



Peer-Review Statements

Risqi Firdaus Setiawan¹(✉), Hisaaki Kato², Hanilyn Aguilar Hidalgo³,
and Fitri Diana Riana⁴

¹ University of Pembangunan Nasional Veteran Jawa Timur, Jl Raya Rungkut Madya,
60294 Surabaya, East Java, Indonesia

Risqi.f.agribis@upnjatim.ac.id

² Osaka University, Suita, Japan

³ Central Bicol State University of Agriculture, Pili, Philippines

⁴ Brawijaya University, Malang, Indonesia

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the International Conference of Agriculture 3 (ICA-3) during September peer-reviewed Luminor Hotel Surabaya. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the PERHEPI (Indonesian Agricultural Economics Association) and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

1 Review Procedure

The reviews were double – blind. Each submission was examined by 2 reviewer(s) independently. Any manuscript received for review must be treated as a confidential document. They may not be shown or discussed with others except as permitted by the editor.

The conference delivery management system uses the OJS system from the website that has been provided.

The submissions were first screened for generic quality and suitability. After the initial screening, they were sent for peer review by matching each paper's topic with the reviewers' expertise, taking into account any competing interests. A paper could only be considered for acceptance if it had received favorable recommendations from the two reviewers.

Authors of a rejected submission were given the opportunity to revise and resubmit after addressing the reviewers' comments. The acceptance or rejection of a revised manuscript was final.

Before the article is entered into the review process, the editor will decide whether this article is worthy or not. Otherwise, the paper will be rejected and returned to the author. For example, suppose there is an article not excellent for publication during the review process. In that case, the editor will decide not to publish it and will be given an alternative to be published elsewhere so that the author's article can still be published.

R. F. Setiawan—Editors-in-Chief of the International Conference of Agriculture 3 (ICA-3).

© The Author(s) 2023

R. F. Setiawan et al. (Eds.): ICA 2022, ABR 33, pp. 1–3, 2023.

https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6463-168-5_1

2 Quality Criteria

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions:

1. The pertinence of the article’s content to the scope and themes of the conference;
2. Clear demonstration of originality, novelty, and timeliness of the research;
3. The soundness of the methods, analyses, and results;
4. Adherence to the ethical standards and codes of conduct relevant to the research field;
5. Clarity, cohesion, and accuracy in language and other modes of expression, including figures and tables.

In addition, all of the articles have been checked for textual overlap in an effort to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the publisher. To check plagiarism, we use a Turnitin tool with a plagiarism rate of less than 30%.

3 Key Metrics

Total submissions	75
Number of articles sent for peer review	50
Number of accepted articles	23
Acceptance rate	30.67%
Number of reviewers	10

Competing Interests. Unpublished material submitted may not be used in the editor’s research without the author’s written consent. Privileged information or ideas obtained through peer review must be confidential and not used for personal gain. Editors must withdraw (e.g., must request co-editors, associate editors, or other members of the editorial board to review and consider) from considering manuscripts in which they have a conflict of interest caused by competition, collaboration, or other relationship or relationship with any of the authors, companies, or (possibly) institutions connected to newspapers. Editors must require all contributors to disclose relevant competing interests and issue corrections if competing interests are expressed after publication. If necessary, other appropriate action should be taken, such as the publication of retractions or the expression of concerns.

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

