Peer-Review Statements

I Gede Pasek Suta Wijaya[1]([✉]), Junseok Hwang[2], Agung Mulyo Widodo[3], and Bambang Irawan[3]

[1] Department of Informatics Engineering, Engineering Faculty, University of Mataram, Mataram, Indonesia
gpsutawijaya@unram.ac.id
[2] College of Engineering, Seoul National University, Seoul, South Korea
[3] Asia Univerity, Taichung City, Taiwan

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the 1st MIMSE 2022 on September 14, 2022 in Mataram, Indonesia. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the Scientific Committee and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

1 Review Procedure

The reviews were single-blind. Each submission was examined by at least 1 reviewer independently.

The conference submission management system was *Easychair*.

The submissions were first screened for generic quality and suitableness. After the initial screening, they were sent for peer review by matching each paper's topic with the reviewers' expertise, taking into account any competing interests. A paper could only be considered for acceptance if it had received favourable recommendations from at least one reviewer.

Authors of a rejected submission were given the opportunity to revise and resubmit after addressing the reviewers' comments. The acceptance or rejection of a revised manuscript was final.

2 Quality Criteria

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions:

1. Pertinence of the article's content to the scope and themes of the conference;
2. Clear demonstration of originality, novelty, and timeliness of the research;
3. Soundness of the methods, analyses, and results;
4. Adherence to the ethical standards and codes of conduct relevant to the research field;
5. Clarity, cohesion, and accuracy in language and other modes of expression, including figures and tables.

In addition, all of the articles have been checked for textual overlap in an effort to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the publisher. We use Turnitin to find the similarity index. We set to exclude the bibliography and similarity that is less than 3% in this plagiarism checking. The accepted papers are the papers that the similarity index is below or equal 25%.

3   Key Metrics

Total submissions	44
Number of articles sent for peer review	44
Number of accepted articles	37
Acceptance rate	84%
Number of reviewers	29

4   Competing Interests

Neither the Editor-in-Chief nor any member of the Scientific Committee declares any competing interest.