



Peer-Review Statements

Arifin Dwi Saputro^{1,*}, Lilik Sutiarto¹, Rudiati Evi Masithoh¹, Jik Chang Leong²
Katharina Keiblinger³, Chaleeda Borompichaichartkul⁴, Omer Said Toker⁵, Rosnah
Shamsudin⁶

¹ Department of Agricultural and Biosystems Engineering, Faculty of Agricultural Technology, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Indonesia

² International Master Degree Program in Food Sciences, National Pingtung University of Science and Technology, Taiwan

³ Institute of Soil Research, University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences, Austria

⁴ Department of Food Technology, Chulalongkorn University, Thailand

⁵ Department of Food Engineering, Yildiz Technical University, Turkey

⁶ Faculty of Engineering, University Putra Malaysia, Malaysia

*Editors-in-Chief of the International Conference on Sustainable Environment, Agriculture and Tourism (ICOSEAT).

Email: arifin_saputro@ugm.ac.id

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the ICOSEAT during July 21-23, 2022 in Bangka, Indonesia. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the Scientific Committee and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

1. REVIEW PROCEDURE

The reviews were single-blind. Each submission was examined by at least 2 reviewer(s) independently. The conference submission management system was <http://epaper.uasc.ugm.ac.id>

Each paper was reviewed by 2-3 reviewers. The initial review process was performed by the editor by assessing the suitability of the paper content with the conference theme. If the paper is in accordance with the conference topic, then the paper will be sent to the reviewer for review. If all reviewers accept the paper, then the paper is approved for acceptance. If one or two reviewers refuse and the other accepts it will be evaluated by the editor in chief.

If the cause of the paper is rejected for certain reasons that may still be corrected in a short time, then the paper is considered for acceptance. The author is given the opportunity to revise the paper (if any revision from the reviewer) according to the specified time limit. If all

reviewers reject the paper, the paper will also be rejected by the editor in chief.

2. QUALITY CRITERIA

The assessment of the paper's feasibility to be accepted is based on several considerations, Such as:

1. The work well organized or not
2. The work well described or not
3. The work scientifically sound and not misleading or not
4. The availability of appropriate and adequate references to related and previous work
5. The English used correct and readable or not

3. KEY METRICS

<i>Total submissions</i>	255
<i>Number of articles sent for peer review</i>	177
<i>Number of accepted articles</i>	131
<i>Acceptance rate</i>	51.4%
<i>Number of reviewers</i>	23

4. COMPETING INTERESTS

Neither the Editor-in-Chief nor any member of the Scientific Committee declares any competing interest.

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

