Peer-Review Statements

Aurora Jillena Meliala[1]([✉]), Davilla Prawidya Azaria[1], Jennifer Lydia[1],
Adibæv Açet Maratovich[2], Collie Brown[3], and Christopher Cason[4]

[1] Law Faculty, Universitas Pembangunan Nasional Veteran Jakarta, Jakarta 12450, Indonesia
`aurora@upnvj.ac.id`
[2] Law Faculty, Kazakh Ablai Khan University, Almaty, Kazakhstan
[3] ASEAN, United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime, Jakarta 10250, Indonesia
[4] School of Law, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the International Conference on Law Studies during 22–23 April 2022 at Pembangunan Nasional Veteran Jakarta University. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the International Conference on Law Studies reviewer committee and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

1 Review Procedure

The reviews were *open*. Each submission was examined by three reviewer(s) independently.

The submissions were first screened for generic quality and suitableness. After the initial screening, they were sent for peer review by matching each paper's topic with the reviewers' expertise, taking into account any competing interests. A paper could only be considered for acceptance if it had received favorable recommendations from the two reviewers.

Authors of a rejected submission were given the opportunity to revise and resubmit after addressing the reviewers' comments. The acceptance or rejection of a revised manuscript was final.

2 Quality Criteria

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions Pertinence of the article's content to the scope and themes of the conference;

1. Clear demonstration of originality, novelty, and timeliness of the research;
2. Soundness of the methods, analyses, and results;
3. Adherence to the ethical standards and codes of conduct relevant to the research field;
4. Clarity, cohesion, and accuracy in language and other modes of expression, including figures and tables.

A. J. Meliala—Editors-in-Chief of the INCOLS.

In addition, all of the articles have been checked for textual overlap in an effort to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the publisher.

3 Key Metrics

Total submissions	101
Number of articles sent for peer review	89
Number of accepted articles	32
Acceptance rate	35.9%
Number of reviewers	3

4 Competing Interests

Some of the authors (in this case, name them) were supervised by the Editor-in-Chief, who has recused herself from handling their submissions and has delegated them to colleagues with no personal interests in them.