



RETRACTED CHAPTER: Algorithmically Detecting Whether a Compact Set is Connected or Not

Rouzhi Wang^{1(✉)}, Wangrui Zheng², Zhitian Song¹, and Daniel Yixuan Shi³

¹ The Experimental High School Attached to Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100032, China
469587786@qq.com

² Hangzhou Foreign Languages School, Hangzhou 310023, China

³ The King's Academy, West Palm Beach 33411, USA

Abstract. In this work, we study whether there is a program that always terminates the connectiveness of a given Constructive Metric Compact Set (CMCS), a collection of finite epsilon nets formed by computer generated Cauchy sequences with a convergence regulator. If such decisive program Q exists, by applying Q to a given CMCS's $G(n)$, we determine the terminality of an undecidable $P(n)$ which runs with $G(n)$ simultaneously. The universality of Q depends on the extendibility of $P(n)$, and it leads to contradiction. Thus, we prove that finding a program deciding the connectiveness of all CMCSs, which is of some importance in both topology and constructive mathematics, is impossible.

Keywords: CMCS · decisive program · connectedness · constructive mathematics

1 Introduction

Constructive mathematics was started by the work of Turing and the foundations of it were established in the works of Bishop and Bridges -- and in the book of Kushner who followed the Markov-Shanin school [1–3].

Constructive mathematics can be simply summarized as follows: 1) the object of study is the construction process and the structural objects generated; 2) the inspection of the construction program and the goal is completed in a possible implementable abstract framework, and the concept of infinity in reality is completely eliminated; 3) Effective intuitionistic concepts are connected with accurate algorithm concepts, 4) Using specific structural logic to consider the specific circumstances of structural processes and goals.

Structural mathematics has the same critical roots as Brouwer's intuitionistic mathematics, and also draws on some structures and thinking, and the two have similarities to some extent. In addition, there is an important distinction between general philosophical properties and specific mathematical properties. First of all, constructive mathematics cannot emphasize the basic characteristics of intuition, because it is produced by human practical activities. Therefore, the abstraction in constructive mathematics does not originate from intuitionism, but from the most basic, observable structure. In a mathematical

The original version of this chapter was retracted: The retraction note to this chapter is available at https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6463-142-5_93

© The Author(s) 2023, corrected publication 2024

Y. Jiang et al. (Eds.): ICFIED 2023, AEBMR 237, pp. 620–626, 2023.

https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6463-142-5_68

context, the mathematics of construction does not employ reasoning of freely chosen sequences. It goes beyond the construction process and the structure of objects, nor does it employ the intuitive theory of freely constituted media based on the continuum. Intuitionistic mathematics does not agree with the principle of structural selection, nor does it abolish intuitionistic operations in favor of precise definitions of correspondences. It is worth noting that in recent years there has been a tendency to combine structural and intuitive methods: some structural studies, especially those related to semantics, adopt inductive definitions and correspond to them, thus reviewing summative Brouwer's structure when he called it the strip theorem (see strip induction). In the wider sense, it is a real number constructible with respect to some collection of constructive methods. The term "computable real number" has approximately the same meaning. The latter is used in those situations when the aim is not to construct ab initio a non-traditional continuum, but where it is simply a question of classical real numbers that are computable in some sense or other by means of algorithms.

A constructive real number is a Cauchy sequence of rational numbers equipped with an algorithm that describes the convergence, i.e. given $\varepsilon > 0$ it constructs $M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $m, n > M$ we have $|r_n - r_m| < \varepsilon$. A constructive function is an algorithm that transforms constructive numbers into constructive numbers. All the functions and numbers in this paper are assumed to be constructive.

In [4], they defined the four sets that make up real numbers: arithmetic operations, sorting, Archimedes postulate, and completeness. Our axiomatization has only three basic concepts: addition (+), multiplication (\times) and strict order (<). In most constructive analyzes the real numbers are defined by a set of representations (such as equivalence classes of Cauchy sequences, number extensions, etc.). Therefore, in the axiomatic method, the real numbers must be modeled as a set of equivalence relations. The equivalence relationship we propose is not an original concept but is composed of a strict order relationship and its basic properties.

Definition 1. A constructive real number (CRN) is defined to be a word of the form $\alpha \diamond \beta$ where α is a CSRN and β is a regulator of the fundamentality of α . Here α is an algorithm that generates rational valued points of a Cauchy sequence i.e. a CSRN and β is the regulator of fundamentality i.e. a computer program such that for all $i, j > \beta(N)$ we have $|\alpha(i) - \alpha(j)| < 2^{-N}$. \diamond is the separator between the two programs (α and β) [4].

Definition 2. A constructive real function (CF) means an algorithm transforming every CRN into a CRN such that equal CRNs transformed into equal CRNs.

Within classical mathematics (CLASS) the compactness of a topological space X amounts to the Heine-Borel property i.e., the existence of a finite subcover for every open cover of X . Within BISH compactness is a thorny issue, since there are metric spaces that are classically compact but that cannot be shown within BISH, as they are not compact in an extension of BISH.

A metric space is essentially a set equipped with a notion of distance between its elements. The notion of metric spaces is an essential part of constructive analysis.

Definition 3. A Constructive Metric Compact Set (CMCS) is given by a sequence of computer-generated finite ε nets for all rational ε (but of course it suffices to look only

at ε of the form $1/2^k$ for positive integer k). The constructive completion of the union of these ε nets is formed by looking at all pairs of programs $\alpha(i), \beta(i)$. Here $\alpha(i)$ is a computer-generated Cauchy sequence of the points of the union of ε nets defining the CMCS and $\beta(i)$ is the convergence regulator telling how fast the Cauchy sequence $\alpha(i)$ converges. Without the loss of generality, you can assume that the convergence regulator is standard that is for all N and for all $i, j \geq N$ we have distance $(\alpha(i), \alpha(j)) < 2^{-N}$ [5].

Remark 4. We want to note that the classical definitions (open cover and ε -net) of compactness are not equivalent in Constructive Mathematics. According to Ceijtin and Zaslavskii, the interval consists of all constructive real numbers x with $0 \leq x \leq 1$ is not compact in the following sense: it has an open covering from which it is impossible to algorithmically select a finite subcover [6, 7].

In constructive mathematics, supremum and infimum (like many other constructive concepts) are stronger concepts than their counterparts in classical mathematics as their existence requires an actual construction. The fundamental theorem in classical analysis that every nonvoid subset of \mathbb{R} that is bounded from above has a supremum is not valid in constructive analysis.

Definition 5. Let (X, d) be a metric space and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $A: \mathbb{N} \leq n \rightarrow X$ is a subfinite metric subset of X . Let further $\varepsilon > 0$ and let $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \leq n$. Then we call (A, h) a subfinite ε -approximation of X iff for all $x \in X$, we have that

$$d(x, A(h(x))) < \varepsilon. \text{ If } \alpha: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X) \times \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{F}(X, \mathbb{N} \leq n) \quad (1)$$

is a function such that for all $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_+$, we have that $\alpha\varepsilon := (A\varepsilon, h\varepsilon)$ is a subfinite ε -approximation for X , then we call (X, d) a totally bounded metric space with the modulus of total boundedness α [8].

A metric space (X, d) is compact if it is totally bounded, i. e. it has a modulus of total boundedness α , and complete.

Definition 6. A topological space X is said to be connected if there does not exist two nonempty, disjoint open subsets O, U of X s.t. $O \cup U = X$. In constructive mathematics these open sets have to be algorithmically generated unions of open balls of rational radii with centers in the algorithmically generated points of the ε nets [9].

A point is considered to be a member of a compact set S if it is arbitrarily close to being a member of all approximations of S .

We can give a complete separable constructive metric space, which is an enumerable algorithm. P points is a Cauchy sequence given by the algorithm, whose members are the elements of P . Measure a point that naturally extends into this space.

Remark 7. According to A. Shen and N.K. Vereshchagin, “There exists a partially defined computable function that takes only the values 0 and 1 and has no total computable extension.” [10]. We use such a computable function to show that there could not exist a Computer Program that given a CMCS always decides if this CMCS is a connected space or not.

Now one may ask if there exists a compact metric space but is disconnected. Actually, the answer is yes, and one can see that the finite sets are compact, and never connected unless they have one point (or none).

The Cantor set is disconnected (totally disconnected even), or more simply: take two disjoint compact sets and take their union: this is still compact but always disconnected. Etc. So it is meaningful to algorithmically detect whether a compact set is connected or not.

2 Some Results

Completing constructive metric spaces is a powerful way to import specific structures into constructive mathematics. In this way, a real number is formed, and some typical concepts can be defined naturally, such as measurable sets and functions, and Lebesgue integrable functions. An important purpose of the theory of structured measure spaces is to precisely define some or other computable measures.

Tseitin theorem shows that for any arithmetic operator ψ of type $M1 \rightarrow M2$, the set of enumerable spheres covering the domain of ψ can be constructed (recursively) for each n such that on the set of arbitrary spheres, The oscillation of ψ is not larger than $2 - n$. This theorem shows a known result that efficient functionals can be generalized to local recursive functionals. Another important conclusion of the above conclusion is: if $M1$ is a complete decomposable structural measure space, and $M2$ is an arbitrary constructive measure space, then the algorithm operator ψ of type $M1 \rightarrow M2$ can be used to construct the algorithm α , so that $X \subseteq Y$, number $\alpha(X, n)$ in the definition area of ψ and any n are natural numbers, where

$$\rho1(X, Y) < 2 - \alpha(X, n) \quad (2)$$

implies that

$$\rho2(\psi(X), \psi(Y)) < 2 - n. [11]. \quad (3)$$

Let Y be a locally compact subset of a metric space X and $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ an inhabited compact interval. Let $f : Y \rightarrow I$ be uniformly continuous on the bounded subsets of Y . Then there exists a function $g : X \rightarrow I$ which is uniformly continuous on the bounded subsets of X , and which satisfies $g(y) = f(y)$, for every $y \in Y$.

Let X be a totally bounded metric space with modulus of total boundedness α , and $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a uniformly continuous function with modulus of uniform continuity ω . Then $\sup f(X)$ and $\inf f(X)$ exist.

Let X be a compact metric space and $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a continuous function. For all but countably many $\alpha > \inf \{f(x); x \in X\}$ the set $X_{\alpha} := \{x \in X : f(x) \leq \alpha\}$ is compact.

Let (A, ι) be a subset of \mathbb{R} inhabited by x_0 that is bounded from above, i. e. there is $b \in \mathbb{R}$ such for all $a \in A$ it holds that $\iota(a) < b$. Then $\sup A$ exists iff for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $x < y$ we have that one of the following cases holds:

- (i) y is an upper bound of A .
- (ii) there is some $a \in A$ such that $x < \iota(a)$.

You might see something about metric spaces from the word “connected”. For example, the real number line R appears to be connected but is “broken” when a point on it is removed.

However, in general, the connectivity space is not well defined. In addition, we also want to explain what it means to be connected by a subset of the metric space, which requires us to perform a more detailed analysis of a subset of the metric space. First, we define a connectivity measurement space. Note that, like compactness and contiguity, connectivity is essentially a topological property rather than a measurement property since it can be defined entirely in terms of open sets.

In X , there is a non-empty intersection of only a closed set F of features with finite intersection.

If there are M and \emptyset in the opened and closed subsets of M , then the metric space (M, d) are connected. Under this condition, (M, d) is disconnected only in a non-empty subset which has an open and a closed.

3 Main Proof

Let $P(n)$ be such an unextendible program. We will generate a sequence $G(n)$ whose elements are CMCSs. The computer program $G(n)$ produces a point of the ε net of the form $i/2^k$ each second of time the program $P(n)$ is working. These points have the enumerator increasing by one (starting from zero) every second and when we reach the point $2^k/2^k = 1$ we reassign the value of k to be $k + 1$.

Note that if $P(n)$ never terminates then the CMCS $G(n)$ is the interval of all constructive real numbers between 0 and 1. This interval is connected by the work of V. Chernov [12]. In his Theorem 2 of [12], saying that an interval $I = [a, b]$ consisting of computable real points is connected. (Note that this result is unexpected because both the set of CRNs and the set of rational numbers are countable, however, the set of rational numbers in the interval $[0, 1]$ is not a connected set, while the set of all CRNs in this interval is a connected set.) In his Theorem 3 of [12], An interval $I = [0, 1]$ can be subdivided into the union of two nonempty disjoint sequentially closed subsets.

While if $P(n)$ terminates eventually then $G(n)$ is a finite set of points which is not connected.

Assume there is a program Q that given a CMCS (specified as above) can always tell whether the CMCS is connected or not.

Apply the program Q to the CMCSs $G(n)$. Clearly if $P(n)$ never terminates then Q applied to CMCS $G(n)$ will say that it is connected. If $P(n)$ does terminate then Q applied to the CMCS $G(n)$ will say that it is not connected.

Now extend the program P to $\tilde{P}(n)$ defined on all positive integers as follows. If Q says that $P(n)$ is connected define $\tilde{P}(n)$ to be 1. Otherwise to produce the value $\tilde{P}(n)$ just run $P(n)$ until you get the answer.

Clearly this \tilde{P} is the everywhere defined extension of the program P which was assumed to be unextendible. The ingredient that allowed us to define the program \tilde{P} is the program Q that was assumed to be always detecting if a given CMCS is connected or not. So we reach the conclusion that the program Q could not possibly exist.

4 Conclusion

In this article, we consider the definition of CMCS that is related to Cauchy sequence and prove that in constructive mathematics, whether a Constructive Metric Compact Set is connected or not is not a decidable problem. Connectedness and compactness are central notions in topology, so it is important to be able to tell whether such a topological space is connected or not.

Acknowledgement. The authors are thankful to Vladimir Chernov for inspiring this research topic and offering guidance and to both Vladimir and Viktor Chernov for coming up with this project. We are thankful to the Neoscholar company for organizing the CIS program in the process of which the research was done.

References

1. A. M. Turing, On Computable Numbers, With an Application to the Entscheidungsproblem, Vol S2-42, pages 230-265 1936, <https://londmathsoc.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1112/plms/s2-42.1.230>
2. E. Bishop, M. Beeson, Foundations of Constructive Analysis, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York Tokyo, 1967, <https://doi.org/10.2307/2314383>
3. B. A. Kushner, Lectures on Constructive Mathematical Analysis (in Russian) Mono-graphs in Mathematical Logic and foundations of Mathematics. Iz-dat. "Nauka", Moscow, 1973.447pp., English translation in Translations of Mathematical Monographs, 60 American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I.(1984).v. 140pp. ISBN: 0-8218-4513-6
4. Alberto Ciaffaglione, Pietro Di Gianantonio, A tour with constructive real numbers, http://users.dimi.uniud.it/~pietro.digianantonio/papers/copy_pdf/RealsAxioms.pdf
5. Fabian Lukas Grubmüller, Towards a constructive and predicative integration theory of locally compact metric spaces, June 2022, <https://www.math.lmu.de/~petrakis/Grubmueller.pdf>
6. Zaslavskii, I. D.; Cech, G. S. Singular coverings and properties of constructive func-tions connected with them. (Russian) Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov. 67 1962 458–502.
7. Zaslavskii, I. D. Some criteria of compactness in metric and normed spaces. (Russian) Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.) 103 (1955), 953–956.
8. The Wikipedia webpage on "Compact Spaces": https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Compact_space (Accessed July 24, 2016.)
9. L. Fosilla, P. Schuster, From sets and types to topology and analysis, Oxford Science Publications, 2005, https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Thomas-Streicher/publication/2864144_Universes_in_Toposes/links/09e4150e57454c84bb000000/Universes-in-Toposes.pdf#page=197
10. A. Shen, N. K. Vereshchagin, Computable Functions, Student Mathematical Library, Volume 19, December 2003
11. Analysis by Its History in Springer-Verlag's Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics Readings in Mathematics, E. Hairer and G. Wanner, NY: Springer Verlag (1996).
12. V. Chernov, Locally constant constructive functions and connectedness of intervals Get access Arrow, Journal of Logic and Computation, Volume 30, Issue 7, October 2020, Pages 1425–1428, <https://doi.org/10.1093/logcom/exaa038>

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

