Peer-Review Statements

Mohamad Yusoff bin Alias[(✉)]

Faculty of Engineering, Multimedia University, 63100 Cyberjaya, Selangor, Malaysia
`yusoff@mmu.edu.my`

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented virtually at the third Multimedia University Conference 2022 (MECON 2022) on 25–27 July 2022. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the Technical Programme Committee and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

1 Review Procedure

The reviews were double-blind peer reviews. Each submission was examined by at least two reviewers independently. The conference submission management system was Editor's Assistant (EDAS).

The submissions were first screened for suitability with MECON conference tracks. After the initial screening, they were sent for peer review by matching each paper's topic with the reviewers' expertise, considering any competing interests. A paper could only be considered for acceptance if it had received favourable recommendations from at least two reviewers.

Authors of a rejected submission were given the opportunity to revise and resubmit after addressing the reviewers' comments. The acceptance or rejection of a revised manuscript was final.

A few steps were taken to reduce biasness. In this double-blind review, the identities of both authors and reviewers are kept hidden. In addition, the Editor-in-Chief and the Chair for Technical Programme Committee were also not allowed to view the review score of their own papers in EDAS.

2 Quality Criteria

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions.

1. Pertinence of the article's content to the scope and themes of the conference.
2. Clear demonstration of originality, novelty, and timeliness of the research.
3. Soundness of the methods, analyses, and results.
4. Adherence to the ethical standards and codes of conduct relevant to the research field.

M. Y. bin Alias—Editor-in-Chief of MECON 2022.

5. Clarity, cohesion, and accuracy in language and other modes of expression, including figures and tables.

In addition, all the articles have been checked for textual overlap to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the publisher.

3 Key Metrics

Total submissions	72
Number of articles sent for peer review	72
Number of accepted articles	54
Acceptance rate	75%
Published in MECON associated journals	18
Published in Atlantis Press proceedings	34
Withdrawn	2
Number of reviewers	126

4 Competing Interests

Neither the Editor-in-Chief nor any member of the Technical Programme Committee declares any competing interest.