



# Simultaneous Algorithms for Solving Split Equality Fixed Point Problems for Demicontractive Mappings

Abbas Umar Saje<sup>1</sup>(✉), L. B. Mohammed<sup>2</sup>, and Abba Auwalu<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Natural and Applied Sciences, Sule Lamido University, Kafin-Hausa, P.M.B 048, Kafin Hausa, Jigawa, Nigeria  
abbasumarsaje40@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Federal University Dutse, Ibrahim Aliyu By-Pass, P.M.B 7156, Dutse, Jigawa, Nigeria

**Abstract.** In this paper, we introduced new algorithms for solving split equality fixed point problems for the class of demicontractive mappings in Hilbert spaces and proved the convergence results of the proposed algorithms. The results presented in this paper generalized a number of well-known results announced.

**Keywords:** Iterative Algorithm · Nonlinear Mappings · Fixed Point and Weak Convergence

## 1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, we consider  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  to be inner product and  $\| \cdot \|$  as its corresponding norm,  $H_1, H_2$  and  $H_3$  are Hilbert spaces, while  $C$  and  $D$  are nonempty, closed and convex subset of  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ , respectively.

Let  $S : H_1 \rightarrow H_1$  be a mapping.  $S$  is called quasi nonexpansive if  $\|Sx - y\| \leq \|x - y\|$ , for all  $x \in H_1$  and  $y \in \text{Fix}(S)$ , where  $\text{Fix}(S)$  denote the fixed point set of  $S$  i.e.  $\text{Fix}(S) = \{y \in H_1 : Sy = y\}$ .  $S$  is called demicontractive if there exist a constant  $k \in [0, 1)$  such that  $\|Sx - y\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|^2 + \|x - Sx\|^2$ , for all  $y \in \text{Fix}(S)$  and  $x \in H_1$ .

The split feasibility problem (SFP) in finite-dimensional Hilbert space was introduced by Censor *et al.* [1] and is entailed as follows:

$$\text{Find } \bar{y} \in C \text{ such that } A\bar{y} \in D, \quad (1)$$

where  $C$  and  $D$  are nonempty, closed and convex subset of  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ , respectively and  $A : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$  is a bounded linear operator. The SFP had received a lot of attention due to its applications in many real lives problems, such as in image reconstruction, phase retrievals, signal processing, see for example; [2, 3] and references therein.

Since every nonempty, closed and convex subset of Hilbert space can be seen as a fixed point of its associating projection, therefore, problem (1) reduces to the following:

$$\text{Find } \bar{y} \in \text{Fix}(S) \text{ such that } A\bar{y} \in \text{Fix}(T), \quad (2)$$

where  $S : C \rightarrow H_1$  and  $T : D \rightarrow H_2$ , respectively. Problem (2) is known as split fixed point problem (SFPP), see [4].

Iterative methods for solving the SFP and its related problems can be found in [5–8]. Related to the SFP, we have split equality problem (SEP), see Moudafi and Al-Shemas [9]. The SEP is defined as follows:

$$\text{Find } \bar{x} \in C \text{ and } \bar{y} \in D \text{ such that } A\bar{x} = B\bar{y}, \tag{3}$$

where  $C$  and  $D$  are nonempty, closed and convex subsets of  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ , respectively, and  $A : H_1 \rightarrow H_3, B : H_2 \rightarrow H_3$  are bounded linear operators.

Recently, Moudafi [10] also introduced another problem namely; split equality fixed point problem (SEFPP) which is defined as follows:

$$\text{Find } \bar{x} \in \text{Fix}(T_1) \text{ and } \bar{y} \in \text{Fix}(T_2) \ni A\bar{x} = B\bar{y}, \tag{4}$$

where  $A : H_1 \rightarrow H_3$  and  $B : H_2 \rightarrow H_3$  are bounded linear operators,  $T_1 : H_1 \rightarrow H_1$  and  $T_2 : H_2 \rightarrow H_2$  are two nonlinear mappings with  $\text{Fix}(T_1) \neq \emptyset$  and  $\text{Fix}(T_2) \neq \emptyset$ . He further obtained a weak convergence results for the SEFPP involving firmly quasi-nonexpensive mappings.

Very recently, Padcharoen *et al.* [11] studied the SEFPP by proposing the following iterative methods involving the class of demicontractive mappings.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_1 \in H_1; \\ v_n = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n; \\ y_n = v_n + \tau_n A^*(S - I)Av_n; \\ x_{n+1} = (1 - \gamma \tau_n)y_n + \gamma \tau_n Ty_n, \end{array} \right. \tag{5}$$

where  $\rho, \mu, \gamma, \alpha_n \in (0, 1), \theta > 0$  and  $\tau_n = \theta \rho^{l_n}$  with  $l_n$  being the smallest non-negative integer such that  $\tau_n \|A^*(S - I)Ay_n - A^*(S - I)Av_n\| \leq \mu \|y_n - v_n\|$ .

It is known that computation of the norm of bounded linear operator is not an easy task, this was why Chang *et al.* [12] had considered the following algorithms for solving the SEFPP involving two quasi pseudocontractive mappings and proved the convergence results of the algorithms:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{choose } x_0 \in H_1, y_0 \in H_2, \text{ arbitrary,} \\ x_{n+1} = x_n - \rho_n [x_n - Ux_n + A^*(Ax_n - By_n)], \\ y_{n+1} = y_n - \rho_n [y_n - Vy_n + B^*(By_n - Ax_n)], \end{array} \right. \tag{6}$$

where  $A$  and  $B$  are two bounded linear operators on  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ , respectively,  $U$  and  $V$  are quasi pseudocontractive mappings.

Motivated by these results, in this paper, we proposed new iterative algorithms for solving the SEFPP involving the class of demicontractive mappings in Hilbert spaces and proved the convergence results of the proposed algorithms.

### 2 Preliminaries

In sequel, we shall make use of the following lemmas in proving our main results:

**Lemma 2.1.** (Opial [13]) Let  $\{x_n\} \subseteq H$ , such that there exist a nonempty set  $\Omega \subseteq H$  such that the following conditions are satisfied:

- i. For each  $x \in \Omega$ ,  $\lim \|x_n - x\|$  exists,

$$n \rightarrow \infty$$

- ii. Any weak-cluster point of the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  belongs to  $\Omega$ .

Then, there exists  $y \in \Omega$  such that  $\{x_n\}$  converges weakly to  $y$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** For  $x, y \in H$ , the following result hold:

- i.  $\|x + y\|^2 = \|x\|^2 + 2x, y + \|y\|^2, \forall x, y \in H,$
- ii.  $\|x - y\|^2 = \|x\|^2 - 2x, y + \|y\|^2, \forall x, y \in H,$
- iii.  $\|\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y\|^2 = \alpha\|x\|^2 + (1 - \alpha)\|y\|^2 - \alpha(1 - \alpha)\|x - y\|^2 \forall x, y \in H,$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}.$

### 3 Main Results

Let  $A : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$  and  $B : H_2 \rightarrow H_3$  be two bounded linear operators, and  $T_1 : H_1 \rightarrow H_1$  and  $T_2 : H_2 \rightarrow H_2$  be two non-linear mappings with  $\text{Fix}(T_1) \neq \emptyset$  and  $\text{Fix}(T_2) \neq \emptyset$ . The SEFPP is defined as follows:

$$\text{Find } x^* \in \text{Fix}(T_1) \text{ and } y^* \in \text{Fix}(T_2) \ni Ax^* = By^* \tag{7}$$

In what follows, we donate the solution set of the SEFPP (7) by

$$\Gamma = \{(x^*, y^*) : x^* \in \text{Fix}(T_1) \text{ and } y^* \in \text{Fix}(T_2) \ni Ax^*By^*\}. \tag{8}$$

To approximate the solution of problem (8), we make the following assumptions:

(C1)  $H_1, H_2$  and  $H_3$  are Hilbert spaces,  $C$  and  $D$  are two nonempty, convex and closed subset of  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ , respectively.

(C2)  $T_1 : H_1 \rightarrow H_1$  and  $T_2 : H_2 \rightarrow H_2$  are two demicontractive mappings with  $\text{Fix}(T_1) \neq \emptyset$  and  $\text{Fix}(T_2) \neq \emptyset$ .

(C3)  $A : H_1 \rightarrow H_3$  and  $B : H_2 \rightarrow H_3$  are bounded linear operators with their adjoints  $A^*$  and  $B^*$ , respectively.

(C4)  $(T_1 - I)$  and  $(T_2 - I)$  are demiclosed at zero.

(C5) **Algorithm:** Let  $x_n \in H_1$  and  $y_n \in H_2$ , where  $x_0 \in H_1$  and  $y_0 \in H_2$  are chosen arbitrary.

**Step 1.** Compute

$$\begin{cases} x_{n+1} = (1 - \gamma \tau_n)v_n + \gamma \tau_n T_1 v_n, \\ y_{n+1} = (1 - \delta \tau_n)u_n + \delta \tau_n T_2 u_n, \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

**Step 2.** Choses  $v_n$  and  $u_n$  as follows:

$$\begin{cases} v_n = x_n + \tau_n A^*(By_n - Ax_n), \\ u_n = y_n + \tau_n B^*(Ax_n - By_n), \end{cases}$$

where  $\tau_n \in \left(0, \frac{2}{L_1+L_2}\right)$ , where  $L_1 = \|A^*A\|$  and  $L_2 = \|B^*B\|$ , respectively.

**Step 3.** If  $x_{n+1} = v_n$  and  $y_{n+1} = u_n$ , stop, otherwise, compute Step 1 to 2.

**Theorem 3.1.** Suppose that assumptions (C1)–(C5) are satisfied and  $\Gamma \neq \emptyset$ . Then, the sequence  $\{(x_n, y_n)\}$  generated by algorithm (9) converges weakly to the solution of problem (8).

**Proof:** Let  $(x^*, y^*) \in \Gamma$ , by algorithm (9) and the fact that  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are demicontractive mappings, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - x^*\|^2 &= \|(1 - \gamma \tau_n)v_n + \gamma \tau_n T_1 v_n - x^*\|^2 \\ &= \|(1 - \gamma \tau_n)(v_n - x^*) + \gamma \tau_n (T_1 v_n - x^*)\|^2 \\ &= (1 - \gamma \tau_n)\|v_n - x^*\|^2 + \gamma \tau_n \|T_1 v_n - x^*\|^2 - \gamma \tau_n (1 - \gamma \tau_n) \|T_1 v_n - v_n\|^2 \\ &\leq \|v_n - x^*\|^2 - \gamma \tau_n (1 - k - \gamma \tau_n) \|T_1 v_n - v_n\|^2 \\ &= \|x_n + \tau_n A^*(By_n - Ax_n) - x^*\|^2 - \gamma \tau_n (1 - k - \gamma \tau_n) \|T_1 v_n - v_n\|^2 \\ &= \|x_n - x^*\|^2 + 2\tau_n \langle x_n - x^*, A^*(By_n - Ax_n) \rangle + \|\tau_n A^*(By_n - Ax_n)\|^2 - \gamma \tau_n (1 - k - \gamma \tau_n) \|T_1 v_n - v_n\|^2 \\ &= \|x_n - x^*\|^2 + 2\tau_n \langle Ax_n - Ax^*, By_n - Ax_n \rangle + \|\tau_n A^*(By_n - Ax_n)\|^2 - \gamma \tau_n (1 - k - \gamma \tau_n) \|T_1 v_n - v_n\|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Thus,

$$\|x_{n+1} - x^*\|^2 \leq \|x_n - x^*\|^2 + 2\tau_n \langle Ax_n - Ax^*, By_n - Ax_n \rangle + \tau_n^2 \|A^*A\| \|By_n - Ax_n\|^2 - \gamma \tau_n (1 - k - \gamma \tau_n) \|T_1 v_n - v_n\|^2. \tag{11}$$

On the other hand,

$$\|y_{n+1} - x^*\|^2 \leq \|y_n - x^*\|^2 + 2\tau_n \langle y_n - x^*, Ax_n - By_n \rangle + \tau_n^2 \|B^*B\| \|By_n - Ax_n\|^2 - \delta \tau_n (1 - k - \delta \tau_n) \|T_2 u_n - u_n\|^2. \tag{12}$$

From Eq. (11), Eq. (12) and the fact that  $Ax^* = By^*$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - x^*\|^2 + \|y_{n+1} - x^*\|^2 &\leq \|x_n - x^*\|^2 + \|y_n - x^*\|^2 - \tau_n (2 - \tau_n (L_1 + L_2)) \|By_n - Ax_n\|^2 \\ &\quad - \gamma \tau_n (1 - k - \gamma \tau_n) \|T_1 v_n - v_n\|^2 - \delta \tau_n (1 - k - \delta \tau_n) \|T_2 u_n - u_n\|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

The fact that  $\tau_n \in \left(0, \frac{2}{L_1+L_2}\right)$ , where  $L_1 = \|A^*A\|$  and  $L_2 = \|B^*B\|$ , Eq. (13) gives

$$\|x_{n+1} - x^*\|^2 + \|y_{n+1} - x^*\|^2 \leq \|x_n - x^*\|^2 + \|y_n - x^*\|^2. \tag{14}$$

Thus,  $\{\|x_n - x^*\|^2 + \|y_n - y^*\|^2\}$  is a monotone decreasing sequence and bounded below by zero, therefore converges. From Eq. (13) and the fact that  $\{\|x_n - x^*\|^2 + \|y_n - y^*\|^2\}$  converges, we deduce that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Ax_n - By_n\| = 0, \tag{15}$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_2By_n - By_n\| = 0, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_2y_n - y_n\| \tag{16}$$

Furthermore, since  $\{\|x_n - x^*\|^2 + \|y_n - y^*\|^2\}$  converges, this ensures that both  $\{x_n\}$  and  $y_n$  are bounded. Thus  $x_n \rightharpoonup x^*$  and  $y_n \rightharpoonup y^*$ , respectively. By Eq. (9), we have that  $v_n \rightharpoonup x^*$  and  $u_n \rightharpoonup y^*$ .

The fact that  $x_n \rightharpoonup x^*$ ,  $v_n \rightharpoonup x^*$ , and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Ax_n - By_n\| = 0$  together with Eq. (9), we deduce that  $x^* = T_1x^*$ .

Similarly, we obtain that  $y^* = T_2y^*$ .

Now,  $x_n \rightharpoonup x^*$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_1x_n - x_n\| = 0$  together with the demiclosedness of  $(T_1 - I)$  at zero, we deduce that  $x^* \in \text{Fix}(T_1)$ . On the other hand,  $y_n \rightharpoonup y^*$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_2y_n - y_n\| = 0$  together with the demiclosedness of  $(T_2 - I)$  at zero, we deduce that  $y^* \in \text{Fix}(T_2)$ .

Since  $v_n \rightharpoonup x^*$ ,  $u_n \rightharpoonup y^*$  and the fact that  $A$  and  $B$  are bounded linear operators, we have

$$Av_n \rightharpoonup Ax^*, \text{ and } Bu_n \rightharpoonup By^*,$$

this implies that

$$Av_n - Bu_n \rightharpoonup Ax^* - By^*,$$

which turn to implies that

$\|Ax^* - By^*\| \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Av_n - Bu_n\| = 0$ , which further implies that  $Ax^* = By^*$ . Noticing that  $x^* \in \text{Fix}(T_1)$  and  $y^* \in \text{Fix}(T_2)$ , we conclude that  $(x^*, y^*) \in \Gamma$ .

Summing up, we have proved that:

- i. For each  $(x^*, y^*) \in \Gamma$ , and the  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|x_n - x^*\|^2 + \|y_n - y^*\|^2)$  exist
- ii. Each weak cluster of the sequence  $(x_n, y_n)$  belongs to  $\Gamma$ .

Thus, by Lemma 2.1, we conclude that the sequence  $(x_n, y_n)$  converges weakly to  $(x^*, y^*) \in \Gamma$ . And the proof is complete.

**Corollary 3.2.** Let  $A : H_1 \rightarrow H_3$  and  $B : H_2 \rightarrow H_3$  be bounded linear operators with their adjoints  $A^* : H_3 \rightarrow H_1$  and  $B^* : H_3 \rightarrow H_2$ , respectively. Suppose that  $T_1 : H_1 \rightarrow H_1$  and  $T_2 : H_2 \rightarrow H_2$  are quasinonexpansive mappings and  $T_1 - I$  and  $T_2 - I$  are demiclosed at 0, then the sequence  $\{(x_n, y_n)\}$  generated by algorithm (9) converges weakly to  $(x^*, y^*) \in \Gamma$ .

## 4 Conclusion

In this paper, we introduced new algorithms for solving split equality fixed point problems for the class of demicontractive mappings in Hilbert spaces and proved the weak convergence results of the proposed algorithms. However, the strong convergence result can be obtained if semi-compactness type conditions is imposed on our mappings. It is important to note that this semi-compactness condition appeared very strong as many nonlinear mappings are not semi-compact, therefore, a new research can be carried out to prove the strong convergence result without imposing the said condition.

**Acknowledgments.** This research was supported by the Sule Lamido University, Kafin-Hausa, P.M.B 048, Kafin Hausa, Jigawa State, Nigeria.

**Authors' Contributions.** All authors' contribute equally, and the authors' read and approved the final manuscript.

## References

1. Censor, Y., Elfving, T., Kopf, N., Bortfeld, T.: The multiple-sets split feasibility problem and its applications for inverse problems. *Inverse Probl.* **2**, 2071–2084 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1088/0266-5611/6/017>
2. Censor, Y., Elfving, T.: A multiprojection algorithm using Bregman projections in a product space. *Numer. Algorithms* **8**, 221–239(1994). <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02142692>
3. Censor, Y., Bortfeld, T., Martin, B., Trofimov, A.: A unified approach for inversion problems in intensity-modulated radiation therapy. *Phys. Med.* **51**, 2353–2365 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1088/0031-9155/51/10/001>
4. Mohammed, L.B., Kiliçman, A.: Strong convergence for the split common fixed- point problem for total quasi-asymptotically nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert space. *Abstract Appl. Anal.* **2015**, 1–7 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/412318>
5. Byrne, C.: Iterative oblique projection onto convex sets and the split feasibility problem. *Inverse Probl.* **18**, 441–453 (2002). <https://doi.org/10.1088/0266-5611/18/2/310>
6. Censor, Y., Motova, A., Segal, A.: Perturbed projections and subgradient projections for the multiple-sets split feasibility problem. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **327**, 1244–1256 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2006.05.010>
7. Mohammed, L., Kiliciman, A.: Iterative methods for solving split feasibility problem in Hilbert space. *Malays. J. Math. Sci.* **10**, 127–143 (2016). <http://einspem.upm.edu.my/journal>
8. Kiliçman, A., Mohammed, L.B.: Strong convergence for the split common point problems for demicontractive mappings in Hilbert spaces. In: *AIP Conference Proceedings*, vol. 1750, p. 050016 (2016)
9. Moudafi, A., AlShemas, E.: Simultaneous iterative methods for split equality problem. *Trans. Math. Program. Appl.* **2**, 1–11 (2013)
10. Abdellatif, M.: Split monotone variational inclusions. *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* **150**, 275–283 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s1095-01-9814-6>
11. Padcharoen, P.K.A., Cho, Y.: Split common fixed point problems for demicontractive operators. *Numer. Algorithm* **82**, 297–320 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11075-018-0605-0>

12. Chang, S.-S., Yao, J.-C., Wen, C.-F., Zhao, L.-C.: On the split equality fixed point problem of quasi-pseudo-contractive mappings without a priori knowledge of operator norms with applications. *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* **185**(2), 343–360 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10957-020-01651-8>
13. Cegielski, A., Censor, Y.: Opial-type theorems and the common fixed point problem. In: Bauschke, H., Burachik, R., Combettes, P., Elser, V., Luke, D., Wolkowicz, H. (eds.) *Fixed-Point Algorithms for Inverse Problems in Science and Engineering*. Springer Optimization and Its Applications, vol. 49. Springer, New York (2011). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-9569-8\\_9](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-9569-8_9)

**Open Access** This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

