Peer-Review Statements

Utami Widiati[1], Maria Hidayati[1]($\boxtimes$), Nunung Suryati[1], Suharyadi[1],
Anik Nunuk Wulyani[1], Ika Lestari Damayanti[2], Nur Arifah Drajati[3], Sari Karmina[1],
Evynurul Laily Zen[1], Lukman Hakim[2], and Prihantoro[4]

[1] Universitas Negeri Malang, Malang, Indonesia
maria.hidayati.fs@um.ac.id
[2] Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Bandung, Indonesia
[3] Universitas Sebelas Maret, Surakarta, Indonesia
[4] Universitas Diponegoro, Semarang, Indonesia

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the **20th Asi-aTEFL – 68th TEFLIN – 5th iNELTAL during August 5 – 7. 2022** in **Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia.** These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the **AsiaTEFL – TEFLIN – iNELTAL 2022 Board of Reviewers** and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

1 Review Procedure

The reviews **double-blind.** Each submission was examined by **at least two (2)** reviewer(s) independently.

The submissions were first screened for generic quality and suitableness based on the set criteria. After the initial screening, they were sent for peer review by matching each paper's topic with the reviewers' expertise, taking into account any competing interests. A paper could only be considered for acceptance if it had received favourable recommendations from the two reviewers.

Authors of a rejected submission were given the opportunity to revise and resubmit after addressing the reviewers' comments. The acceptance or rejection of a revised manuscript was final.

2 Quality Criteria

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions

1. The abstract reflects and summarizes the paper clearly and effectively.
2. The introduction part reviews relevant and current literature and shows the significance of the topic.
3. In the introduction part, the aims are clear and well-justified.

M. Hidayati—Editor-in-Chief of the [AsiaTEFL-TEFLIN-iNELTAL 2022].

4. For a research-based article, the paper presents clear and appropriate research procedure(s).
5. For a conceptual article, the paper presents headings relevant to the topic.
6. The presentation of the findings is adequate with the findings being critically discussed in light of relevant literature.
7. The conclusions and suggestions are relevant.
8. The references are appropriate and adequate.
9. The paper is well-organized and clearly written.

In addition, all of the articles have been checked for textual overlap in an effort to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the publisher. **Before submission and article delivery process to the publisher, the committee has conducted similarity check for plagiarism.**

3 Key Metrics

Total submissions	219
Number of articles sent for peer review	219
Number of accepted articles	65
Acceptance rate	29%
Number of reviewers	51

Competing Interests.   Neither the Editor-in-Chief nor any member of the Scientific Committee declares any competing interest.