



# Peer-Review Statements

Meri Indri Hapsari(✉)

Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia  
meri.indri@feb.unair.ac.id

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the The 4th International Conference on Islamic Economics, Business, Philantophy, and PhD Colloquium during 04–05 October 2022 in Surabaya, Indonesia. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the conference reviewers and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

## 1 Review Procedure

The reviews were double blind. Each submission was examined by at least 2 reviewer(s) independently.

*Review Process of the 4<sup>th</sup> ICIEBP 2022 were:*

1. *The participant-submitted abstract will undergo the Desk Review Process for determination of aims and scope. Authors of accepted abstracts will be required to submit full paper (research manuscript).*
2. *All submitted manuscripts will be reviewed by two reviewers in a double-blind review manner. The review process will consider several aspects as follow:*
  - a. *The reviewer will utilize Turnitin to identify instances of plagiarism with a maximum tolerable score of 25%.*
  - b. *All submitted papers must conform to the prepared template*
  - c. *When a full paper is submitted, an immediate review will be conducted (the review process does not wait for the deadline of full paper submission).*
3. *The results of the review should be accommodated during the conference presentation.*

*The revised manuscript is uploaded to the system no later than two weeks after the conference presentation. The revised work should incorporate all of the reviewer's and conference attendees' feedback.*

Authors of a rejected submission were given the opportunity to revise and resubmit after addressing the reviewers' comments. The acceptance or rejection of a revised manuscript was final.

---

\**Editor-in-Chief of the The 4th International Conference on Islamic Economics, Business, Philantophy, and Ph.D.*

## 2 Quality Criteria

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions [*Note: please summarise your criteria and order them by importance; the following list is an example*]:

1. Pertinence of the article's content to the scope and themes of the conference;
2. Clear demonstration of originality, novelty, and timeliness of the research;
3. Soundness of the methods, analyses, and results;
4. Adherence to the ethical standards and codes of conduct relevant to the research field;
5. Clarity, cohesion, and accuracy in language and other modes of expression, including figures and tables.

In addition, all of the articles have been checked for textual overlap in an effort to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the publisher. [*You can add your own efforts to stop and detect plagiarism here as well*].

## 3 Key Metrics

Total submissions	86
Number of articles sent for peer review	77
Number of accepted articles	20
Acceptance rate	23,2%
Number of reviewers	15

**Competing Interests.** Some of the authors (in this case, name them) were supervised by the Editor-in-Chief, who has recused herself from handling their submissions and has delegated them to colleagues with no personal interests in them.

**Open Access** This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

