Peer-Review Statements

Abdul Fattah[1(✉)], Muchamad Adam Basori[2], Muhammad Anwar Fu'ady[3], and Novia Solichah[4]

[1] Universitas Islam Negeri Maulana Malik Ibrahim 1, Malang, Indonesia
aliridho@psi.uin-malang.ac.id
[2] Universitas Islam Negeri Maulana Malik Ibrahim 2, Malang, Indonesia
[3] Universitas Indonesia 3, Depok, Indonesia
[4] Universitas, Airlangga 4, Depok, Indonesia

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the PFH conference (Psychology and Flourishing Humanity) during *September, 22th–23rd 2022* in *Malang, Indonesia.* These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the Scientific Committee and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

1 Review Procedure

The reviews were open reviews. Each submission was firstly checked by four Editor and secondly examined by seven reviewers independently. The Editor Committee had authorized to select potential articles that met criteria of the conference manuscripts. Then, the potential manuscripts were proceeded to the seven reviewers to be further reviewed and decided to the final manuscripts.

The manuscript submissions were firstly screened for generic quality of language similarity and suitableness which covered one content of conference themes. After the initial screening, they were sent for peer review by matching each paper's topic with the reviewers' expertise, taking into account any competing interests. A paper could only be considered for acceptance if it had received favourable recommendations from the seven reviewers.

Authors of a rejected submission were given the opportunity to revise and resubmit after addressing the reviewers' comments. The acceptance or rejection of a revised manuscript was final.

Each revised manuscript was checked through a four-time review cycle and decided whether the manuscript was approved by most reviewers (Scientific Committee). Firstly, the editors read and check each manuscript before each is sent to the Scientific Committee. Secondly, if the manuscript found in language errors less than 10% of the word number of the manuscript, the editors send it to the Scientific Committee for a content review. Thirdly, when only half reviewers in the Scientific Committee approved the manuscript based on the novelty of its content and suitability of the conference theme, the Committee members have agreed with the rejection. However, when more reviewers

A. Fattah—Chair of the PFH.

© The Author(s) 2023
A. Fattah et al. (Eds.): PFH 2022, ASSEHR 728, pp. 1–3, 2023.
https://doi.org/10.2991/978-2-38476-032-9_1

than half of the Committee have decided a manuscript to be approved, the Committee agree with the acceptance after they have been through their internal discussions. Fourthly, the editors check the manuscript and send it to the corresponding author of the manuscript after it is reviewed.

Similarly, the reduction of unconscious bias occurred within the internal discussion of the Scientific Committee, they, then, must reach the agreement with the following steps taken to avoid any subjectivity, such as the identification of different performance standards for different topics in a way of implicit association test resulting the assessment of mental links exist from personal concepts to potentially associated values, the identification of race/ethnicity through completing a form of self-awareness, the identification of gender/age bias in the use of identity self-check, and the identification of scientific area through self-assessment guided by Indonesian Psychological Association.

2 Quality Criteria

Reviewers were requested to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions. *Firstly*, the reviewers assured that each manuscript had covered the scope and themes of the conference. *Secondly*, each manuscript must meet its original and followed its research timeline. *Thirdly*, the manuscript had its sound method covering data collection, analyses, and results/findings. Fourthly, each manuscript had adhered to code of conduct which were relevant to the research field. Fifthly, each manuscript had been checked its language coherence and cohesion using Turnitin.com to investigate textual overlap of potential plagiarism.

3 Key Metrics

Total submissions	45
Number of articles sent for peer review	40
Number of accepted articles	35
Acceptance rate	85%
Number of reviewers	7

Competing Interests. Neither the Editor-in-Chief nor any member of the Scientific Committee declares any competing interest. Authors had agreed with the conference ethics that any distorted behaviour on specific interests to uphold the validity editorial process is against PFH conference rules. Therefore, each author has been assured before submitting his/her manuscript to the conference, they have acknowledged their statement of authenticity indicating that the manuscript has never been published both whole or part of published journals or any scientific publishing company or has never been/are not included in the conference publishing process. All content and data contained in each manuscript are valid according to the object of research and can be accounted for.

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.