

Peer-Review Statements

Bambang Budi Wiyono¹(⊠), Asmaa Abusamra², Zainal Dimaukom Kulidtod³, Jamal Nordin bin Yunus⁴, Desi Eri Kusumaningrum¹, and Ophat Kaosaiyaporn⁵

¹ Educational Administration, Universitas Negeri Malang, Malang 65145, Indonesia bambang.budi.fip@um.ac.id

² Head of Community Service and Continuing Education Center, University College of Applied Sciences, Gaza, Palestine, State of

³ General Education, Mindanao State University, Marawi City, Philippines

⁴ Educational Management, Universiti Pendidikan Sultan Idris (UPSI), Perak, Malaysia

⁵ Educational Communications and Technology, Prince Songkla University, Songkhla, Thailand

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the [ICEMT] during [November 4–9 2022] in [Mlanag, East Java, Indonesia]. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the [Reviewer ICEMT] and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

1 Review Procedure

The reviews were [double-blind]. Each submission was examined by [2] reviewer(s) independently.

[CMS]

[The submissions were first screened for generic quality and suitableness. After the initial screening, they were sent for peer review by matching each paper's topic with the reviewers' expertise, taking into account any competing interests. A paper could only be considered for acceptance if it had received favourable recommendations from the two reviewers.

Authors of a rejected submission were given the opportunity to revise and resubmit after addressing the reviewers' comments. The acceptance or rejection of a revised manuscript was final.]

[As authors/editors of a work, all ICEMT authors are responsible for overseeing the inclusion of thirdparty content. By 'third party content' we mean any work that the author did not produce himself and which the author has reproduced or adapted from another source. The author has obtained permission from the rights holder to use third party content.]

2 Quality Criteria

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions:

B. B. Wiyono-Volume Editor of the [ICEMT].

2 B. B. Wiyono et al.

- 1. Pertinence of the article's content to the scope and themes of the conference;
- 2. Clear demonstration of originality, novelty, and timeliness of the research;
- 3. Soundness of the methods, analyses, and results;
- 4. Adherence to the ethical standards and codes of conduct relevant to the research field;
- 5. Clarity, cohesion, and accuracy in language and other modes of expression, including figures and tables.

In addition, all of the articles have been checked for textual overlap in an effort to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the publisher.

3 Key Metrics

Total submissions	177
Number of articles sent for peer	96
review	
Number of accepted articles	76
Acceptance rate	42.94%
Number of reviewers	27

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

