

Peer-Review Statements

M. Lutfi Firdaus^(⊠) and Aprina Defianti

University of Bengkulu, Bengkulu, Indonesia lutfi@unib.ac.id

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the *Mathematics and Science Education International Seminar* on 13 November 2021 in Bengkulu, Indonesia. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the *Paper Reviewer* and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

Editors of MASEIS of 2021 adhere to the ethical guidelines for publications put forth by The Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE).

1 Review Procedure

The reviews were *single-blind*. Each submission was examined by 2 reviewers independently. *The conference submission management system was Konfrenzi Automatic Conference System*.

The submissions were first screened for generic quality and suitableness. After the initial screening, they were sent for peer review by matching each paper's topic with the reviewers' expertise, taking into account any competing interests. A paper could only be considered for acceptance if it had received favourable recommendations from the two reviewers.

Authors of a rejected submission were given the opportunity to revise and resubmit after addressing the reviewers' comments. The acceptance or rejection of a revised manuscript was final.

Each paper is distributed to reviewers in which they review know the names of the authors yet the authors do not know. The reviewed papers were sent to the authors through their personal account and they have to send them back to the organizers using their account as well. A second round review will take place if necessary.

2 Quality Criteria

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions.

- 1. Pertinence of the article's content to the scope and themes of the conference;
- 2. Clear demonstration of originality, novelty, and timeliness of the research;
- 3. Soundness of the methods, analyses, and results;

M. L. Firdaus-Editor-in-Chief of the MASEIS 2021.

2 M. L. Firdaus and A. Defianti

- 4. Adherence to the ethical standards and codes of conduct relevant to the research field;
- 5. Clarity, cohesion, and accuracy in language and other modes of expression, including figures and tables.

In addition, all of the articles have been checked for textual overlap in an effort to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the publisher. Maximum tolerant of similarity was 15%.

3 Key Metrics

Total submissions	110
Number of articles sent for peer	90
review	
Number of accepted articles	45
Acceptance rate	40,90%
Number of reviewers	3

4 Competing Interests

Neither the Editor-in-Chief nor any member of the Scientific Committee declares any competing interest.

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

