



Peer-Review Statements

Sina Javankhoshdel¹ (✉) and Yousef Abolfazlzadeh²

¹ Rocscience; TVSeminars 1, Toronto, Canada

sina.javankhoshdel@rocscience.com

² Mining One; TVSeminars 2, Vancouver, Canada

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the *TMIC 2022* during *December 12th and 13th, 2022* in a virtual platform. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the *Review Committee* and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

1 Review Procedure

The reviews were *double-blind*. Each submission was examined by *at least two* reviewers independently.

The conference submission management system was EquinOCS.

The submissions were first screened for generic quality and suitability. After the initial screening, they were sent for peer review by matching each paper's topic with the reviewers' expertise, taking into account any competing interests. A paper could only be considered for acceptance if it had received favourable recommendations from the two reviewers.

Authors of a rejected submission were given the opportunity to revise and resubmit after addressing the reviewers' comments.

It was made sure that each reviewer understood the topic properly and had no relationship with the authors. After receiving the final version, the editor-in-chief had a final quick review to make sure that the authors have addressed reviewers' comments.

2 Quality Criteria

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions [*Note: please summarise your criteria and order them by importance; the following list is an example*]:

1. Pertinence of the article's content to the scope and themes of the conference;
2. Clear demonstration of originality, novelty, and timeliness of the research;
3. Clarity, cohesion, and accuracy in language and other modes of expression, including figures and tables.
4. Soundness of the methods, analyses, and results;

In addition, all of the articles have been checked for textual overlap in an effort to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the publisher.

S. Javankhoshdel—Editors-in-Chief of the TMIC 2022.

© The Author(s) 2023

S. Javankhoshdel and Y. Abolfazlzadeh (Eds.): TMIC 2022, AHE 13, pp. 1–2, 2023.

https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6463-104-3_1

3 Key Metrics

Total submissions	28
Number of articles sent for peer review	25
Number of accepted articles	21
Acceptance rate	75%
Number of reviewers	17

4 Competing Interests

Neither the Editor-in-Chief nor any member of the Scientific Committee declares any competing interest.

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

