

Peer-Review Statements

Susanto B. Sulistyo^(⊠), Abdul Mukhlis Ritonga, Ratna Satriani, Eka Oktaviani, and Ni Wayan Anik Leana

Faculty of Agriculture, Jenderal Soedirman University, Purwokerto, Indonesia susanto.sulistyo@unsoed.ac.id

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the 3rd International Conference on Sustainable Agriculture for Rural Development 2022 (3rd ICSARD 2022) during 23 August 2022 in Purwokerto, Indonesia (Online). These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the Scientific Committee and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

1 Review Procedure

The reviews were single-blind. Each submission was examined by 2 reviewer(s) independently.

The conference submission management system was Open Conference System (OCS).

In the first round, the submitted papers were checked their similarity index by using Turnitin to ensure originality. We only accepted papers which Turnitin similarity index was less than 20%. Authors whose papers have similarity index more than 20% were given opportunity to revise their papers.

In the second round, after the initial screening of similarity index, all the Turnitinpassed papers were sent for peer review by matching each paper's topic with the reviewers' expertise, taking into account any competing interests. A paper could only be considered for acceptance if it had received favourable recommendations from the two reviewers.

Authors of a rejected submission were given the opportunity to revise and resubmit after addressing the reviewers' comments. The acceptance or rejection of a revised manuscript was final.

2 Quality Criteria

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions:

- 1. Significance of research
- 2. Contribution to the field (novelty)

S. B. Sulistvo—Editor-in-Chief of the 3rd ICSARD 2022.

[©] The Author(s) 2023

- S. B. Sulistyo et al.
- 3. Statement of the problem
- 4. Literature review
- 5. Appropriateness of the research/study method
- 6. Relevance and clarity of drawings, graphs and tables
- 7. Experimental/evidential support
- 8. Quality of data or findings
- 9. Discussion and conclusions
- 10. Direction for future research and recommendations
- 11. Standard of English
- 12. Reference list (adequate and correctly cited)
- 13. Writing style (according to Atlantis Press format)

In addition, all of the articles have been checked for textual overlap in an effort to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the publisher.

3 Key Metrics

Total submissions 91 Number of articles sent for peer 47 review

Number of accepted articles 42
Acceptance rate 46.2%
Number of reviewers 19

Competing Interests. Neither the Editor-in-Chief nor any member of the Scientific Committee declares any competing interest.

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

