



Peer-Review Statements

R. Somashekhar¹(✉), Preenon Bagchi^{1,2}, T. S. Rajesh¹, Richard Hill³,
and Kathryn Rossi⁴

¹ Vasissth Academy of Advanced Studies and Research, Sarvasumana Association, Bengaluru, India

² MGM Institute of Biosciences and Technology, Aurangabad, India
prithish.bagchi@gmail.com

³ Mindscience Institute, Gordon, Australia

⁴ Milton H. Erickson Institute of the California Central Coast (MHE-CCC), Albany, USA

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the *ICBDS-2022* during *December 22–23, 2022 hosted virtually from India*. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the *review Committee* and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

1 Review Procedure

The reviews were double-blind peer-reviewed. Each submission was examined by 2 reviewer(s) independently.

Upon initial submission of the manuscript, the authors were acknowledged of the receipt via e-mail. All papers submitted for publication are immediately subjected to preliminary editorial scrutiny by the Editor-in-Chief regarding their suitability. Articles written in poor English language or not conforming to guidelines were returned to the authors to rectify the shortcomings. Plagiarism was checked by Ouriginal software. Manuscripts that appear to be suitable are then mailed to neutral and subject eminent experts. Authors of a rejected submission were given the opportunity to revise and resubmit after addressing the reviewers' comments.

2 Quality Criteria

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions:

1. Plagiarism checking
2. Quality checking
3. Checking the theme and scope of the conference
4. Clear demonstration of originality, novelty, and timeliness of the research;

R. Somashekhar—Editors-in-Chief of the [3rd International Conference on Bioinformatics and Data Science].

© The Author(s) 2023

R. Somashekhar et al. (Eds.): ICBDS 2022, AHSR 58, pp. 1–2, 2023.

https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6463-164-7_1

5. Soundness of the methods, analyses, and results;
6. Adherence to the ethical standards and codes of conduct relevant to the research field;
7. Clarity, cohesion, and accuracy in language and other modes of expression, including figures and tables.

3 Key Metrics

Total submissions	100
Number of articles sent for peer review	30
Number of accepted articles	19
Acceptance rate	19%
Number of reviewers	13

Competing Interests. Neither the Editor-in-Chief nor any member of the Scientific Committee declares any competing interest.

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

