

balas@drbalas.ro

² Department of Electrical Engineering, University of Sharjah, Sharjah, United Arab Emirates

³ Department of Electrical, Electronic and Computer Engineering, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa

⁴ Department of EEE, Seshadri Rao Gudlavalleru Engineering College, Gudlavalleru 521356, Andhra Pradesh, India

⁵ Department of EEE, Velagapudi Ramakrishna Siddhartha Engineering College, Vijayawada, Andhra Pradesh, India

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the [AITEES-2022] organized by Seshadri Rao Gudlavalleru Engineering College, Andhra Pradesh, India during 6th–7th May, 2022 through online mode. These articles have been peer reviewed by the Review members of the AITEES-2022 conference and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

1 Review Procedure

The reviews were single-blind peer review. Each submission was examined by two reviewers independently. The conference submission management system was EquinOCS.

The submissions were first screened for generic quality and suitability. After the initial screening, they were sent for peer review by matching each paper's topic with the reviewers' expertise, taking into account any competing interests. A paper could only be considered for acceptance if it had received favourable recommendations from the two reviewers.

The acceptance or rejection of a revised manuscript was final.

2 Quality Criteria

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions.

V. E. Balas—Editor-in-Chief of the AITEES-2022.

© The Author(s) 2023

V. E. Balas et al. (Eds.): AITEES 2022, AHIS 3, pp. 1–2, 2023.

https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6463-074-9_1

Pertinence of the article's content to the scope and themes of the conference.

1. Clear demonstration of originality, novelty, and timeliness of the research;
2. Soundness of the methods, analyses, and results;
3. Adherence to the ethical standards and codes of conduct relevant to the research field;
4. Clarity, cohesion, and accuracy in language and other modes of expression, including figures and tables.

In addition, all of the articles have been checked for textual overlap in an effort to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the publisher. The authors are instructed to maintain similarity index of their final version of paper must be less than or equal to 20% excluding bibliography. All the articles are checked for plagiarism by iThenticate plagiarism detection software and found satisfactory.

3 Key Metrics

Total submissions	31
Number of articles sent for peer review	29
Number of accepted articles	23
Acceptance rate	74.27%
Number of reviewers	28

4 Competing Interests

Neither the Editor-in-Chief nor any member of the Scientific Committee declares any competing interest.

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

